A GENERALIZATION OF GIESEKER'S LEMMA

SUNG-OCK KIM

ABSTRACT. We generalize Gieseker's lemma and use it to compute Picard number of a complete intersection surface.

1. Introduction

We work over the complex numbers \mathbb{C} . In [2], J. Harris gave a proof of the following Gieseker's lemma using monodromy:

GIESEKER'S LEMMA. Let $W \subseteq H^0(O_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d-1))$ be a linear system and $V \subseteq H^0(O_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d))$ be a linear system containing the image of W under the multiplication map μ

$$\mu:W\otimes H^0(O_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1))\to H^0(O_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d)).$$

Then either $\dim V \ge \dim W + 2$ or |V| equals the complete series $|O_{\mathbb{P}^1}(l-1)|$ plus d-l+1 fixed points, where $l=\dim V$.

Though this looks simple, it has been used explicitly and implicitly in the proofs of important results. (See, for example, [6]). We generalize the lemma as follows:

THEOREM 1. Let $2 \leq d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_{n-2}$, $n \geq 3$ and $E = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n-2} O_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_j)$. Let $W \subset H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, E)$ denote a subspace such that the evaluation map

$$f:W\otimes O_{\mathbb{P}^1,x} o E_x$$

is surjective for all $x \in \mathbb{P}^1$ and $\operatorname{codim} W \geq 1$. Let μ denote the multiplication map

Received January 29, 2000. Revised March 29, 2000. 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 14J10, 14C30. Key words and phrases: Gieseker's lemma, Picard number.

$$\mu: W \otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, O_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)) \to H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, E \otimes O_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)).$$

Then $\dim(\operatorname{im}\mu(W \otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, O_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1))) \geq m+n-1$, where $m = \dim W \geq 1$.

We will give an elementary proof of this theorem. As an application of this theorem, we will show that the Picard number of a general complete intersection surface in \mathbb{P}^n containing a line is 2.

2. Proof of the Theorem 1

GENERAL STRATEGY. We will show that a basis of W can be divided into at least n-1 disjoint subsets with the property that the map μ operates on each disjoint subset creating 1 extra dimension, resp. Here, we note that for the above map f to be surjective as in the hypothesis, $\dim W \geq n-1$.

NOTATION. Let z_0, z_1 denote homogeneous coordinates for \mathbb{P}^1 . Let $B = \{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$ be a basis of W in the Theorem 1. Each v_i can be written as

$$v_i = z_0^{i_0} z_1^{i_1}(p_i^1, p_i^2, \dots, p_i^{n-2}),$$

where neither z_0 nor z_1 is a common factor of $p_i^1, p_i^2, \ldots, p_i^{n-2}$. We denote by

$$p_i^* = (p_i^1, p_i^2, \dots, p_i^{n-2}).$$

Here, p_i^k is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d_k - (i_0 + i_1)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$, and $k = 1, \ldots, n-2$.

Definition 1. Define for $v_i, v_j \in B, i \neq j$,

Edge
$$(v_i, v_j) = 1$$
 if $i_0 - j_0 = j_1 - i_1$ and $p_i^* = p_j^*$

 $Edge(v_i, v_j) = 0$ otherwise.

Note that $\text{Edge}(v_i, v_j) = \text{Edge}(v_j, v_i)$ and that for each $v_j \in B$, there can be at most two v_i 's in B such that $\text{Edge}(v_i, v_j) = 1$.

DEFINITION 2. For a $v_l \in B$, we define a subset $[v_l]$ of B recursively as follows:

- (1) $v_l \in [v_l]$.
- (2) $v_i \in [v_l]$ if $Edge(v_l, v_i) = 1$, and $v_i \in B [v_l]$.
- (3) $v_j \in [v_l]$ if $Edge(v_k, v_j) = 1$ for some $v_k \in [v_l], v_j \in B [v_l]$.
- (4) Repeat (3) until there remains no such v_j 's.

FACTS. One can easily observe the following facts:

- 1. Each element v_k of $[v_l]$ can be written as $v_k = z_0^{k_0} z_1^{k_1} p_l^*$. That is, k_o and k_1 depend on v_k , but $p_k^* = p_l^*$ for any $v_k \in [v_l]$.
- 2. If there is a $v_k \in B [v_l]$, one can construct another subset $[v_k]$. By construction, $[v_l]$ is disjoint with $[v_k]$. Also, for any element v_i of $[v_l]$ and any element v_j of $[v_k]$, $z_h v_i \neq z_\nu v_j$, where $h, \nu \in \{0, 1\}$. Thus W can be divided into disjoint subset $[v_i]$'s.
- 3. The map μ operates on each disjoint $[v_i]$ creating 1 extra dimension respectively. That is, let $V_i \subset H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, E)$ be the subspace generated by the elements of $[v_i]$. Then $\dim V_i = |[v_i]| =$ the number of elements of v_i and

$$\dim\Bigl(\operatorname{im} \mu\bigl(V_i\otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}^1,O_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1))\bigr)\Bigr)=\dim V_i+1.$$

Moreover, if B is the union of disjoint subsets, say $[v_1], \ldots, [v_k]$, then

$$\dim \left(\operatorname{im} \mu \left(W \otimes H^{0}(\mathbb{P}^{1}, O_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(1))\right)\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \dim \left(\operatorname{im} \mu \left(V_{i} \otimes H^{0}(\mathbb{P}^{1}, O_{\mathbb{P}^{1}}(1))\right)\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} (|[v_{i}]| + 1) = \dim W + k.$$

From the above facts, we can see that to prove the theorem, all we need to show is the following:

LEMMA 1. There are at least n-1 disjoint subset $[v_i]$'s in B.

Sung-Ock Kim

Proof. We will show this for n = 3 and then for any $n \ge 4$. Though the proof need not be separated for these 2 cases, we provide the proof for n = 3 as an illustration for the idea of the proof.

A. For n=3, we claim that B is the union of at least 2 disjoint subsets, say, $[v_1]$ and $[v_k]$. If not, then $B=[v_1]$ and $v_i=z_0^{i_0}z_1^{i_1}p_1^1$, $1 \le i \le m$, and p_1^1 is a homogeneous polynomial which is not divisible by either z_0 or z_1 . Moreover, v_i 's can be rearranged so that

$$v_1 = z_0^{\alpha+m} z_1^{\beta} p_1^1$$

 $v_2 = z_0^{\alpha+m-1} z_1^{\beta+1} p_1^1$
 \vdots
 $v_m = z_0^{\alpha+1} z_1^{\beta+m-1} p_1^1$

for an integer $\alpha \geq -1$ and for some nonnegative integer β .

If deg $p_1^1 \ge 1$, then a zero of p_1^1 is a base point of W, at which the map f is not surjective.

If deg $p_1 = 0$, then $m + \alpha + \beta = d_1$. For the evaluation map f to be surjective at P = (1,0) and at Q = (0,1), we should have $\beta = 0$ and $\alpha = -1$. Hence we get $m - 1 = d_1$, i.e., $\operatorname{codim} W = 0$, which is a contradiction.

B. If $n \geq 4$, we will show that B is a union of at least n-1 disjoint $[v_i]$'s.

If B is a union of k disjoint $[v_i]$'s, then without loss of generality, we may assume that $B = \bigcup_{i=1}^k [v_i]$ and

$$\begin{split} [v_1] &= \{ z_0^{\gamma_1} z_1^{\delta_1} p_1^*, z_0^{\gamma_1 - 1} z_1^{\delta_1 + 1} p_1^*, \dots, z_0^{\gamma_1 - \alpha_1} z_1^{\gamma_1 + \alpha_1} p_1^* \} \\ &\vdots \\ [v_k] &= \{ z_0^{\gamma_k} z_1^{\delta_k} p_k^*, z_0^{\gamma_k - 1} z_1^{\delta_k + 1} p_k^*, \dots, z_0^{\gamma_k - \alpha_k} z_1^{\delta_k + \alpha_k} p_k^* \} \end{split}$$

for nonnegative integers γ_i , δ_i , and α_i , $1 \le i \le k$ satisfying the following conditions:

(a)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\alpha_i + 1) = m$$

- (b) $\gamma_i \alpha_i \geq 0$
- (c) Fix a j with $1 \le j \le n-2$. Then, $\gamma_i + \delta_i + \deg p_i^j = d_j$ for any i with $p_i^j \ne 0$.

We will show $k \ge n - 1$.

- (1) If $k \le n-3$, then, at $P = (z_0, z_1)$ with $z_0 \ne 0$ and $z_1 \ne 0$, the rank of the evaluation map f is at most $k \le n-3$, which contradicts the hypothesis.
- (2) If k = n 2, then we will find a point where the map f is not surjective. We consider the following matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} p_1^1 & p_1^2 & \cdots & p_1^{n-2} \\ p_2^1 & p_2^2 & \cdots & p_2^{n-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\ p_{n-2}^1 & p_{n-2}^2 & \cdots & p_{n-2}^{n-2} \end{pmatrix}$$

- (i) If deg $p_i^j > 0$ for some i and j, then at the zeros of the determinant of the above matrix, the evaluation map f is not surjective.
- (ii) If deg $p_i^j = 0$ for every i and j in $\{1, \ldots, n-2\}$, then either $p_i^j = 0$ or $p_i^j = 1$. If the rank of the above matrix is n-2, then the map n is not surjective at any point n0 where n1 where n2 and n3 and n4. So it contradicts the hypothesis of the theorem and the proof is done. But, for the above matrix to be of rank n4, the determinant of the matrix should not be equal to 0. This can happen when

$$p_1^{j_1}p_2^{j_2}\dots p_{n-2}^{j_{n-2}}\neq 0$$

for at least one permutation $(j_1 ldots j_{n-2})$ of $\{1, ldots, n-2\}$. In this case, deg $p_i^j = 0$ implies $d_{j_i} = \gamma_i + \delta_i$ by the above condition (c). For the map f to be surjective at (1,0) and at (0,1), $\delta_i = 0$ for all i and $\gamma_i = \alpha_i$. So

$$m = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} (\alpha_i + 1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} (\gamma_i + 1)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} (d_{j_i} + 1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} (d_i + 1).$$

This implies codim W = 0, which is a contradiction.

3. An Application

Using, the above theorem, we will show that the Picard number of a general complete intersection surface S containing a line in \mathbb{P}^n is 2, that is, Pic(S) is generated by the hyperplane section curve and the line.

Let $2 \leq d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \cdots \leq d_{n-2}$ and $Y_{n,d_1,d_2,\cdots,d_{n-2}} = \left\{ \text{ smooth complete intersection surfaces of type } (d_1,\ldots,d_{n-2}) \text{ in } \mathbb{P}^n \right\}$. The Noether-Lefschetz locus is $\Sigma = \{ S \in Y_{n,d_1,d_2,\cdots,d_{n-2}} \mid \operatorname{Pic}(S) \not\cong \mathbb{Z} \ \}$.

THEOREM 2. Let $\sum_{i=1}^{n-2} d_i \ge n+2$ and $n \ge 3$. Let Z_1 denote an irreducible component of Σ whose generic member contains a line. Then, for a general S in Z_1 , the Picard number is 2.

The word "general" is used in the sense that a property is said to hold at a general point of a projective variety V if the property holds at all the points of V but the points in a countable union of subvarieties of V.

It is known that the codimension of Z_1 is $\geq \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} d_i - n(\text{cf. } [3])$.

Using deformation theoretic technique, Lopez [5] figured the generators of the Picard group of a general complete intersection surface containing a fixed curve. In [5], he showed that for a general projectively Cohen-Macaulay surface X in \mathbb{P}^4 defined by the maximal minors of a matrix with no zeros, $Pic(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ generated by $O_X(1)$ and K_X unless X is the Castelnuovo or Bordiga surface. He [6] also gave a new proof of the above Theorem 2 for a general surface in \mathbb{P}^3 containing a plane curve, which is infinitesimal Hodge theoretic and completely different from the one in [5].

Following Lopez's idea for the case n=3 in [6], we can reduce Theorem 2 to Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let z_0, \ldots, z_n denote homogeneous coordinates for \mathbb{P}^n . C be the line with equations $z_0 = z_1 = \cdots = z_{n-2} = 0$ in \mathbb{P}^n . For a generic $S \in Z_1$, let $S = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n-2} \{F_i = 0\}$, where $F_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} z_j G_j^i = 0$ and F_i is an irreducible homogeneous polynomial of degree d_i , $i = 1, \ldots, n-2$. Without loss of generality, we may assume

that $\bigcap_{j=1}^{k} \{F_j = 0\}$ are smooth for $k = 1, \ldots, n-2$, and that, for $i = 1, \ldots, n-2$, $\bigcap_{j=0}^{n-2} \{G_j^i = 0\} \cap C = \phi$.

Let $H^{1,1}_{prim}(S) \subset H^1(S,\Omega^1_S)$ denote the primitive (1,1)-cohomology of S. Let $L = O_S(C)$. $\gamma = c_1(L) \in H^{1,1}_{prim}(S)$ defines an extension M of the tangent sheaf Θ_S of S by the structure sheaf O_S , i.e. M is defined by the exact sequence

$$0 \to O_S \to M_S \to \Theta_S \to 0$$

with the extension class γ . The induced map $H^1(S, \Theta_S) \to H^2(S, O_S)$ is given by the cup product with γ . By dualizing the map,

$$H^1(S,\Theta_S)\otimes H^{1,1}_{prim}(S)\to H^2(S,O_S),$$

we get

$$H^1(S,\Theta_S)\otimes H^{2,0}(S)\to H^{1,1}_{prim}(S)^*.$$

Let $E=\oplus_{i=1}^{n-2}O_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d_i),\, E(k)=E\otimes O_{\mathbb{P}^n}(k),\, \text{and } \nu$ denote the number $\nu=\sum_{i=1}^{n-2}d_i-n-1.$

By algebraic identifications (cf. [1] or [5] for n = 3, [3] for $n \ge 4$), the above map is the multiplication map

$$\frac{H^0(\mathbb{P}^n,E)}{I} \otimes \frac{H^0(\mathbb{P}^n,O_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\nu))}{I} \to \frac{H^0(\mathbb{P}^n,E(\nu))}{I'}$$

where $J,\ I,\ J'$ denote the appropriate subspaces; For $n=3,\ J$ is the Jacobian ideal of S in degree d_1 and I=0. For $n\geq 4,\ I=imH^0(\mathbb{P}^n,\oplus_{i=1}^{n-2}O_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\nu-d_i))=\{\sum_{i=1}^{n-2}a_iF_i|a_i\in H^0(\mathbb{P}^n,O_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\nu-d_i))\},\ a_i=0 \text{ for } \nu-d_i\leq 0.\ J \text{ is generated by } \{\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial z_j}e_i|1\leq i\leq n-2,0\leq j\leq n\}.$ Here $e_i=(e_i^1,\ldots,e_i^{n-2}),\ e_i^k=1 \text{ if } i=k,\ e_i^k=0 \text{ otherwise (For precise definitions, see [3]).}$

Let $W'_{\gamma} \subset H^1(S, \Theta_S)$ be the Zariski tangent space to Z_1 keeping γ of type (1,1). Then the image of $W'_{\gamma} \otimes H^{2,0}(S)$ is contained in $(\gamma)^{\perp}$. Let W_{γ} be the preimage of W'_{γ} under the projection $H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, E) \to \frac{H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, E)}{J}$, and $R_{J'} = \frac{H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, E(\nu))}{J'}$. Then we have a map

$$\lambda: W_{\gamma} \otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, O_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\nu)) \to H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, E(\nu)) \to R_{J'}.$$

It is known that the evaluation map $W_{\gamma} \otimes O_{\mathbb{P}^n,x} \to E_x$ is surjective for every $x \in \mathbb{P}^n(\text{cf. } [3])$.

Sung-Ock Kim

Lemma 2. $\operatorname{codim}_{R'_{i}}\operatorname{im}\lambda(W_{\gamma}\otimes H^{0}(\mathbb{P}^{n},O_{\mathbb{P}^{n}}(\nu)))\leq 1.$

Proof. Let $W = \operatorname{im}(W_{\gamma} \otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, O_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\nu)) \to H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, E(\nu)))$ and $R = H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, E(\nu))$. By definition, $J' \subset W$ and hence it is enough to show $\operatorname{codim}_R W \leq 1$.

Let $R|_C$ be the restriction of R to C, and $W|_C$, $W_{\gamma}|_C$, the restriction of W, W_{γ} to C, resp. Recall that $C = \mathbb{P}^1$ with homogeneous coordinates z_{n-1}, z_n .

Note $R|_C = H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, E(\nu) \otimes O_{\mathbb{P}^1})$, and $W|_C = \operatorname{im}(W_{\gamma}|_C \otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, O_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\nu)) \to R|_C)$. Let I_C be the ideal sheaf of C, and $I(C) = \operatorname{im}(H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, I_C \otimes E) \to H^0(E))$. By construction, $I(C) \subset W_{\gamma}$ and this implies $\operatorname{codim}_R W = \operatorname{codim}_C W|_C$. So it suffices to show $\operatorname{codim}_C W|_C \leq 1$.

On the other hand, $\{z_k(G_j^1,\ldots,G_j^{n-2})|0\leq j\leq n-2,k=n-1,n\}\subset W_\gamma|_C$ and so $W_\gamma|_C=W'\otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}^1,O_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1))$ for some $W'\subset H^0(\mathbb{P}^1,E\otimes O_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1))$ containing $\{(G_j^1,\ldots,G_j^{n-2})\mid 0\leq j\leq n-2\}$. So the evaluation map of W' is surjective and $\dim W'\geq n-1$. By applying Theorem 1 $(\nu+1)$ times,

$$\dim W|_C=\dim\Bigl(\mathrm{im}\bigl(W'\otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}^1,O_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\nu+1))\bigr)\Bigr)\geq n-1+(n-1)(\nu+1).$$

Hence
$$\operatorname{codim}_{R|_C} W|_C \leq 1$$
.

The rest of the proof of the theorem uses the idea of Lopez's proof for n=3 which we restate: By the semicontinuity theorem, it is enough to prove that for each $\gamma' \in H^{1,1}_{prim}(S) - \mathbb{C}\gamma$, there exists a deformation $\eta \in W_{\gamma}$ such that, when we deform S in the direction of η to a surface S', the class γ' is not of type (1,1). That is, it is enough to show $W_{\gamma} \nsubseteq W_{\gamma'}$. By Lemma 2, $\gamma' \in \operatorname{im}(W_{\gamma} \otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, O_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\nu)))$. Therefore, if $\gamma' \neq 0$ and $W_{\gamma} \subset W_{\gamma'}$, then

$$\gamma' \in \operatorname{im}(W_{\gamma} \otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, O_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\nu))) \subset \operatorname{im}(W_{\gamma'} \otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, O_{\mathbb{P}^n}(\nu))) \subset (\gamma')^{\perp},$$

which is a contradiction.

References

- [1] J. Carlson and P. Griffiths, Infinitesimal variation of Hodge structure and the global Torelli problem, J. Geometrie Algebrique d'Angers, Sijthoff and Noordhoff, (1980), 51-76.
- [2] J. Harris, The genus of space curves, Math. Ann. 249 (1980), 191-204.
- [3] S. Kim, Noether-Lefschetz locus for surfaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 324 (1991), 369-384.
- [4] _____, Picard group of a surface in P³, Comm. Korean. Math. Soc. 11 (1996), 881-885.
- [5] A. Lopez, Noether-Lefschetz theory and the Picard group of projective surfaces, Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc. 89 (1991).
- [6] _____, Hodge theory on the Fermat surface and the Picard number of a general surface in \mathbb{P}^3 containing a plane curve, Sezione Scientifica, Bollettino U.M.I. 7-B (1993), 1-22.

HANDONG UNIVERSITY, POHANG 791-940, KOREA