INTRODUCTION

The Prestice Black-Pied (PBP) pig is a Czech autochthonous breed from the western region of the Czech Republic. This breed is reared in a closed population which is included in the National Programme for Farm Animal Resources. The PBP breed, like other unimproved breeds, is characterized by a strong constitution and good maternity traits. The use of this local breed could produce specific and high-quality products with the regional label (Dostálová et al., 2012).

In pig breeding, selection has been successfully conducted to obtain leaner carcasses, higher growth rate, lower feed conversion and bigger litter size, based on a limited number of breeds (Rauw et al., 1998). The consequences of this strategy of selection are as follows: pig genetic diversity has been eroded and whole meat sensory characteristics and some reproductive and health traits have been undesirably affected. This is why the conservation of genetic resources has become a high-priority goal to support future livestock improvement (Alfonso et al., 2005). In many countries native pig breeds can provide suitable material for the good-quality products from pork that are more frequently demanded by consumers at present (Serrano et al., 2008). One possible way to improve pork quality is to put greater emphasis on native breeds of pigs (Bocian et al., 2012). Ruusunen et al. (2012) stated that the biggest differences in carcass and meat quality traits are usually found when comparing pigs of two genetically different pig breeds, i.e. traditional pig breeds which are not normally included in the commercial production of pork and western meat-producing breeds.

At present, farm animal genetic resources are used to a various extent and with different objectives in Slovakia (Oravcová et al., 2004). The use of highly productive breeds or hybrids aimed at profitable and competitive production prevails. To a smaller extent, breeds that are a part of Slovakia’s and world cultural heritage are kept. The improvement of these breeds for high production or reproduction traits is not a priority. Among local breeds, Black-Pied breed was classified as endangered. According
to Babicz et al. (2009) problems in the pork market associated with low prices that fail to compensate for production costs, have forced breeders to look for new solutions. One of these solutions is production of heavy fatteners as a material for maturing products. Pulawska breed can be used to produce fatteners with high body weight (135 to 140 kg). Nurnberg et al. (2013) characterised the meat and fat quality of heavy female Saddleback pigs in comparison with conventional crossbreeds. The individual variations of carcass traits, meat quality and lipid profile of different tissues of heavy Saddleback pigs were very high. Because of the reduced growth performance this breed will be only a niche production line for pig breeding in Germany. The good meat quality, the high intramuscular fat content (IMF) 5.18% and the high backfat thickness guarantee perfect eating quality.

The objective of the study was to verify a hypothesis that no changes in carcass value occur in a small unselected population. The effect of slaughter weight (SW) and gender on the carcass value of pigs of PBP breed was studied on the basis of dressed carcass dissections and laboratory analyses of meat quality. The results were compared with carcass dissections performed more than 20 years ago before this population was included in the National Programme for Farm Animal Resources, and with the autochthonous breeds of similar genetic constitution in neighbouring countries.

The results of this study were compared with other indigenous breeds, on the ground of rearing indigenous breeds under altogether different conditions compared with modern breeds. Meat production from indigenous breeds is intended for the production of specific products.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

**Animals**

An experiment was conducted on 81 pigs of PBP breed (45 barrows and 36 gilts) in 6 fattening batches. The pigs were kept in normal housing conditions and received a standard feed mixture (*ad libitum*). Their fattening period started at an average age of 45 days. Based on SW, the pigs were divided into three weight categories, namely 75 to 99.9 kg (SW1), 100 to 109.9 kg (SW2), and 110 to 130 kg (SW3).

**Carcass measurements**

Left half-carcasses were dissected in accordance with the Methodology defined by Ministry of Agriculture of the Czech Republic. Backfat thickness was measured at three locations: in the middle of the 2nd thoracic vertebra, at the last thoracic vertebra and at the 1st lumbar vertebra (with skin). The arithmetic mean was calculated from these three measurements.

Lean meat content was determined by the ZP-Method (two-point method). The following equation was used for the calculation:

\[
Y_{ZP} = 49.62542 - 0.63371 B_{ZP} + 0.23525 M_{ZP}
\]

\(B_{ZP}\), backfat thickness with skin measured at the point of the lowest layer above the middle of *musculus gluteus medius* (mm).

\(M_{ZP}\), muscle thickness between the cranial top of *musculus gluteus medius* and the dorsal edge of the spinal canal (mm).

A loin eye area was measured behind the last thoracic vertebra.

**Meat quality assessment**

A chop of 500 g of the *longissimus lumborum et thoracis* muscle (LM) was taken from the left side loin of each carcass at the last rib level.

pH values of the muscle were measured 45 minutes and 24 hours post mortem behind the last thoracic vertebra using a portable pH-meter with puncture probe.

A ColorEye XTH spectrophotometer (calibrated to a white standard tile) was used to determine Commission Internationale de l’Eclairage (CIE) L* 24 hours post mortem. The chop was placed with fresh cut surface exposed to the air to allow the chop to be exposed to atmospheric oxygen for 30 minutes. An average of four random readings on the LM were used to measure lightness (higher L* value is indicative of a lighter color).

Water-holding capacity of meat was determined by two methods as described by Honikel (1998).

Drip loss was determined as the weight loss of fresh meat sample (150 g) placed in a plastic bag after a storage time of 24 hours at 5°C. Drip loss was expressed as a percentage of the initial weight.

A fresh sample about 50 mm thick from each chop was weight, placed in a thin-walled plastic bag and cooked in a water bath at a temperature of 70°C for 60 minutes. Samples were left to cool for 30 minutes. Cooking loss was determined as the difference of the sample weight before and after cooking the sample, divided by the initial weight.

Tenderness of raw and cooked meat was determined using a TA.XTPlus instrument fitted with a Warner-Bratzler shear blade (of 3 mm in thickness) at 24 hours post mortem as a shear force as described by Honikel (1998). The full scale load was set at 5 kg and the crosshead speed was 200 mm/min. Samples were cut parallel to the long axis of the muscle fibres into 10×10 mm thick and 30 mm long slices. Shear force measurements were performed perpendicular to the fibre direction of at least eight subsamples.

Intramuscular fat content was determined by ether extraction in a Soxhlet apparatus for 2 hours and its content
was expressed in percentage.

### Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics of carcass traits and meat quality are shown in Table 1 and 2. Fixed effects included in the evaluation were tested by the least-square method (GLM/SAS). The model equation was described as follows:

\[
Y_{ijklm} = \mu + \text{Group}_i + \text{SW}_j + \text{Gender}_k + \text{Age}_l + \text{SW}^*\text{Gender}_m + e_{ijklm}
\]

- \(Y_{ijklm}\) = observed trait,
- \(\mu\) = estimated mean value of a trait for the animals included in the model,
- \(\text{Group}_i\) = fixed effect of group (fattening batches),
- \(\text{SW}_j\) = fixed effect of slaughter weight category,
- \(\text{Gender}_k\) = fixed effect of gender,
- \(\text{Age}_l\) = fixed effect of age at slaughter,
- \(\text{SW}^*\text{Gender}_m\) = interaction of slaughter weight *gender,
- \(e_{ijklm}\) = random error.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### Carcass value

The values of statistical analysis aimed at the effect of slaughter weight on production traits are documented in Table 3. There were significant differences (p<0.01) in fattening performance and carcass traits between the weight categories. Average slaughter weight was found to be 94.2 kg in SW1, in SW2 it was 105.8 kg and in SW3 was 115.2 kg (p<0.001). The lowest lifetime daily gain was 557 g in SW1, higher gain by about 70 g was in SW2 (627 g) and the highest one was in SW3 (661 g) (p<0.001). In a progeny test (from 30 to 100 kg) Kolář and Pavlík (1989) confirmed the average daily gain of 753 g and Klusáček et al. (1991) reported the weight gain of 788.42 g. Improved pigs of PBP breed (75% PBP, 25% Landrace) reached

### Table 1. Descriptive statistics of carcass traits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>St. Dev.</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>Max.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slaughter weight (kg)</td>
<td>106.4</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>75.3</td>
<td>128.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime daily gain (g)</td>
<td>622</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average backfat thickness (mm)</td>
<td>40.23</td>
<td>6.60</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>56.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lean meat content (%)</td>
<td>48.81</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>49.40</td>
<td>99.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight of ham (kg)</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>8.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight of loin (kg)</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>5.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight of shoulerd (kg)</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>4.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight of neck (kg)</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>4.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight of belly (kg)</td>
<td>6.99</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>4.55</td>
<td>9.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight of meat cuts (kg)</td>
<td>17.42</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>12.45</td>
<td>21.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of meat cuts (%)</td>
<td>39.68</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>33.00</td>
<td>47.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of ham (%)</td>
<td>48.94</td>
<td>48.78</td>
<td>48.76</td>
<td>48.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of belly (%)</td>
<td>48.94</td>
<td>48.78</td>
<td>48.76</td>
<td>48.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loin eye area (mm²)</td>
<td>4,107</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>2,547</td>
<td>5,896</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. Descriptive statistics of meat quality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>St. Dev.</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>Max.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH₄₅</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>5.97</td>
<td>7.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH₂₄</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>5.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colour lightness (L*)</td>
<td>52.98</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>48.22</td>
<td>59.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drip loss (%)</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>4.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cooking loss (%)</td>
<td>27.65</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>14.30</td>
<td>37.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intramuscular fat (%)</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear force – raw meat (kg)</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>2.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear force – cooked meat (kg)</td>
<td>7.64</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>11.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SWₗ** = fixed effect of slaughter weight category,
**Genderₗ** = fixed effect of gender,
**Ageₗ** = fixed effect of age at slaughter,
**SW*Genderₗ** = interaction of slaughter weight *gender,
**eᵢⱼₖₗ** = random error.
higher daily gain. In PBP pigs fattened in a conventional feeding system Dostálková et al. (2012) reported average daily gain of 650 g at slaughter weight of 92 kg (186 days). Szulc et al. (2012) recorded a markedly lower average daily gain in Zlotnicka spotted breed.

Backfat thickness can be considered as the basic parameter of carcass fatness. In the studied population backfat thickness was correlated with carcass weight. The lowest average backfat thickness was measured at 36.07 mm in SW1, the highest of 43.21 mm in SW3 group. SW1 group was different (p<0.001) from SW2 and SW3 groups while SW2 group was significantly different from SW3 group.

In traditional breeds the values of backfat thickness are in general much higher than those obtained from modern breeds that are selected for leaner carcasses. In a progeny test (30 to 100 kg) Kolář and Pavlík (1989) and Klusáček et al. (1991) reported in PBP breed lower average backfat thickness. Lower average backfat thickness was recorded in Zlotnicka Spotted breed by Kapelanski et al. (2006) and by Szulc et al. (2012) too. Szulc et al. (2011) recorded in Zlotnicka Spotted breed high average backfat thickness. Candek-Potokar et al. (2003) reported backfat thickness of 29 mm (at carcass weight of 98 kg) in the Slovene local pig breed Krškopolje.

For objective evaluation of pig carcasses or for their marketing it is necessary to measure the lean meat percentage. Native breeds are not selected for utility traits; therefore they do not reach the same lean meat content as breeds selected for meatiness. In the studied population lean meat content decreased in the particular weight categories: 48.94%, 48.78%, and 48.76%. Differences between weight cuts (ham, loin, shoulder, neck) as well as of belly was gradually increasing. The weight of meat cuts increased from 15.89 kg in the lowest weight category through 17.19 kg in SW2 to 18.68 kg in the heaviest weight category (p<0.01). Similar results were found in the weight of belly. The highest percentage of meat cuts (40.82%) was measured in SW1 group followed by SW2 group (39.57%) and the lowest percentage of meat cuts was found out in SW3 (39.04%). A difference between SW1 and SW3 groups was 1.78%. This situation was also observed in ham percentage. A difference between SW1 (16.18%) and SW3 (15.44%) groups was 0.74%. Belly percentage increased from 15.49% (SW1 group) to 16.03% and 16.13% (SW2 and SW3 group, respectively). In older literature, Kolář and Pavlík (1989) reported that in a progeny test of pigs of PBP breed the percentage of meat cuts was 46.01% and ham percentage amounted to 17.82%; the values of Klusáček et al. (1991) were 47.24% and 18.8% for the percentage of meat cuts and ham, resp. It is to note that the percentage of meat cuts was higher than in the studied population. Dostálková et al. (2012) determined in PBP pigs a markedly higher percentage of meat cuts while Kapelanski et al. (2006) found the value of 44.69% for the percentage of meat cuts in Zlotnicka Spotted breed (97.31 kg).

Loin eye area increased with increasing slaughter weight. The measured values for the particular groups were 3,876, 4,080, and 4,229 mm². A larger increase in loin eye area was observed in SW2 group (about 204 mm²) while an increase in SW3 group was smaller (about 149 mm²). A similar value of loin eye area in PBP pigs was reported by Kolář and Pavlík (1989) and by Klusáček et al. (1991). Lower values were also reported in Polish native breeds (Kapelanski et al., 2006; Jankowiak et al., 2009; Szulc et al., 2011; Bocian et al., 2012). Candek-Potokar et al. (2003) reported the value of 4,170 mm² (98 kg) for loin eye area in Krškopolje breed.

Table 3 shows statistical characteristics of fattening traits and carcass dissection according to gender. The animals of both genders were slaughtered at similar slaughter weight (105.7 kg in barrows and 104.4 kg in gilts). Barrows had higher average lifetime daily gain (620 g) compared to gilts (610 g). In Zlotnicka Spotted breed Szulc et al. (2012) recorded daily gain in barrows of 570 g (116.60 kg) and in gilts 610 g (121.80 kg). Basque Black-Pied and Large White barrows were slaughtered at 202 days of age (Alfonso et al., 2005). Their weight was 86.2 and 126.6 kg and average daily gain was 488 and 763 g.

A difference of 2.19 mm (p<0.05) only was determined in average backfat thickness in barrows (40.90 mm) and gilts (38.72 mm). Kapelanski et al. (2006) reported in Zlotnicka Spotted breed average backfat thickness adjusted to 100 kg weight to be 33.2 mm in barrows and 31.7 mm in gilts. Baulain et al. (2000) measured backfat thickness of 30.6 to 31.4 mm in barrows of the breeds Angeln Saddleback, Bentheimer Black-Pied and Swabian Hall Saddleback. In Angeln Saddleback and Bentheimer Black-Pied gilts backfat thickness was 31.1 and 28.6 mm at the weight of 84.1 and 85 kg, resp. Alfonso et al. (2005) compared Basque Black-Pied and Large White barrows
when Basque Black-Pied barrows had higher backfat thickness at point B.

Lean meat content in barrows and in gilts was 48.75% and 48.91%, respectively. Most authors have confirmed higher lean meat content in gilts. E.g. Szulc et al. (2012) found that in Zlotnicka Spotted breed the lean meat content was 39.02% in barrows (116.6 kg) and 44.64% in gilts (121.8 kg), confirming higher lean meat content in gilts. Baulain et al. (2000) reported in Angeln Saddleback, Bentheimer Black-Pied and Swabian Hall Saddleback breeds the lean meat content of 46.3% to 48.2% in barrows (82.5 to 84.1 kg) while the values for Angeln Saddleback and Bentheimer Black-Pied gilts were 48.2% and 50.3% (84.1 and 85.0 kg).

Gilts were found to have higher weight of ham (p<0.05) and loin whereas barrows had higher weight of shoulder and neck. But differences were very small. No large difference was determined between genders in the percentage of meat cuts (39.33% in barrows, 40.29% in gilts), but difference in the percentage of ham was highly significant (p<0.01). Kapelanski et al. (2006) confirmed in Zlotnicka Spotted breed a higher percentage of meat cuts in gilts, 45.63% than in barrows, 43.57%. The Celta is a unique indigenous pig breed of Galicia (north-western Spain) (Franco and Lorenzo, 2013). In this breed, the percentage of parts representing meat cuts was higher in barrows than in gilts in relation to slaughter weight.

An insignificantly larger loin eye area was measured in gilts (4,123 mm²) compared to barrows (4,001 mm²). Kapelanski et al. (2006) reported in Zlotnicka Spotted breed a smaller loin eye area calculated per 100 kg in barrows and in gilts.

**Meat quality traits**

Table 4 shows statistical characteristics of meat quality according to slaughter weight. The values ranging from 6.65 to 6.68 were determined in the particular weight categories for pH measured at 45 minutes. The average values were at the level describing normal meat. Most authors found lower values in native breeds than the pH45 values measured in the studied population. Szulc et al. (2012) measured pH45 6.15 in Zlotnicka Spotted breed. In Krškopolje breed Candek-Potokar et al. (2003) reported the relatively low pH1 value of 5.84. Baulain et al. (2000) described pH45 values of 6.18, 6.16, and 6.25 in pig breeds Angeln Saddleback, Bentheimer Black-Pied and Swabian Hall Saddleback. The meat of pigs of Basque Black-Pied breed had higher pH3H than the meat of Large White breed (Alfonso et al., 2005).

The values of pH measured at 24 hours ranged from 5.48 to 5.53. Grzeskowiak et al. (2009) measured the pH24 values of 5.53 and 5.56 in Zlotnicka White and Zlotnicka Spotted breed, respectively. Higher values than in the studied population were published by Candek-Potokar et al. (2003) in Krškopolje breed.

The m. longissimus lumborum et thoracis from SW3 group was darker (lower L*) than the muscles from SW1 and SW2 groups (no significant difference). Other authors mostly reported lower values in native breeds (Bocian et al., 2012; Szulc et al., 2012). Alfonso et al. (2005) demonstrated that the meat of Basque Black-Pied breed was darker than the meat of Large White pigs. A higher value than that determined in the studied population was reported by Candek-Potokar et al. (2003) in Krškopolje breed.

Water-holding capacity, expressed as drip loss, was found to be the lowest in SW1 weight category 1.96%, intermediate in SW2 category 2.26% and the highest in SW3 weight category 2.59%. With increasing slaughter weight the values of cooking loss were found to decrease from 29.54% in SW1 weight category to 26.44% in SW3 weight category. Water-holding capacity is an important trait associated with pH value. Grzeskowiak et al. (2009) compared Zlotnicka White and Zlotnicka Spotted breeds and measured the drip loss value higher than in the studied population. Bocian et al. (2012) demonstrated lower drip loss in Zlotnicka Spotted breed than in hybrids of Polish strains.

**Table 4. The effect of slaughter weight and gender on meat quality**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slaughter weight</th>
<th>pH45</th>
<th>pH24</th>
<th>Colour lightness (L*)</th>
<th>Drip loss (%)</th>
<th>Cooking loss (%)</th>
<th>Intramuscular fat (%)</th>
<th>Shear force – raw meat (kg)</th>
<th>Shear force – cooked meat (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SW1</td>
<td>6.68</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>53.31</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>29.54</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>1.72 †</td>
<td>8.71†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW2</td>
<td>6.65</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>53.30</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>27.49</td>
<td>2.79</td>
<td>1.49 ‡</td>
<td>7.15*b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW3</td>
<td>6.66</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>52.32</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>26.44</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>1.37 ‡</td>
<td>7.07c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>0.920</td>
<td>0.233</td>
<td>0.338</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>0.388</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrows</td>
<td>6.63</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td>53.03</td>
<td>2.36</td>
<td>27.99</td>
<td>2.80‡</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>7.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gilts</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>5.50</td>
<td>52.92</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>27.65</td>
<td>2.49b</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>7.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td>0.767</td>
<td>0.849</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td>0.744</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.918</td>
<td>0.736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction</td>
<td>0.118</td>
<td>0.070</td>
<td>0.426</td>
<td>0.392</td>
<td>0.535</td>
<td>0.038</td>
<td>0.390</td>
<td>0.216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>12.84</td>
<td>48.93</td>
<td>15.36</td>
<td>36.51</td>
<td>58.98</td>
<td>33.29</td>
<td>50.75</td>
<td>25.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SW, slaughter weight (SW1: 75 to 99.9 kg, SW2: 100 to 109.9 kg, SW3: 110 to 130 kg); SEM, standard error of the mean.

* † Least square means (LSM) in rows with different letters differ significantly at p<0.05.

* ‡ Least square means (LSM) in rows with different letters differ significantly at p<0.01.
Large White × Polish Landrace breeds.

IMF content influences eating quality, i.e., tenderness, juiciness and tenderness of meat. Krieter and Tholen (2001) considered 2.5% as optimum IMF content. The data of Fernandez et al. (1999) suggested that the acceptability of pork may be improved by increasing the IMF content. But this effect disappeared for IMF content higher than 3.5%, which is associated with a high risk of meat rejection due to visible fat. It is generally accepted that traditional breeds produce a higher IMF content. In the studied population IMF content in loin was 2.68% and 2.79% in SW3 and SW2 groups, respectively, while in SW1 group it was the lowest, i.e. 2.47%. Lower IMF content than in the studied population was reported Grzeskowiak et al. (2009) in Zlotnicka White breed and in Zlotnicka Spotted breed. Higher IMF content of 3% was reported by Candek-Potokar et al. (2003) in Krškopolje breed. Alfonso et al. (2005) compared the pig breeds Basque Black-Pied and Large White. The meat of Basque Black-Pied breed showed a greater degree of marbling.

Meat became tenderer with higher slaughter weight in both, as indicated by the shear force of raw and cooked meat. SW1 group was significantly different (p<0.05) from SW2 and SW3 groups in shear force of raw meat, SW1 group was highly significantly different (p<0.01) from SW3 group. Jankowiak et al. (2010) did not report any difference in meat tenderness between Zlotnicka Spotted pigs and Polish Large White × Polish Landrace hybrids.

Table 4 documents the results of meat quality evaluation in relation to gender. The values of pH, particularly pH 45, were similar in barrows and gilts. Similar values in barrows and gilts were also confirmed by some authors. In Zlotnicka Spotted breed Kapelanski et al. (2006) reported for barrows and gilts pH 45 values of 6.54 and 6.51, respectively, while in SW1 group it was the lowest, i.e. 6.24. Lower IMF content than in the studied population was reported Grzeskowiak et al. (2009) in Zlotnicka White breed and in Zlotnicka Spotted breed. Higher IMF content of 3% was reported by Candek-Potokar et al. (2003) in Krškopolje breed. Alfonso et al. (2005) compared the pig breeds Basque Black-Pied and Large White. The meat of Basque Black-Pied breed showed a greater degree of marbling.

Meat became tenderer with higher slaughter weight in both, as indicated by the shear force of raw and cooked meat. SW1 group was significantly different (p<0.05) from SW2 and SW3 groups in shear force of raw meat, SW1 group was highly significantly different (p<0.01) from SW3 group. Jankowiak et al. (2010) did not report any difference in meat tenderness between Zlotnicka Spotted pigs and Polish Large White × Polish Landrace hybrids.

The values of colour lightness (L*) were also very similar in barrows and gilts. Szulc et al. (2012) recorded in Zlotnicka Spotted breed L* 51.83 in barrows and L* 49.09 in gilts. In Celta breed the gender had a highly significant influence on colour lightness (Franco and Lorenzo, 2013).

No significant differences were found out in the studied population between barrows and gilts in water-holding capacity, i.e. in drip loss and cooking loss.

IMF content was higher by 0.31% (p<0.05) in barrows (2.80%) than in gilts (2.49%). Baulain et al. (2000) confirmed different values of IMF content between genders. In barrows of the breeds Angeln Saddleback, Bentheimer Black-Pied and Swabian Hall Saddleback IMF contents were 1.36% to 1.64% while in Angeln Saddleback and Bentheimer Black-Pied gilts the values of IMF content were 0.95% and 1.05%. Franco and Lorenzo (2013) did not find a significant difference in IMF content between genders of Celta breed. In barrows IMF content amounted to 2.12% (143.2 kg) and in gilts to 1.67% (134.6 kg).

In the studied population the gender did not influence either the values of shear force–raw meat or the values of shear force–cooked meat. Neither did Franco and Lorenzo (2013) find a significant difference in Celta breed between barrows and gilts in shear force. Nurnberg et al. (1997) compared German Saddleback and German Landrace barrows and no significant difference was found between the breeds.

CONCLUSION

Lower growth ability, early and higher adipose tissue development and lower carcass value were characteristic of PBP breed during fattening in standard rearing conditions. These findings are typical of native breeds of pigs. With increasing slaughter weight the average backfat thickness, weight of meat cuts and belly and loin eye area increased, while lean meat content decreased. Meat quality received a very positive evaluation. The meat of the heaviest weight category was darker, with the highest IMF content. The recommended value of IMF content (2.5%) was reached in all studied categories (2.47% to 2.79%). It can be assumed that the meat with higher proportion of IMF will be tenderer, juicier, with typical smell and taste of pork. The results showed that slaughter weight was a significant factor for carcass traits and an insignificant factor for most parameters of meat quality. The higher fat content of carcasses of PBP pigs can be used for the production of specific meat products.
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