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1. Introduction

In [8], Lupaș type operators are defined as:

\[ (B_n f) (x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n,k}(x) f \left( \frac{k}{n+1} \right), \]  

where

\[ b_{n,k}(x) = \frac{1}{\beta(k+1,n)} \frac{x^k}{(1+x)^{n+k+1}}, \quad x \in [0,\infty) \equiv R_0, \quad n \in N := \{1,2,\ldots\}, \]

and \( \beta(v+1,n) \) denotes as:

\[ \beta(v+1,n) = \frac{\Gamma(v+1)\Gamma n}{\Gamma(v+n+1)}, \]

in polynomial weighted spaces \( C_p \), such type operators were examined in [2].

Author [4] has given Durrmeyer variant of these operators as:

\[ (D_n f) (x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n,k}(x) \int_0^\infty b_{n,k}(t)f(t)dt, \]
and studied some direct results of these operators. Now in this paper, we consider bivariate Lupas type operators as:

\[ B_{n,n}(f; x, y) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} b_{n,k}(x)b_{n,l}(y)f \left( \frac{k}{n+1}, \frac{l}{n+1} \right) \tag{3} \]

where \( n \in \mathbb{N}, (x, y) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \equiv \mathbb{R}^2_0 \) and \( f \in C([0, \infty) \times [0, \infty)). \)

Now we propose Durrmeyer variant of (3) as:

\[ Z_{n}^{[i,j]}(f; x, y) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} b_{n,k}^{(i)}(x)b_{n,l}^{(j)}(y) \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} b_{n,k}(s) b_{n,l}(t) f(s, t) ds dt. \tag{4} \]

In particular, if \( f^{(0)}(x) = f(x) \) then the meanings of \( b_{n,0}^{(i)}(f; x, y) \) and \( b_{0,j}^{(i)}(f; x, y) \) are clear. By \( H([0, \infty])^2 \), we denote the class of all measurable functions defined on \([0, \infty)\) satisfying

\[ \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{|f(s)||f(t)|}{(1+s)(1+t)^{n+1}} ds dt < \infty, \text{ for some positive integer } n. \]

Similar type operators were studied by several researchers (see e.g. [3], [5], [7], [10], [11], [12]). In the present paper, we study the degree of approximation and Voronovskaya type theorem for two dimensional Lupas type operators \( B_{n,n}(f, x, y) \) and at the end of this paper, we obtain the properties of simultaneous approximation and several asymptotic formulas for the partial derivative of these operators.

Throughout this paper \( \phi(x) = x(1+x) \) and \( C_k(g, h) \), \( k = 1, 2, ... \), will denote positive constants depending only on parameters \( g, h. \)

### 2. Auxiliary Results

In this section we give some notational convention, definitions and lemmas, which will be needed to prove our main results, given in section 3.

The space \( C_p, p \in N_0 := \{0, 1, 2, ...\} \), is associated with the weighted function

\[ \omega_0(x) := 1, \quad \omega_p(x) := (1 + x^p)^{-1} \quad \text{if } p \geq 1, x \in \mathbb{R}_0 \tag{5} \]

and consists of all real-valued functions \( f \), continuous on \( \mathbb{R}_0 \) and such that \( w_p f \) is uniformly continuous and bounded on \( \mathbb{R}_0 \). The norm on \( C_p \) is defined by the formula

\[ \|f\|_p \equiv \|f(.)\|_p := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}_0} \omega_p |f(x)|. \]

For given \( p, q \in N_0 \), we define the weighted function \( \omega_{p,q} \) on \( \mathbb{R}^2_0 \) as

\[ \omega_{p,q}(x, y) := \omega_p(x)\omega_q(y), \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2_0 := \mathbb{R}_0 \times \mathbb{R}_0, \tag{6} \]
and the weighted space $C_{p,q}$ of all real-valued functions $f$ continuous on $R_0^2$ for which $\omega_{p,q}f$ is uniformly continues and bounded on $R_0^2$. The norm on $C_{p,q}$ is defined by the formula

$$\|f\|_{p,q} = \|f(.,.)\|_{p,q} := \sup_{(x,y) \in R_0^2} \omega_{p,q}(x,y) |f(x,y)|. \quad (7)$$

The modulus of continuity of $f \in C_{p,q}$ we define as usual by the formula

$$\omega(f, C_{p,q}; t, s) := \sup_{0 \leq h \leq t, \ 0 \leq \delta \leq s} \|\Delta_{h, \delta} f(.,.)\|_{p,q}, \quad t, s \geq 0, \quad (8)$$

where $\Delta_{h, \delta} f(x,y) := f(x+h, y+\delta) - f(x,y)$ for $h, \delta \in R_0$ and $(x,y) \in R_0^2$.

Let $C_{p,q}^m$ be the set of all the functions $f \in C_{p,q}$ having partial derivatives

$$\frac{\partial^m f}{\partial x^j \partial y^{m-j}} \in C_{p,q},$$

for fixed $m \in N$, $p, q \in N_0$ and $k = 1, 2, ..., m$.

**Lemma 2.1.** Suppose $n \in N$ and $x \in R_0$, then it is easily verified from (1)

$$B_n(1;x) = 1,$$

$$B_n((t-x);x) = 0,$$

$$B_n((t-x)^2;x) = \frac{\phi(x)}{n + 1}. \quad (9)$$

**Lemma 2.2.** [8] For all $(x,y) \in R_0^2$ and $n \in N$, we get

$$B_{n,n}(1;x,y) = 1,$$  \(B_{n,n}(1;x,y) = 1, \quad (9)\)

Moreover, if $f \in C_{p,q}$ and $f(x,y) = f_1(x)f_2(y)$ for all $(x,y) \in R_0^2$ and for $n \in N$, then we obtain

$$B_{n,n}(f(t,v);x,y) = B_n(f_1(t);x)B_n(f_2(v);y). \quad (10)$$

**Lemma 2.3.** [1] For ever fixed $x_0 \in R_0$ there exists a positive constant $\mathcal{C}_1(x)$ such that

$$B_n((t-x_0)^4;x_0) \leq \mathcal{C}_1(x_0)(n+1)^{-2}, \quad \text{for } n \in N,$$

Now for every $p \in N_0$ there exist positive constants $\mathcal{C}_k(p)$, $k = 2,3$, such that

$$\omega_p(x)B_n\left(\frac{1}{\omega_p(t)};x\right) \leq \mathcal{C}_2(p),$$

$$\omega_p(x)B_n\left(\frac{(t-x)^2}{\omega_p(t)};x\right) \leq \mathcal{C}_3(p)\frac{\phi(x)}{n+1},$$

for all $x_0 \in R_0$ and $n \in N$.

**Lemma 2.4.** For every fixed $p \in N_0$ there exists positive constants $\mathcal{C}_4(p)$ such that for all $x \in R_0$, $n \in N$, we have

$$\omega_p(x)\sum_{k=0}^\infty \left[\frac{1}{n} \frac{d}{dx} b_n,k(x) \right] \left(\omega_p\left(\frac{k}{n+1}\right)\right)^{-1} \leq (n+1)\mathcal{C}_4(p) \quad (11)$$
Proof. Applying (1) and (5), we get
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{n} \frac{d}{dx} b_{n,k}(x) \right| \left( \omega_p \left( \frac{k}{n+1} \right) \right)^{-1} \\
\leq \frac{1}{n} \frac{(n+1)}{(1+x)} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n,k}(x) \left( \omega_p \left( \frac{k}{n+1} \right) \right)^{-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n+1,k}(x) \left( \omega_p \left( \frac{k+1}{n+1} \right) \right)^{-1} \right\} \\
\leq (n+1) \left\{ B_n \left( \frac{1}{\omega_p(t)} ; x \right) + B_{n+1} \left( \frac{1}{\omega_p(t)} ; x \right) \right\},
\]
this lead to (11) by above Lemma. This complete the proof of Lemma 2.4. □

Lemma 2.5. For every fixed \( p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) there exist two positive constants \( C_5(p, q) \) and \( C_6(p, q) \), such that
\[
\| B_{n,n} \left( \frac{1}{\omega_p(q,t,v)} ; x, y \right) \|_{p,q} \leq C_5(p, q), \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (12)
\]
Moreover for every \( f \in C_{p,q} \) and for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) we have
\[
\| B_{n,n}(f;\ldots) \|_{p,q} \leq C_5(p, q) \| f \|_{p,q}, \quad (13)
\]
\[
\| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} B_{n,n}(f; x,y) \|_{p,q} \leq (n+1)C_6(p, q) \| f \|_{p,q}, \quad (14)
\]
\[
\| \frac{\partial}{\partial y} B_{n,n}(f; x,y) \|_{p,q} \leq (n+1)C_6(p, q) \| f \|_{p,q}. \quad (15)
\]
Thus, \( B_{n,n} \) is a linear operators from the space \( C_{p,q} \) into \( C^1_{p,q} \).

Proof. From (5), (6) and (10) we get for \( (x,y) \in R^2_0 \) and \( n \in \mathbb{N} \)
\[
\omega_p(q,x,y)B_{n,n} \left( \frac{1}{\omega_p(q,t,v)} ; x,y \right) = \left( \omega_p(x)B_n \left( \frac{1}{\omega_p(t)} ; x \right) \right) \left( \omega_q(y)B_n \left( \frac{1}{\omega_q(v)} ; y \right) \right)
\]
Using Lemma 2.3 and from (7), we obtain (12).

Now from (6), (7) and (3) we get for \( f \in C_{p,q} \) and for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \)
\[
\| B_{n,n}(f;\ldots) \|_{p,q} \leq \| f \|_{p,q} \| B_{n,n} \left( \frac{1}{\omega_p(q,t,v)} ; \ldots \right) \|_{p,q},
\]
which by (12) implies (13). Moreover, we obtain from (3)
\[
\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} B_{n,n}(f;x,y) \right| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{n} \frac{d}{dx} b_{n,i}(x) \right| \left| b_{n,k}(y) \right| f \left( \frac{l}{n+1}, \frac{k}{n+1} \right),
\]
which implies, from (5) to (7) and Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, we get
\[ \omega_{p,q}(x,y) \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} B_{n,n}(f;x,y) \right| \leq \|f\|_{p,q} \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \omega_{p}(x)^{\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} b'_{n,l}(x)} \left( \omega_{p} \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right)^{-1} \right\} \omega_{q}(y) B_{n} \left( \frac{1}{\omega_{q}(y)} ; y \right) \]

which leads (14). The proof of (15) is analogous. \(\square\)

**Lemma 2.6.** [6] For \(m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\), if

\[ T_{n,m}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n,k}(x) \left( \frac{k}{n+1} - x \right)^{m}, \]

then

\[ (n + 1)T_{n,m+1}(x) = x(1 + x) \left[ T'_{n,m}(x) + mT_{n,m-1}(x) \right]. \]

Consequently, we have

(i) \(T_{n,m}(x)\) is a polynomial in \(x\) of degree \(\leq m\).

(ii) \(T_{n,m}(x) = O \left( n^{-[(m+1)/2]} \right)\), where \([\gamma]\) denotes the integral part of \(\gamma\).

**Lemma 2.7.** There exists the polynomials \(q_{c,h,r}(x)\) independent of \(n\) and \(k\) such that

\[ x^{r}(1 + x)^{r} \frac{d^{r}}{dx^{r}} b_{n,k}(x) = \sum_{2r + h \leq r, c, h \geq 0} (n + 1)^{c} \{ k - (n + 1)x \}^{h} q_{c,h,r}(x) b_{n,k}(x). \quad (16) \]

*Proof.* The proof of this lemma follows along the lines of [9, Theorem 1.8.1, p. 26]. \(\square\)

**Lemma 2.8.** [4] For \(i, r \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}\) and \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), if

\[ U_{n,r,i}(x) = \frac{1}{(n+r)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n+r,k}(x) \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{n-r,k+r}(s-x)^{i} dt \]

then, we have following recurrence relation:

\[ (n - i - r - 1)U_{n,r,i+1}(x) = x(1 + x) \left[ U'_{n,r,i}(x) + 2iU_{n,r,i-1}(x) \right. \]

\[ \left. + (1 + 2x)(r + i + 1)U_{n,r,i}(x) \right]. \quad (17) \]

where \(n > i + r + 1\). Consequently,

(i): we have

\[ U_{n,r,0}(x) = 1, \quad U_{n,r,1}(x) = \frac{(1 + 2x)(1 + r)}{(n - r - 1)} \]

and

\[ U_{n,r,2}(x) = \frac{x(1 + x)2n}{(n - r - 1)(n - r - 2)} + \frac{(1 + 2x)^{2}(r + 1)(r + 2)}{(n - r - 1)(n - r - 2)} \]
(ii): for all $x \in [0, \infty)$, we get

$$U_{n,r_1}(x) = O\left(n^{-\left\lfloor \frac{\alpha}{2} \right\rfloor}\right),$$

where $\lfloor \alpha \rfloor$ stands for the integral part of $\alpha$.

**Lemma 2.9.** [4] Suppose that

$$S_{n,r_1,r_2,i,j}(x,y) = \frac{1}{(n + r_1)(n + r_2)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} b_{n+r_1,k}(x)b_{n+r_2,l}(y) \cdot \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty b_{n-1,k+r_1}(s)b_{n-2,i+j}(t)(s - x)^i(t - y)^j \, ds \, dt = U_{n,r_1,i}(x)U_{n,r_2,j}(y),$$

then we obtain the following results by Lemma 2.8

$$S_{n,r_1,r_2,0,0}(x,y) = 1, \quad S_{n,r_1,r_2,i,j}(x,y) = O\left(n^{-\left(\left\lfloor \frac{\alpha}{2} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{\beta}{2} \right\rfloor\right)}\right),$$

and

$$S_{n,r_1,r_2,1,0}(x,y) = \frac{(r_1 + 1)(1 + 2x)}{(n - r_1 - 1)}, \quad S_{n,r_1,r_2,0,1}(x,y) = \frac{(r_2 + 1)(1 + 2y)}{(n - r_2 - 1)},$$

and

$$S_{n,r_1,r_2,1,1}(x,y) = \frac{(r_1 + 1)(r_2 + 1)(1 + 2x)(1 + 2y)}{(n - r_1 - 1)(n - r_2 - 1)},$$

and

$$S_{n,r_1,r_2,2,0}(x,y) = \frac{2(n - 1)x(1 + x) + (r_1 + 1)(r_2 + 2)(1 + 2x)^2}{(n - r_1 - 1)(n - r_2 - 2)},$$

and

$$S_{n,r_1,r_2,0,2}(x,y) = \frac{2(n - 1)y(1 + y) + (r_2 + 1)(r_2 + 2)(1 + 2y)^2}{(n - r_1 - 1)(n - r_2 - 2)}.$$

**Lemma 2.10.** [4] If $f(x,y)$ exist $r_1 + r_2$ derivatives on $[0, \infty)$, then we obtain

$$Z_{r_1,r_2}^n(f;x,y) = C(n, r_1, r_2) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} b_{n+r_1,k}(s)b_{n+r_2,l}(t) \cdot \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty b_{n-1,k+r_1}(s)b_{n-2,i+j}(t) \frac{\partial^{r_1+r_2}}{\partial s^{r_1} \partial t^{r_2}} f(s,t) \, ds \, dt,$$

where

$$C(n, r_1, r_2) = \frac{(n - r_1 - 1)!(n - r_2 - 1)!(n + r_1 - 1)!(n + r_2 - 1)!}{n!(n - 1)!}.$$

### 3. Main Results

In this section we shall give total four theorems, first two theorems on the degree of approximation of functions by $B_{n,n}$ and the last two theorems are Voronovskaya type theorems.

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose that $f \in C^1_{p,q}$ with fixed $p, q \in N_0$, then there exists a positive constant $C_{r_1} = C_{r_1}(p,q)$ such that for all $(x,y) \in R^2_+$ and $n \in N$
\[ \omega_{p,q}(x,y) |B_{n,n}(f; x, y) - f(x,y)| \leq \varepsilon \left\{ \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right\|_{p,q} \sqrt{\frac{\phi(x)}{n+1}} + \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right\|_{p,q} \sqrt{\frac{\phi(y)}{n+1}} \right\}. \]  

**Proof.** Let \((x,y) \in R^2_+\) be a fixed point then for \(f \in C^1_{p,q}\) we have

\[ f(t,v) - f(x,y) = \int_x^t \frac{\partial}{\partial u} f(u,v) du + \int_y^v \frac{\partial}{\partial z} f(x,z) dz, \quad (t,v) \in R^2_0. \]

From (9), we obtain

\[ B_{n,n} (f(t,v); x, y) - f(x,y) = B_{n,n} \left( \int_x^t \frac{\partial}{\partial u} f(u,v) du; x, y \right) + B_{n,n} \left( \int_y^v \frac{\partial}{\partial z} f(x,z) dz; x, y \right) \]

From (5) to (7), we get

\[ \left| \int_x^t \frac{\partial}{\partial u} f(u,v) du \right| \leq \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right\|_{p,q} \left( \frac{1}{\omega_{p,q}(t,v)} + \frac{1}{\omega_{p,q}(x,v)} \right) |t-x|, \]

and similar manner, we obtain

\[ \left| \int_y^v \frac{\partial}{\partial z} f(x,z) dz \right| \leq \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right\|_{p,q} \left( \frac{1}{\omega_{p,q}(x,v)} + \frac{1}{\omega_{p,q}(x,y)} \right) |v-y|. \]

Using these inequalities and from (10), we have for all \(m, n \in N\)

\[ \omega_{p,q}(x,y) \left| B_{n,n} \left( \int_x^t \frac{\partial}{\partial u} f(u,v) du; x, y \right) \right| \]

\[ \leq \omega_{p,q}(x,y) B_{n,n} \left( \left| \int_x^t \frac{\partial}{\partial u} f(u,v) du \right| ; x, y \right) \]

\[ \leq \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right\|_{p,q} \omega_{p,q}(x,y) \left\{ B_{n,n} \left( \frac{|t-x|}{\omega_{p,q}(t,v)}; x, y \right) + B_{n,n} \left( \frac{|t-x|}{\omega_{p,q}(x,v)}; x, y \right) \right\} \]

\[ = \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \right\|_{p,q} \omega_{p,q}(x,y) B_n \left( \frac{1}{\omega_p(v)} ; x \right) \left\{ \omega_p(x) B_n \left( \frac{|t-x|}{\omega_p(t)} ; x \right) + B_n(\omega_p(t); x) \right\}, \]

again by similar manner, we obtain the following

\[ \omega_{p,q}(x,y) \left| B_{n,n} \left( \int_y^v \frac{\partial}{\partial z} f(x,z) dz; x, y \right) \right| \]

\[ = \left\| \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \right\|_{p,q} \omega_p(x) B_n \left( \frac{1}{\omega_p(z)} ; x \right) \left\{ \omega_q(y) B_n \left( \frac{|v-y|}{\omega_q(y)} ; y \right) + B_n(\omega_p(t); y) \right\}, \]
Applying Hölder inequality, Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3, we have
\[ B_n(|t - x|; x) \leq \left\{ B_n((t - x)^2; x) B_n(1; x) \right\}^{1/2} \leq \sqrt{\frac{\phi(x)}{n + 1}}, \]
and
\[ \omega_p(x) B_n \left( \frac{|t - x|}{\omega_p(t)} ; x \right) \leq \omega_p(x) \left\{ B_n \left( \frac{(t - x)^2}{\omega_p(t)} ; x \right) B_n \left( \frac{1}{\omega_p(t)} ; x \right) \right\}^{1/2} \leq \mathcal{C}_8(p) \sqrt{\frac{\phi(x)}{n + 1}}. \]

Similarly, for \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), we get
\[ B_n(|v - y|; y) \leq \sqrt{\frac{\phi(y)}{n + 1}}, \]
\[ \omega_p(y) B_n \left( \frac{|v - y|}{\omega_p(v)} ; y \right) \leq \mathcal{C}_9(q) \sqrt{\frac{\phi(y)}{n + 1}}. \]

Combining these estimations, we derive from (21)
\[ \omega_{p,q}(x, y) |B_{n,n}(f(t, v); x, y) - f(x, y)| \leq \mathcal{C}_{10}(p, q) \sqrt{\frac{\phi(x)}{n + 1}} + \sqrt{\frac{\phi(y)}{n + 1}}, \]
for all \( m, n \in \mathbb{N} \), where \( \mathcal{C}_{10} = \mathcal{C}_{10}(p, q) = \text{constant} > 0 \). This ends the proof of (19). \( \square \)

**Theorem 3.2.** Suppose that \( f \in C_{p,q} \), \( p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0 \), then there exists a positive constant \( \mathcal{C}_{11} = \mathcal{C}_{11}(p, q) \) such that for all \((x, y) \in 
\[ \omega_{p,q}(x, y) |B_{n,n}(f(t, v); x, y) - f(x, y)| \leq \mathcal{C}_{11} \omega \left( f, C_{p,q}; \sqrt{\frac{\phi(x)}{n + 1}}, \sqrt{\frac{\phi(y)}{n + 1}} \right). \] (22)

**Proof.** Applying the Steklov function \( f_{h,\delta} \) for \( f \in C_{p,q} \)
\[ f_{h,\delta}(x, y) := \frac{1}{h\delta} \int_0^h du \int_0^\delta f(x + u, y + z) dz, \quad (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_0^2; h, \delta > 0. \] (23)

Using (23), we get
\[ f_{h,\delta}(x, y) - f(x, y) = \frac{1}{h\delta} \int_0^h du \int_0^\delta \Delta_{u,z} f(x, y) dz, \]
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f_{h,\delta}(x, y) = \frac{1}{h\delta} \int_0^\delta (\Delta_{h,z} f(x, y) - \Delta_{0,z} f(x, y)) dz, \]
\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f_{h,\delta}(x, y) = \frac{1}{h\delta} \int_0^h (\Delta_{u,\delta} f(x, y) - \Delta_{u,0} f(x, y)) du. \]

This implies that \( f_{h,\delta} \in C^1_{p,q} \) for \( f \in C_{p,q} \) and \( h, \delta > 0 \). Moreover, from (7) and (8), we obtain
\[ \| f_{h,\delta} - f \|_{p,q} \leq \omega (f, C_{p,q}; h, \delta), \] (24)
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\[
\left\| \frac{\partial f_{h,\delta}}{\partial x} \right\|_{p,q} \leq 2h^{-1}\omega (f, C_{p,q}; h, \delta),
\]

(25)

\[
\left\| \frac{\partial f_{h,\delta}}{\partial y} \right\|_{p,q} \leq \delta^{-1}\omega (f, C_{p,q}; h, \delta),
\]

(26)

for all \( h, \delta > 0 \). Thus

\[
\omega_{p,q}(x, y) |B_{n,n}(f(t, v); x, y) - f(x, y)|
\]

\[
\leq \omega_{p,q}(x, y) \left\{ |B_{n,n}(f(t, v) - f_{h,\delta}(t, v); x, y)| + |B_{n,n}(f_{h,\delta}(t, v); x, y) - f_{h,\delta}(x, y)| + |f_{h,\delta}(x, y) - f(x, y)| \right\} := T_1 + T_2 + T_3
\]

From (7), (13) and (24), we get

\[
T_1 \leq \|B_{n,n}(f - f_{h,\delta}; \cdot, \cdot)\|_{p,q} \leq \mathcal{C}_5 \|f - f_{h,\delta}\|_{p,q} \leq \mathcal{C}_5 \omega (f, C_{p,q}; h, \delta),
\]

\[
T_3 \leq \omega (f, C_{p,q}; h, \delta).
\]

Using Theorem 3.1 and (25) and (26), we obtain

\[
T_2 \leq \mathcal{C}_7 \left\{ \left\| \frac{\partial f_{h,\delta}}{\partial x} \right\|_{p,q} \sqrt{\frac{\phi(x)}{n + 1}} + \left\| \frac{\partial f_{h,\delta}}{\partial y} \right\|_{p,q} \sqrt{\frac{\phi(y)}{n + 1}} \right\}
\]

\[
\leq 2\mathcal{C}_7 \omega (f, C_{p,q}; h, \delta) \left\{ h^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{\phi(x)}{n + 1}} + \delta^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{\phi(y)}{n + 1}} \right\}.
\]

From the above we deduce that there exists a positive constant \( \mathcal{C}_{12} = \mathcal{C}_{12}(p, q) \) such that

\[
\omega_{p,q}(x, y) |B_{n,n}(f; x, y) - f(x, y)| \leq \mathcal{C}_{12} \omega (f, C_{p,q}; h, \delta) \left\{ 1 + h^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{\phi(x)}{n + 1}} + \delta^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{\phi(y)}{n + 1}} \right\},
\]

(27)

for \( m, n \in N \) and \( h, \delta > 0 \). Now, for \( m, n \in N \) setting \( h = \sqrt{\frac{\phi(x)}{n + 1}} \) and \( \delta = \sqrt{\frac{\phi(y)}{n + 1}} \) to (27), we obtain (22).

**Corollary 3.3.** Suppose that \( f \in C_{p,q} \), \( p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0 \), then for every \( x, y \in R^2_+ \) we get

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} B_{n,n}(f; x, y) = f(x, y).
\]

(28)

Moreover, the assertion hold uniformly on every rectangle \( 0 \leq x \leq a, 0 \leq y \leq b \).

**Theorem 3.4.** Suppose that \( f \in C^2_{p,q} \) with some \( p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0 \), then for every \( (x, y) \in R^2_+ \)

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} n \{B_{n,n}(f; x, y) - f(x, y)\} = \frac{\phi(x)}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} f(x, y) + \frac{\phi(y)}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} f(x, y)(x, y).
\]

(29)
Proof. Let \((x, y)\) be a fixed point in \(R^2_+\). Applying the Taylor formula for \(f \in C^{1}_{p,q}\), we get

\[
f(t, v) = f(x, y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x, y)(t - x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(x, y)(v - y) + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} f(x, y)(t - x)^2 + 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} f(x, y)(t - x)(v - y) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} f(x, y)(v - y)^2 \right\} + \chi(t, v; x, y) (t - x)^4 + (v - y)^4,
\]

for \((t, v) \in R^2_+\), where \(\chi(\ldots; x, y) \equiv \chi(\ldots) \in C_{p,q}\) and \(\chi(x, y) = 0\). Hence, we get

\[
B_{n,n} \left( f(t, v); x, y \right) = f(x, y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x, y) B_{n}(t - x; x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(x, y) B_{n}(v - y; y)
+ \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} f(x, y) B_{n}(t - x)^2; x \right\} + 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} f(x, y) B_{n}(t - x; x) B_{n}(v - y; y)
+ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} f(x, y) B_{n}(v - y)^2; y \right\} + B_{n,n} \left( \chi(t, v) \sqrt{(t - x)^4 + (v - y)^4}; x, y \right).
\]

Applying the Hölder inequality, we obtain

\[
\left| B_{n,n} \left( \chi(t, v) \sqrt{(t - x)^4 + (v - y)^4}; x, y \right) \right|
\leq \left\{ B_{n,n} \left( \chi^2(t, v); x, y \right) \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ B_{n,n} \left( (t - x)^4 + (v - y)^4; x, y \right) \right\}^{1/2}
= \left\{ B_{n,n} \left( \chi^2(t, v); x, y \right) \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ B_{n} ((t - x)^4; x) + B_{n} ((v - y)^4; y) \right\}^{1/2}.
\]

From Corollary 3.3 it follows that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} B_{n,n} \left( \chi^2(t, v); x, y \right) = \chi^2(x, y) = 0.
\]

By Lemma 2.3 and (32), we get from (31)

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} n B_{n,n} \left( \chi(t, v) \sqrt{(t - x)^4 + (v - y)^4}; x, y \right) = 0.
\]

By using Lemma 2.1 and (33), we reach (29), from (30).

**Theorem 3.5.** Suppose that \(f \in C^{1}_{p,q}\) with some \(p, q \in N_0\), then for every \((x, y) \in R^2_+\)

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} B_{n,n}(f; x, y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x, y),
\]

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} B_{n,n}(f; x, y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(x, y).
\]

**Proof.** Let \((x, y) \in R^2_+\) be a fixed point and \(n \in N\), then from (1) and (3), we get

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} B_{n,n}(f; x, y) = -\frac{1}{n^2} \left( \frac{n+1}{1+x} \right) \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n,l}(x)b_{n,k}(y)f \left( \frac{l}{n+1}, \frac{k}{n+1} \right).
\]
\[
+ \frac{1}{n^2} \{x(1+x)\}^{-1} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{n,l}(x)b_{n,k}(y) f \left( \frac{l}{n+1}, \frac{k}{n+1} \right) \\
= - \left( \frac{n+1}{1+x} \right) B_{n,n}(f(t,v); x,y) + \frac{n+1}{x(1+x)} B_{n,n}(t f(t,v); x,y).
\]

Applying the Taylor formula for \( f \in C_{p,q}^1 \), we get

\[
f(t,v) = f(x,y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x,y)(t-x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(x,y)(v-y) + \zeta(t,v;x,y) \sqrt{(t-x)^2 + (v-y)^2}, \quad \text{for } (t,v) \in R_0^2, \tag{36}
\]

where \( \zeta(.,.;x,y) \equiv \zeta(.,.) \in C_{p,q} \) and \( \zeta(x,y) = 0 \). From (9), (10) and Lemma 2.1 we obtain

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} B_{n,n}(f(t,v); x,y) = - \left( \frac{n+1}{1+x} \right) \left\{ f(x,y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x,y) B_{n}(t-x;x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(x,y) B_{n}(v-y;y) \right. \\
+ B_{n,n} \left( \zeta(t,v) \sqrt{(t-x)^2 + (v-y)^2}; x,y \right) \left\} \\
+ \left\{ \frac{n+1}{x(1+x)} \right\} \left\{ f(x,y) B_{n}(t;x) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x,y) B_{n}(t(t-x);x) \right. \\
+ \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(x,y) B_{n}(t;x) B_{n}(v-y;y) \\
+ B_{n,n} \left( t\zeta(t,v) \sqrt{(t-x)^2 + (v-y)^2}; x,y \right) \right\}, \quad n \in N.
\]

From Lemma 2.1 we get for \( x \in R_0 \) and \( n \in N \)

\[
B_{n}(t;x) = x, \\
B_{n}(t(t-x);x) = B_{n} \{ (t-x)^2; x \} + bx(t-x;x) = \frac{x(1+x)}{n+1}.
\]

Consequently, we get

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} B_{n,n}(f(t,v); x,y) \\
= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x,y) + \frac{n+1}{x(1+x)} B_{n,n} \left( \zeta(t,v) \sqrt{(t-x)^2 + (v-y)^2}; x,y \right). \tag{37}
\]

Applying the H"older inequality and from Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, we get

\[
|B_{n,n} \left( \zeta(t,v)(t-x) \sqrt{(t-x)^2 + (v-y)^2}; x,y \right)| \\
\leq \left\{ B_{n,n} \left( \zeta^2(t,v); x,y \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ B_{n} \left( (t-x)^4; x \right) + B_{n} \left( (t-x)^2; x \right) B_{n} \left( (v-y)^2; y \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq C_{13}(x,y)(n+1)^{-1} \left\{ B_{n,n} \left( \zeta^2(t,v); x,y \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]
Corollary 3.3 yields
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} nB_{n,n} \left( \zeta^2(t,v); x, y \right) = \chi^2(x,y) = 0. \]
and therefore
\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} nB_{n,n} \left( \zeta(t,v)(t-x)\sqrt{(t-x)^2 + (v-y)^2}; x, y \right) = 0. \] (38)

By (37) and (38), we lead to (34). The proof of (35) is identical. □

**Theorem 3.6.** Suppose \( f \in H[0,\infty)^2 \) and is bounded on every finite subinterval of \( [0,\infty) \). If \( f^{(r+2)} \) exists at a fixed point \( x \in [0,\infty) \) and \( \left| \frac{\partial^{r+2}}{\partial s \partial t^{r+2}} f(x,y) \right| \leq \mu x^\rho y^\eta, \ (x \to \infty, y \to \infty); \ j = 1, \ldots, r + 2 \) for some \( \rho, \eta \geq 0 \), then we get
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} Z_n^{[r,0]}(f;x,y) - \frac{\partial^r}{\partial y^r} f(x,y) = (1 + 2x) \frac{\partial^{r+1}}{\partial y^{r+1}} f(x,y) + (1 + 2y) \frac{\partial^{r+1}}{\partial x^{r+1}} f(x,y) + x(1 + x) \frac{\partial^{r+2}}{\partial x^{r+2}} f(x,y) \]
and
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} Z_n^{[r,r]}(f;x,y) - \frac{\partial^r}{\partial y^r} f(x,y) = (1 + 2x) \frac{\partial^{r+1}}{\partial y^{r+1}} f(x,y) + (1 + 2y) \frac{\partial^{r+1}}{\partial x^{r+1}} f(x,y) + x(1 + x) \frac{\partial^{r+2}}{\partial x^{r+2}} f(x,y).
\] (39)

*Proof.* Using Taylor’s expansion of \( f(s,t) \) as in [12], we have
\[ f(s,t) = \sum_{d=0}^{r+2} \sum_{i+j=d} \frac{1}{i!j!} \left( \frac{\partial^d}{\partial x^i \partial y^j} f(x,y) \right) (s-x)^i (t-y)^j + \sum_{i+j=r+2} \epsilon(s,t,x,y)(s-x)^i (t-y)^j. \]
where \( \epsilon(s,t,x,y) \to 0 \) as \( s \to x, \ t \to y \) and \( \epsilon(s,t,x,y) \leq \mu(s-x)^\rho (t-y)^\eta \) as \( s \to \infty, \ t \to \infty \) for some \( \rho, \eta > 0 \) then
\[
n^{r+2} \left( Z_n^{[r,0]}(f;x,y) - \frac{\partial^r}{\partial x^r} f(x,y) \right) = n^{r+2} \sum_{i+j=r+2} \frac{1}{i!j!} \left( \frac{\partial^d}{\partial x^i \partial y^j} f(x,y) \right) Z_n^{[r,0]} \left( (s-x)^i (t-y)^j; x,y \right)
\]
\[
+ n \sum_{i+j=r+2} Z_n^{[r,r]} \left( \epsilon(s,t,x,y)(s-x)^i (t-y)^j; x,y \right) - n \frac{\partial^r}{\partial x^r} f(x,y).
\]
From Lemma 2.10, we get
\[
Q_1 = n \sum_{d=0}^{r+2} \sum_{i+j=d} \frac{1}{i!j!} \left( \frac{\partial^d}{\partial x^i \partial y^j} f(x,y) \right) \frac{1}{n(n+r)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} b_{n+r,k}(x)b_{n,l}(y)
\]
\[
\cdot \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty b_{n-r-k+r}(s)b_{n,l}(t) \frac{\partial^r}{\partial s^r} \left( (s-x)^i (t-y)^j \right) ds dt
\]
\[
= \frac{n}{1!} \frac{\partial^r}{\partial x^r} f(x,y) \frac{1}{n(n+r)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} b_{n+r,k}(x)b_{n,l}(y)
\]
In order to prove the theorem, it is sufficient to show that

\[
E_n \cong x^n (1 + x)^r Q_2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i+j=r+2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} x^i (1 + x)^r b_{i,j}^{(r)}(x) b_{n,l}(y)
\]
Using Lemma 2.7, we get
\[|E_n| \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{t} (n+1)^{2h} \sup_{c,h,r} |x(s,t,x,y)| + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{t} (n+1)^{2h} \sup_{c,h,r} \left( (b_{n,k}(x) b_{n,i}(y) + 1) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |k-(n+1)x|^{\frac{1}{2}} \]

From Lemma 2.6, we get
\[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{t} (b_{n,k}(x) b_{n,i}(y)) (k-(n+1)x)^{2h} = \frac{(n+1)^{2h}}{k-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{t} (b_{n,k}(x) b_{n,i}(y)) (k-(n+1)x)^{2h} \]
\[= (n+1)^{2h} O\left(n^{-\frac{2h+1}{2}}\right) = (n+1)^{2h} O\left(n^{-h}\right) \]
\[= n^{h} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{2h} O(1) \]

and let
\[\tau_{n} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{t} (b_{n,k}(x) b_{n,i}(y)) \left[ \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{t} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{n,k}(s) b_{n,i}(t) e(s, t, x, y) (s-x)^{2}(t-y) |dsdt| \right]^{2} \]

Therefore, we obtain
\[|E_n| \leq n \sum_{2i+h \leq r} \sup_{c,h,r} |x(s,t,x,y)| + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{t} (n+1)^{2h} \left( n^{h} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{2h} O(1) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\tau_{n})^{\frac{1}{2}} \]

Now
\[\left[ \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{t} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{n,k}(s) b_{n,i}(t) e(s, t, x, y) (s-x)^{2}(t-y) |dsdt| \right]^{2} \]
\[\leq \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{t} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{n,k}(s) b_{n,i}(t) e^{2}(s, t, x, y) (s-x)^{2}(t-y)^{2} |dsdt| \]
\[= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{t} \int_{0}^{\infty} b_{n,k}(s) b_{n,i}(t) e^{2}(s, t, x, y) (s-x)^{2}(t-y)^{2} |dsdt| \]
\[= \frac{1}{n^2} \left[ \int_{(s-x)^{2}+(t-y)^{2} \leq \delta^{2}} + \int_{(s-x)^{2}+(t-y)^{2} > \delta^{2}} \right] b_{n,k}(s) b_{n,i}(t) e^{2}(s, t, x, y) (s-x)^{2}(t-y)^{2} |dsdt| \]

For a given \(\varepsilon > 0\), there exists a \(\delta > 0\) such that \(|e(s,t,x,y)| < \varepsilon\) whenever \((s-x)^{2} + (t-y)^{2} \leq \delta^{2}\). For \((s-x)^{2} + (t-y)^{2} > \delta^{2}\), we obtain \(|e(s,t,x,y)| < \varepsilon\).
This completes the proof of (39) and the proof of (40) is identical. □
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