

GENERALIZATIONS OF ISERMANN'S RESULTS IN VECTOR OPTIMIZATION

GUE MYUNG LEE

1. Introduction

Vector optimization problems consist of two or more objective functions and constraints. Optimization entails obtaining efficient solutions. Geoffrion [3] introduced the definition of the properly efficient solution in order to eliminate efficient solutions causing unbounded trade-offs between objective functions.

In 1974, Isermann [7] obtained a necessary and sufficient condition for an efficient solution of a linear vector optimization problem with linear constraints and showed that every efficient solution is a properly efficient solution. Since then, many authors [1, 2, 4, 5, 6] have extended the Isermann's results. In particular, Gulati and Islam [4] derived a necessary and sufficient condition for an efficient solution of a linear vector optimization problem with nonlinear constraints, under certain assumptions.

In this paper, we consider the following nonlinear vector optimization problem (NVOP):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(NVOP)} \quad & \text{Maximize } f(x) = [f_1(x), \dots, f_p(x)]^t \\ & \text{subject to } x \in X = \{x : g(x) \geq 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

where for each i , f_i is a differentiable function from R^n into R and g is a differentiable function from R^n into R^m .

Recently, Gulati and Islam [6] obtained a necessary and sufficient condition for an efficient solution of (NVOP), under certain assumptions.

We derive a necessary and sufficient condition for an efficient solution of (NVOP), under certain assumptions different from Gulati and Islam's [6]. Our results generalize those of Isermann [7], and Gulati and Islam [4], who deal with linear vector optimization problems.

2. Results

We first recall the following definitions.

DEFINITION 2.1. $x^0 \in X$ is said to be an efficient solution of (NVOP) if there is no $x \in X$ such that $f(x) \geq f(x^0)$ and $f(x) \neq f(x^0)$.

DEFINITION 2.2 [3]. $x^0 \in X$ is said to be a properly efficient solution of (NVOP) if it is efficient and there exists a scalar $M > 0$ such that, for each i , we have

$$[f_i(x) - f_i(x^0)] / [f_j(x^0) - f_j(x)] \leq M$$

for some j such that $f_j(x) < f_j(x^0)$ whenever $x \in X$ and $f_i(x) > f_i(x^0)$.

LEMMA 2.1. $x^0 \in X$ is an efficient solution of (NVOP) if and only if x^0 is an optimal solution of the following scalar optimization problem (SOP):

(SOP)

$$\text{Maximize } d^t f(x)$$

$$\text{subject to } x \in X_s = \{x : g(x) \geq 0, f(x) - f(x^0) \geq 0\},$$

where d is a positive constant in R^p .

Proof. Let $x^0 \in X$ be an efficient solution of (NVOP). Suppose that x^0 is not an optimal solution of (SOP). Then there exists x^* such that

$$g(x^*) \geq 0, \quad f(x^*) - f(x^0) \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad d^t f(x^*) > d^t f(x^0).$$

Since $d > 0$, we have

$$g(x^*) \geq 0, \quad f(x^*) \geq f(x^0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(x^*) \neq f(x^0).$$

This contradicts the fact that x^0 is an efficient solution of (NVOP).

Conversly, let x^0 be an optimal solution of (SOP). Suppose that x^0 is not an efficient solution of (NVOP). Then there exists $\bar{x} \in X$ such that

$$g(\bar{x}) \geq 0, \quad f(\bar{x}) \geq f(x^0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(\bar{x}) \neq f(x^0).$$

Since $d > 0$, we have

$$g(\bar{x}) \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad d^t f(\bar{x}) > d^t f(x^0).$$

This contradicts the fact that x^0 is an optimal solution of (SOP).

Whenever we assume that the set X_* satisfies a constraint qualification, we shall mean that the set X_* satisfies the Kuhn - Tucker constraint qualification or the Arrow - Hurwicz - Uzawa constraint qualification defined in [9].

THEOREM 2.1 (ISERMANN TYPE NECESSARY CONDITION). *Suppose that g_I is quasiconcave at $x^0 \in X$, where $I = \{i : g_i(x^0) = 0\}$ and the set X_* satisfies a constraint qualification at x^0 . If x^0 is an efficient solution of (NVOP), then there exists $u^0 \in R^p$ such that $u^0 > 0$ and*

$$\text{for all } x \in X, \quad u^{0t} \nabla f(x^0)x \leq u^{0t} \nabla f(x^0)x^0.$$

Proof. Suppose that x^0 is an efficient solution of (NVOP). By Lemma 2.1, x^0 is an optimal solution of (SOP) for a fixed $d > 0$. Since the set X_* satisfies a constraint qualification at x^0 , by the Kuhn - Tucker necessary optimality theorem [8, 9], there exist $v^0 \geq 0$, $v^0 \in R^p$, $w^0 \geq 0$, $w^0 \in R^n$ such that

$$d^t \nabla f(x^0) + v^{0t} \nabla f(x^0) + w_I^{0t} \nabla g_I(x^0) = 0.$$

Let $u^0 = d + v^0$. Then $u^0 > 0$ and

$$(1) \quad u^{0t} \nabla f(x^0) + w_I^{0t} \nabla g_I(x^0) = 0.$$

Since for all $x \in X$, $g_I(x) \geq g_I(x^0)$, by the quasiconcavity of g_I at x^0 ,

$$\nabla g_I(x^0)(x - x^0) \geq 0,$$

and

$$w_I^{0t} \nabla g_I(x^0)(x - x^0) \geq 0 \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

From (1), we have, for all $x \in X$

$$u^{0t} \nabla f(x^0)x \leq u^{0t} \nabla f(x^0)x^0.$$

REMARK 2.1. Gulati and Islam [6] showed that when f is pseudoconvex at $x^0 \in X$, g_I is quasiconcave at x^0 and the set $\{x : g(x) \geq 0, \nabla f(x^0)x - \nabla f(x^0)x^0 \geq 0\}$ satisfies a constraint qualification at x^0 , the result of Theorem 2.1 holds. In Theorem 2.1, the condition of pseudoconvexity of f is removed.

THEOREM 2.2 [6](ISERMANN TYPE SUFFICIENT CONDITION). *Suppose that f is pseudoconcave at $x^0 \in X$. If there exists $u^0 \in R^p$ such that $u^0 > 0$ and for all $x \in X$,*

$$u^{0t} \nabla f(x^0)x \leq u^{0t} \nabla f(x^0)x^0,$$

then x^0 is an efficient solution of (NVOP).

Hence we have the following theorem from above theorems.

THEOREM 2.3. *Assume that f is pseudoconcave at $x^0 \in X$, g_I is quasiconcave at x^0 , where $I = \{i : g_i(x^0) = 0\}$ and the set X_s satisfies a constraint qualification at x^0 . Then x^0 is an efficient solution of (NVOP) if and only if there exists $u^0 \in R^p$ such that $u^0 > 0$ and for all $x \in X$,*

$$u^{0t} \nabla f(x^0)x \leq u^{0t} \nabla f(x^0)x^0.$$

We give an example satisfying all the assumptions of Theorem 2.3.

EXAMPLE 2.1 [8,10]. Consider the following vector optimization problem:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Maximize } f(x) = (x, -x^2 + 2x)^t \\ & \text{subject to } x \in Y = \{x \in R : g(x) = -x^2 + 2x \geq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

We can see easily that the set of all the efficient solutions consists of the line segment $[1,2]$, f is pseudoconcave and g is quasiconcave. Let $x^0 \in (1,2]$. Then the set $\{x \in R : g(x) \geq 0, f(x) - f(x^0) \geq 0\}$ satisfies the Kuhn - Tucker constraint qualification at x^0 . Hence all the assumptions of Theorem 2.3 are satisfied. In fact, $x^0 = 1$ is not a properly efficient solution.

From Theorem 2.3, and Theorem 1 and 2 in [3], we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.1. *Suppose that f is pseudoconcave at $x^0 \in X$, g_I is quasiconcave at x^0 , where $I = \{i : g_i(x^0) = 0\}$ and the set X_s satisfies a constraint qualification at x^0 . Then x^0 is an efficient solution of (NVOP) if and only if x^0 is a properly efficient solution of the following linear vector optimization problem:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(LIVOP)} \quad & \text{Maximize } [\nabla f_1(x^0)x, \dots, \nabla f_p(x^0)x]^t \\ & \text{subject to } g(x) \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

REMARK 2.2. Our Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.1 are generalizations of Theorem 2 and 4 in [4], respectively.

Isermann [7] considered the following linear vector optimization problem (LVOP):

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(LVOP)} \quad & \text{Maximize } Cx \\ & \text{subject to } Ax = b, x \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where C is an $p \times n$ matrix, A is an $m \times n$ matrix, $x \in R^n$ and $b \in R^m$.

We can rewrite (LVOP) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(LVOP')} \quad & \text{Maximize } Cx \\
 & \text{subject to } Ax \leq b \\
 & \quad \quad \quad -Ax \leq -b \\
 & \quad \quad \quad x \geq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since (LVOP)' satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem 2.3 at all the efficient solutions of (LVOP), by Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 2.1, we obtain the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.2 [7]. *The following statements are equivalent.*

- (1) *A feasible point x^0 is an efficient solution of (LVOP).*
- (2) *x^0 is a feasible point and there exists $u^0 \in R^p$ such that $u^0 > 0$ and for all feasible points x of (LVOP),*

$$u^{0t} Cx \leq u^{0t} Cx^0.$$

- (3) *A feasible point x^0 is a properly efficient solution of (LVOP).*

References

1. K.L. Chew and E.U. Choo, *Pseudolinearity and efficiency*, Mathematical Programming **28** (1984), 226–239.
2. E.U. Choo, *Proper efficiency and the linear fractional vector maximum problem*, Operations Research **32** (1984), 216–220.
3. A.M. Geoffrion, *Proper efficiency and the theory of vector maximization*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **22** (1968), 618–630.
4. T.R. Gulati and M.A. Islam, *Proper efficiency in linear vector maximum problems with nonlinear constraints*, J. Austral. Math. Soc., Ser. A **46** (1989), 229–235.
5. T.R. Gulati and M.A. Islam, *Efficiency in linear fractional vector maximization problem with nonlinear constraints*, Optimization **20** (1989), 477–482.
6. T.R. Gulati and M.A. Islam, *Efficiency and proper efficiency in nonlinear vector maximum problems*, European Journal of Operations Research **44** (1990), 373–382.

Generalizations of Isermann's results in vector optimization

7. H. Isermann, *Proper efficiency and the linear vector maximum problem*, Operations Research **22** (1974), 189-191.
8. H.W. Kuhn and A.W. Tucker, *Nonlinear programming*, in : J. Neyman (ed) *Proceedings of the Second Berkeley Symposium on Mathematical Statistics and Probability*, University of California Press, Berkeley, California, 1951, 481-492.
9. O.L. Mangasarian, *Nonlinear programming*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1969.
10. K. Tamura and S. Arai, *On proper and improper efficient solutions of optimal problems with multicriteria*, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications **38** (1982), 191-205.

DEPARTMENT OF NATIONAL SCIENCE, PUSAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, PUSAN 608-739, KOREA.