

## STABILITY OF A CUBIC FUNCTIONAL EQUATION ON GROUPS

KYOO-HONG PARK AND YONG-SOO JUNG

ABSTRACT. In this note we will find out the general solution and investigate the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability for the cubic functional equation  $f(3x + y) + f(3x - y) = 3f(x + y) + 3f(x - y) + 48f(x)$  on abelian groups.

### 1. Introduction

In 1940, S. M. Ulam [15] raised the following question concerning the stability of group homomorphisms: *Under what condition does there is an additive mapping near an approximately additive mapping between a group and a metric group?*

In next year, D. H. Hyers [7] answers the problem of Ulam under the assumption that the groups are Banach spaces. A generalized version of the theorem of Hyers for approximately linear mappings was given by Th. M. Rassias [13]. Since then, the stability problems of various functional equation have been extensively investigated by a number of authors (for example, [2, 3, 6, 8, 11, 14]). In particular, one of the important functional equations studied is the following functional equation:

$$(1.1) \quad f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y).$$

The quadratic function  $f(x) = ax^2$  is a solution of this functional equation, and so one usually is said the above functional equation to be quadratic [1, 4, 10, 12].

Quadratic functional equation was used to characterize inner product spaces [1, 5, 9]. A square norm on an inner product space satisfies the important parallelogram law

$$\|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 = 2(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2).$$

---

Received January 10, 2003.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 39B72, 39B52.

Key words and phrases: stability, additive function, cubic function, quadratic function.

It is well known that a function  $f$  between real vector spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  is quadratic if and only if there exists a unique symmetric bi-additive function  $B : X \times X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f(x) = B(x, x)$  for all  $x \in X$  (see [1, 12]), where the function  $B$  is given by

$$(1.2) \quad B(x, y) = \frac{1}{4}(f(x+y) - f(x-y)) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

The Hyers-Ulam stability problem for the quadratic functional equation (1.1) was first proved by F. Skof [14] for functions  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , where  $X$  is a normed space and  $Y$  a Banach space. On the other hand, P. W. Cholewa [3] demonstrated that the theorem of Skof is still valid if  $X$  is replaced by an abelian group. In [4], S. Czerwik generalized the stability in the sense of Hyers and Ulam for the quadratic functional equation (1.1).

Now, let us introduce the following functional equation

$$(1.3) \quad f(3x+y) + f(3x-y) = 3f(x+y) + 3f(x-y) + 48f(x).$$

It is easy to see that the cubic function  $f(x) = cx^3$  is a solution of the above functional equation. So, in this note, we promise that the equation (1.3) is called a cubic functional equation and every solution of the cubic functional equation (1.3) is said to be a cubic function. Here our purpose is to establish the general solution and to examine the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability problem [6] for the equation (1.3) on abelian groups. Throughout this note, we will denote by  $(G, +)$  an abelian group.

## 2. Solutions of Eq. (1.3)

In this section, let  $X$  be a real vector space. We precede the proof of our main theorem by two trivial lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1. *A function  $f : G \rightarrow X$  satisfies the functional equation*

$$(2.1) \quad f(x+2y) + f(x-2y) = 2f(x) + 8f(y)$$

*for all  $x, y \in G$  if and only if  $f$  is quadratic.*

*Proof. (Necessity).* Let  $x = 0 = y$  in (2.1). Then we have  $f(0) = 0$ . Putting  $x = 0$  in (2.1) gives

$$(2.2) \quad f(2y) + f(-2y) = 8f(y),$$

and setting  $y = -y$  in (2.2), we obtain

$$(2.3) \quad f(-2y) + f(2y) = 8f(-y),$$

and so, by (2.2) and (2.3), we get  $f(-y) = f(y)$ , i.e.,  $f$  is an even function.

Hence from (2.2) or (2.3) it follows that

$$(2.4) \quad f(2y) = 4f(y).$$

Substituting  $x = 2x$  in (2.1), it follows from (2.4) that  $f$  is quadratic.

(*Sufficiency*). Putting  $x = 0 = y$  in (1.1) yields  $f(0) = 0$ . Replacing  $y$  by  $x$  in (1.1), we get  $f(2x) = 4f(x)$ . Therefore, the substitution  $y = 2y$  in (1.1) now gives the equation (2.1).  $\square$

LEMMA 2.2. A function  $f : G \rightarrow X$  satisfies the functional equation

$$(2.5) \quad f(x + 2y) + f(x - 2y) = 2f(x), \quad f(0) = 0$$

for all  $x, y \in G$  if and only if  $f$  is additive.

*Proof.* (*Necessity*). Replacing  $x$  by  $2y$  in (2.5), we get

$$(2.6) \quad f(4y) = 2f(2y).$$

Putting  $x = 2x$  in (2.5) and taking account of (2.6), we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad f(2x + 2y) + f(2x - 2y) = f(4x).$$

From the substitutions  $u := 2x + 2y$  and  $v := 2x - 2y$  in (2.7), it follows that

$$f(u + v) = f(u) + f(v),$$

which means that  $f$  is additive.

(*Sufficiency*). In the additive equation  $f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$ , by letting  $y = x$  and then putting  $x = x + 2y$ ,  $y = x - 2y$ , respectively, it is easy to see that  $f$  satisfies the equation (2.5).  $\square$

Our main result which presents the general solution of the equation (1.3) is

THEOREM 2.3. A function  $f : G \rightarrow X$  satisfies the functional equation (1.3) if and only if there exists a function  $F : G \times G \rightarrow X$  such that  $f(x) = F(x, x)$  for all  $x \in G$ , and for fixed  $y \in G$ , the function  $A : G \rightarrow X$  defined by  $A(x) = F(x, y)$  for all  $x \in G$  is additive and for fixed  $x \in G$ , the function  $Q : G \rightarrow X$  defined by  $Q(y) = F(x, y)$  for all  $y \in G$  is quadratic.

*Proof.* (*Necessity*). Set  $x = 0 = y$  in (1.3). Then we get  $f(0) = 0$ . Putting  $x = 0$  in (1.3) gives  $f(-y) = -f(y)$ . By letting  $y = 0$  in (1.3), we have

$$(2.8) \quad f(3x) = 27f(x).$$

Putting  $y = x$  in (1.3), we get

$$(2.9) \quad f(4x) = 2f(2x) + 48f(x).$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $3x$  in (1.3) and using (2.8), we obtain

$$(2.10) \quad 10f(2x) = f(4x) + 16f(x),$$

which, by (2.9), yields

$$(2.11) \quad f(2x) = 8f(x).$$

Replacing  $x$  and  $y$  by  $x + y$  and  $x - y$  in (1.3), respectively, we have

$$f(4x + 2y) + f(2x + 4y) = 3f(2x) + 3f(2y) + 48f(x + y),$$

which, in view of (2.11), reduces to

$$(2.12) \quad f(2x + y) + f(x + 2y) = 3f(x) + 3f(y) + 6f(x + y).$$

Putting  $x = x + 3y$  and  $y = x - 3y$  in (2.12) and then using (2.8) and (2.11), we have

$$(2.13) \quad 9f(x + y) + 9f(x - y) = f(x + 3y) + f(x - 3y) + 16f(x).$$

Let us interchange  $x$  with  $y$  in (2.13). Then we get the relation

$$(2.14) \quad 9f(x + y) - 9f(x - y) = f(3x + y) - f(3x - y) + 16f(y).$$

Then, by adding (2.12) to (2.13), we lead to

$$(2.15) \quad 18f(x + y) = f(x + 3y) + f(x - 3y) + f(3x + y) - f(3x - y) + 16f(x) + 16f(y).$$

On the other hand, if we interchange  $x$  with  $y$  in (1.3), we get

$$(2.16) \quad f(x + 3y) - f(x - 3y) = 3f(x + y) - 3f(x - y) + 48f(y).$$

Hence, according to (1.3) and (2.16), we obtain

$$(2.17) \quad 6f(x + y) = f(3x + y) + f(3x - y) + f(x + 3y) - f(x - 3y) - 48f(x) - 48f(y).$$

Now, by adding (2.15) and (2.17), we arrive at

$$(2.18) \quad f(x + 3y) + f(3x + y) = 12f(x + y) + 16f(x) + 16f(y).$$

Using (1.3), we have

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & 16f(3x + z) + 16f(3x - z) + 16f(3y + z) + 16f(3y - z) \\ &= 48f(x + z) + 48f(x - z) + 768f(x) + 48f(y + z) \\ & \quad + 48f(y - z) + 768f(y). \end{aligned}$$

Also, putting  $x = 3x + z$  and  $y = 3y + z$  in (2.18) and using (1.3), respectively, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 16f(3x + z) + 16f(3y + z) + 16f(3x - z) + 16f(3y - z) \\
 = & f(3x + 9y + 4z) + f(9x + 3y + 4z) - 12f(3x + 3y + 2z) \\
 & + f(3x + 9y - 4z) + f(9x + 3y - 4z) - 12f(3x + 3y - 2z) \\
 = & 3f(x + 3y + 4z) + 3f(x + 3y - 4z) + 48f(x + 3y) \\
 & + 3f(3x + y + 4z) + 3f(3x + y - 4z) + 48f(3x + y) \\
 & - 36f(x + y + 2z) - 36f(x + y - 2z) - 576f(x + y),
 \end{aligned}$$

which yields, by virtue of (2.19), the relation

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.20) \quad & 3f(3x + y + 4z) + 3f(3x + y - 4z) + 48f(3x + y) \\
 & + 3f(x + 3y + 4z) + 3f(x + 3y - 4z) + 48f(x + 3y) \\
 = & 48f(x + z) + 48f(x - z) + 768f(x) + 48f(y + z) \\
 & + 48f(y - z) + 768f(y) \\
 & + 36f(x + y + 2z) + 36f(x + y - 2z) + 576f(x + y).
 \end{aligned}$$

On account of (2.18) and (1.3), the left hand side of (2.19) can be written in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.21) \quad & 16f(3x + z) + 16f(3y - z) + 16f(3x - z) + 16f(3y + z) \\
 = & f(3x + 9y - 2z) + f(9x + 3y + 2z) - 12f(3x + 3y) \\
 & + f(9x + 3y - 2z) + f(3x + 9y + 2z) - 12f(3x + 3y) \\
 = & 3f(x + 3y + 2z) + 3f(x + 3y - 2z) + 48f(x + 3y) \\
 & + 3f(3x + y + 2z) + 3f(3x + y - 2z) + 48f(3x + y) \\
 & - 648f(x + y).
 \end{aligned}$$

Replacing  $z$  by  $2z$  in (2.21) and then applying (2.20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.22) \quad & 16f(3x + 2z) + 16f(3y - 2z) + 16f(3x - 2z) + 16f(3y + 2z) \\
 = & 3f(x + 3y + 4z) + 3f(x + 3y - 4z) + 48f(x + 3y) \\
 & + 3f(3x + y + 4z) + 3f(3x + y - 4z) \\
 & + 48f(3x + y) - 648f(x + y) \\
 = & 768f(x) + 768f(y) + 48f(x + z) + 48f(x - z) + 48f(y + z) \\
 & + 48f(y - z) + 36f(x + y + 2z) + 36f(x + y - 2z) - 72f(x + y).
 \end{aligned}$$

Again, making use of (2.18) and (1.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.23) \quad & 16f(3x+2z) + 16f(3x-2z) + 16f(3y+2z) + 16f(3y-2z) \\
 &= f(12x+4z) + f(12x-4z) - 12f(6x) \\
 &\quad + f(12y+4z) + f(12y-4z) - 12f(6y) \\
 &= 64f(3x+z) + 64f(3x-z) - 2592f(x) + 64f(3y+z) \\
 &\quad + 64f(3y-z) - 2592f(y) \\
 &= 64[3f(x+z) + 3f(x-z) + 48f(x) + 3f(y+z) + 3f(y-z) \\
 &\quad + 48f(y)] - 2592f(x) - 2592f(y) \\
 &= 192f(x+z) + 192f(x-z) + 480f(x) \\
 &\quad + 192f(y+z) + 192f(y-z) + 480f(y).
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, if we compare (2.22) with (2.23), then we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.24) \quad & f(x+y+2z) + f(x+y-2z) + 8f(x) + 8f(y) \\
 &= 2f(x+y) + 4f(x+z) + 4f(x-z) + 4f(y+z) + 4f(y-z)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ .

Define the function  $F : G \times G \rightarrow X$  by

$$F(x, y) = \frac{1}{36} [8f(x+y) + 8f(x-y) - f(2x+y) - f(2x-y)]$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ . Then by an simple calculation, we see that

$$F(x, x) = \frac{1}{36} [8f(2x) - f(3x) - f(x)] = f(x)$$

for all  $x \in G$ . Now, we claim that for each fixed  $x \in G$ , the function  $Q : G \rightarrow X$  defined by  $Q(y) = F(x, y)$  for all  $y \in G$  is quadratic.

Indeed, utilizing (2.24) and the oddness of  $f$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 36[F(x, y+2z) + F(x, y-2z) - 2F(x, y) - 8F(x, z)] \\
 &= 8f(x+y+2z) + 8f(x-y-2z) - f(2x+y+2z) \\
 &\quad - f(2x-y-2z) + 8f(x+y-2z) + 8f(x-y+2z) \\
 &\quad - f(2x+y-2z) - f(2x-y+2z) - 16f(x+y) - 16f(x-y) \\
 &\quad + 2f(2x+y) + 2f(2x-y) - 64f(x+z) - 64f(x-z) \\
 &\quad + 8f(2x+z) + 8f(2x-z)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 16f(x+y) + 32f(y+z) + 32f(y-z) + 32f(x+z) + 32f(x-z) \\
&\quad - 64f(x) - 64f(y) + 16f(x-y) - 32f(y-z) - 32f(y+z) \\
&\quad + 32f(x+z) + 32f(x-z) - 64f(x) + 64f(y) - 2f(2x+y) \\
&\quad - 4f(y+z) - 4f(y-z) - 4f(2x+z) - 4f(2x-z) + 64f(x) \\
&\quad + 8f(y) - 2f(2x-y) + 4f(y-z) + 4f(y+z) - 4f(2x+z) \\
&\quad - 4f(2x-z) + 64f(x) - 8f(y) - 16f(x+y) - 16f(x-y) \\
&\quad + 2f(2x+y) + 2f(2x-y) - 64f(x+z) - 64f(x-z) \\
&\quad + 8f(2x+z) + 8f(2x-z) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that  $Q$  is quadratic.

Also, by using the similar argument, we can show that for each fixed  $y \in G$ ,

$$36[F(x+2z, y) + F(x-2z, y) - 2F(x, y)] = 0$$

for all  $x \in G$ , and so we see that for each fixed  $y \in G$ , the function  $A : G \rightarrow X$  defined by  $A(x) = F(x, y)$  for all  $x \in G$  is additive by Lemma 2.2.

(*Sufficiency*). Assume that there exists a function  $F : G \times G \rightarrow X$  such that  $f(x) = F(x, x)$  for all  $x \in G$ , and for fixed  $y \in G$ ,  $A : G \rightarrow X$  defined by  $A(x) = F(x, y)$  for all  $x \in G$  is additive and for fixed  $x \in G$ ,  $Q : G \rightarrow X$  defined by  $Q(y) = F(x, y)$  for all  $y \in G$  is quadratic. Then for fixed  $w \in G$ , the function  $B_w : G \times G \rightarrow X$  defined by  $B_w(x, y) = \frac{1}{4}[F(w, x+y) - F(w, x-y)]$  for all  $x, y \in G$  is symmetric and biadditive because  $Q = F(w, \cdot)$  is quadratic [1]. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& f(3x+y) + f(3x-y) - 3f(x+y) - 3f(x-y) - 48f(x) \\
&= F(3x+y, 3x+y) + F(3x-y, 3x-y) \\
&\quad - 3F(x+y, x+y) - 3F(x-y, x-y) - 48F(x, x) \\
&= F(3x, 3x+y) + F(y, 3x+y) + F(3x, 3x-y) - F(y, 3x-y) \\
&\quad - 3F(x, x+y) - 3F(y, x+y) - 3F(x, x-y) \\
&\quad + 3F(y, x-y) - 48F(x, x) \\
&= 2F(3x, 3x) + 2F(3x, y) - 6F(x, x) - 6F(x, y) + 4B_y(3x, y) \\
&\quad - 12B_y(x, y) - 48F(x, x) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

That is,  $f$  satisfies the equation (1.3). This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

### 3. Stability of Eq. (1.3)

In this section, we will investigate the generalized Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability problem [6] for the functional equation (1.3).

Let  $\phi : G \times G \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function such that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(3^i x, 0)}{27^i} < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi(3^n x, 3^n y)}{27^n} = 0$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space. If a function  $f : G \rightarrow X$  satisfies the inequality*

$$(3.1) \quad \|f(3x+y) + f(3x-y) - 3f(x+y) - 3f(x-y) - 48f(x)\| \leq \phi(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ , then there exists a unique cubic function  $C : G \rightarrow X$  which satisfies the equation (1.3) and the inequality

$$(3.2) \quad \|f(x) - C(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{54} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(3^i x, 0)}{27^i}$$

holds for all  $x \in G$ , where the function  $C$  is given by

$$(3.3) \quad C(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(3^n x)}{27^n}$$

for all  $x \in G$ .

*Proof.* Putting  $y = 0$  in (3.1) and dividing by 54, we have

$$(3.4) \quad \left\| \frac{f(3x)}{27} - f(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{54} \phi(x, 0)$$

for all  $x \in G$ . Replacing  $x$  by  $3x$  in (3.4) and dividing by 27 and summing the resulting inequality with (3.4), we get

$$(3.5) \quad \left\| \frac{f(3^2 x)}{27^2} - f(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1}{54} \left[ \phi(x, 0) + \frac{\phi(3x, 0)}{27} \right]$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Using the induction on  $n$ , we obtain that

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f(3^n x)}{27^n} - f(x) \right\| &\leq \frac{1}{54} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\phi(3^i x, 0)}{27^i} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{54} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(3^i x, 0)}{27^i} \end{aligned}$$



for all  $x \in X$ . In order to prove convergence of the sequence  $\{\frac{f(3^n x)}{27^n}\}$ , we divide the inequality (3.6) by  $27^m$  and also replace  $x$  by  $3^m x$  to find that for  $n, m > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f(3^n 3^m x)}{27^{n+m}} - \frac{f(3^m x)}{27^m} \right\| &= \frac{1}{27^m} \left\| \frac{f(3^n 3^m x)}{27^n} - f(3^m x) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{54 \cdot 27^m} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{\phi(3^{m+i} x, 0)}{27^i} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{54} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(3^{m+i} x, 0)}{27^{m+i}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the right hand side of the inequality tends to 0 as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , the sequence  $\{\frac{f(3^n x)}{27^n}\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Therefore, we may define  $C(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 27^{-n} f(3^n x)$  for all  $x \in G$ . By letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.6), we arrive at (3.2). To show that  $C$  satisfies the equation (1.3), let us replace  $x$  and  $y$  by  $3^n x$  and  $3^n y$  in (3.1), respectively, and divide by  $27^n$ . Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 27^{-n} \|f(3^n(3x + y)) + f(3^n(3x - y)) - 3f(3^n(x + y)) \\ - 3f(3^n(x - y)) - 48f(3^n x)\| \\ \leq 27^{-n} \phi(3^n x, 3^n y), \end{aligned}$$

and by taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we see that  $C$  satisfies (1.3) for all  $x, y \in G$ .

To prove that the cubic function  $C$  is unique under the inequality (3.2), if we assume that there exists a cubic function  $S : G \rightarrow X$  which satisfies (1.3) and (3.2), then we have  $S(3^n x) = 27^n S(x)$  and  $C(3^n x) = 27^n C(x)$  for all  $x \in G$ . Hence it follows from (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|S(x) - C(x)\| &= 27^{-n} \|S(3^n x) - C(3^n x)\| \\ &\leq 27^{-n} (\|S(3^n x) - f(3^n x)\| + \|f(3^n x) - C(3^n x)\|) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{27} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(3^{n+i} x, 0)}{27^{n+i}} \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in G$ . By letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in this inequality, it is immediate that  $C$  is unique. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

From Theorem 3.1, we obtain the following corollary concerning the stability of the equation (1.3) in the sense of Hyers, Ulam and Rassias [13].

COROLLARY 3.2. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be a real normed space and a Banach space, respectively, and let  $\varepsilon \geq 0$  and  $0 \leq p < 3$  be real numbers. If a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies

$$(3.7) \quad \|f(3x+y) + f(3x-y) - 3f(x+y) - 3f(x-y) - 48f(x)\| \leq \varepsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , then there exists a unique cubic function  $C : X \rightarrow Y$  which satisfies the equation (1.3) and the inequality

$$\|f(x) - C(x)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2(27 - 3^p)} \|x\|^p$$

for all  $x \in X$ , where the function  $C$  is given by  $C(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(3^n x)}{27^n}$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Moreover, if for each fixed  $x \in X$  the mapping  $t \mapsto f(tx)$  from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $Y$  is continuous, then  $C(rx) = r^3 C(x)$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The proof of the last assertion in the above corollary goes through in the same way as the one in [4]. Unfortunately, we don't know whether the cubic equation holds the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability if  $p = 3$  is assumed in the inequality (3.7).

The following corollary is the Hyers-Ulam stability [7] of the equation (1.3) which also is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1.

COROLLARY 3.3. Let  $X$  be a Banach space, and let  $\varepsilon \geq 0$  be a real number. If a function  $f : G \rightarrow X$  satisfies

$$\|f(3x+y) + f(3x-y) - 3f(x+y) - 3f(x-y) - 48f(x)\| \leq \varepsilon$$

for all  $x, y \in G$ , then there exists a unique cubic function  $C : G \rightarrow X$  defined by  $C(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(3^n x)}{27^n}$  which satisfies the equation (1.3) and the inequality

$$\|f(x) - C(x)\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{52}$$

for all  $x \in G$ . Moreover, if for each fixed  $x \in G$  the mapping  $t \mapsto f(tx)$  from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $X$  is continuous, then  $C(rx) = r^3 C(x)$  for all  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. We would like to thank the anonymous referee for his valuable comments.

## References

- [1] J. Aczél and J. Dhombres, *Functional equations in several variables*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989.
- [2] J. Baker, *The stability of the cosine equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1980), no. 3, 411–416.

- [3] P. W. Cholewa, *Remarks on the stability of functional equations*, Aequationes Math. **27** (1984), no. 1-2, 76–86.
- [4] S. Czerwik, *On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **62** (1992), 59–64.
- [5] Dan Amir, *Characterizations of inner product spaces*, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel, 1986.
- [6] P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **184** (1994), no. 3, 431–436.
- [7] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **27** (1941), 222–224.
- [8] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias, *Stability of functional equations in several variables*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
- [9] P. Jordan and J. Von Neumann, *On inner products in linear, metric spaces*, Ann. of Math. **36** (1935), no. 3, 719–723.
- [10] S.-M. Jung, *On the Hyers-Ulam stability of the functional equations that have the quadratic property*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **222** (1998), no. 1, 126–137.
- [11] Y.-S. Jung and K.-H. Park, *On the stability of the functional equation  $f(x + y + xy) = f(x) + f(y) + xf(y) + yf(x)$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **274** (2002), no. 2, 659–666.
- [12] P. Kannappan, *Quadratic functional equation and inner product spaces*, Results Math. **27** (1995), no. 3-4, 368–372.
- [13] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), no. 2, 297–300.
- [14] F. Skof, *Proprietà locali e approssimazione di operatori*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano **53** (1983), 113–129.
- [15] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in modern mathematics*, Chap. VI, Science ed., John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1964.

KYOO-HONG PARK, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, SEOWON UNIVERSITY, CHUNGBUK 361-742, KOREA  
*E-mail*: parkkh@domino.seowon.ac.kr

YONG-SOO JUNG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHUNGNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, TAEJON 305-764, KOREA  
*E-mail*: ysjung@math.cnu.ac.kr