

STABILITY OF ISOMETRIES ON HILBERT SPACES

KIL-WOUNG JUN AND DAL-WON PARK

ABSTRACT. Let X and Y be real Banach spaces and $\epsilon, p \geq 0$. A mapping T between X and Y is called an (ϵ, p) -isometry if $\|T(x) - T(y)\| - \|x - y\| \leq \epsilon\|x - y\|^p$ for $x, y \in X$. Let H be a real Hilbert space and $T : H \rightarrow H$ an (ϵ, p) -isometry with $T(0) = 0$. If $p \neq 1$ is a nonnegative number, then there exists a unique isometry $I : H \rightarrow H$ such that $\|T(x) - I(x)\| \leq C(\epsilon)(\|x\|^{(1+p)/2} + \|x\|^p)$ for all $x \in H$, where $C(\epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, X and Y denote real Banach spaces and H denote real Hilbert space and $\epsilon, p \geq 0$. It is a well-known classical result of Mazur and Ulam [7] that an isometry T from X onto Y for which $T(0) = 0$ is automatically linear. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is called an (ϵ, p) -isometry if

$$\|T(x) - T(y)\| - \|x - y\| \leq \epsilon\|x - y\|^p$$

for $x, y \in X$.

In 1983, J. Gevirtz [2] showed that if $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is a surjective $(\epsilon, 0)$ -isometry, then there exists a unique isometry $I : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|T(x) - I(x)\| \leq 20(\sqrt{2} - 1)^{-1}((\epsilon\|x\|)^{1/2} + 40\epsilon)$$

for all $x \in X$ and, by using a result of P. M. Gruber [3], there exists a unique surjective isometry $I : X \rightarrow Y$ for which $\|T(x) - I(x)\| \leq 5\epsilon$ for all $x \in X$.

Received August 13, 2001.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46B20.

Key words and phrases: (ϵ, p) -isometry, isometry, Hilbert spaces.

This work was supported by grant No. 981-0102-012-1 from the Basic Research program of the KOSEF.

In 1995, M. Omladič and P. Šemrl [8] showed that for any an $(\epsilon, 0)$ -isometry $T : X \rightarrow Y$ there exists a unique surjective linear isometry $I : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\|T(x) - I(x)\| \leq 2\epsilon$ for all $x \in X$.

In 2000, G. Dolinar [1] showed that if $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is a surjective (ϵ, p) -isometry with $T(0) = 0$ and $0 \leq p < 1$ then there exists a constant $N(p)$ and a surjective isometry $I : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|T(x) - I(x)\| \leq \epsilon N(p) \|x\|^p \text{ for all } x \in X.$$

Also he showed that every for (ϵ, p) -isometry $T : X \rightarrow H$ with $T(0) = 0$, and $0 < p < 1$, there exists a linear isometry $I : X \rightarrow H$ such that

$$\|T(x) - I(x)\| \leq C(\epsilon, p) \max\{\|x\|^p, \|x\|^{(1+p)/2}\}$$

for all $x \in X$, where $C(\epsilon, p) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

The authors [4] showed that if $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is an $(\epsilon, 1)$ -isometry with $T(0) = 0$, then

$$\|T(x + y) - T(x) - T(y)\| \leq C(\epsilon)(\|x\| + \|y\|)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $C(\epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

In this paper, let p be a nonnegative number with $p \neq 1$. If $T : H \rightarrow H$ is an (ϵ, p) -isometry with $T(0) = 0$, then we show that there exists a mapping $\phi_{(\epsilon, p)}(x, y) : H \times H \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\|T(x + y) - T(x) - T(y)\| \leq \phi_{(\epsilon, p)}(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in H$, where $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^{-k} \phi_{(\epsilon, p)}(a^k x, a^k y) < \infty$ for some positive rational number a and $\phi_{(\epsilon, p)}(x, y) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Hence we obtain a unique isometry $I : H \rightarrow H$ such that

$$\|T(x) - I(x)\| \leq C(\epsilon)(\|x\|^{(1+p)/2} + \|x\|^p)$$

for all $x \in H$, where $C(\epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

In case $p = 1$, from the result of J. Lindenstrauss and A. Szankowski [6] we obtain an $(\epsilon, 1)$ -isometry T from ℓ_2 onto itself which satisfies for every linear operator L on ℓ_2 there is an $x \in \ell_2$ so that $\|T(x) - L(x)\| \geq \|x\|$. Thus we cannot obtain an isometry $I : \ell_2 \rightarrow \ell_2$ such that

$$\|T(x) - I(x)\| \leq C(\epsilon)\|x\|$$

for all $x \in \ell_2$ where $C(\epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

2. Results

We denote by G a vector space. Let a be a fixed positive rational number and let q, r be natural numbers with $a = r/q$. Assume $\phi : G \times G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a mapping such that

$$\Phi^{(a)}(x, y) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^{-k} \phi(a^k x, a^k y) < \infty$$

for all $x, y \in G$. We also assume that $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\cdot) = 0$ if $n = 1$.

The following theorem is a generalization of some results of Y.-H. Lee and K.-W. Jun [5].

THEOREM 1. *Let $T : G \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that*

$$(1) \quad \|T(x+y) - T(x) - T(y)\| \leq \phi(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in G.$$

Then there exists a unique additive mapping $I : G \rightarrow X$ such that

$$\|T(x) - I(x)\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \Phi^{(a)}\left(\frac{1}{q}x, \frac{i}{q}x\right) + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} a^{-1} \Phi^{(a)}\left(\frac{1}{q}x, \frac{i}{q}x\right)$$

for all $x \in G$.

Proof. From (1), we easily get the following formula by induction

$$(2) \quad \|T(kx) - kT(x)\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \phi(x, ix)$$

for all $x \in G$ and $k \in N$.

Replacing x by $\frac{1}{q}x$ and k by q , we have

$$\left\|T(x) - qT\left(\frac{1}{q}x\right)\right\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \phi\left(\frac{1}{q}x, \frac{i}{q}x\right).$$

Thus

$$(3) \quad \left\|\frac{1}{q}T(x) - T\left(\frac{1}{q}x\right)\right\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} q^{-1} \phi\left(\frac{1}{q}x, \frac{i}{q}x\right)$$

for all $x \in G$. Replacing x by $\frac{1}{q}x$ and k by r in (2), it follows that

$$\left\| T\left(\frac{r}{q}x\right) - rT\left(\frac{1}{q}x\right) \right\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \phi\left(\frac{1}{q}x, \frac{i}{q}x\right),$$

and so we have

$$(4) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{r}T\left(\frac{r}{q}x\right) - T\left(\frac{1}{q}x\right) \right\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} r^{-1}\phi\left(\frac{1}{q}x, \frac{i}{q}x\right)$$

for all $x \in G$. (3) and (4) imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{1}{q}T(x) - \frac{1}{r}T\left(\frac{r}{q}x\right) \right\| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} q^{-1}\phi\left(\frac{1}{q}x, \frac{i}{q}x\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} r^{-1}\phi\left(\frac{1}{q}x, \frac{i}{q}x\right). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$(5) \quad \|aT(x) - T(ax)\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} a\phi\left(\frac{1}{q}x, \frac{i}{q}x\right) + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \phi\left(\frac{1}{q}x, \frac{i}{q}x\right)$$

for all $x \in G$. Replacing x by $a^{k-1}x$ in (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|aT(a^{k-1}x) - T(a^kx)\| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} a\phi\left(\frac{1}{q}a^{k-1}x, \frac{i}{q}a^{k-1}x\right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \phi\left(\frac{1}{q}a^{k-1}x, \frac{i}{q}a^{k-1}x\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \|a^{-k+1}T(a^{k-1}x) - a^{-k}T(a^kx)\| &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} a^{-k+1}\phi\left(\frac{1}{q}a^{k-1}x, \frac{i}{q}a^{k-1}x\right) \\ &\quad + a^{-k} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \phi\left(\frac{1}{q}a^{k-1}x, \frac{i}{q}a^{k-1}x\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in G$. For $n > m$ (6) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|a^{-n}T(a^n x) - a^{-m}T(a^m x)\| &\leq \sum_{k=m+1}^n \left[\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} a^{-k+1} \phi\left(\frac{1}{q}a^{k-1}x, \frac{i}{q}a^{k-1}x\right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + a^{-k} \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \phi\left(\frac{1}{q}a^{k-1}x, \frac{i}{q}a^{k-1}x\right) \right] \\
(7) \qquad &= \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=m+1}^n a^{-k+1} \phi\left(\frac{1}{q}a^{k-1}x, \frac{i}{q}a^{k-1}x\right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \sum_{k=m+1}^n a^{-k} \phi\left(\frac{1}{q}a^{k-1}x, \frac{i}{q}a^{k-1}x\right)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in G$. Thus $\{a^{-k}T(a^k x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and converges for all $x \in G$. So we define $I : G \rightarrow X$ by

$$I(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a^{-n}T(a^n x)$$

for all $x \in G$. From (1) I is an additive mapping. From (7) we have

$$(8) \quad \|T(x) - I(x)\| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \Phi^{(a)}\left(\frac{1}{q}x, \frac{i}{q}x\right) + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} a^{-1} \Phi^{(a)}\left(\frac{1}{q}x, \frac{i}{q}x\right)$$

for all $x \in G$. It remains to show that I is uniquely defined. Let $I' : G \rightarrow X$ be another additive mapping satisfying (8). Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|I(x) - I'(x)\| &= \|a^{-n}I(a^n x) - a^{-n}I'(a^n x)\| \\
&\leq \|a^{-n}I(a^n x) - a^{-n}T(a^n x)\| \\
(9) \qquad &\quad + \|a^{-n}T(a^n x) - a^{-n}I'(a^n x)\| \\
&\leq 2 \left[\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^{-n-k} \phi\left(\frac{1}{q}a^{n+k}x, \frac{i}{q}a^{n+k}x\right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^{-n-k-1} \phi\left(\frac{1}{q}a^{n+k}x, \frac{i}{q}a^{n+k}x\right) \right] \\
&\leq 2 \left[\sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a^{-k} \phi\left(\frac{1}{q}a^k x, \frac{i}{q}a^k x\right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a^{-k-1} \phi\left(\frac{1}{q}a^k x, \frac{i}{q}a^k x\right) \right]
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in G$. Taking the limit of (9) as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$I(x) = I'(x)$$

for all $x \in G$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

The following corollary is due to Th. M. Rassias [8].

COROLLARY 2. Given $\epsilon > 0$ and nonnegative number $p \neq 1$, let $T : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping such that

$$\|T(x+y) - T(x) - T(y)\| \leq \epsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $I : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|T(x) - I(x)\| \leq \frac{2\epsilon}{|2 - 2^p|} \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Apply Theorem 1. \square

Let H be a real Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and we define $\phi_{(\epsilon, p)}(x, y) : H \times H \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{(\epsilon, p)}(x, y) = & [2\epsilon(\|x+y\|^{1+p} + 2\|x\|^{1+p} + 2\|y\|^{1+p} + \|x-y\|^{1+p}) \\ & + 2\epsilon^2(\|x+y\|^{2p} + 2\|x\|^{2p} + 2\|y\|^{2p} + \|x-y\|^{2p})]^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in H$. Let $\Phi_{(\epsilon, p)}^{(a)}(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a^{-k} \phi_{(\epsilon, p)}(a^k x, a^k y)$. If $p > 1$ then $\Phi_{(\epsilon, p)}^{(a)}(x, y) < \infty$ for $0 < a < 1$ and if $0 \leq p < 1$ then $\Phi_{(\epsilon, p)}^{(a)}(x, y) < \infty$ for $a > 1$.

THEOREM 3. Let H be a real Hilbert space and let $T : H \rightarrow H$ be an (ϵ, p) -isometry with $T(0) = 0$. Then

$$\|T(x+y) - T(x) - T(y)\| \leq \phi_{(\epsilon, p)}(x, y)$$

for all $x, y \in H$.

Proof. Since T is an (ϵ, p) -isometry, we have

$$\|T(x) - T(y)\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + 2\epsilon\|x - y\|^{1+p} + \epsilon^2\|x - y\|^{2p},$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} -2\langle T(x), T(y) \rangle &\leq -\|T(x)\|^2 - \|T(y)\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\epsilon\|x - y\|^{1+p} + \epsilon^2\|x - y\|^{2p} \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in H$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} &\|T(x + y) - T(x) - T(y)\|^2 \\ &\leq -\|T(x + y)\|^2 + \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 \\ (10) \quad &\quad + 2\epsilon(\|x\|^{1+p} + \|y\|^{1+p}) + \epsilon^2(\|x\|^{2p} + \|y\|^{2p}) \\ &\quad + 2\langle T(x), T(y) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in H$. Assume $x, y \in H$. Then we divide the proof into four cases: \square

Case 1. $\|x - y\| > \epsilon\|x - y\|^p$ and $\|x + y\| > \epsilon\|x + y\|^p$.

It follows from $\|x - y\| > \epsilon\|x - y\|^p$ that

$$\begin{aligned} (11) \quad 2\langle T(x), T(y) \rangle &\leq \|T(x)\|^2 + \|T(y)\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\epsilon\|x - y\|^{1+p} - \epsilon^2\|x - y\|^{2p} \\ &\leq \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\epsilon(\|x\|^{1+p} + \|y\|^{1+p} + \|x - y\|^{1+p}) \\ &\quad + \epsilon^2(\|x\|^{2p} + \|y\|^{2p} - \|x - y\|^{2p}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|x + y\| > \epsilon\|x + y\|^p$, we obtain

$$(12) \quad \|T(x + y)\|^2 \geq \|x + y\|^2 - 2\epsilon\|x + y\|^{1+p} + \epsilon^2\|x + y\|^{2p}.$$

From (10), (11), (12) and the parallelogram identity we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|T(x + y) - T(x) - T(y)\|^2 \\ &\leq 2\epsilon(\|x + y\|^{1+p} + 2\|x\|^{1+p} + 2\|y\|^{1+p} + \|x - y\|^{1+p}) \\ &\quad + 2\epsilon^2(-\|x + y\|^{2p} + 2\|x\|^{2p} + 2\|y\|^{2p} - \|x - y\|^{2p}) \\ &\leq \phi_{(\epsilon, p)}^2(x, y). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Case 2. $\|x - y\| > \epsilon\|x - y\|^p$ and $\|x + y\| \leq \epsilon\|x + y\|^p$.
 (10), (11) and the parallelogram identity imply

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T(x + y) - T(x) - T(y)\|^2 \\ & \leq \|x + y\|^2 \\ & \quad + 2\epsilon(2\|x\|^{1+p} + 2\|y\|^{1+p} + \|x - y\|^{1+p}) \\ & \quad + 2\epsilon^2(2\|x\|^{2p} + 2\|y\|^{2p} - \|x - y\|^{2p}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|x + y\| \leq \epsilon\|x + y\|^p$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T(x + y) - T(x) - T(y)\|^2 \\ & \leq 2\epsilon(2\|x\|^{1+p} + 2\|y\|^{1+p} + \|x - y\|^{1+p}) \\ & \quad + 2\epsilon^2(2\|x\|^{2p} + 2\|y\|^{2p} + \|x + y\|^{2p} - \|x - y\|^{2p}) \\ & \leq \phi_{(\epsilon,p)}^2(x, y). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Case 3. $\|x - y\| \leq \epsilon\|x - y\|^p$ and $\|x + y\| > \epsilon\|x + y\|^p$.
 We obtain easily

$$(13) \quad 2\langle T(x), T(y) \rangle \leq \|T(x)\|^2 + \|T(y)\|^2.$$

From (10), (12), (13) and the parallelogram identity we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(x + y) - T(x) - T(y)\|^2 & \leq 2\epsilon(2\|x\|^{1+p} + 2\|y\|^{1+p} + \|x + y\|^{1+p}) \\ & \quad + \epsilon^2(2\|x\|^{2p} + 2\|y\|^{2p}) + \|x - y\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|x - y\| \leq \epsilon\|x - y\|^p$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(x + y) - T(x) - T(y)\|^2 & \leq 2\epsilon(2\|x\|^{1+p} + 2\|y\|^{1+p} + \|x + y\|^{1+p}) \\ & \quad + \epsilon^2(2\|x\|^{2p} + 2\|y\|^{2p} + \|x - y\|^{2p}) \\ & \leq \phi_{(\epsilon,p)}^2(x, y). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Case 4. $\|x - y\| \leq \epsilon\|x - y\|^p$ and $\|x + y\| \leq \epsilon\|x + y\|^p$.
 (10), (13) and the parallelogram identity imply

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(x + y) - T(x) - T(y)\|^2 & \leq \|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 \\ & \quad + 2\epsilon(2\|x\|^{1+p} + 2\|y\|^{1+p}) \\ & \quad + \epsilon^2(2\|x\|^{2p} + 2\|y\|^{2p}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T(x+y) - T(x) - T(y)\|^2 \\ & \leq 2\epsilon(2\|x\|^{1+p} + 2\|y\|^{1+p}) \\ & \quad + \epsilon^2(2\|x\|^{2p} + 2\|y\|^{2p} + \|x-y\|^{2p} + \|x+y\|^{2p}) \\ & \leq \phi_{(\epsilon,p)}^2(x,y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we arrive at

$$\|T(x+y) - T(x) - T(y)\| \leq \phi_{(\epsilon,p)}(x,y)$$

for all $x, y \in H$. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

THEOREM 4. *Let H be a real Hilbert space and let $T : H \rightarrow H$ be an (ϵ, p) -isometry with $T(0) = 0$. If $p \neq 1$ is a nonnegative number then there exists a unique isometry $I : H \rightarrow H$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(x) - I(x)\| & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \Phi_{(\epsilon,p)}^{(a)}\left(\frac{1}{q}x, \frac{i}{q}x\right) \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} a^{-1} \Phi_{(\epsilon,p)}^{(a)}\left(\frac{1}{q}x, \frac{i}{q}x\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in H$, and for $a > 1$ if $0 \leq p < 1$ or $0 < a < 1$ if $p > 1$, where $a = \frac{r}{q}$ (q, r : positive integers).

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the theorem for $a > 1$, $0 \leq p < 1$, $a = \frac{r}{q}$ (q, r : positive integers). By Theorem 1 and Theorem 3, there exists a unique additive mapping $I : H \rightarrow H$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T(x) - I(x)\| & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \Phi_{(\epsilon,p)}^{(a)}\left(\frac{1}{q}x, \frac{i}{q}x\right) \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} a^{-1} \Phi_{(\epsilon,p)}^{(a)}\left(\frac{1}{q}x, \frac{i}{q}x\right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in H$. Since $I(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a^{-n}T(a^n x)$ in the proof of Theorem 1, I is an isometry. This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

REMARKS. In Theorem 4, if $0 < p < 1$, then we put $a = 2$ and so $q = 1, r = 2$. Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{(\epsilon, p)}^{(2)}(x, x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{-k} \phi_{(\epsilon, p)}(2^k x, 2^k x) \\ &\leq \frac{(2 + 2^p)^{1/2}}{1 - 2^{(p-1)/2}} 2\sqrt{\epsilon} \|x\|^{(1+p)/2} \\ &\quad + \frac{(2^{2p} + 4)^{1/2}}{1 - 2^{p-1}} \sqrt{2\epsilon} \|x\|^p.\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 4, there exists a unique isometry $I : H \rightarrow H$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\|T(x) - I(x)\| &\leq \frac{(2 + 2^p)^{1/2}}{1 - 2^{(p-1)/2}} \sqrt{\epsilon} \|x\|^{(1+p)/2} \\ &\quad + \frac{(2^{2p} + 4)^{1/2}}{2 - 2^p} \sqrt{2\epsilon} \|x\|^p\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in H$. If $p > 1$ and $a = 1/2$, we put $q = 2, r = 1$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{(\epsilon, p)}^{(1/2)}\left(\frac{1}{2}x, \frac{1}{2}x\right) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k \phi_{(\epsilon, p)}(2^{-k-1}x, 2^{-k-1}x) \\ &\leq \frac{(2 + 2^{2-p})^{1/2}}{1 - 2^{(1-p)/2}} \sqrt{\epsilon} \|x\|^{(1+p)/2} \\ &\quad + \frac{(2 + 2^{-2p+2})^{1/2}}{1 - 2^{1-p}} \epsilon \|x\|^{2p}\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in H$. Thus there exists a unique isometry $I : H \rightarrow H$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\|T(x) - I(x)\| &\leq \frac{(2 + 2^{2-p})^{1/2}}{1 - 2^{(1-p)/2}} \sqrt{\epsilon} \|x\|^{(1+p)/2} \\ &\quad + \frac{(2 + 2^{-2p+2})^{1/2}}{1 - 2^{1-p}} \epsilon \|x\|^{2p}\end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in H$.

References

- [1] G. Dolinar, *Generalized stability of isometries*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **242** (2000), 39–56.

- [2] J. Gevirtz, *Stability of isometries on Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **89** (1983), 633–636.
- [3] P. M. Gruber, *Stability of isometries*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **245** (1978), 263–277.
- [4] K.-W. Jun and D.-W. Park, *Almost linearity of ϵ -bi-Lipschitz maps between real Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), 217–225.
- [5] Y.-H. Lee and K.-W. Jun, *On the stability of approximately additive mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), 1361–1369.
- [6] J. Lindenstrauss and A. Szankowski, *Non linear perturbation of isometries*, Astérisque **131** (1985), 357–371.
- [7] S. Mazur and S. Ulam, *Sur les transformations isométriques d'espaces vectoriels normés*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér **194** (1932), 946–948.
- [8] M. Omladič and P. Šemrl, *On non linear perturbation of isometries*, Math. Ann. **303** (1995), 617–628.
- [9] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297–300.

KIL-WOUNG JUN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHUNGNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, TAEJON 305-764, KOREA
E-mail: kwjun@math.chungnam.ac.kr

DAL-WON PARK, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, KONGJU NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, KONGJU 314-701, KOREA
E-mail: dwpark@kongju.ac.kr