

ON THE RANGE CLOSURE OF AN ELEMENTARY OPERATOR

JOSE ANGEL CANAVATI, SLAVISA V. DJORDJEVIĆ,
AND BHAGWATI PRASAD DUGGAL

ABSTRACT. Let $A, B \in B(H)$ be Hilbert space contractions, and let Δ_{AB} be the elementary operator $\Delta_{AB} : X \rightarrow AXB - X$. A number of conditions which are equivalent to “ Δ_{AB} has closed range” are proved.

1. Introduction

Given an infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space H , let $B(H)$ denote the algebra of operators (equivalently, bounded linear transformations) on H . For $A, B \in B(H)$, let $\Delta_{AB} \in B(B(H))$ be the *elementary operator* defined by

$$\Delta_{AB}(X) = AXB - X.$$

Let $\sigma(\Delta_{AB})$ and $\sigma_p(\Delta_{AB})$ denote, respectively, the spectrum and the point spectrum of Δ_{AB} . It was proved in [5, Theorem 2] that if $A_1, A_2 \in B(H)$ are contractions for which $\lambda \in \sigma(A_i)$ and $|\lambda| = 1$ implies $\lambda \in \sigma_p(A_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$), then the range $\Delta_{A_1 A_2}(B(H))$ of $\Delta_{A_1 A_2}$ is closed. This paper dispenses with the hypothesis on the points in the boundary of the spectrum of the contractions A_i to prove the following theorem. For a Banach space operator T , $T \in B(\mathcal{Y})$, let $\text{iso}\sigma(T)$ denote the *isolated points of the spectrum of T* , $\text{asc}(T)$ denote the *ascent of T* , $\text{dsc}(T)$ denote the *descent of T* , and let $H_0(T)$ denote the *quasinilpotent part*

$$H_0(T) = \{y \in \mathcal{Y} : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T^n y\|^{\frac{1}{n}} = 0\}$$

of T . The operator T is *semi-regular* if $T(\mathcal{Y})$ is closed and $T^{-1}(0) \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} T^n(\mathcal{Y})$; T admits a *generalized Kato decomposition*, or GKD, if

Received November 25, 2004.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47B47, 47A10, 47A11.

Key words and phrases: elementary operators, quasinilpotent part, numerical range, pole, orthogonality.

there exists a pair of T -invariant closed subspaces (N, M) such that $\mathcal{Y} = N \oplus M$, $T|_M$ is semiregular and $T|_N$ is quasinilpotent. If in this restriction $T|_N$ is nilpotent, then T is said to be *Kato type*. Let $\gamma(T)$,

$$\gamma(T) = \inf \left\{ \frac{\|Ty\|}{\text{dist}(y, T^{-1}(0))} : y \in \mathcal{Y} \setminus T^{-1}(0) \right\}$$

(with the convention that $\gamma(T) = \infty$ if $T = 0$), denote the *reduced minimal modulus* of an operator T [9, p. 203]. Let $\alpha(T) = \dim T^{-1}(0)$ and $\beta(T) = \dim(\mathcal{Y} \setminus T\mathcal{Y})$ denote, respectively, the *null deficiency* and the *image deficiency* of T , and let $\text{ind}(T) = \alpha(T) - \beta(T)$ denote the *index* of T .

THEOREM 1.1. *If $A, B \in B(H)$ are contractions such that $0 \in \sigma(\Delta_{AB})$ then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) $B(H) = \Delta_{AB}^{-1}(0) \oplus \Delta_{AB}(B(H))$.
- (ii) 0 is a pole of the resolvent of Δ_{AB} .
- (iii) $0 \in \text{iso}\sigma(\Delta_{AB})$ and $H_0(\Delta_{AB}) = \Delta_{AB}^{-1}(0)$.
- (iv) $\Delta_{AB}(B(H))$ (equivalently, $\Delta_{AB}^*(B(H)^*)$) is closed.
- (v) $\text{dsc}(\Delta_{AB}) < \infty$.
- (vi) Δ_{AB} is Kato type.
- (vii) $\gamma(\Delta_{AB}) > 0$.
- (viii) $\{0\} \neq \Delta_{AB}^{-1}(0)$ is complemented by a Δ_{AB} invariant closed subspace of $\Delta_{AB}(B(H))$.

Furthermore: (a) If the contractions A (and B) are such that the points $\lambda \in \text{iso}\sigma(A)$ (resp., $\lambda \in \text{iso}\sigma(B)$) with $|\lambda| = 1$ are eigenvalues of A (resp., B), then 0 is a pole of the resolvent of Δ_{AB} if and only if the set $\{\alpha \in \sigma(A) : \alpha^{-1} \in \sigma(B)\}$ is finite.

(b) If $0 < \alpha(\Delta_{AB}) < \infty$, then 0 is a pole of the resolvent of Δ_{AB} if and only if $\text{ind}(\Delta_{AB}^*) = -\text{ind}(\Delta_{AB})$.

The equivalent conditions (i)–(viii) of the theorem answer [12, Question 2] and improve [5, Theorem 2]. The main tools that we use in the proof of Theorem 1.1 are the Nirschl-Schneider theorem [3, Theorem 10.10] and elements of “local spectral theory” (for which we refer the reader to the excellent monograph [9]). Our notation and terminology is explained below, and we prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 2.

The *ascent* of $T \in B(\mathcal{Y})$, $\text{asc}(T)$, is the least non-negative integer n such that $T^{-n}(0) = T^{-(n+1)}(0)$ and the *descent* of T , $\text{dsc}(T)$, is the least non-negative integer n such that $T^n(\mathcal{Y}) = T^{n+1}(\mathcal{Y})$. Clearly, the operator T is injective if and only if $\text{asc}(T) = 0$ and T is surjective if and only if $\text{dsc}(T) = 0$. In the following, we shall denote the open unit disc, the closed unit disc and the boundary of the closed unit disc in the

complex plane \mathbf{C} by \mathbf{D} , $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ and $\partial\mathbf{D}$, respectively. The *numerical range* $W(B(\mathcal{Y}), T)$ of $T \in B(\mathcal{Y})$ is the set

$$\{f(T) : f \in B(\mathcal{Y})^*, \|f\| = f(T) = 1\},$$

where $B(\mathcal{Y})^*$ denotes the (Banach space) dual of $B(\mathcal{Y})$. The numerical range of T is the closed convex hull $\overline{coV(T)}$ of the *spatial numerical range*

$$V(T) = \{F(Ty) : F \in \mathcal{Y}^*, y \in \mathcal{Y}, \|F\| = \|y\| = F(y) = 1\},$$

of T [3, Theorem 9.4]. If we denote the operator conjugate to $T \in B(\mathcal{Y})$ by T^* , then $\overline{coV(T)} = \overline{coV(T^*)}$ [3, Corollary 9.6(ii)]. Hence:

PROPOSITION 1.2. $W(B(\mathcal{Y}), T) = W(B(\mathcal{Y}^*), T^*)$.

We say that T is semi-Fredholm if $T(\mathcal{Y})$ is closed and either $\alpha(T) = \dim(T^{-1}(0))$ or $\beta(T) = \dim(\mathcal{Y}/T(\mathcal{Y}))$ is finite. If T is semi-Fredholm, then the (Fredholm) index of T , $\text{ind}(T)$, is defined by $\text{ind}(T) = \alpha(T) - \beta(T)$; T is said to be Fredholm if $\text{ind}(T)$ is finite. The *analytic core* $K(T)$ of a Banach space operator $T \in B(\mathcal{Y})$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} K(T) = \{y \in \mathcal{Y} : & \text{there exists a sequence } \{y_n\} \subset \mathcal{Y} \text{ and } \delta > 0 \\ & \text{for which } y = y_0, T(y_{n+1}) = y_n \text{ and } \|y_n\| \leq \delta^n \|y\| \\ & \text{for all } n = 1, 2, \dots\}. \end{aligned}$$

The subspaces $H_0(T)$ and $K(T)$ are generally non-closed, $TK(T) = K(T) \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty T^n(\mathcal{Y})$, and $T^{-n}(0) \subseteq H_0(T)$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$ (cf. [10]). Observe that if $0 \in \text{iso}\sigma(T)$, then $H_0(T)$ and $K(T)$ are closed.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

(i) \iff (ii). The equivalence $\mathcal{Y} = T^{-p}(0) \oplus T^p(\mathcal{Y}) \iff 0$ is a pole of the resolvent operator holds for every Banach space operator $T \in B(\mathcal{Y})$ [8, Proposition 50.2]. To prove (ii) \iff (i), we prove that $\text{asc}(\Delta_{AB}) = \text{dsc}(\Delta_{AB}) \leq 1$. To achieve this we show that 0 is in the boundary $\partial W(B(B(H)), \Delta_{AB})$ of the numerical range of Δ_{AB} . An application of the Nirschl-Schneider theorem on the eigenvalues of a Banach space operator in the boundary of the numerical range of the operator [3, Theorem 10.10] will then prove that $\text{asc}(\Delta_{AB}) \leq 1$.

Let L_A and R_B ($\in B(B(H))$) denote, respectively, the operators of “left multiplication by A ” and “right multiplication by B ”. Then the operators A and B being Hilbert space contractions it follows that

$$W(B(B(H)), L_A R_B) \subseteq \overline{\mathbf{D}}$$

(see [2, Theorem 5.2], [3] and [4]). Since (cf. [2])

$$\begin{aligned} & W(B(B(H)), \Delta_{AB}) \\ &= W(B(B(H)), L_A R_B - 1) = W(B(B(H)), L_A R_B) - 1, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that

$$W(B(B(H)), \Delta_{AB}) \subseteq \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C} : |\lambda + 1| \leq 1\}.$$

In particular,

$$0 \in \partial W(B(B(H)), \Delta_{AB}) \implies \text{asc}(\Delta_{AB}) (\text{and } \text{asc}(\Delta_{AB}^*)) \leq 1.$$

(Observe that if a Banach space operator T has finite ascent and descent, then the conjugate operator T^* satisfies $\text{asc}(T^*) = \text{dsc}(T)$ and $\text{dsc}(T^*) = \text{asc}(T)$. Hence $\text{asc}(\Delta_{AB}^*) = \text{dsc}(\Delta_{AB}^*) \leq 1$, which implies that if (i) holds then 0 is a simple pole of the resolvent of Δ_{AB}^* . Hence 0 is an eigenvalue of both Δ_{AB} and Δ_{AB}^* .)

(ii) \iff (iii). Evidently, (ii) \implies (iii). For the reverse implication, we observe that if $0 \in \text{iso}\sigma(\Delta_{AB})$, then $B(H) = H_0(\Delta_{AB}) \oplus K(\Delta_{AB})$. Thus, if $H_0(\Delta_{AB}) = \Delta_{AB}^{-1}(0)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} B(H) &= \Delta_{AB}^{-1}(0) \oplus K(\Delta_{AB}) \\ \implies \Delta_{AB}(B(H)) &= 0 \oplus \Delta_{AB}(K(\Delta_{AB})) = K(\Delta_{AB}) \\ \implies B(H) &= \Delta_{AB}^{-1}(0) \oplus \Delta_{AB}(B(H)). \end{aligned}$$

(iv) \iff (i). For an arbitrary subset M of the Banach space \mathcal{Y} , let

$$M^\perp = \{\phi \in \mathcal{Y}^* : \phi(y) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in M\},$$

denote the *annihilator* of M in \mathcal{Y}^* . Recall that if $T \in B(\mathcal{Y})$, then $T(\mathcal{Y})^\perp = T^{*-1}(0)$, and if $T(\mathcal{Y})$ is closed, then $T^{-1}(0)^\perp = T^*(\mathcal{Y}^*)$.

As we saw above, $\text{asc}(\Delta_{AB})$ and $\text{asc}(\Delta_{AB}^*)$ are both less or equal to 1; hence $\Delta_{AB}^{-1}(0) \cap \Delta_{AB}(B(H)) = \{0\} = \Delta_{AB}^{*-1}(0) \cap \Delta_{AB}^*(B(H)^*)$ [9, Lemma 4.10.1]. If $\Delta_{AB}(B(H))$ is closed, then $\text{asc}(\Delta_{AB}) \leq 1$ implies $\Delta_{AB}^{-1}(0) + \Delta_{AB}(B(H))$ is closed [9, Proposition 4.10.4]. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\Delta_{AB}^{-1}(0) + \Delta_{AB}(B(H))\}^\perp &= \Delta_{AB}(B(H))^\perp \cap \Delta_{AB}^{-1}(0)^\perp \\ &= \Delta_{AB}^{*-1}(0) \cap \Delta_{AB}^*(B(H)^*) \\ &= \{0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\text{dsc}(\Delta_{AB}) \leq 1$, which implies that $\text{asc}(\Delta_{AB}) = \text{dsc}(\Delta_{AB}) \leq 1 \implies$ (i). Evidently, (i) \implies (iv).

(v) \iff (i). Obvious, since $\text{asc}(\Delta_{AB}) \leq 1$.

(vi) \iff (i). The implication (i) \implies (vi) is obvious. For the implication (vi) \implies (i), we observe that both $\text{asc}(\Delta_{AB})$ and $\text{asc}(\Delta_{AB}^*)$ are

finite. If $\Delta_{A,B}$ is Kato type, then an application of [1, Theorem 2.9] shows that $\text{dsc}(\Delta_{AB}) \leq 1$.

(iv) \iff (vii). Evident (see [9, p. 203]).

(viii) \iff (iii). Observe that if (viii) holds, then $B(H) = \Delta_{AB}^{-1}(0) \oplus M$ for some closed subspace M of $\Delta_{AB}(B(H))$. Consequently,

$$\Delta_{AB}(B(H)) = 0 \oplus \Delta_{AB}(M) \subseteq M \subseteq \Delta_{AB}(B(H)).$$

Hence $B(H) = \Delta_{AB}^{-1}(0) \oplus \Delta_{AB}(B(H)) \implies$ (iii). The reverse implication (iii) \implies (viii) being obvious (from the proof of (iii) \implies (ii)), the equivalence follows.

To complete the proof of the theorem we now consider (a) and (b). To prove (a), we observe that if 0 is a pole of the resolvent of Δ_{AB} , then $0 \in \text{iso}\sigma(\Delta_{AB})$. Recall [7] that $\sigma(\Delta_{AB}) = \{\alpha\beta - 1 : \alpha \in \sigma(A), \beta \in \sigma(B)\}$. Hence, if $0 \in \text{iso}\sigma(\Delta_{AB})$, then the set $\{\alpha \in \sigma(A) : \alpha^{-1} \in \sigma(B)\}$ is finite. Conversely, if $0 \in \text{iso}\sigma(\Delta_{AB})$, and the isolated points of $\sigma(A)$ and $\sigma(B)$ in $\partial\mathbf{D}$ are eigenvalues of A and B (respectively), then the argument of the proof of Theorem 2 of [5] implies that $H_0(\Delta_{AB}) = \Delta_{AB}^{-1}(0)$ (i.e., (iii) is satisfied), which implies that 0 is a pole of the resolvent of Δ_{AB} . To prove (b), we start by observing that if 0 is a pole of the resolvent of Δ_{AB} , then $\text{asc}(\Delta_{AB}) = \text{dsc}(\Delta_{AB}) (= \text{asc}(\Delta_{AB}^*) = \text{dsc}(\Delta_{AB}^*)) \leq 1$. Since $\text{asc}(\Delta_{AB}) < \infty \implies \beta(\Delta_{AB}) \geq \alpha(\Delta_{AB})$ and $\text{dsc}(\Delta_{AB}) < \infty \implies \beta(\Delta_{AB}) \leq \alpha(\Delta_{AB})$ [8, Proposition 38.5], our hypothesis $0 < \alpha(\Delta_{AB}) < \infty$ implies that Δ_{AB} is Fredholm. Hence $\text{ind}(\Delta_{AB}^*) = -\text{ind}(\Delta_{AB})$. Conversely, the implications $\text{asc}(\Delta_{AB}) \leq 1 \implies \text{ind}(\Delta_{AB}) \leq 0$ and $\text{asc}(\Delta_{AB}^*) \leq 1 \implies \text{ind}(\Delta_{AB}^*) = -\text{ind}(\Delta_{AB}) \leq 0$ imply that $\alpha(\Delta_{AB}) = \beta(\Delta_{AB}) < \infty$. Hence Δ_{AB} is Fredholm of index 0. But then, see [1, Corollary 2.10], $\text{asc}(\Delta_{AB}) = \text{dsc}(\Delta_{AB}) \leq 1 \implies 0$ is a pole of the resolvent of Δ_{AB} . \square

REMARK. If M and N are subspaces of a Banach space \mathcal{Y} , then we say that M is orthogonal to N (in the sense of Garret Birkhoff - see [6, Page 93]), denoted $M \perp N$, if $\|m\| \leq \|m + n\|$ for all $m \in M$ and $n \in N$. This asymmetric definition of orthogonality agrees with the usual definition of orthogonality in the case in which \mathcal{Y} is a Hilbert space. Observe, from the proof of Theorem 1.1, that if $0 \in \sigma_p(\Delta_{AB})$, then $0 \in \partial W(B(B(H)), \Delta_{AB})$, which implies by a result of Sinclair [11, Proposition 1] that $\Delta_{AB}^{-1}(0) \perp \Delta_{AB}(B(H))$. Thus, if $\mu \in \sigma_p(\Delta_{AB}) \cap \partial W(B(B(H)), \Delta_{AB})$, then $(\Delta_{AB} - \mu)^{-1}(0) \perp (\Delta_{AB} - \mu)(B(H))$. For distinct eigenvalues μ_1 and $\mu_2 \in \partial W(B(B(H)), \Delta_{AB})$, A and $B \in B(H)$ are some operators, we have the following.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *If $\mu_i \in \sigma_p(\Delta_{AB}) \cap \partial W(B(B(H)), \Delta_{AB})$, $i = 1, 2$ and $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$, then $(\Delta_{AB} - \mu_1)^{-1}(0)$ and $(\Delta_{AB} - \mu_2)^{-1}(0)$ are mutually orthogonal.*

Proof. The hypothesis $\mu_1 \in \sigma_p(\Delta_{AB}) \cap \partial W(B(B(H)), \Delta_{AB})$ implies $(\Delta_{AB} - \mu_1)^{-1}(0) \perp (\Delta_{AB} - \mu_1)(B(H))$. Let $\Delta_{AB}T = \mu_2T$; $T \in B(H)$. Since

$$(\Delta_{AB} - \mu_1)(T) = (\Delta_{AB} - \mu_2)(T) + (\mu_2 - \mu_1)T,$$

$$T \in (\Delta_{AB} - \mu_1)(B(H)) \implies (\Delta_{AB} - \mu_1)^{-1}(0) \perp (\Delta_{AB} - \mu_2)^{-1}(0).$$

Reversing the roles of μ_1 and μ_2 , we have $(\Delta_{AB} - \mu_2)^{-1}(0) \perp (\Delta_{AB} - \mu_1)^{-1}(0)$, and the proof is complete. \square

References

- [1] P. Aiena and O. Monsalve, *The single valued extension property and the generalized Kato decomposition property*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **67** (2001), no. 3-4, 791–807.
- [2] J. Anderson and C. Foias, *Properties which normal operators share with normal derivations and related operators*, Pacific J. Math. **61** (1975), no. 2, 313–325.
- [3] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Numerical Ranges of Operators on Normed Spaces and of Elements of Normed Algebras*, Lond. Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series 2, 1971.
- [4] ———, *Numerical ranges II*, Lond. Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series 10, Cambridge University Press, New York-London, 1973.
- [5] B. P. Duggal and R. E. Harte, *Range-kernel orthogonality and range closure of an elementary operator*, Monatsch. Math. **143** (2004), no. 3, 179–187.
- [6] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators, Part I*, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, New York, 1968.
- [7] M. R. Embry and M. Rosenblum, *Spectra, tensor products, and linear operator equations*, Pacific J. Math. **53** (1974), 95–107.
- [8] H. G. Heuser, *Functional Analysis*, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, 1982.
- [9] K. B. Laursen and M. M. Neumann, *An Introduction to Local Spectral Theory*, Lond. Math. Soc. Monographs (N. S.) 20, Oxford Univ. Press, 2000.
- [10] M. Mbekhta, *Généralisation de la décomposition de Kato aux opérateurs paranormaux et spectraux*, Glasgow Math. J. **29** (1987), no. 2, 159–175.
- [11] A. M. Sinclair, *Eigenvalues in the boundary of the numerical range*, Pacific J. Math. **35** (1970), 231–234.
- [12] A. Turnšek, *Orthogonality in C_p classes*, Monatsh. Math. **132** (2001), no. 4, 349–354.

JOSE ANGEL CANAVATI, CIMAT, APDO. POSTAL 402, GUANAJUATO, GTO, 36000, MEXICO

E-mail: canavati@cimat.mx

SLAVISA V. DJORDJEVIĆ, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS FÍSICO-MATEMÁTICAS, BUAP,
APDO. POSTAL 1152, PUEBLA, PUE. 72000, MEXICO

E-mail: slavdj@cfm.buap.mx

BHAGWATI PRASAD DUGGAL, 8 REDWOOD GROVE, NORTHFIELD AVENUE, LONDON
W15 4SZ, ENGLAND, U.K.

E-mail: bpduggal@yahoo.co.uk