

ADDITIVE PERTURBATION OF M -ACCRETIVE OPERATORS IN THE SPACE $L^\infty(Q)$

BY JONG-WON YU

1. Introduction

Let Q be a measure space with bounded measure, and let \mathbf{R} be the set of all real numbers and $P(\mathbf{R})$ the power set of \mathbf{R} . Suppose that a nonlinear multivalued operator A is a m -accretive in the space $L^\infty(Q)$ and monotone in the space $L^2(Q)$, and that β is a multivalued operator from $Q \times \mathbf{R}$ into $P(\mathbf{R})$.

We define the operator $A + \beta$ from $L^\infty(Q)$ into $L^\infty(Q)$ by

$$A + \beta = \{[u, v + w] \in L^\infty(Q) \times L^\infty(Q) : [u, v] \in A \text{ and } w(x) \in \beta(x, u(x)) \text{ a. e. } x \in Q\}.$$

We proved that $A + \beta$ is m -accretive when β is singlevalued ([5]). The objective of the present paper is to prove that $A + \beta$ is m -accretive in the space $L^\infty(Q)$ under certain hypotheses when β is multivalued.

§ 2 is devoted to some preliminary results concerning with the main result of this paper. § 3 contains main results and a corollary.

2. Preliminaries

Let $L^p(Q)$ or only L^p denote the Lebesgue space of Q furnished the norm $\|\cdot\|_p$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Suppose that an operator A of $L^\infty(Q)$ satisfies the following condition (H1):

(H1) A is m -accretive in $L^\infty(Q)$ and monotone in $L^2(Q)$, that is to say,

(a) A is accretive in $L^\infty(Q)$: for all $[u_1, v_1], [u_2, v_2] \in A$ and $\lambda > 0$,

$$\|u_1 - u_2 + \lambda(v_1 - v_2)\|_\infty \geq \|u_1 - u_2\|_\infty,$$

(b) A is monotone in $L^2(Q)$:

$$\text{for all } [u_1, v_1], [u_2, v_2] \in A, \int_Q (u_1 - u_2)(v_1 - v_2) \geq 0,$$

(c) for all $\lambda > 0$, $R(1 + \lambda A) = L^\infty(Q)$.

We put $J_\lambda = (1 + \lambda A)^{-1}$ and $A_\lambda = \lambda^{-1}(1 - J_\lambda)$ for all $\lambda > 0$. Then we have the following elementary properties.

LEMMA 2.1. Let A be an m -accretive operator of $L^\infty(\Omega)$. We have the following ([3]).

- (1) A_λ is m -accretive in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ and for all $\lambda > 0$ and $u_1, u_2 \in D(A)$,

$$\|A_\lambda u_1 - A_\lambda u_2\|_\infty \leq 2\lambda^{-1}\|u_1 - u_2\|_\infty,$$
- (2) $A_\lambda \subset AJ_\lambda$ for all $\lambda > 0$,
- (3) $(A_\lambda)^{-1} = A^{-1} + \lambda I$ for all $\lambda > 0$,
- (4) $\|A_\lambda u\|_\infty \leq \|Au\|_\infty$ for all $u \in D(A)$ and $\lambda > 0$, where

$$\|Au\|_\infty = \inf \{\|v\|_\infty : v \in Au\},$$
- (5) $J_\lambda u = J_\mu \left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda} u + \frac{\lambda - \mu}{\lambda} J_\lambda u \right)$ for all $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\lambda, \mu > 0$.

LEMMA 2.2. Suppose that an operator A of $L^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfies (H1).

Then we have

- (1) $A = A_2 \cap L^\infty(\Omega) \times L^\infty(\Omega)$, where A_2 is the closure of A in $L^2(\Omega)$.
- (2) Let $[u_n, v_n] \in A$ such that $u_n \rightarrow u$, $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $\sigma(L^\infty(\Omega), L_1(\Omega))$ and

$$\overline{\lim} \int_\Omega u_n v_n \leq \int_\Omega uv \text{ when } n \rightarrow +\infty,$$
 then $[u, v] \in A$ and

$$\int_\Omega u_n v_n \longrightarrow \int_\Omega uv \text{ when } n \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Suppose an operator β from $\Omega \times \mathbf{R}$ into $P(\mathbf{R})$ satisfies the following condition (H2):

- (H2) $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(a) a. e. } x \in \Omega, r \in \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \beta(x, r) \in P(\mathbf{R}) \text{ is maximal monotone,} \\ \text{(b) for every } r \in \mathbf{R}, \text{ there exists } u \in L^\infty(\Omega) \text{ such that } u(x) \in \beta(x, r) \\ \text{a. e. } x \in \Omega. \end{array} \right.$

We define the operator β^{-1} from $\Omega \times \mathbf{R}$ into $P(\mathbf{R})$ by manner that $r \in \beta^{-1}(x, s)$ if and only if $s \in \beta(x, r)$. Finally, for all $\lambda > 0$, let β_λ denote the operator from $\Omega \times \mathbf{R}$ into \mathbf{R} by $\beta_\lambda = \lambda^{-1}(I - (I + \lambda\beta)^{-1})$, where I is the operator from $\Omega \times \mathbf{R}$ into \mathbf{R} such that for all $x \in \Omega$, $I(x, r) = r$.

LEMMA 2.3. Let β be an operator from $\Omega \times \mathbf{R}$ into $P(\mathbf{R})$. We have:

- (1) if β satisfies (H2)-(a), then β^{-1} satisfies (H2)-(a), too,
- (2) β satisfies (H2)-(b) if and only if for all $r \in \mathbf{R}$, there exists $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $r \in \beta^{-1}(x, u(x))$ a. e. $x \in \Omega$,
- (3) if β satisfies (H2)-(a), then for all $\lambda > 0$ and $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbf{R}$, a. e. $x \in \Omega$,

$$r \in \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \beta_\lambda(x, r) \in \mathbf{R} \text{ is maximal monotone and}$$

$$|\beta_\lambda(x, r_1) - \beta_\lambda(x, r_2)| \leq 2\lambda^{-1}|r_1 - r_2|,$$
- (4) if β satisfies (H2)-(b), then for all $\lambda > 0$, β_λ satisfies (H2)-(b).

LEMMA 2.4. Suppose that an operator β from $\Omega \times \mathbf{R}$ into $P(\mathbf{R})$ satisfies (H2)-(a).

Then a. e. $x \in Q$, $\partial j(x, \cdot) = \beta(x, \cdot)$ for some proper convex lowersemicontinuous function $j: Q \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow]-\infty, \infty]$ ([2]).

PROPOSITION 2.5. Suppose that an operator A of $L^\infty(Q)$ satisfies (H1) and that an operator β from $Q \times \mathbf{R}$ into \mathbf{R} satisfies (H2) and for every $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbf{R}$, there exists $M \geq 0$ such that

$$|\beta(x, r_1) - \beta(x, r_2)| \leq M|r_1 - r_2| \text{ a. e. } x \in Q.$$

Let $A + \beta \ni \phi$. Then $A + \beta$ is m -accretive in $L^\infty(Q)$ ([5]).

3. M -accretivity of $A + \beta$ when β is multivalued

THEOREM 3.1. Suppose that an operator A of $L^\infty(Q)$ satisfies (H1) and that a multivalued operator β from $Q \times \mathbf{R}$ into $P(\mathbf{R})$ satisfies (H2), and that for all $f \in L^\infty(Q)$ and $\lambda > 0$, there exists at most an $u \in L^\infty(Q)$ such that $u + \lambda(A + \beta)u \ni f$. Let $A + \beta \ni \phi$. Then $A + \beta$ is m -accretive in $L^\infty(Q)$.

Proof: By lemma 2.3, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $\beta_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-1}(I - (I + \varepsilon\beta)^{-1})$ satisfies (H2), and for all $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbf{R}$, $|\beta_\varepsilon(x, r_1) - \beta_\varepsilon(x, r_2)| \leq 2\varepsilon^{-1}|r_1 - r_2|$.

Since $A + \beta$ is nonempty, $A + \beta_\varepsilon$ is nonempty, too. According to proposition 2.5, $A + \beta_\varepsilon$ is m -accretive in $L^\infty(Q)$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus we have

$$(3.1) \quad \|(1 + \lambda(A + \beta_\varepsilon))^{-1}f_1 - (1 + \lambda(A + \beta_\varepsilon))^{-1}f_2\|_\infty \leq \|f_1 - f_2\|_\infty$$

for all $f_1, f_2 \in L^\infty(Q)$ and $\lambda, \varepsilon > 0$.

Let $\lambda > 0$ and $f \in L^\infty(Q)$. Put $u_\varepsilon = (1 + \lambda(A + \beta_\varepsilon))^{-1}f$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Then $f \in u_\varepsilon + \lambda(A + \beta_\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon$ and $\|u_\varepsilon\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$. Let

$$(3.2) \quad v_\varepsilon \in Au_\varepsilon \text{ such that } u_\varepsilon + \lambda(v_\varepsilon + \beta_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon) = f.$$

Since $\beta_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon \in \beta(1 + \varepsilon\beta)^{-1}$ and $\|(1 + \varepsilon\beta)^{-1}u_\varepsilon\|_\infty \leq \|u_\varepsilon\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$, by (H2)-(b), $\{\beta_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$ is bounded, and hence $\{u_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$ and $\{v_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$ are bounded in $L^\infty(Q)$ for each $\lambda > 0$. Let $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{\varepsilon_n > 0}$ such that

$$(3.3) \quad u_n = u_{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow u, \quad v_n = v_{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow v \text{ in } \sigma(L^\infty(Q), L^1(Q)) \text{ when } \varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0+.$$

$$(3.4) \quad u_n = (1 + \varepsilon_n\beta)^{-1}u_n = u_n - \varepsilon_n\beta_{\varepsilon_n}u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } \sigma(L^\infty(Q), L^1(Q)) \text{ when } \varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0+.$$

By (H2)-(a) and lemma 2.4, there exists

$$j: Q \times \mathbf{R} \longrightarrow]-\infty, \infty]$$

such that j is proper convex lower-semicontinuous in \mathbf{R} and a. e. $x \in Q$, $\partial j(x, \cdot) = \beta(x, \cdot)$.

We put, for all $u \in L^2(Q)$,

$$\phi(u) = \begin{cases} \int_Q j(u), & \text{if } j(u) \in L^1(Q) \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then ϕ is a proper convex lower-semicontinuous function from $L^2(\mathcal{Q})$ into $]-\infty, \infty]$ and the subdifferential $\partial\phi$ of ϕ coincide with the extension of β in $L^2(\mathcal{Q})$.

Since $\beta_{\varepsilon_n} u_n = \frac{1}{\lambda}(f - u_n) - v_n \in \beta(1 + \varepsilon_n \beta)^{-1} u_n = \beta \bar{u}_n$, for all $z \in L^2(\mathcal{Q})$,

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda\phi(z) - \lambda\phi(\bar{u}_n) &\geq (f - (u_n + \lambda v_n), z - u_n + \varepsilon_n \beta_{\varepsilon_n} u_n) \\ &= (f, z - u_n + \varepsilon_n \beta_{\varepsilon_n} u_n) - (u_n + \lambda v_n, z) + (u_n + \lambda v_n, u_n + \varepsilon_n \beta_{\varepsilon_n} u_n). \end{aligned}$$

From (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5), we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad \lambda\phi(z) - \lambda\phi(\bar{u}_n) \geq (f, z - u) - (u + \lambda v, z) + \overline{\lim}(u_n + \lambda v_n, u_n)$$

when $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $z \in L^2(\mathcal{Q})$. In particular $\phi(u) < \infty$, putting $z = u$ in (3.6) we have $\lim(u_n + \lambda v_n, u_n) \leq (u + \lambda v, u)$.

Since A satisfies (H1), $1 + \lambda A$ is m -accretive in $L^\infty(\mathcal{Q})$ and monotone in $L^2(\mathcal{Q})$. And $(u_n, u_n + \lambda v_n) \in 1 + \lambda A$, $u_n + \lambda v_n \rightarrow u + \lambda v$, $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $\sigma(L^\infty(\mathcal{Q}), L^1(\mathcal{Q}))$ when $n \rightarrow +\infty$. Hence, by lemma 2.2,

$$(u, u + \lambda v) \in 1 + \lambda A \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (u_n, u_n + \lambda v_n) = (u, u + \lambda v).$$

$$(u_n - \varepsilon_n \beta_{\varepsilon_n} u, \frac{1}{\lambda}(f - u_n) - v_n) \in \beta \text{ and } u_n - \varepsilon_n \beta_{\varepsilon_n} u_n \rightarrow u,$$

$$\frac{1}{\lambda}(f - u_n) - v_n \rightarrow \frac{1}{\lambda}(f - u) - v \text{ in } \sigma(L^\infty(\mathcal{Q}), L^1(\mathcal{Q})) \text{ when } n \rightarrow +\infty, \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &(u_n - \varepsilon_n \beta_{\varepsilon_n} u_n, \frac{1}{\lambda}(f - u) - v) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda}(u_n - \varepsilon_n \beta_{\varepsilon_n} u, f) - \frac{1}{\lambda}(u_n, u_n + \lambda v_n) + \frac{1}{\lambda}(\varepsilon_n \beta_{\varepsilon_n} u_n, u_n + \lambda v_n) \\ &\longrightarrow (u, f) - \frac{1}{\lambda}(u, u + \lambda v) \text{ when } n \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by the proposition 2.5 of [1], $(u, \frac{f - u}{\lambda} - v) \in \beta$ a.e. $x \in \mathcal{Q}$. and hence u is a solution of $u + \lambda(A + \beta)u \ni f$. According to the hypothesis of uniqueness of this solution, we have proved that

$f \in R(1 + \lambda(A + \beta))$ and $u_{\varepsilon_n} = (1 + \lambda(A + \beta_{\varepsilon_n}))^{-1} f \rightarrow u = (1 + \lambda(A + \beta))^{-1} f$ in $\sigma(L^\infty(\mathcal{Q}), L^1(\mathcal{Q}))$ when $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0+$ ($n \rightarrow +\infty$).

Let $f_1, f_2 \in L^\infty(\mathcal{Q})$ and $\lambda > 0$. Then according to (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|(1 + \lambda(A + \beta))^{-1} f_1 - (1 + \lambda(A + \beta))^{-1} f_2\|_\infty \\ &\leq \lim_{\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0} \|(1 + \lambda(A + \beta_{\varepsilon_n}))^{-1} f_1 - (1 + \lambda(A + \beta_{\varepsilon_n}))^{-1} f_2\|_\infty \leq \|f_1 - f_2\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we proved that $A + \beta$ is m -accretive in $L^\infty(\mathcal{Q})$ when β is multivalued.

DEFINITION 3.2. Let A be an operator of a space of measurable functions. We say that A is strongly injective if for all $[u_1, v_1], [u_2, v_2] \in A$, $(u_1 - u_2)(v_1 - v_2) = 0$ implies $u_1 = u_2$.

LEMMA 3.3. *Suppose that an operator A is monotone in $L^2(\Omega)$ and strongly injective, and that an operator β from $\Omega \times \mathbf{R}$ into $P(\mathbf{R})$ satisfies that a. e. $x \in \Omega$, $r \in \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \beta(x, r) \in P(\mathbf{R})$ is monotone. Then for all $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\lambda > 0$, there exists at most one $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $u + \lambda(A + \beta)u \ni f$.*

Proof: Let $u_i \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $u_i + \lambda(A + \beta)u_i \ni f$ ($i=1, 2$).

By definition of $A + \beta$, there exists $v_i \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $v_i \in Au_i$ and a. e. $x \in \Omega$, $\frac{1}{\lambda}(f - u_i) - v_i \in \beta u_i$ ($i=1, 2$). Since A is monotone in $L^2(\Omega)$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (u_1 - u_2)(v_1 - v_2) \geq 0,$$

and since β is monotone, we obtain

$$(u_1 - u_2) \left(\frac{f - u_1}{\lambda} - v_1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}(f - u_2) + v_2 \right) \geq 0,$$

and hence $(u_1 - u_2)(v_1 - v_2) \leq 0$ a. e. $x \in \Omega$.

Hence $(u_1 - u_2)(v_1 - v_2) = 0$. Since A is strongly injective, $u_1 = u_2$.

From theorem 3.1 and lemma 3.3, we obtain the following corollary:

COROLLARY 3.4. *Suppose that an operator A of $L^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfies (H1), and A is strongly injective in $L^\infty(\Omega)$, and that an multivalued operator β from $\Omega \times \mathbf{R}$ into $P(\mathbf{R})$ satisfies (H2). Let $A + \beta \ni \phi$.*

Then $A + \beta$ is m -accretive in $L^\infty(\Omega)$.

THEOREM 3.5. *Suppose that an operator A of $L^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfies (H1) and that an operator β from $\Omega \times \mathbf{R}$ into $P(\mathbf{R})$ satisfies (H2).*

We suppose that for all $M \geq 0$, the set $\{u \in D(A) : \|u\|_\infty + \|Au\|_\infty \leq M\}$ is relatively compact in $L^\infty(\Omega)$. Let $A + \beta \ni \phi$. Then there exists m -accretive operator C of $L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $C \subset A + \beta$.

Proof: By proposition 2.5, for all $\varepsilon > 0$, $A + \beta_\varepsilon$ is m -accretive in $L^\infty(\Omega)$. Thus, for all $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, there exists $u_\varepsilon \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ such that

$$u_\varepsilon + \lambda(A + \beta_\varepsilon)u_\varepsilon = f.$$

Let $v_\varepsilon \in Au_\varepsilon$ such that $u_\varepsilon + \lambda(v_\varepsilon + \beta_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon) = f$. Put

$$J_{\lambda, \varepsilon} = (1 + \lambda(A + \beta_\varepsilon))^{-1}.$$

Then we have $u_\varepsilon = J_{\lambda, \varepsilon} f$ and

$$(3.7) \quad \|u_\varepsilon\|_\infty = \|J_{\lambda, \varepsilon} f\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty \text{ for all } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Since $\{\beta_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$ is bounded, $\{u_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$, $\{v_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$ are bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega)$. Hence there exists $M \geq 0$ such that $\|u_\varepsilon\|_\infty + \|Au_\varepsilon\|_\infty \leq M$.

According to the hypothesis of relatively compact, $\{u_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$ is relatively com-

pact in $L^\infty(Q)$. Thus there exists $\{\varepsilon_i\}$ such that for all $f \in L^\infty(Q)$ and $\lambda > 0$, $u_{\varepsilon_i} = J_{\lambda, \varepsilon_i} f \rightarrow J_\lambda f$ when $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0+$. By lemma 2.1 we have

$$(3.8) \quad J_{\lambda, \varepsilon_i} f = J_{\mu, \varepsilon_i} \left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda} f + \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{\lambda}\right) J_{\lambda, \varepsilon_i} f \right)$$

for all $f \in L^\infty(Q)$ and $\lambda, \mu > 0$.

Since $\|J_{\lambda, \varepsilon_i} f_1 - J_{\lambda, \varepsilon_i} f_2\|_\infty \leq \|f_1 - f_2\|_\infty$ for all $f_1, f_2 \in L^\infty(Q)$ and $\lambda > 0, \varepsilon_i > 0$, we obtain

$$J_\lambda f = J_\mu \left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda} f + \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{\lambda}\right) J_\lambda f \right)$$

for all $f \in L^\infty(Q)$ and $\lambda, \mu > 0$.

We define an operator C of $L^\infty(Q)$ by

$$C = \left\{ \left[J_\lambda f, \frac{f - J_\lambda f}{\lambda} \right] : f \in L^\infty(Q) \text{ and } \lambda > 0 \right\}.$$

Then C is m -accretive in $L^\infty(Q)$ and $J_\lambda = (1 + \lambda C)^{-1}$ for all $\lambda > 0$.

For proof of $C \subset A + \beta$, let $[u, v] \in C$ and $u + v = f$. Then

$$u = (1 + C)^{-1} f = \lim_{\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0} (1 + A + \beta_{\varepsilon_i})^{-1} f.$$

Put $u_{\varepsilon_i} = (1 + A + \beta_{\varepsilon_i}) f$. Then $f \in u_{\varepsilon_i} + A u_{\varepsilon_i} + \beta_{\varepsilon_i} u_{\varepsilon_i}$ and $\|u_{\varepsilon_i}\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty$. Let $v_{\varepsilon_i} \in A v_{\varepsilon_i}$ such that $u_{\varepsilon_i} + (v_{\varepsilon_i} + \beta_{\varepsilon_i} u_{\varepsilon_i}) = f$. Since $\{\beta_{\varepsilon_i} u_{\varepsilon_i}\}_{\varepsilon_i > 0}$ is bounded, $\{v_{\varepsilon_i}\}_{\varepsilon_i > 0}$ is bounded in $L^\infty(Q)$, and $\{u_{\varepsilon_i}\}_{\varepsilon_i > 0}$ is bounded, too. Hence there exists $\{\varepsilon_{i_n}\}_{\varepsilon_{i_n} > 0}$ such that $u_{\varepsilon_{i_n}} \rightarrow u$ in $L^\infty(Q)$ and $v_{\varepsilon_{i_n}} \rightarrow v'$ in $\sigma(L^\infty(Q), L^1(Q))$ when $\varepsilon_{i_n} \rightarrow 0+$ and thus

$$\overline{\lim}_{\varepsilon_{i_n} \rightarrow 0+} \int_Q u_{\varepsilon_{i_n}} v_{\varepsilon_{i_n}} = \int_Q u v'.$$

According to lemma 2.2., we have $[u, v'] \in A$. On the other hand,

$$\beta^{-1} \varepsilon_{i_n} (f - u_{\varepsilon_{i_n}} - v_{\varepsilon_{i_n}}) \ni u_{\varepsilon_{i_n}}.$$

Thus by lemma 2.1

$$\beta^{-1} (f - u_{\varepsilon_{i_n}} - v_{\varepsilon_{i_n}}) + \varepsilon_{i_n} (f - u_{\varepsilon_{i_n}} - v_{\varepsilon_{i_n}}) \ni u_{\varepsilon_{i_n}}.$$

That is, $[u_{\varepsilon_{i_n}} - \varepsilon_{i_n} (f - u_{\varepsilon_{i_n}} - v_{\varepsilon_{i_n}}), f - u_{\varepsilon_{i_n}} - v_{\varepsilon_{i_n}}] \in \beta$, and

$$f - u_{\varepsilon_{i_n}} - v_{\varepsilon_{i_n}} \rightarrow f - u - v' \text{ in } \sigma(L^\infty(Q), L^1(Q)),$$

$$u_{\varepsilon_{i_n}} - \varepsilon_{i_n} (f - u_{\varepsilon_{i_n}} - v_{\varepsilon_{i_n}}) \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^\infty(Q)$$

when $\varepsilon_{i_n} \rightarrow 0+$, and thus

$$\overline{\lim}_{\varepsilon_{i_n} \rightarrow 0+} \int_Q (f - u_{\varepsilon_{i_n}} - v_{\varepsilon_{i_n}}) (u_{\varepsilon_{i_n}} - \varepsilon_{i_n} (f - u_{\varepsilon_{i_n}} - v_{\varepsilon_{i_n}})) = \int_Q (f - u - v') u.$$

Thus by proposition 2.5 of [1], we obtain $f - u - v' \in \beta u$, and hence $v = f - u \in v' + \beta u \subset (A + \beta)u$, that is, $[u, v] \in A + \beta$.

The proof of theorem 3.5 is completed.

References

1. H. Brézis, *Opérateurs maximaux monotones et semigroupes de contradictions dans les espaces de Hilbert*, Math. Studies **5**, North Holland, 1973.
2. Ph. Bénéilan, *sur le problème $\Delta u \in \gamma(\cdot, \partial u / \partial t)$ dans $L^\infty(\Omega)$* , non publié.
3. Michal. G. Grandall, *On accretive sets in Banach space*, Journal of Functional Analysis **5**, 204–217, 1970.
4. K. S. Ha, *Sur des semi-groupes nonlinéaires dans les espaces $L^\infty(\Omega)$* , J. Math. Soc. Japan **31** (1979), 593–605.
5. J. W. Yu, *A note on perturbation of nonlinear operators in the spaces $L^\infty(\Omega)$* , Dong-A Ronchong **17** (1980) 9–22.

Dong-A University