Effects of Manipulative Therapy Applied to Menstrual Discomfort: A Meta-analysis
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Abstract This study examined the effects of manipulative therapy interventions applied to alleviate menstrual discomfort caused by premenstrual syndrome (PMS) and dysmenorrhea. To identify all relevant articles, a search of the articles published from inception up to May 31, 2018 revealed 1,808 studies in eleven databases. Two researchers independently evaluated the quality assessment of theses for 30 studies (31 reports) that satisfied the inclusion criteria using RoB (Ris of Bias) and RoBANS (Risk of Bias Assessment tool for Non-randomized Studies). The data were analyzed by meta-analysis. The effect sizes of the intervention applied to alleviate PMS were -1.20 (95% confidence intervals (CI): -1.55 to -0.86) for foot reflexology, -0.44 (95% CI: -0.68 to -0.20) for auricular acupressure therapy, and -0.56 (95% CI: -0.80 to -0.32) for acupressure therapy. The effect sizes of the intervention applied to alleviate dysmenorrhea were -0.64 (95% CI: -1.07 to -0.20) for foot reflexology, -1.09 (95% CI: -1.46 to -0.71) for auricular acupressure therapy, and -0.75 (95% CI: -1.00 to -0.50) for acupressure therapy, -0.68 (95% CI: -1.08 to -0.27) for massage. This study suggests that the manipulative therapy is effective in alleviating the menstrual discomfort caused by dysmenorrhea and PMS.
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1. Introduction

Menstruation is a normal physiological phenomenon that is used as an indicator of women’s maturity and health from the menarche period to the menopause, but it causes discomfort in everyday life due to physical, mental and behavioral symptoms of varying degrees including pain. Dysmenorrhea and PMS are the representative diseases that induce discomfort related to menstruation. In Korea, 92% of women in childbearing years appeal dysmenorrhea. The prevalence rate of PMS is 74% according to the International Classification of Diseases (10th revision, ICD-10) and 38% according to the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) [1].

Although there are differences in the degree and aspect of symptoms of menstrual discomfort among individuals, they were found to repeat periodically and affect women’s quality of life including difficulties in daily life, decline of concentration, poor academic performance, bad social relations, and reproductive health such as pregnancy and child delivery [2,3]. In terms of the socioeconomic aspect, looking at the results of ‘2007 ~ 2011 Health Insurance Medical Fee Payment Data’ of the National Health Insurance Service, patients who received medical treatment for dysmenorrhea were increased by 47.9% and resulted in a 78.9% increase of health insurance medical fee for dysmenorrhea [4]. This confirmed the burden for medical expenditure.

In other countries, the menstrual discomfort is eased actively and systematically considering the personal, socio-economic impacts due to menstrual discomfort. On the other hand In Korea, adequate diagnosis and treatment are not performed even though it is severe enough to cause discomfort in daily life. Most women who have experienced menstrual discomfort have been found to either rely on bed rest or lying down [5], or relieving pain medication that can be easily handled without prescription [6]. If the pain persists or women just keep on standing, it may lead to poor health condition due to increased menstrual discomfort, and periodic medication without prescription may lead to side effects and risk of dependency. So adequate intervention should be done.

Since menstrual discomfort caused by dysmenorrhea and PMS results from combined effects of biomedical, psychological and social factors [7-9], many studies are being carried out on intervention methods according to diverse causes, nutritional supplements, pharmacotherapy, exercise and stress relaxation. However, there is no definitive treatment yet [10]. Moreover, as the degree of physical, mental and behavioral symptoms vary widely among individuals, it is difficult to alleviate menstrual discomfort simply using a single intervention method. Considering that this discomfort is caused by a normal physiological phenomenon, primary approach should be taken by a non-pharmacologic intervention before applying a disease-specific treatment method. Furthermore, since menstrual discomfort is not temporary but repeated periodically, it needs to be managed in the long term by focusing on alleviation of symptoms. It would be necessary to propose diverse and specific measures for women to manage and prevent symptoms on their own in everyday life.

Looking at precedent studies related to intervention of menstrual discomfort, there were studies that applied a variety of non-pharmacologic therapies including cognitive behavioral therapy, aromatherapy and complementary and alternative therapies like acupuncture therapy. However, the intervention effects did not agree among studies. In overseas, a meta-analysis was performed though systematic literature review of existing studies on different intervention methods. As a result, detailed information and more effective method of practical application of various intervention methods such as cognitive behavioral therapy
[11], acupuncture therapy [12], and vitamin, mineral and herb therapies [13,14] were verified. On the contrary in Korea, there was a comprehensive meta-analysis [15], that included 12 complementary and alternative therapies. Such methods were difficult to be performed by women on their own in daily life, sometimes requiring professional knowledge.

Among complementary and alternative therapies to alleviate menstrual discomfort, manipulative therapy is a technique that uses the simplest and convenient tool called hands to stimulate the nerves using pressure of fingers. Types of manipulative therapy include auricular acupressure therapy, acupressure, massage, chiropractic therapy and foot reflexology. Among them, auricular acupressure therapy was found to be non-invasive and have strong effects compared to short intervention period [15]. Manipulative therapies are relatively simple and can be used for self care. These non-invasive methods can be applied to different parts of the body. In addition, they can be applied to diseases caused by functional changes rather than physical changes and show several effects at the same time [16]. Manipulative therapies are regarded as methods appropriate for alleviating various menstrual discomforts on physical, mental and behavioral aspects resulting from dysmenorrhea and PMS. Accordingly, the purpose of this study is to overcome limitations of precedent studies carried out in Korea and verify the effects of manipulative therapies that can fulfill various requirements of menstrual discomfort intervention among different complementary and alternative therapies through a meta-analysis. The analysis results can be utilized as basic data to provide evidence-based, practical nursing. The specific purpose is as follows:

- To assess quality of methodologies used by studies on manipulative therapy interventions applied to menstrual discomfort caused by dysmenorrhea and PMS and to analyze details of intervention; and
- To analyze the effect size of manipulative therapies applied to menstrual discomfort on dysmenorrhea and PMS and to verify effective interventions.

2. Methods

2.1 Search Strategies

This study searched papers published in Korea and overseas until May 2018 using academic research databases (DB) and literature. Foreign DBs used include Cochrane Library, PubMed, Ovid, CINAHL and Embase, and Korean DBs include KoreaMed, KMbase, RISS, National Assembly Library, KISS and NDSL. Major keywords were derived from subjects and interventions that constitute the core question as ‘(premenstrual syndrome (MeSH) OR dysmenorrhea (MeSH) AND manipulative therapies such as acupressure (MeSH) OR massage (MeSH) OR chiropractic (MeSH) OR manipulation, spinal (MeSH) OR foot reflexology (All Fields))’

2.2 Inclusion Criteria and Study Selection

This study selected data according to the PICO-SD. Participants (P) are women in their childbearing years who experience dysmenorrhea and PMS. This study applied manipulative therapies such as acupressure, massage, chiropractic therapy and foot reflexology as interventions (I) to reduce premenstrual syndrome and dysmenorrhea. The comparison (C) group was selected as a group that either did not receive manipulative therapies or received a sham intervention. Intervention outcomes (O) were presented as the values that measured PMS using
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2.3 Data extraction

In the data selection process, two researchers independently reviewed all the studies included in the analysis. First, a list of the literatures retrieved through the database was created, and duplicate data were removed through the EndNote (version X7, Thomson Reuters, New York). In the second process, the titles and abstracts of the literature were checked to exclude literature that was not suitable for this study. The bibliographic information of all literature was managed in the same way, and records were excluded in stages for the excluded literatures. In the third process, the final literatures was selected by reviewing the whole text of the searched literatures using the selection and exclusion criteria.

2.4 Quality Assessment

Among final studies selected in this study, RCTs were assessed using the RoB (The Cochrane’s Risk of Bias) tool developed by the Cochrane Bias Method Group. Non-RCTs were assessed using the RoBANS developed by the National Evidence-based healthcare Collaborating Agency. After checking the original text of studies according to the assessment guideline, the questions were assessed to classify the risk of bias into high, uncertain and low and applied to the quality assessment determination criteria of the RevMan program.

2.5 Statistical Methodology

The effect size and homogeneity of studies were computed using Comprehensive Meta-Analysis (CMA) 3.0 Version. The effect size was selected using the standardized mean difference (SMD) for the same outcome variable. The SMD was selected as the analytical method using the mean, standard deviation, and sample values after the intervention of the experimental group and the control group [17]. The random effects model that resets the weighted value considering heterogeneity among individual studies was computed [18].

Homogeneity of the effect size was verified by visual review of the forest plot, chi-square test using the Q-value, and the Higgins I² value. The I² value indicates the degree of variation of the estimated effect value among studies in %. A value closer to 0% indicates less heterogeneity and a value closer to 100% suggests a high possibility of heterogeneity. When I² is 0%, it means no heterogeneity, 50% means moderate heterogeneity, 75% or more means heterogeneity [12].

A funnel plot was created to visually check symmetry of distribution and test publication bias. The trim and fill method injecting virtual missing values was applied to correct asymmetry. It was determined that there is no publication bias if the difference in the effect size before and after correction is within 10% [19]. In addition, the safety factor (Fail-safe N, Nfs) was calculated to determine reliability of the test results. Safety factor 5k+10 (k=number of papers subject to the meta-analysis) is a method of verifying whether the effect size calculated by the results of studies published so far appears to be insignificant due to the results of unpublished studies.
3. Results

3.1 Data Selection

Based on data search of this study, a total of 1,808 studies were found in 11 DBs. EndNote was used to exclude 1,366 redundant data. The two researchers reviewed the data selection and exclusion criteria using title and abstract of studies, and 387 studies were excluded as they did not satisfy the selection criteria. By reviewing the whole text of 55 studies, 25 studies were excluded to select 30 final studies (31 reports) that satisfy the selection criteria (Figure 1).

Fig. 1. Flowchart of Study Selection

3.2 Description of Included Studies

The results of analyzing characteristics of the 30 final studies (31 reports) that applied manipulative therapy interventions are as Table 1. Study design was RCT for 17 studies (54.8%) and NRCCT for 14 studies (45.2%). Age group of subjects was women in their teens for 8 studies (25.8%), women in their 20s for 11 studies (35.5%), women in their 30s for one study (3.2%) and women aged between teens and 40s for 10 studies (32.3%). One of the studies did not present age of subjects. The range of number of samples was from 13 to 72 for the experimental group and from 9 to 72 for the control group. Intervention types included auricular acupressure therapy (41.9%), acupressure therapy (32.2%), massage (9.7%), foot reflexology (9.7%) and chiropractic therapy (6.5%). Intervention body parts were abdomen (9.7%), feet (9.7%), hands (3.2%), ears (41.9%), San-Yin-Jiao(SP-6) (19.4%), pelvis (3.2%), spine (3.2%) and multiple parts (9.7%). There were 16 studies that measured symptoms of PMS as a dependent variable and 28 studies that measured dysmenorrhea.

3.3 Quality Assessment

Characteristics of the bias risk assessment used in this study are as presented in Figure 2. Among the 30 studies (31 reports) selected, quality of 17 RCTs was determined by ROB. For the domains of random allocation order and concealment of allocation order, 65% of studies showed low risk of bias. About 35% of them were described to be random but ambiguous because they did not specifically explain the method. A sham intervention was carried out in 65% of studies for blinding of participants and researchers. For the domain of blinding of result assessment, about 65% of studies showed low risk of bias. For the domains of insufficient results and selective reporting, all studies showed low bias. Other biases were determined based on the existence of an intervention manual and expertise of the intervention provider, and 59% of studies showed low risk of bias.

The 14 NRCCTs were assessed using the RoBANS. All studies showed low bias in ‘selection of subjects’ assessing selection bias. Whereas bias of ‘confounding variables’ was confirmed in about 57% of studies, it was found to be uncertain in other 43% of studies. For ‘intervention measurement’ which indicates performance bias, risk of bias was high because all studies used standardized tools but only
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**Fig. 2. Risk of Bias**
(a) RoB (b) ROBANS

**Table 1. Characteristics of studies included.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author / Year</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Intervention group (Sample size, age)</th>
<th>Control group (Sample size, age)</th>
<th>Outcomes measurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Azima et al., 2015 [20]</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Abdomen massage 30min, 2times/week (n=34, age=21.4±0.9)</td>
<td>No treatment (n=34, age=21.0±1.1)</td>
<td>MDQ, Anxiety, Duration of pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baik/1999 [21]</td>
<td>NRCCT</td>
<td>Foot reflexology, 30min, 2times/week during the 3weeks (n=19, age=23.9±2.3)</td>
<td>No treatment (n=18, age=25.1±2.3)</td>
<td>MDQ, VAS, Anxiety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bazarganipour et al./2017 [22]</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Foot reflexology 20min, 5times/week (n=30, age=22±1.7)</td>
<td>Sham points reflexology, 20min, 5times/week (n=30, age=21.7±1.7)</td>
<td>VAS, Duration of dysmenorrhea, QOL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bebbahani et al. /2016 [23]</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Abdomen massage, 20min, 1st~2 days of menstruation for 2 cycles (n=40, age=20.0±1.4)</td>
<td>Taking ibuprofen 400mg, 3times a day for 3 cycles (n=40, age=20.3±1.5)</td>
<td>VAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cha &amp; Sok /2016 [24]</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Auricular acupressure, 3days (n=45, age=16.6±0.9)</td>
<td>Sham acupressure, 3days (n=46, age=16.8±0.6)</td>
<td>MDQ, VAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al. /2015 [25]</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>SP6, BL32, Liver3 acupressure 30min, 3times/week (n=65, age=18.7±1.7)</td>
<td>Manual of menstrual health education (n=66, age=18.7±0.6)</td>
<td>MDQ, VAS, ODI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chang &amp; Jun /2003 [26]</td>
<td>NRCCT</td>
<td>SP6 acupressure 20min, 1st day of menstruation (n=30, age=19~28)</td>
<td>SP6 touch, 20min, 1st day of menstruation (n=28, age=19~28)</td>
<td>VAS, Cortisol, Epinephrine, Norepinephrine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun/2003 [27]</td>
<td>NRCCT</td>
<td>SP6 acupressure 20min, 1st day of menstruation (n=30, age=19~28)</td>
<td>SP6 touch, 20min, 1st day of menstruation (n=28, age=19~28)</td>
<td>VAS, Skin temperature</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Characteristics of studies included.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Intervention 1</th>
<th>Intervention 2</th>
<th>Outcome Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jung et al. 2012</td>
<td>NRCT</td>
<td>Auricular acupressure 2 times/week for 5 weeks</td>
<td>Sham acupressure (n=33, age=20.2±0.8)</td>
<td>VAS, Negative emotions, Automatic nervous system reactions, Skin resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jung &amp; Song 2013</td>
<td>NRCT</td>
<td>Auricular acupressure 3 times for 60 days</td>
<td>No treatment (n=14)</td>
<td>VAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kashefi et al. 2010</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>SP6 acupressure, 30 min, 1st day of menstruation for 2 cycles (n=60, age=18~28)</td>
<td>Sham acupressure, 30 min, 1st day of menstruation for 2 cycles (n=41, age=18~28)</td>
<td>VAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al. 2004</td>
<td>NRCT</td>
<td>Foot reflexology 50 min, 3 times/week for 2 weeks (n=24, age=teenage)</td>
<td>No treatment (n=24, age=teenage)</td>
<td>MDQ, VAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim &amp; Kim 2014</td>
<td>NRCT</td>
<td>Auricular acupressure 3 times for 60 days</td>
<td>No treatment (n=34, age=21.0±1.9)</td>
<td>MDQ, VAS, Difficulty of ADL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim/2013</td>
<td>NRCT</td>
<td>Auricular acupressure 10~15 min, 4 times/week for 4 weeks (n=24, age=19.8±1.1)</td>
<td>No treatment (n=20, age=19.8±2.2)</td>
<td>VAS, Difficulty of ADL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al. 2015</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Auricular acupressure, 2 times/week from the 1st day before menstruation to the 6th day menstruation (n=31, age=20~40)</td>
<td>Sham acupressure, 3 days, 2 times/week from the 1st day before menstruation to the 6th day menstruation (n=30, age=20~30)</td>
<td>VAS, Difficulty of ADL, Negative feelings, Autonomic nervous response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim &amp; Lee 2010</td>
<td>NRCT</td>
<td>Auricular acupressure, 3 days, 2 times/week from the 1st day before menstruation to the 6th day menstruation (n=31, age=18~21)</td>
<td>Sham acupressure, 3 days, 2 times/week from the 1st day before menstruation to the 6th day menstruation (n=30, age=13~18)</td>
<td>VAS, Autonomic nervous system reactions, Negative emotions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mirbagher et al. 2011</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>SP6 acupressure, 20 min (n=15, age=22±1.6)</td>
<td>SP6 touch, 20 min (n=15, age=22±2.6)</td>
<td>VAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molins-Cubero et al.</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Pelvis manipulation (n=20, age=50±5.6)</td>
<td>Sham manipulation (n=20, age=30±5.6)</td>
<td>VAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ro et al. 2013</td>
<td>NRCT</td>
<td>Auricular acupressure 4<del>5 days from the 1st day before menstruation to the 3</del>4th day menstruation (n=30, age=21.0±3.2)</td>
<td>Sham acupressure, 4<del>5 days from the 1st day before menstruation to the 3</del>4th day menstruation (n=27, age=20.1±3.1)</td>
<td>MDQ, VAS, Academic stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park/2010</td>
<td>NRCT</td>
<td>Auricular acupressure 1 time/week for 10 weeks (n=35, age=13.9±0.9)</td>
<td>Instruction on auriculotherapy (n=36, age=13.7±0.9)</td>
<td>MDQ, VAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shin/2013</td>
<td>NRCT</td>
<td>Auricular acupressure, 60<del>100 sec, 3</del>5 times/day for 2 days (n=23, age=17~19)</td>
<td>Sham acupressure, 2 days (n=26, age=17~19)</td>
<td>MDQ, VAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wong et al. 2010</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Auricular acupressure 20 min, 2 times/day from 1st to 3rd days of menstrual cycle (n=19, age=22)</td>
<td>Sham acupressure, 20 min, 2 times/day from 1st to 3rd days of menstrual cycle (n=21, age=21.57)</td>
<td>MDQ, VAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeh et al. 2013</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Auricular acupressure 6 min, 4 times/day for 2 days (n=24, age=17.9±0.8)</td>
<td>Sham acupressure, 6 min, 4 times/day for 2 days (n=20, age=17.7±0.8)</td>
<td>MDQ, VAS, SF-MDQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pouresmail et al. 2002</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Hagu, Daheung, Zusanli, Sanyinjiao, Taichong acupressure, 10 min, 1 time/day before menstruation (n=72, age=14~18)</td>
<td>Sham acupressure, 10 min, 1 time/day before menstruation (n=72, age=14~18)</td>
<td>VAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koleini &amp; Valiani</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Auricular acupressure 20 min, 1 time/week for 10 weeks (n=42, age=18~35)</td>
<td>Taking B6 400 mg, 1 time/day for 2 cycles (n=42, age=18~35)</td>
<td>MDQ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Duration</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oh et al. /2008 [46]</td>
<td>NRCCT</td>
<td>Auricular acupressure, 100sec. 3times/day (n=30, age=23~40)</td>
<td></td>
<td>MDQ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olsen &amp; Flocco /1993 [47]</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Ear, Hand, Foot reflexology, 30min, 1time/week for 8weeks (n=18, age=37.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>MDQ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walsh et al. /1999 [48]</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Spine manipulation, 2~3times/week for 3cycles (n=16, age=35±7.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>MDQ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wang et al. /2009 [49]</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>Auricular acupressure 3times/day for 20days (n=36, age=22.3±2.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>MDQ, Serum NO level</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RCT=randomized controlled trial; VAS=visual analog scale; NRCCT= non-randomized controlled clinical trial; MDQ=menstrual distress questionnaire; QOL=quality of life; SP6=Sanyinjiao; BL32=Ciliao; Liver3=Taichong; ODI=oswestry disability index; ADL=activities of daily living; SF-MDQ=short form menstrual distress questionnaire; B6=vitamin B6. NO level=nitric oxide

29% of them performed repeated measurements. Bias was determined to be low in most of studies for detection bias, attrition bias and reporting bias.

3.4 Effects of Manipulative Therapy

3.4.1 MDQ

The effects of manipulative therapies were analyzed according to intervention types applied to PMS are as Figure 3. The overall effect size of manipulative therapies performed to alleviate PMS was -0.53 (95% CI: -0.69 to -0.37, Hedges’s g=-0.52), which corresponds to a medium effect sizes, and the heterogeneity of these studies (Q=22.56, p=.094; I²=33%) showed small heterogeneity. The effects of manipulative therapies were analyzed separately for different intervention types. Among 16 studies, massage and chiropractic therapy whose number of effect size (k) is less than 2 were excluded.

Fig. 3. Forest plot of effect size and 95% CI by manipulative therapy on MDQ
The effect size of foot reflexology applied to alleviate PMS was -1.20 (95%CI: -1.55 to -0.86, Hedges’s g:-1.05). The effect size was -0.44 (95%CI: -0.68 to -0.20, Hedges’s g:-0.41) for auricular acupressure therapy and -0.56 (95%CI: -0.80 to -0.32, Hedges’s g:-0.49) for acupressure therapy.

3.4.2 VAS

The effects of manipulative therapies were analyzed according to intervention types applied to dysmenorrhea as in Figure 4. The overall effect size of manipulative therapies applied to alleviate dysmenorrhea was -0.98 (95%CI: -1.18 to -0.78, Hedges’s g:-0.94), which corresponds to a large effect size and was statistically significant (Z=-9.53, p<.001). But in these studies, a relatively large heterogeneity (Q=108.20, p<.001; I²=75%) was identified. So in order to confirm the heterogeneity of each study, Among 28 studies, chiropractic therapy whose number of effect size (k) is less than 2 was excluded. The effect size of foot reflexology applied to alleviate dysmenorrhea was -0.64 (95% CI: -1.07 to -0.20, Hedges’s g:-0.58). The effect size was -1.09 (95% CI: -1.46 to -0.71, Hedges’s g:-1.05) for auricular acupressure therapy, the effect size was -0.75 (95% CI: -1.00 to -0.50, Hedges’s g:-0.71) for acupressure therapy, -0.68 (95% CI: -1.08 to -0.27, Hedges’s g:-0.60) for massage.

![Figure 4 Forest plot of effect size and 95% CI by manipulative therapy on VAS](image-url)
3.5 Funnel Plot

We were conducted funnel plot for the analysis of publication bias (Figure 5). The studies on manipulative therapies performed to alleviate PMS were distributed symmetrically with the overall effect size of ~0.53 at the center. The effect size remained the same after applying the trim and fill method. The number of studies required to reject the meta-analysis results, Nfs, was 240. On the one hand, the studies on manipulative therapies to alleviate dysmenorrhea were not symmetric with the overall effect size of ~0.98 at the center. The effect size corrected by the trim and fill method was -1.06 (95% CI: -1.27 to -0.84), increased by 8% compared to the size before correction.

Nfs was 2,381 Based on the fact that there is no influence on the publication convenience when the effect size change is less than 10% after applying trim and fill [19], it is judged that there is no publication convenience in this study.

4. Discussion

This study was attempted to analyze the effects of manipulative therapy interventions applied to menstrual discomfort caused by dysmenorrhea and PMS and to verify more effective intervention methods. Before discussing the results of this study, the results of a meta-analysis study [15] that analyzed studies on intervention of menstrual discomfort embracing diverse complementary and alternative therapies are to be compared with the results of this study. It should be noted that there are limitations in direct comparison of the results due to differences in the intervention methods, collection time and details of analysis.

Complementary and alternative therapies that appeared as non-pharmacologic management strategies for intervention of menstrual discomfort were consistently developed since 1990, and many interventions were developed including aromatherapy, Koryo hand acupuncture, moxibustion, magnetic field, yoga, heat therapy and auricular acupressure therapy [15].

Among them, the number of studies on manipulative therapies was only 7 from 2001 to 2010 but increased greatly after 2010. Many studies were carried out on different types of manipulative therapy such as foot reflexology, auricular acupressure, acupressure, massage and chiropractic therapy. This is probably because the direction was changed from treatment to prevention and the focus of nursing was shifted to self-nursing ability. The interest in non-invasive manipulative therapies that can be used by women on their own to gain various effects was increased.
because of temporal and economic efficiencies. In this sense, this study can provide practical evidence-based data related to hand therapies by comprehensively analyzing and determining latest manipulative therapies.

Compared to the results of a precedent study [9] that women in their 20s appeal physical discomfort related to menstruation the most, many subjects of this study were in their 20s. The ratio of studies conducted on teens was 25.8% (8 studies), which is higher than the precedent study [15]. This is probably the result of increase in teenage students who appeal menstrual discomfort due to earlier occurrence of the first period.

About 54.8% of studies were RCTs, among which 65% showed low bias in the domains of random allocation order, concealment of allocation order and blinding of result assessment. This indirectly confirmed that researchers are trying to increase quality of study methods compared to the precedent study [15].

However, about 35% of studies did not specifically mention their study method and have limits in utilizing and standardizing the study results. For vitalization of intervention methods, it would be essential to describe study methods in detail.

When there was less than two studies on a manipulative therapy intervention, there were difficulties in verifying the intervention effects. So we analyzed the effect of manipulative therapies after excluding those studies, but in order to verify the effects of different manipulative therapy interventions and utilize them in practice, it would be necessary to perform a meta-analysis that includes more studies in the future.

The overall mean effect size of each manipulative therapy intervention applied to alleviate PMS was a ~0.53, and most of interventions were found to have effect sizes above the median size. The largest effect size among the manipulative therapies to alleviate PMS was foot reflexology. This was different from previous studies [15], because of the meta-analysis of small-scale studies with a small number of articles with foot reflexology, it is difficult to generalize them even if they are statistically significant. For future meta-analysis, it is necessary to increase the number of studies using foot reflexology. Therefore, further intervention studies using foot reflexology need to be performed additionally.

The overall mean effect size of manipulative therapies applied to dysmenorrhea was ~0.98, which corresponds to a large effect size. The range of the effect size of manipulative therapy interventions to relieve dysmenorrhea was ~0.64~1.09, and the largest effect size was shown by auricular acupressure therapy. But it was found to be relatively heterogeneous in the homology test. In Kim et al. [15] study, it was found that the auricular acupressure therapy, which had the largest effect on the PMS rather than dysmenorrhea. However, both studies showed the same results in the homogeneity test.

Auricular acupressure therapy has been actively researched more than other manipulative therapies. This means that auricular acupressure therapy is relatively simple, self-care is possible, and is considered to be an effective intervention for relieving menstrual discomfort due to PMS and dysmenorrhea. But like the previous study, there was still a large difference in pressure position, duration of intervention, interval and frequency. In order to apply auricular acupressure therapy to practice, it is necessary to acquire homogeneity and develop standardized intervention methods.

The limitation of this study was that the number of papers included in each intervention was small, and the details of the intervention period, the number of times, and the intervals were not confirmed for each intervention. As a result, there is no concrete analysis of the intervention and there is a lack of a basis for providing a standard for practical application.
5. Conclusion and recommendation

This study searched domestic and foreign papers which applied manipulative therapies to alleviate menstrual discomfort until May 2018. The purpose of this study was to investigate the effects of manipulative therapy on menstrual discomfort and to provide basic data of the evidence based practice.

Based on the funnel plot and trim and fill method, the studies on manipulative therapies applied to alleviate PMS and dysmenorrhea were found to have no publication bias.

In this study, 31 reports were analyzed, and it was confirmed that manipulative therapy applied to alleviate menstrual discomfort due to dysmenorrhea and menstrual syndrome. The overall effect size of manipulative therapies performed to alleviate PMS was a medium effect size with small heterogeneity. The overall effect size of manipulative therapies applied to alleviate dysmenorrhea was a large effect size with a relatively large heterogeneity. It was confirmed that the manipulative therapy applied to relieve menstrual discomfort due to PMS and dysmenorrhea was more effective than moderate. Therefore, there is a need to develop a standardized manipulative therapy method and further study on some manipulative intervention therapies with a small number of studies.
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