

## ON LEFT DERIVATIONS AND DERIVATIONS OF BANACH ALGEBRAS

YONG-SOO JUNG

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we show that every left derivation on a semiprime Banach algebra  $A$  is a derivation which maps  $A$  into the intersection of the center of  $A$  and the Jacobson radical of  $A$ , and hence every left derivation on a semisimple Banach algebra is always zero.

### 1. Introduction

In 1955 Singer and Wermer proved that the range of a continuous derivation on a commutative Banach algebra is contained in the Jacobson radical [9]. In the same paper they conjectured that the assumption of continuity is not necessary. In 1988 Thomas proved the Singer-Wermer conjecture [10]. Hence, derivations on Banach algebras (if everywhere defined) genuinely belongs to the non-commutative setting. The non-commutative version of the Singer-Wermer theorem is related to the commutator relation. There are various non-commutative versions of the Singer-Wermer theorem. For example, in [1] Brešar and Vukman proved that every continuous left derivation on a Banach algebra  $A$  maps  $A$  into its Jacobson radical. Also they proved that every left derivation on a semiprime ring  $X$  is a derivation which maps  $X$  into its center. The main purpose of this paper is to show that every left derivation on a semiprime Banach algebra  $A$  is a derivation which maps  $A$  into the intersection of the center of  $A$  and the Jacobson radical of  $A$ , and hence every left derivation on a semisimple Banach algebra

---

Received September 26, 1997.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46H05, 46H20.

Key words and phrases: derivation, left derivation, Jacobson radical, prime radical, semiprime, semisimple.

is always zero. Using this main result, we also show some results of derivations and left derivations.

### 2. Preliminaries

Throughout,  $A$  will represent a complex algebra with center  $Z(A)$ ,  $R$  the Jacobson radical of  $A$ . Recall that  $A$  is prime if  $xAy = 0$  implies  $x = 0$  or  $y = 0$ , and  $A$  is semiprime if  $xAx = 0$  implies  $x = 0$ . A linear mapping  $D : A \rightarrow A$  is called a derivation if  $D(xy) = xD(y) + D(x)y$  ( $x, y \in A$ ). A linear mapping  $D : A \rightarrow A$  is called a left derivation if  $D(xy) = xD(y) + yD(x)$  ( $x, y \in A$ ). Let  $T$  be a linear mapping from a Banach space  $X$  into a Banach space  $Y$ . Then the separating space of  $T$  is defined as

$$S(T) = \{y \in Y : \text{there exists } x_k \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } X \text{ with } T(x_k) \rightarrow y\},$$

and  $T$  is continuous if and only if  $S(T) = \{0\}$  (see [8]).  $\mathbb{N}$  will denote the set of all natural numbers.

### 3. The results

**DEFINITION 3.1.** Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra. A closed 2-sided ideal  $J$  of  $A$  is a *separating ideal* if for each sequence  $\{a_n\}$  in  $A$ , there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\overline{(Ja_n \dots a_1)} = \overline{(Ja_m \dots a_1)}$  for all  $n \geq m$ .

By Stability Lemma [3] it is easy to see that every derivation on a Banach algebra has a separating space which is a separating ideal.

The following lemma is due to Cusack [2].

**LEMMA 3.2.** Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra, and  $P$  a minimal prime ideal of  $A$  such that  $J \not\subseteq P$ , where  $J$  is a separating ideal of  $A$ . Then  $P$  is closed.

The following lemma can be referred to [5].

**LEMMA 3.3.** Let  $D$  be a left derivation on an algebra  $A$ . Then

$$D^n(xy) = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{r} [D^r(x)D^{n-r}(y) + D^r(y)D^{n-r}(x)] (n \in \mathbb{N})$$

holds for all  $x, y \in A$ .

The following lemma is a crucial tool in proving Lemma 3.5.

**LEMMA 3.4.** *Let  $D$  be a left derivation on an algebra  $A$ . Suppose that  $P$  is a minimal prime ideal of  $A$  such that  $[D^r(x), y] \in P$  for all  $x, y \in A$  and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , where  $[u, v]$  denotes the commutator  $uv - vu$ . Then  $D(P) \subset P$ .*

*Proof.* We shall prove that the ideal  $P' = \{a \in P : D^k(a) \in P \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is prime again. Since  $D(P') \subset P'$ , minimality of  $P$  therefore yields  $D(P) \subset P$ . Let  $P' \neq \{0\}$ . Take  $a, b \in A$  such that  $a \notin P'$  but  $axb \in P'$  for all  $x \in A$ . Choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 (= \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\})$  with the property  $D^n(a) \notin P$  and  $D^m(a) \in P$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0, m < n$ . We have to prove by induction that  $D^k(b) \in P$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Using Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & D^{n+k}(axb) \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{n+k-1} \binom{n+k-1}{j} [D^j(a)D^{n+k-j}(xb) + D^j(xb)D^{n+k-j}(a)] \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{n+k-1} \binom{n+k-1}{j} D^j(a)D^{n+k-j}(xb) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{n+k-1} \binom{n+k-1}{j} D^j(xb)D^{n+k-j}(a) \\
 (1) \quad & \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n+k-1}{j} D^j(a)D^{n+k-j}(xb) \\
 (2) \quad & + \binom{n+k-1}{n} D^n(a)D^k(xb) \\
 (3) \quad & + \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+k-1} \binom{n+k-1}{j} D^j(a)D^{n+k-j}(xb)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(4) \quad + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{n+k-1}{j} D^j(xb) D^{n+k-j}(a)$$

$$(5) \quad + \binom{n+k-1}{k} D^k(xb) D^n(a)$$

$$(6) \quad + \sum_{j=k+1}^{n+k-1} \binom{n+k-1}{j} D^j(xb) D^{n+k-j}(a)$$

By assumption, the left-hand side always belongs to  $P$ . Assume that  $k = 0$ . Since (1), (6) lie in  $P$  and (2), (3), (4) disappear, it follows that  $D^n(a)xb \in P$  for all  $x \in A$  by the hypothesis of the lemma  $[D^r(x), y] \in P$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , which implies that  $b \in P$ . Now suppose that  $k \geq 1$ . Then (1) belongs to  $P$  since  $D^j(a) \in P$  for all  $j \leq n-1$ . An application of Lemma 3.3 to (3) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+k-1} \binom{n+k-1}{j} D^j(a) D^{n+k-j}(xb) \\ &= \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+k-1} \binom{n+k-1}{j} D^j(a). \end{aligned}$$

$$\left[ \sum_{i=0}^{n+k-j-1} \binom{n+k-j-1}{i} (D^i(x) D^{n+k-j-i}(b) + D^i(b) D^{n+k-j-i}(x)) \right],$$

which belongs to  $P$  since  $D^i(b) \in P$  and  $D^{n+k-j-i}(b) \in P$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n+k-j \leq k-1$  by the induction hypothesis. Also another application of Lemma 3.3 to (4) yields

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{n+k-1}{j} D^j(xb) D^{n+k-j}(a)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \binom{n+k-1}{j}.$$

$$\left[ \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \binom{j-1}{i} (D^i(x) D^{j-i}(b) + D^i(b) D^{j-i}(x)) \right] D^{n+k-j}(a),$$

which belongs to  $P$  since  $D^i(b) \in P$  and  $D^{j-i}(b) \in P$  for  $0 \leq i \leq j \leq k-1$  by the induction hypothesis. Finally, (6) belongs to  $P$  since  $D^{n+k-j}(a) \in P$  for  $n+k-j \leq n-1$ . Hence we have

$$\binom{n+k-1}{n} D^n(a)D^k(xb) + \binom{n+k-1}{k} D^k(xb)D^n(a) \in P.$$

The assumption of the lemma  $[D^r(x), y] \in P$  for all  $x, y \in A$  and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  gives us

$$\left[ \binom{n+k-1}{n} + \binom{n+k-1}{k} \right] D^n(a)D^k(xb) \in P.$$

Thus we obtain  $D^n(a)D^k(xb) \in P$ . But

$$\begin{aligned} D^n(a)D^k(xb) &= D^n(a)[xD^k(b) + bD^k(x)] \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{i} (D^i(x)D^{k-i}(b) + D^i(b)D^{k-i}(x)). \end{aligned}$$

By the induction hypothesis we have  $D^i(b) \in P$  and  $D^{k-i}(b) \in P$ . Consequently, we see that  $D^n(a)x D^k(b) \in P$  for all  $x \in A$ . Since  $P$  is a prime ideal, it follows that  $D^k(b) \in P$ . In case  $P' = \{0\}$ , we take  $a, b \in A$  such that  $a \neq 0$  but  $axb = 0$  for all  $x \in A$ . The remainder follows the same fashion as in case  $P' \neq \{0\}$ . Then we obtain  $D^k(b) \in P$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and hence  $b = 0$ . We complete the proof.  $\square$

**LEMMA 3.5.** *Let  $D$  be a left derivation on a Banach algebra  $A$  with radical  $R$ . Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1)  $[D^n(x), y] \in L$  for all  $x, y \in A$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (2)  $S(D) \subset Z(A)$ ,

where  $S(D)$  is the separating space of the left derivation  $D$  and  $L$  is the prime radical of  $A$ . Then  $D(A) \subset R$ .

*Proof.* Let  $Q$  be any primitive ideal of  $A$ . Using Zorn's lemma, we find a minimal prime ideal  $P$  contained in  $Q$ , and hence  $D(P) \subset P$  by condition (1) and Lemma 3.4. Suppose first that  $P$  is closed. Then we can define a left derivation  $\bar{D} : A/P \rightarrow A/P$  by  $\bar{D}(x + P) = D(x) + P (x \in A)$ . Since  $A/P$  is prime, Brešar and Vukman's theorem [1] implies that  $\bar{D} = 0$  or  $A/P$  is commutative. In the second case,  $\bar{D}(A/P)$  is contained in the Jacobson radical of  $A/P$  by [9] whence, in both cases,  $\bar{D}(A/P) \subset Q/P$ . Consequently we see that  $D(A) \subset Q$ . Observe that  $S(D)$  is a separating ideal of  $A$  by condition (2). If  $P$  is not closed, then we see that  $S(D) \subset P$  by Lemma 3.2. Denoting  $\pi : A \rightarrow A/\bar{P}$  the canonical epimorphism, we have, by [8, Chap. 1],  $S(\pi \circ D) = \pi(\overline{S(D)}) = \{0\}$  whence  $\pi \circ D$  is continuous. As a result,  $(\pi \circ D)(\bar{P}) = \{0\}$ , that is,  $D(\bar{P}) \subset \bar{P}$ . Hence we can also define a continuous left derivation  $\tilde{D} : A/\bar{P} \rightarrow A/\bar{P}$  by  $\tilde{D}(x + \bar{P}) = D(x) + \bar{P} (x \in A)$ . Then we see that  $\tilde{D}(A/\bar{P})$  is contained in the Jacobson radical of  $A/\bar{P}$  by [1, Theorem 2.1], and hence  $\tilde{D}(A/\bar{P}) \subset Q/\bar{P}$ . So we obtain that  $D(A) \subset Q$ . It follows that  $D(A) \subset Q$  for every primitive ideal  $Q$ , that is,  $D(A) \subset R$ .  $\square$

Now we prove our main result.

**THEOREM 3.6.** *Let  $D$  be a left derivation on a semiprime Banach algebra  $A$  with radical  $R$ . Then  $D$  is a derivation such that  $D(A) \subset Z(A) \cap R$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $D$  is a derivation such that  $D(A) \subset Z(A)$  [1, Proposition 1.6]. Since  $D(Z(A)) \subset Z(A)$ , we obtain  $D^n(A) \subset Z(A)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Also we see that  $S(D) \subset Z(A)$  since  $Z(A)$  is a closed subalgebra of  $A$ , Therefore, by Lemma 3.5, we have  $D(A) \subset R$ . Consequently it follows that  $D(A) \subset Z(A) \cap R$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.7.** *Let  $D$  be a left derivation on a semisimple Banach algebra. Then  $D = 0$ .*

Using Corollary 3.7, we can obtain the following results of derivations and a Jordan derivation.

**COROLLARY 3.8.** ([11, Theorem 3.1]) *Let  $D$  be a continuous derivation on a Banach algebra  $A$  with radical  $R$ . If  $[D(x), y] \in R$  for all  $x, y \in A$ , then  $D(A) \subset R$ .*

*Proof.* Since a continuous derivation leaves the Jacobson radical invariant, we may assume that  $A$  is semisimple and  $[D(x), y] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in A$ . Thus Corollary 3.7 implies that  $D = 0$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.9.** ([1, Theorem 2.2.]) *Let  $D$  be a continuous Jordan derivation on a Banach algebra  $A$  with radical  $R$ . If  $[D(x), x] \in R$  for all  $x \in A$ , then  $D(A) \subset R$ .*

*Proof.* By [7, Lemma 3.2],  $D(R) \subset R$  wherefore we may assume that  $A$  is semisimple and  $[D(x), x] = 0$  for all  $x \in A$ . Note that every continuous Jordan derivation on a semisimple Banach algebra is a derivation [7, Theorem 3.3]. Since  $[D(x), x] = 0$  for all  $x \in A$  is equivalent to  $[D(x), y] = 0$  for all  $x, y \in A$  by [6, Proposition 2], we see that  $D$  is a left derivation on a semisimple Banach algebra  $A$ . Hence Corollary 3.7 implies that  $D = 0$ .  $\square$

**DEFINITION 3.10.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be Banach algebras. A linear mapping  $T : A \rightarrow B$  is called *spectrally bounded* if there is  $M > 0$  such that  $r(T(x)) \leq Mr(x)$  for all  $x \in A$ . If  $r(T(x)) = r(x)$  for all  $x \in A$ , we say that  $T$  is a *spectral isometry*. If  $r(x) = 0$ , then  $x$  is called *quasinilpotent*. (Herein,  $r(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}}$  denotes the spectral radius of the element  $x$ .)

Observe that the canonical epimorphism  $\pi : A \rightarrow A/R$  is a spectral isometry.

The next theorem is a generalization of Brešar and Vukman's theorem [1, Theorem 2.1].

**THEOREM 3.11.** *Let  $D$  be a left derivation on a Banach algebra  $A$  with radical  $R$ . If  $D^n$  is continuous for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $D(A) \subset R$ .*

*Proof.* Note that the quotient algebra  $A/R$  is semisimple. Let  $x \in A$  and  $y \in R$  and observe that  $xD(y) = D(xy) - yD(x) \in D(R) + R$ . This shows that  $D(R) + R$  is a left ideal of  $A$ , hence  $\pi(D(R))$  is a left ideal of  $A/R$ . A simple modification of the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [4] shows that

$\pi(D^m(x^m)) = \pi(m!(D(x))^m)$  holds for all  $x \in R$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $D^n$  is continuous for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have, for each  $x \in R$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\pi(D(x)))^{nk}\|^{\frac{1}{nk}} &\leq ((nk)!)^{-\frac{1}{nk}} \|\pi(D^{nk}(x^{nk}))\|^{\frac{1}{nk}} \\ &\leq ((nk)!)^{-\frac{1}{nk}} \|D^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}} \|x\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\pi(D(R))$  is a quasinilpotent left ideal of  $A/R$ , therefore, it is contained in the Jacobson radical of  $A/R$ . Semisimplicity forces  $D(R) \subset R$ . Thus we may assume that  $A$  is semisimple. Then it follows from Corollary 3.7 that  $D = 0$ .  $\square$

We now have the final result of this paper.

**THEOREM 3.12.** *Let  $D$  be a left derivation on a Banach algebra  $A$  with radical  $R$ . Then  $D(A) \subset R$  if and only if  $D$  is spectrally bounded.*

*Proof.* One way implication is obvious, so suppose that  $r(D(x)) \leq Mr(x)$  for some  $M > 0$  and all  $x \in A$ . Then we know that

$$\begin{aligned} r(xD(y)) &= r(D(xy) - yD(x)) \\ &= r(\pi(D(xy) - yD(x))) \\ &= r(\pi(D(xy)) - \pi(yD(x))) \\ &= r(\pi(D(xy))) \\ &= r(D(xy)) \leq Mr(xy) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

whenever  $y \in R$  and  $x \in A$ , whence  $D(R) \subset R$ . Hence we may assume that  $A$  is semisimple. Now,  $D = 0$  follows directly from Corollary 3.7.  $\square$

### References

- [1] M. Brešar and J. Vukman, *On left derivations and related mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **110** (1990), 7-16.
- [2] J. Cusack, *Automatic continuity and topologically simple radical Banach algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. **16** (1977), 493-500.
- [3] N. P. Jewell and A. M. Sinclair, *Epimorphisms and derivations on  $L^1(0, 1)$  are continuous*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **21** (1977), 493-500.



- [4] B. E. Johnson, *Continuity of derivations on commutative Banach algebras*, Amer. J. Math. **91** (1969), 1-10.
- [5] Y. S. Jung, *A note of left derivations on Banach algebras*, Korean J. Com. and Appl. Math. **4** (1997), 555-561.
- [6] M. Mathieu, *Where to find the image of a derivation*, Banach Center Publ. **30** (1994), 237-249.
- [7] A. M. Sinclair, *Jordan homomorphisms and derivations on semisimple Banach algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (1970), 209-214.
- [8] A. M. Sinclair, *Automatic continuity of linear operators*, vol. 21, London Math. Soc., Lecture Note Ser., 1976.
- [9] I. M. Singer and J. Wermer, *Derivations on commutative normed algebras*, Math. Ann. **129** (1955), 260-264.
- [10] M. P. Thomas, *The image of a derivation is contained in the radical*, Ann. of Math. **128** (1988), 435-460.
- [11] B. Yood, *Continuous homomorphisms and derivations on Banach algebra*, Contemp. Math. **32** (1984), 270-284.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHUNGNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, TAEJON  
305-764, KOREA