Case Report: Non-surgical Treatment of Inferior Alveolar Nerve Injury as a Result of Overinstrumented Root Canal Treatment
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During root canal treatment, overinstrumentation with hand or mechanically driven files can perforate the mandibular canal, allowing the extrusion of endodontic sealers, dressing agents, and irrigant solutions out of the tooth and into the canal. The patient may report symptoms such as pain, hyperesthesia, hypoesthesia, anesthesia, dysesthesia and paresthesia.

Such problems must be resolved as quickly as possible to avoid irreversible sequelae caused by certain neurotoxic materials that form part of endodontic sealants. Although there have been no controlled trials of treatment protocols involving endodontically related injuries to the inferior alveolar nerve, the normal therapeutic sequence for this complication is the control of pain and inflammation and, whenever possible, the surgical elimination of the cause.

However, total resolution of pain and reduction in or disappearance of paraesthesia after a non-surgical management have been reported. Antiepileptic drugs such as gabapentin or pregabalin have been used for the treatment of neuropathic pain.

This article describes a case of inferior alveolar nerve (IAN) damage after endodontic treatment of a mandibular right second molar and the treatment with non-surgical approach using prednisone and gabapentin medication, monitoring the patient’s condition with clinical neurosensory examination and current perception threshold test (Neurometer).
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I. INTRODUCTION

The inferior alveolar and lingual nerve injury can occur after several types of dental treatment, including local anesthetic injections, endodontic treatment, implant placement, and dentoalveolar surgery, particularly involving the removal of mandibular third molars. These dental treatments can cause permanent nerve damage resulting in anesthesia, paresthesia, and dysesthesia.

One of the potential iatrogenic causes of this
problem is the incorrect treatment of the root canals of a lower molar or premolar (overextension and/or overfilling). The mechanism by which such treatment can damage the inferior alveolar nerve (IAN) may be mechanical, thermal, or chemical. There have been reports of IAN damage in up to 1% of cases when performing defective root canal treatment (overextended or overfilled) of a lower premolar. The patient may report symptoms such as pain, hyperesthesia, hypoesthesia, anesthesia, dysesthesia and paresthesia.

Such problems must be resolved as quickly as possible to avoid irreversible sequelae caused by certain neurotoxic materials that form part of endodontic sealants. Although there have been no controlled trials of treatment protocols involving endodontically related injuries to the inferior alveolar nerve, the normal therapeutic sequence for this complication is the control of pain and inflammation and, whenever possible, the surgical elimination of the cause. However, total resolution of pain and reduction in or disappearance of paraesthesia after a non-surgical management have been reported. Antiepileptic drugs such as gabapentin or pregabalin have been used for the treatment of neuropathic pain.

This article describes a case of IAN damage after endodontic treatment of a mandibular right second molar and the treatment with non-surgical approach using prednisone and gabapentin medication, monitoring the patient's condition with clinical neurosensory examination and current perception threshold test (Neurometer).

II. CASE REPORT

A 32-year-old woman was referred to the department of oral medicine, Chosun University Dental Hospital (CUDH) for pain and numbness of the right chin, mandibular gingiva and buccal mucosa. 1 day before, in a local clinic, she had undergone root canal treatment of the mandibular right second molar. During the treatment, she felt a sudden, severe pain in the tooth being treated. The treatment was immediately terminated and the endodontic sealer, Vitapex®, was injected into the root canal. The pain continued and was so intense the patient could not sleep that night. After 1 day, the pain was reduced because she took the analgesics. However the numbness in the right chin, lower lip, buccal mucosa and gingiva continued.

Clinical and radiographic examinations were taken at CUDH to assess her symptoms. Panoramic and periapical radiography showed radiopaque material in the right mandibular canal (Fig. 1a,b). We tentatively diagnosed her symptoms as inferior alveolar nerve injury owing to the result of radiographic examination. To confirm this diagnosis, we ordered the Cone Beam-Computed Tomography (CBCT) test for assessment of the relationship between the endodontic sealer (Vitapex®) and the mandibular canal. The result was the same as the panoramic examination, radiopaque material was noted in the right mandibular canal (Fig. 2).

Treatment started with an anti-inflammatory regimen including prednisolone (Solondo® 5 mg), tapering from 30 mg/day to 5 mg/day over 6 days.
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**Fig. 1.** The results of the radiographic examination at the first visit.
1 week later, the patient revisited and reported that the pain and numbness were slightly relieved. After discussing about treatment options, she preferred the non-surgical treatment to surgical debridment and decompression of the inferior alveolar canal. Gabapentin (Neurontin®) was prescribed, increasing the dosage from 600 mg/day to 1200 mg/day, according to the pain reported in the regular monthly visit. At follow-up appointments, we evaluated the symptoms using three methods: mapping, Clinical Neurosensory Examination (CNE), and the Current Perception Threshold (CPT) test. The affected lesion was mapped for any notable changes in size or position. The CNE evaluated mechanceptive and nociceptive function on the affected side versus the non-affected side. For CNE, the pressure, touch, pin prick sensation were tested. Sensation was quantified on a scale of 0 to 100, where 0 is complete anesthesia and 100 is normal sensation compared to the non-affected site. CPT was assessed by the Neurometer (Neurotron Inc., Baltimore, MD). A noninvasive transcutaneous electrical stimulus was delivered to the skin overlying the chin. The site included the affected side and non-affected contralateral side. Each site received 3 stimulus frequencies, 2,000, 250, and 5Hz, which are known to stimulate A-beta, A-delta, and C fibers, respectively. CNE and Neurometer examination were not done on the same day, except on the first visit, due to the lack of time. Instead, on every follow up visit, only one test was carried out in the order of CNE and Neurometer. The results of the tests follows (Fig. 3, Fig. 4, Table 1). After six months of the medication, the patient reported that the sensation had recovered almost completely, but the patient occasionally felt tingling sensation in the right chin area. Finally, the medication was stopped and the patient was referred...
Fig. 3. Comparison of affected lesion

Fig. 4. Comparison of the result of clinical neurosensory evaluation (CNE)

to the department of Operative Dentistry for completion of the root canal treatment of the mandibular right second molar. A final periodic follow up at the end of the dental treatment was planned, but the patient did not return to our clinic.

### III. DISCUSSION

During root canal treatment, overinstrumentation with hand or mechanically driven files can perforate the mandibular canal, allowing the extrusion of endodontic sealers, dressing agents, and irrigant solutions out of the tooth and into the canal. Overextension and/or overfilling of mandibular molar and premolar is a potential iatrogenic cause of inferior alveolar nerve injury. Severe endodontic pain after endodontic sealer extrusion requires early diagnosis and prompt management to reduce the risk of permanent nerve damage.

Meaningful symptoms can be easily obtained by a medical examination and interview with patient. The patient can easily express what had happened since the event is definite, that is root canal

### Table 1. Comparison of the current perception threshold (CPT) test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>side</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>First visit</th>
<th>3 months</th>
<th>5 months</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rt.</td>
<td>2000 Hz</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>250 Hz</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 Hz</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt.</td>
<td>2000 Hz</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>250 Hz</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5 Hz</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
treatment. In this case, the patient reported severe pain during the root canal treatment, and after taking analgesics, the pain was relieved but the numbness in the affected area persisted.

The radiographic examinations (panoramic and periapical radiograph) revealed a radiopaque material around the mandibular canal. (Fig. 1a,b) In most case articles, a radiopaque material was found in the panoramic radiograph or periapical radiograph. In this case, Cone Beam Computed Tomograph (CBCT) was taken additionally. (Fig. 2) The conventional radiographic image of the mandibular canal is dark linear shadow with thin radiopaque superior and inferior borders cast by the lamella of bone that binds the canal. Furthermore, the mandibular canal can be superimposed over the apex of a molar. Recently, cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) has been proposed as an effective radiographic diagnostic device when endodontic related inferior alveolar nerve or mental foramen paraesthesia is suspected. We considered that CBCT has more advantage in the diagnosis of such case over the conventional radiograph. By using CBCT, the limitation of conventional radiograph can be overcome. CBCT has been proposed as an effective radiographic diagnostic device when endodontic related inferior alveolar nerve or mental nerve damage is suspected.

Studies have shown that all root canal sealants are neurotoxic to some degree. Even root canal sealants that are believed to be more benign, such as zinc oxide and eugenol and calcium hydroxide (owing to its high pH), have been shown to be neurotoxic in vitro and are almost certainly neurotoxic in vivo. In this case, the patient reported the sharp, severe pain when the doctor injected Vitapex® into the root canal of the right mandibular second molar. Vitapex® which is a premixed calcium hydroxide with iodoform paste may gain entry into the mandibular canal, and it can damage the nerve owing to its high pH. Pagrel and Thamby suggested that root canal sealants have neurotoxic properties only when they come into direct contact with the individual fascicles, and as long as they are outside the epineurium, they are safe. In this case, the symptoms of the patient recovered in a short period of time, assessed by clinical neurosensory evaluation (CNE) and the current perception threshold (CPT) test (Fig. 4, Table 1), however, the size of the affected lesion was almost the same in the mapping test. It was postulated that Vitapex® might have compressed the inferior alveolar nerve, mechanically, but as it did not enter through the epineurium, therefore, there might be no chemical damage in the mandibular canal.

During treatment, we followed the symptoms up with the clinical neurosensory evaluation (CNE) combined with the current perception threshold (CPT) test (Neurometer:Neuroptron Inc., Baltimore, MD). It was the uniqueness of this article, as far as we know. Neurometer provides reliable measurement of sensory nerve function for large and small myelinated as well unmyelinated nerve fibers. The stimulator delivers sine wave stimuli at frequencies of 2,000, 230 and 5 Hz, which have been shown to selectively stimulate large myelinated Aβ, small myelinated Aδ and small unmyelinated C fibers, respectively. Ziccardi VB et al. suggested that CNE combined with CPT may be used to aid in the assessment of the IAN injuries.

Treatment of this endodontic complication remains controversial, varying from a wait and-see approach, including anti-inflammatory drugs and periodic follow-up, to surgical debridement of the inferior alveolar nerve involving bone removal of the vestibular cortical plate or sagittal mandibulectomy. In the present case, the patient refused the surgical approach, but agreed to attend frequent follow-up appointments. Therefore, non-surgical management was agreed upon including anti-inflammatory treatment with prednisone and analgesic treatment with gabapentin. Steroid was prescribed to reduce the edema and inflammatory response. Morse DR advise immediate steroid administration, though there is no agreement regarding the type, dosage or duration of steroid treatment. The antiepileptic medication,
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Finally, we suggest that the non-surgical approach with combination of prednisone and gabapentin was a good option in the management of inferior alveolar nerve damage subsequent to overinstrumentation. Immediate management and periodic follow-up is necessary for obtaining a predictable prognosis.
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근관치료 중 수동 또는 엔진구동파일을 사용하던 과도한 진작 조작을 하게 되면, 근관충진제, 드레싱제 그리고 근관세척제가 근관에서 나와 합리적으로 확산될 수 있다. 이 때 환자는 통증, 지각감퇴, 부감각, 이상감각 등을 호소하게 될 것이다.

이런 문제들은 근관충진제에 포함되어 있으므로 생체적합성이 떨어지는 물질들에 의한 비가역적인 손상을 피하기 위해 가능한 범위에 한정되어야 한다. 비록 근관치료와 관련하여 발생한 하치조신경의 손상을 치료하는 진료지침이 비교연구 되어 있는 것이 없으나, 이 합병증에 대한 통증의 치료는 통증과 염증을 조절하는 것이고, 가능하다면 수술적인 치료로 근관을 처치하는 것이다.

그러나, 비수술적인 치료로 통증을 완전히 개선하거나 감소시키고 또는 감각이상을 치료하는 것이 보고되어왔다. 가바펜틴(gabapentin) 또는 프리가발린(pregabalin) 같은 항간질제는 신경병증 통증의 치료에 이용되어 왔다. 이로 인한 기판에서는 하치 조속히 두께 구멍의 근관치료 후의 하치조신경의 손상이 이에 대해 프레드니솔론과 가바펜틴으로 비수술적 치료를 시행한 것과 임상적으로 신경감각검사와 신경전위인지감각검사(Neurometer)를 통해 결과판단을 시행하였 다.
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