Development of a portable automatic hearing screener

Hyung Wook Noh, Tak Hyung Lee, Jong Wook Kim, Dong In Yang, Eun Jong Cha*, Deok Won Kim**

Abstract - Hearing loss is one of the most common birth defects among infants. Most hearing-impaired children are not diagnosed until one to three years of age, which is too late to treat for normal speech and language development. If a hearing impairment is identified and treated in its early stage, child’s speech and language skills could be comparable to his or her normal-hearing peers. In this study, we applied the ‘Fsp’ method to distinguish between normal and impaired hearing. We have developed a battery-operated portable A-ABR (automated auditory brainstem response) system that automatically detects hearing impairment for neonates or infants in a nursery room, as well as in a sound-proof room. We partially validated the accuracy of the system in five normal-hearing adults.
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1. Introduction

Hearing impairment is a significant condition in newborns. Significant permanent hearing impairment affects 1 to 1.5 per 1000 live births [1], [2]. This is more frequent than any other disease for which newborn screening occurs [3]. If a hearing impairment is undetected, it can have profound effects on speech, language, and thus emotional and social well-being. Current international research indicates that babies who have hearing impairment and receive appropriate and consistent early intervention have better language levels than those children identified after the age of six months.

Recent improvements in hearing screening standards have led to the advancement of hearing screening technology. The auditory brainstem response (ABR) test has become the standard of care for hearing screening. ABR measures hearing threshold levels by acquiring electric evoked potentials emanated from the auditory nerve system responding to auditory stimuli.

However, ABR needs to be carried out in a sound-proof room and takes a long time to perform. Furthermore, the interpretation of conventional ABR should be done by a trained audiologist. The expense, time, and necessity of interpretation by trained personnel negate the use of conventional ABR as a useful hearing-screening tool.

Therefore, in this study, we have developed the automated-ABR (A-ABR) system, which objectively assesses the hearing threshold levels, and interprets the brainstem response resulting from the clicking stimuli. This system is simple to operate for non-professionals and reduces the time necessary to implement the test. Moreover, it has been constructed as a portable system, which makes it an effective method to screen for hearing impairment in newborns, even in nursery rooms.

2. System configurations and Methods

2.1 Hardware

A-ABR system consists of amplifier, microprocessor, LCD as shown in figure 1. We chose MSP430 (TI, USA)

Fig. 1. Photo of a hardware:
(a) Microprocessor, (b) Amplifier, (c) LCD
as a microprocessor, because of its multiple tasking with low price and power consumption. This chip includes 4KB flash, DMA (direct memory access), and 12-bit ADC. The microprocessor converted analog evoked potentials to digital signals and performed several algorithms. ABR signals have a principal amplitude of 0.1 to 1 μV and a signal frequency range of 30 Hz to 3 kHz. Amplifiers were designed to handle extremely low potentials with good quality. To meet this strict amplifier requirement, we constructed a cascade circuit, which consisted of a differential amplifier, a gain stage, 3 kHz low pass filter and 100 Hz high pass filter to remove AC power line noise. We used a touch-screen based LCD (Micro Control Pia, Korea) which included ARM9 embedded controller. Finally, we developed a portable system with battery power as shown in figure 2.

2.2 Software

The flowchart of the A-ABR system is shown in figure 3. With a start button pressed, the microprocessor starts taking measurements while generating click stimuli. The generated click stimuli were pulse trains of 0.1ms duration, with a repetition frequency of 10.1 Hz. The microprocessor synchronously averaged electric potentials which were generated by auditory nerve system in response to auditory stimuli. As the obtained potentials have extremely low SNR, an ensemble average was performed. This method is based on the fact that randomly distributed noise components are cancelled out provided that a sufficiently large number of measurements are taken. In this study, we performed 1500 iterations. The procedure of ensemble average is shown in figure 4.

For automatic diagnosis of hearing impairment, we used an equation of ‘Fsp’ algorithm shown in figure 5. (a) represents the level of background noise with each sweep fluctuation. To get a clear ABR signal, the single point should not vary from sweep to sweep. (b) is the averaged signal that represents the neural response amplitude to the stimuli. In our previous study, we performed ABR tests for 50 infants who had normal hearing to obtain an optimal value of ‘Fsp’. As a result, the test accuracy was 95% when the value was 1.77 [4]. Therefore, we have constructed a system that automatically determines whether a subject has hearing impairment by applying this value.
2.3 Experimentation

For the purpose of validation of this system, the test was performed for 2.5 minutes on five healthy adults, using 40 dBHL (normal hearing level) click stimuli. To avoid background noise, we used an inserted earphone, EAR-3A (Ear Auditory System, UK). Before inserting the earphone, the external ear canal was checked for any easily removable debris or blockage. An active electrode was placed on the mastoid area of the test ear, a passive electrode was placed on the opposite side of the mastoid, and a ground electrode was attached on the forehead as shown in figure 7. A total of 1500 stimuli were averaged, and the result was shown on the LCD screen as either 'Pass' or 'Fail', as shown in figure 8.

Fig. 7. Experimental setup.

3. Results and Discussion

If a test result is 'fail,' another test is supposed to be repeated. If either of the next two tests is 'pass,' the subject is considered to have normal hearing. All tests for five normal hearing adults resulted in ‘pass.’ We validated the accuracy of this system only for normal hearing adults. The test results are presented on the screen as shown in Figure 8. Peak5 is normally the most robust in ABR signals and has latency between 4 and 6 ms in healthy adults. The peak5 in Figure 8(a) was the largest and latency time was approximately 5 ms. In contrast, when we performed the test without sound stimuli, 'Fail' was displayed on the screen.

4. Conclusion

In this study, we have developed a battery operated portable A-ABR screener using a microprocessor. This A-ABR system can be used in anywhere, including Neonate Intensive Care Unit (NICU), Intensive Care Unit (ICU), and doctors' offices. This portable system can be used even by the patients themselves. Furthermore, as it has also been programmed to save the signal data, the abilities to review and edit screening results are readily available. For future studies, we will perform sufficient additional tests with subjects including hearing-impaired adults, newborns, and babies to assess and validate the accuracy of the system.

Fig. 8. The test results screen:
(a) A case in which the subject heard the click stimuli
(b) A case in which the subject heard nothing
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