

UNITARY INTERPOLATION PROBLEMS IN CSL-ALGEBRA $\text{Alg}\mathcal{L}$

YOUNG SOO JO AND JOO HO KANG

ABSTRACT. Given vectors x and y in a Hilbert space, an interpolating operator is a bounded operator T such that $Tx = y$. An interpolating operator for n -vectors satisfies the equation $Ax_i = y_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. In this article, we investigate unitary interpolation problems in CSL-Algebra $\text{Alg}\mathcal{L}$: Let \mathcal{L} be a commutative subspace lattice on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let x and y be vectors in \mathcal{H} . When does there exist a unitary operator A in $\text{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ such that $Ax = y$?

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{C} be a collection of operators acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and let x and y be vectors on \mathcal{H} . An *interpolation question* for \mathcal{C} asks for which x and y is there a bounded operator $T \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $Tx = y$. A variation, the ‘ n -vector interpolation problem’, asks for an operator T such that $Tx_i = y_i$ for fixed finite collections $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ and $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$. The n -vector interpolation problem was considered for a C^* -algebra \mathcal{U} by Kadison [5]. In case \mathcal{U} is a nest algebra, the (one-vector) interpolation problem was solved by Lance [7]: his result was extended by Hopenwasser [1] to the case that \mathcal{U} is a CSL-algebra. Munch [8] obtained conditions for interpolation in case T is required to lie in the ideal of Hilbert-Schmidt operators in a nest algebra. Hopenwasser [2] once again extended the interpolation condition to the ideal of Hilbert-Schmidt operators in a CSL-algebra.

We establish some notations and conventions. A subspace lattice \mathcal{L} is a strongly closed lattice of projections acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . A subspace lattice \mathcal{L} is a commutative subspace lattice, or CSL if all

Received November 30, 2001.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47L35.

Key words and phrases: unitary interpolation problem, subspace lattice, CSL-algebra $\text{Alg}\mathcal{L}$.

projections in \mathcal{L} are commutative. We assume that the projections 0 and I lie in \mathcal{L} . We usually identify projections and their ranges, so that it makes sense to speak of an operator as leaving a projection invariant. If \mathcal{L} is CSL, then $\text{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ is the algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} that leave invariant all the projections in \mathcal{L} and called a CSL-algebra. Let x and y be vectors in a Hilbert space. Then $\langle x, y \rangle$ means the inner product of vectors x and y . In this paper, we use the convention $\frac{0}{0} = 0$, when necessary.

We investigate unitary interpolation problems in CSL-Algebra $\text{Alg}\mathcal{L}$: Given two vectors x and y in a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , when does there exist a unitary operator in CSL-Algebra $\text{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ such that $Ax = y$?

2. Results

Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and \mathcal{L} be a commutative subspace lattice of orthogonal projections acting on \mathcal{H} containing 0 and I . Let M be a subset of a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Then \bar{M} means the closure of M . Let \mathbb{N} be the set of all natural numbers and let \mathbb{C} be the set of all complex numbers. An operator U is *unitary* if $UU^* = U^*U = I$, where I is the identity operator acting on \mathcal{H} .

THEOREM 1. *Let \mathcal{L} be a subspace lattice on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let x and y be vectors in \mathcal{H} . If there is an operator A in $\text{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ such that $Ax = y$, A is unitary and every E in \mathcal{L} reduces A , then*

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i E_i y \right\|}{\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i E_i x \right\|} : n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } E_i \in \mathcal{L} \right\} < \infty,$$

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i E_i x \right\|}{\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i E_i y \right\|} : n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } E_i \in \mathcal{L} \right\} < \infty$$

and

$$\langle Ey, y \rangle = \langle Ex, x \rangle$$

for all E in \mathcal{L} .

Proof. By Theorem 1 [4],

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i E_i y \right\|}{\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i E_i x \right\|} : n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } E_i \in \mathcal{L} \right\} < \infty$$

and

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\|\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i E_i x\|}{\|\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i E_i y\|} : n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } E_i \in \mathcal{L} \right\} < \infty.$$

Since $Ax = y$ and A is unitary, $x = A^*y$. So $\langle Ey, y \rangle = \langle EAx, y \rangle = \langle Ex, A^*y \rangle = \langle Ex, x \rangle$ for all E in \mathcal{L} . \square

THEOREM 2. *Let \mathcal{L} be a commutative subspace lattice on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let x and y be vectors in \mathcal{H} . Assume that*

$$\mathcal{M}_0 = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i E_i x : n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } E_i \in \mathcal{L} \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}_1 = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i E_i y : n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } E_i \in \mathcal{L} \right\}$$

are dense in \mathcal{H} . If

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\|\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i E_i y\|}{\|\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i E_i x\|} : n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } E_i \in \mathcal{L} \right\} < \infty,$$

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\|\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i E_i x\|}{\|\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i E_i y\|} : n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } E_i \in \mathcal{L} \right\} < \infty$$

and

$$\langle Ey, y \rangle = \langle Ex, x \rangle$$

for all E in \mathcal{L} , then there is an operator A in $\text{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ such that $Ax = y$, A is unitary and every E in \mathcal{L} reduces A .

Proof. By Theorem 2 [4], there are operators A and B in $\text{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ such that $Ax = y$, $x = By$, A and B are invertible and every E in \mathcal{L} reduces A and B . We want to show that $A^* = B$. Since $\langle Ey, y \rangle = \langle Ex, x \rangle$ for all E in \mathcal{L} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle A \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i E_i x \right), y \right\rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i E_i y, y \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i E_i x, x \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

$n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and $E_i \in \mathcal{L}$. So $\langle Af, y \rangle = \langle f, x \rangle$ for all f in \mathcal{M}_0 . Since \mathcal{M}_0 is dense in \mathcal{H} , $A^*y = x$. So

$$\begin{aligned} A^* \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i E_i y \right) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i E_i A^* y \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i E_i x, \end{aligned}$$

$n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$ and $E_i \in \mathcal{L}$. Since \mathcal{M}_1 is dense in \mathcal{H} , $A^* = B$. Hence A is unitary. \square

THEOREM 3. *Let \mathcal{L} be a subspace lattice on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . and let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$ be vectors in \mathcal{H} . If there is an operator A in $\text{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ such that $y_j = Ax_j (j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$, every E in \mathcal{L} reduces A and A is unitary, then*

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_{k,i} E_{k,i} y_i \right\|}{\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_{k,i} E_{k,i} x_i \right\|} : m_i \in \mathbb{N}, l \leq n, \alpha_{k,i} \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } E_{k,i} \in \mathcal{L} \right\} < \infty,$$

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_{k,i} E_{k,i} x_i \right\|}{\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_{k,i} E_{k,i} y_i \right\|} : m_i \in \mathbb{N}, l \leq n, \alpha_{k,i} \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } E_{k,i} \in \mathcal{L} \right\} < \infty$$

and $\langle Ey_p, y_q \rangle = \langle Ex_p, x_q \rangle$ for all E in \mathcal{L} and $p, q = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. By Theorem 3 [4],

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_{k,i} E_{k,i} y_i \right\|}{\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_{k,i} E_{k,i} x_i \right\|} : m_i \in \mathbb{N}, l \leq n, E_{k,i} \in \mathcal{L} \text{ and } \alpha_{k,i} \in \mathbb{C} \right\} < \infty$$

and

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_{k,i} E_{k,i} x_i \right\|}{\left\| \sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_{k,i} E_{k,i} y_i \right\|} : m_i \in \mathbb{N}, l \leq n, E_{k,i} \in \mathcal{L} \text{ and } \alpha_{k,i} \in \mathbb{C} \right\} < \infty.$$

Since $Ax_p = y_p$, A is unitary and every E in \mathcal{L} reduces A ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Ey_p, y_q \rangle &= \langle EAx_p, y_q \rangle \\ &= \langle AE x_p, y_q \rangle \\ &= \langle Ex_p, A^* y_q \rangle \\ &= \langle Ex_p, x_q \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all E in \mathcal{L} and all $p, q = 1, 2, \dots, n$. \square

THEOREM 4. Let \mathcal{L} be a commutative subspace lattice on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . and let $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n$ be vectors in \mathcal{H} . Assume that

$$\mathcal{U}_0 = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_{k,i} E_{k,i} x_i : m_i \in \mathbb{N}, l \leq n, \alpha_{k,i} \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } E_{k,i} \in \mathcal{L} \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{U}_1 = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_{k,i} E_{k,i} y_i : m_i \in \mathbb{N}, l \leq n, \alpha_{k,i} \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } E_{k,i} \in \mathcal{L} \right\}$$

are dense in \mathcal{H} . If

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\| \sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_{k,i} E_{k,i} y_i \|}{\| \sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_{k,i} E_{k,i} x_i \|} : m_i \in \mathbb{N}, l \leq n, \alpha_{k,i} \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } E_{k,i} \in \mathcal{L} \right\} < \infty,$$

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\| \sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_{k,i} E_{k,i} x_i \|}{\| \sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_{k,i} E_{k,i} y_i \|} : m_i \in \mathbb{N}, l \leq n, \alpha_{k,i} \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } E_{k,i} \in \mathcal{L} \right\} < \infty$$

and $\langle E y_p, y_q \rangle = \langle E x_p, x_q \rangle$ for all E in \mathcal{L} and all $p, q = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then there is an operator A in $\text{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ such that $A x_j = y_j$ for all $j = 1, \dots, n$, A is unitary and every E in \mathcal{L} reduces A .

Proof. By Theorem 4 [4], there are operators A, B in $\text{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ such that $A x_q = y_q, y_q = B x_q$ ($q = 1, 2, \dots, n$), A and B are invertible and every E in \mathcal{L} reduces A and B . We know that $A^{-1} = B$. We want to prove that $A^* = B$. Since $\langle E y_p, y_j \rangle = \langle E x_p, x_j \rangle$ for E in \mathcal{L} and $p, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle A \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_{k,i} E_{k,i} x_i \right), y_j \right\rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_{k,i} E_{k,i} A x_i, y_j \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_{k,i} E_{k,i} y_i, y_j \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_{k,i} E_{k,i} x_i, x_j \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathcal{U}_0 is dense in \mathcal{H} , $\langle A f, y_j \rangle = \langle f, x_j \rangle$ for all f in \mathcal{H} and all $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. So $A^* y_j = x_j$ for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Since

$$A^* \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_{k,i} E_{k,i} y_i \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_{k,i} E_{k,i} x_i$$

and \mathcal{U}_1 is dense in \mathcal{H} , $A^* = B$. Hence A is unitary. \square

If we modify the proofs of Theorems 3 and 4 a little bit, then we can get the following theorems. So we omit their proofs.

THEOREM 5. *Let \mathcal{L} be a subspace lattice on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . and let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be two infinite sequences of vectors in \mathcal{H} . If there is an operator A in $\text{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ such that $Ax_n = y_n$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), A is unitary and every E in \mathcal{L} reduces A , then*

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\|\sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_{k,i} E_{k,i} y_i\|}{\|\sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_{k,i} E_{k,i} x_i\|} : m_i, l \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_{k,i} \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } E_{k,i} \in \mathcal{L} \right\} < \infty,$$

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\|\sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_{k,i} E_{k,i} x_i\|}{\|\sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_{k,i} E_{k,i} y_i\|} : m_i, l \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_{k,i} \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } E_{k,i} \in \mathcal{L} \right\} < \infty$$

and $\langle Ey_p, y_j \rangle = \langle Ex_p, x_j \rangle$ for all E in \mathcal{L} and all $p, j = 1, 2, \dots$.

THEOREM 6. *Let \mathcal{L} be a commutative subspace lattice on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Assume that*

$$\mathcal{K}_0 = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_{k,i} E_{k,i} x_i : m_i, l \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_{k,i} \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } E_{k,i} \in \mathcal{L} \right\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}_1 = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_{k,i} E_{k,i} y_i : m_i, l \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_{k,i} \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } E_{k,i} \in \mathcal{L} \right\}$$

are dense in \mathcal{H} . If

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\|\sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_{k,i} E_{k,i} y_i\|}{\|\sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_{k,i} E_{k,i} x_i\|} : m_i, l \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_{k,i} \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } E_{k,i} \in \mathcal{L} \right\} < \infty,$$

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\|\sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_{k,i} E_{k,i} x_i\|}{\|\sum_{k=1}^{m_i} \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_{k,i} E_{k,i} y_i\|} : m_i, l \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_{k,i} \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } E_{k,i} \in \mathcal{L} \right\} < \infty$$

and $\langle Ey_p, y_j \rangle = \langle Ex_p, x_j \rangle$ for all E in \mathcal{L} and all $p, j = 1, 2, \dots$, then there is an operator A in $\text{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ such that $Ax_n = y_n$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$), A is unitary and every E in \mathcal{L} reduces A .

In the following theorem, we consider a ‘‘corona-type’’ version of unitary interpolation problem in CSL-Algebra $\text{Alg}\mathcal{L}$.

THEOREM 7. *Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space and let \mathcal{L} be a commutative subspace lattice on \mathcal{H} . Let x_1, \dots, x_n and y be vectors in \mathcal{H} . Assume that*

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i E_i y : l \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } E_i \in \mathcal{L} \right\}$$

and

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i E_i x_k : l \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } E_i \in \mathcal{L} \right\}$$

are dense in \mathcal{H} for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\| \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i E_i y \|}{\| \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i E_i x_k \|} : l \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } E_i \in \mathcal{L} \right\} < \infty,$$

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\| \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i E_i x_k \|}{\| \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i E_i y \|} : l \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } E_i \in \mathcal{L} \right\} < \infty$$

and $\frac{1}{n^2} \langle Ey, y \rangle = \langle Ex_k, x_k \rangle$ for all E in \mathcal{L} and all $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then there are operators A_1, \dots, A_n in $\text{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ such that $A_1 x_1 + A_2 x_2 + \dots + A_n x_n = y$, A_k is unitary and every E in \mathcal{L} reduces A_k for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

References

- [1] A. Hopenwasser, *The equation $Tx = y$ in a reflexive operator algebra*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **29** (1980), 121–126.
- [2] ———, *Hilbert-Schmidt interpolation in CSL algebras*, Illinois J. Math. (4), **33** (1989), 657–672.
- [3] Y. S. Jo, and J. H. Kang, *Interpolation problems in CSL-Algebra $\text{Alg}\mathcal{L}$ to appear in Rocky Mountain Journal*.
- [4] ———, *Invertible Interpolation Problems in CSL-Algebra $\text{Alg}\mathcal{L}$* , to appear.
- [5] R. Kadison, *Irreducible operator algebras*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. (1957), 273–276.
- [6] E. Katsoulis, R. L. Moore, T. T. Trent, *Interpolation in nest algebras and applications to operator Corona Theorems*, J. Operator Theory **29** (1993), 115–123.
- [7] E. C. Lance, *Some properties of nest algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **19** (1969), 45–68.
- [8] N. Munch, *Compact causal data interpolation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **140** (1989), 407–418.

YOUNG SOO JO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KEIMYUNG UNIVERSITY, TAEGU 704-701, KOREA
E-mail: ysjo@kmu.ac.kr

JOO HO KANG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TAEGU UNIVERSITY, TAEGU 712-714, KOREA
E-mail: jhkang@daegu.ac.kr