

A NOTE ON THE ESSENTIAL NILPOTENCY

BY HA-JUNE KIMN

An ideal L of a ring R is called *essentially nilpotent* if it contains a nilpotent ideal N of R which is essential in L , i. e., N has non-zero intersection with each non-zero ideal of R which is contained in L [2]. A nil right (left) ideal of a ring is called essentially right (left) nilpotent if it contains a nilpotent right (left) ideal which is essential in it. If N is an ideal of a ring R , N is called *left T -nilpotent* (" T " for transfinite) if, given any sequence $\{a_i\}$ of elements in N , there exists an n such that $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n = 0$. (*Right T -nilpotency* requires instead that $a_n a_{n-1} \cdots a_1 = 0$.) [1].

Shock proved that a nil right ideal is essentially nilpotent if and only if it contains an essential right ideal which is left T -nilpotent [3]. In this paper, we show that an ideal L is essentially nilpotent if and only if L contains a left T -nilpotent ideal which is essential in L .

REMARK 1. H. Bass' example (5), p. 476 of [1] shows the existence of a left T -nilpotent ideal but not right T -nilpotent. Therefore if an ideal N is left T -nilpotent, then N is not nilpotent.

REMARK 2. Using the Sasiada's example (Let R denote the ring generated over the integers by x_1, x_2, \dots with the relation $x_i x_j = 0$ for $i \geq j$), J. Fisher showed that essential nilpotency does not imply left T -nilpotency [2].

LEMMA. *If an ideal L of R is left T -nilpotent, then L is essentially nilpotent.*

Proof. In [2].

THEOREM. *An ideal L of a ring is essentially nilpotent if and only if L contains a left T -nilpotent ideal which is essential in L .*

Proof. Let J be a nilpotent ideal of R which is essential in essentially nilpotent ideal L . Hence J is a left T -nilpotent ideal which is essential in L .

Conversely, let J be a left T -nilpotent ideal contained in L which is essential in L . By the previous lemma, the left T -nilpotent ideal J is essentially nilpotent. Hence J contains a nilpotent ideal N which is essential in J . N is

also essential in L . Hence L is essentially nilpotent.

References

- [1] H. Bass, *Finitistic dimension and a homological generalization of semi-primary rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **95** (1960), 466-488.
- [2] J. Fisher, *On the nilpotency of nil subrings*, Can. J. Math., **22** (1970), 1211-1216.
- [3] R. C. Shock, *Essentially nilpotent rings*, Israel J. Math., **9** (1971), 180-185.

Ajou Institute of Technology