Economic Analysis of Snow Damage on Sugi (Cryptomeria japonica) Forest Stands in Japan Within the Forest Stand Optimization Framework
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ABSTRACT: We conduct economic analysis of the snow damage on sugi (Cryptomeria japonica) forest stands in Toyama Prefecture, Japan. We utilize a single tree and distant independent growth simulator called “Silv-Forest.” With this growth simulator, we developed an optimization model by dynamic programming, called DP-Silv (Dynamic Programming Silv-Forest). The MS-PATH (multiple stage projection alternative technique) algorithm was embedded as a searching algorithm of dynamic programming. The height / DBH ratio was used to constrain the thinning regime for snow damage protection. The optimal rotation age turned out to be 65 years for the non-restricted case, while it was 50 years for the restricted case. The difference in NPV of these two cases as the induced costs ranged from 179,867 to 1,910,713 yen/ha over the rotation age of 20 to 75 years. Under the optimal rotation of 65 years, the cost became 914,226 yen/ha. The estimated annual payment based on the difference in NPV, was from 9,869 yen/ha/yr to 85,900 yen/ha/yr. All in all, 10,000 yen/ha/yr to 20,000 yen/ha/yr seems to cover the payment from the rotation age of 35 to 75 years.
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INTRODUCTION

Snow damage on artificial forest stands has been one of the main concerns in snow regions, Japan. It breaks stems and branches with the large economic loss to forest owners. Kato et al. (1992) conducted the analysis on the ratio of height to DBH (diameter at breast height) (hereafter called H/D ratio) for a sugi (Cryptomeria japonica) forest stand against snow damage, and found out that the H/D ratio should be maintained less than 65% to avoid the damage under the thinning regime. Although this requirement seems to reduce the benefits from the management, it could be offset by the benefits of avoiding the damage beforehand.

In this research, we conduct economic analysis of the snow damage on sugi forest stands in Toyama Prefecture, Japan. We utilize a single tree and distant independent growth simulator called “Silv-Forest.” This growth simulator was originally developed by Tanaka (1995) and modified by Kato and Tanaka (1997) and Kato et al. (2008) for sugi forests in Toyama. One of the main characteristics of Silv-Forest is that the DBH distribution is first created from the observed data, then the distribution progresses mainly based on diameter growth at each DBH class following the normal distribution with the derived mean of growth and the given coefficient of variation for growth. It has been used on the spreadsheet basis of Microsoft Excel Software. With some arrangements, we modify it further to be optimized for the thinning regime after converting it into the FORTRAN programming language.
With this growth simulator, we develop an optimization model by dynamic programming, called DP-Silv (Dynamic Programming Silv-Forest). The MS-PATH (multiple stage projection alternative technique) algorithm is embedded as a searching algorithm of dynamic programming. The H/D ratio is used to constrain the thinning regime for snow damage protection. The paper is organized as follows. In the second section, the MS-PATH algorithm is mathematically elaborated. In the third section, we conduct economic analysis on the snow damage, then some concluding remarks are provided in the last section.

**Dynamic Programming Specification**

The dynamic programming approach has been developed and extensively applied for optimizing thinning regimes (Arimizu, 1958a, b; Amidon and Akin, 1968; Schreuder, 1971; Kilkki and Väisänen, 1970; Adams and Ek, 1974; Brodie et al., 1978; Brodie and Kao, 1979; Chen et al., 1980; Martin and Ek, 1981; Riitters et al., 1982; Brodie and Haight, 1985; Haight et al., 1985; Valsta and Brodie, 1985; Arthand and Klemperer, 1988; Torres and Brodie, 1990). However, as forest stand growth models were developed with growth complexity, the dynamic programming approach became inefficient for optimization due to vast increase in dimensionality for the state description of iteration called the curse of dimensionality.

While the curse of dimensionality had been the main problem for these early applications of dynamic programming, Paredes and Brodie (1987) introduced a new searching algorithm called PATH (Projection Alternative Technique) within the framework of both network theory and the theory of the Lagrange multipliers to resolve the problem. Their derivation of the algorithm was somewhat ad-hoc with difficulty of searching for optimal values of the Lagrange multipliers. With the concept of calculus of variation, Yoshimoto et al. (1988) introduced a new derivation of the algorithm, and pointed out the shortcoming of PATH in considering a long-term effect of thinning activities on the objective function. They developed the MS-PATH (Multiple Stage PATH) algorithm to overcome the problem by considering all possible future path combination. The recent application of MS-PATH can be found in Yoshimoto and Marušák (2007). In what follows, we elaborate the algorithm.

Let \( x(t) \) be a state variable representing a forest stand at time \( t \), and \( \dot{x}(t) \) be its first derivative with respect to time \( t \). Introducing a marginal function, \( \pi(\cdot) \), of the objective for the management, say, the net present value of the profits, over time, the objective is to maximize its integral from the initial state (plantation), \( x_0 \), at time \( t_0 \), to the ending state (final harvest) \( x_n \) at time \( t_n \) with respect to a control variable, \( \dot{x}(t) \).

\[
\max J = \int_{t_0}^{t_n} \pi(x(t), \dot{x}(t), t) \, dt \\
x(t_0) = x_0 \\
x(t_n) = x_n
\] (1)

In the thinning management problem, thinning intensity, \( T(t) \) at time \( t \) is of the major concern, and controls \( x(t) \) and \( \dot{x}(t) \), so that the control variable can be replaced by thinning, \( T(t) \).

\[
\max J = \int_{t_0}^{t_n} \pi(x(t), T(t)) \, dt \\
x(t_0) = x_0 \\
x(t_n) = x_n
\] (2)

Converting the objective function into the discrete thinning problem with thinning at age of \( t \) \( (t = t_0, t_1, ..., t_n) \), we have,

\[
\max J = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \pi(x(t) | T(t_{i+1})) \, dt \\
x(t_0) = x_0 \\
x(t_n) = x_n
\] (3)

Introducing the integrand of \( \Pi(x(t) | T(t_{i+1})) = \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \pi(x(\tau)) \, T(t_{i+1})) \, d\tau \), the objective function of equation becomes,
\[ \max_{\{T(t)\}} J = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ \Pi(x(t_i)|T(t_{i-1})) \right] dt \]

\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{ \Pi(x(t_i)|T(t_{i-1})) \} - \int_{0}^{t_i} \pi(x(t)|T(t_{i-1})) dt \]  

(4)

Let us introduce the contribution value function, \( \Pi^\ast(T(t)) \), of thinning, \( T(t) \), which satisfies the following,

\[ \int_{0}^{t_i} \pi(x(t)|T(t)) dt = \Pi(x(t)|T(t_{i-1})) - \Pi^\ast(T(t)) \]  

(5)

The first term of the right-hand side is the contribution value of a forest stand, \( \Pi^\ast() \), at time \( t_i \) after having thinning \( T(t_{i-1}) \) at time \( t_{i-1} \) and the second term is the amount taken by thinning \( T(t) \) at time \( t_i \). As a result, the difference is the contribution value of a stand just after having thinning at time \( t_i \). With equation (5), equation (4) becomes.

\[ \max_{\{T(t)\}} J = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{ \Pi(x(t_i)|T(t_{i-1})) \} - \int_{0}^{t_i} \pi(x(t)|T(t_{i-1})) dt \]

\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{ \Pi(x(t_i)|T(t_{i-1})) \} + \Pi^\ast(T(t_{i-1})) - \Pi(x(t_{i-1})|T(t_{i-2})) \]  

(6)

Note that only the first two terms on the right-hand side have affects from thinning, \( T(t) \).

With one stage look-ahead search in the PATH algorithm (Paredes & Brodie, 1987), the following optimality equation is obtained.

\[ f_i^\ast = \max_{\{T(t)\}} \{ f_i(T(t)) \} \]

\[ f_i(T(t)) = \Pi_i(T(t_{i-1})) + \Pi^\ast(T(t_{i-1})) - f_{i-1}^\ast \]  

(7)

where \( T_i = T(t_i) \), \( \Pi_i(T(t_{i-1})) = \Pi(x(t_i)|T(t_{i-1})) \), \( \Pi^\ast(T(t)) = \Pi^\ast(T(t)) \), \( \Pi_i^\ast = \Pi(T(t)) \), and \( T_i^\ast \) is an optimal thinning intensity at time \( t_i \). Considering influence of thinning over multiple periods by MS-PATH, the optimality equation of MS-PATH becomes,

\[ f_i^\ast = \max_{\{T(t)\}} \{ f_i(T(t)) \} \]

\[ f_i(T(t)) = \Pi_i(T(t_{i-1})) + \Pi^\ast(T(t_{i-1})) - f_{i-1}^\ast \]  

(8)

This is to search for an optimal thinning intensity as well as an optimal elapse of the stage, \( j \), from the \( (i-j) \)-th stage for two sequential thinning over multiple stages. Note that \( T_{i,j} \) is thinning intensity at time \( t_{ij} \) with the elapse of the stage \( j \) from the \( i \)-th stage, \( \Pi_i(T_{i,j}) \) is the contribution value of a forest stand at time \( t_i \) after having thinning \( T_{i,j} \) at time \( t_{ij} \), \( \Pi^\ast(T_{i,j}) \) is the contribution value of thinning \( T_{i,j} \) implemented at time \( t_{ij} \), \( j^\ast \) is an optimal elapse of the stage from the \( i \)-th stage, \( T_{i,j}^\ast \) is an optimal thinning intensity at time \( t_{ij} \) targeting time \( t_i \), \( \Pi^\ast_i(T_{i,j}^\ast) \) is the contribution value of a forest stand at time \( t_i \) after having an optimal thinning intensity \( T_{i,j}^\ast \) at time \( t_{ij}^\ast \) with an optimal elapse of the stage \( j^\ast \). The algorithm searches for an optimal solution by maximizing the sum of the contribution value of a forest stand and thinning.

Analysis

Regarding the growth simulator of Silv-Forest, we implemented the following modification. First we utilized the relative taper curve function to estimate volume of a tree by every 1/10 segmentation of a tree. Second, since the original Silv-Forest did not consider self-thinning, we embedded it to the model.

The taper curve function is to estimate the \( i \)-th relative diameter size, \( D_i \) to DBH, by the following empirical polynomial function of a relative height, \( x_i \), with all parameters estimated as a function of the corresponding DBH and height, so that at each DBH class one unique taper curve is derived.

\[ D_i = a_i \cdot x_i + a_2 \cdot x_i^2 + a_3 \cdot x_i^3 + a_4 \cdot x_i^{20} \quad i = 1, \ldots, 10 \]  

(9)

Each parameter is a function of DBH and height defined by the following bilinear form.

\[ a_i = b_0 + b_1 \cdot H_j + b_2 \cdot DBH_j + b_3 \cdot H_j^2 + b_4 \cdot DBH_j \]  

\[ + b_5 \cdot DBH_j^2 \]  

(10)

Converting these equations into the framework of the estimated generalized least square (EGLS) estimation (see Vonesh and Carter, 1987), we have the following statisti-
The second modification is to incorporate a function of self-thinning into the growth model. The following is the relationship of the number of trees and individual average volume estimated with the use of the above relative taper curve.

\[
N = N_0 - \frac{v}{3470592 \cdot N_0^{0.9144}}
\]

where \( N \) is the current number of trees, \( N_0 \) is the initial number of trees of plantation and \( v \) is the average individual tree volume at the current.

One variant of sugi called Kawaidani sugi was considered for the analysis. This is a typical variant in Toyama, Japan. The stand age was 15 years old with average DBH of 14.41 cm, average height of 9.39 m, average tree volume of 0.079 m$^3$ and TPH (trees/ha) of 2001. The main growth component of Silv-Forest is that it deals with the DBH distribution and its dynamics by the transition probability matrix derived from the induced normal distribution of a tree DBH growth. Figure 2 shows the DBH distribution and its dynamics without any thinning over 55 year time horizon. The control variables for optimization were thinning timing, thinning intensity, and thinning above \( \{b\} \).

\[
a_j : k \times 1 \text{ vector of between-individual explanatory variables of DBH and height of the } j\text{-th taper data},
\]

\[
\nu_j : q \times 1 \text{ between-individual error vector } \nu_j \sim i.d.E[\nu_j] = 0, \text{ Cov}[\nu_j] = \Delta.
\]

We used the data from the stem analysis to estimate coefficients. Figure 1 shows the fitted results of the estimation with the results in Table 1.

\[
y_j = X_j\beta_j + \varepsilon_j \quad (j = 1, \ldots, n),
\]

\[
y_j = X_j\Xi a_j + X_j\nu_j + \varepsilon_j \quad (j = 1, \ldots, n),
\]

where

\[
y_j : p_j \times 1 \text{ vector of repeated measurements from stem analysis for diameter of the } j\text{-th taper data},
\]

\[
X_j : p_j \times q \text{ within-individual design matrix of relative height of the } j\text{-th taper data},
\]

\[
\beta_j : q \times 1 \text{ random regression coefficient of the } j\text{-th taper data corresponding to the above } \{a\},
\]

\[
\varepsilon_j : p_j \times 1 \text{ within-individual error vector } \varepsilon_j \sim i.d.E[\varepsilon_j], \text{ Cov}[\varepsilon_j] = \sigma^2I_{p_j},
\]

\[
\Xi : k \times q \text{ mean parameter matrix corresponding to the}
\]

**Fig. 1.** Fitted results of the relative taper curve.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( a_j )</th>
<th>( b_0 )</th>
<th>( b_1 )</th>
<th>( b_2 )</th>
<th>( b_3 )</th>
<th>( b_4 )</th>
<th>( b_5 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( a_1 )</td>
<td>0.937</td>
<td>0.516</td>
<td>-0.592</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-0.032</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a_2 )</td>
<td>0.891</td>
<td>-0.944</td>
<td>1.064</td>
<td>-0.059</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>-0.144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a_3 )</td>
<td>0.277</td>
<td>0.639</td>
<td>-0.916</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>-0.117</td>
<td>0.099</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a_4 )</td>
<td>-0.145</td>
<td>-0.042</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>-0.026</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>-0.044</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 2. DBH distribution and dynamics.

Table 2. Log price as a function of DBH for 4m size log

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Range of Top Diameter of Log (cm)</th>
<th>Grade of Log</th>
<th>Price (Yen/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10-13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9,714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9,792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9,792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>18,943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13,264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-38</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23,464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-38</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23,464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25,659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40-100</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25,659</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Grade of log is determined by the position of log produced from a tree. “1” means the 1st log from the bottom of a tree, and the rest is the 2nd grade with small diameter as 0 grade

We considered two cases for optimization. One was without any H/D ratio requirement, and the other is with the ratio less than or equal to 65% at the thinning and felling periods by

\[
100 \frac{H_n(m)}{DBH_n(cm)} \leq 65
\]

The difference in the objective value from these two cases was regarded as a cost induced by the H/D ratio requirement for snow damage protection. Figure 3 shows the optimal net present value over different rotation age under two cases. The gray “x” symbol represents a solution without the H/D ratio requirement, while the black “x” is with the requirement. From these outcomes, the optimal rotation age turns out to be 65 years for the first case, while 50 year rotation age was for the restricted case. The difference in NPV, \(C_{SD}\), ranged from 179,867 to 1,910,713 yen/ha for the rotation age of 20 to 75 years. Sudden change was observed for 25 and 30 year rotation. Under the optimal rotation of 65 years, the cost became 914,226 yen/ha as in Figure 4.

The above difference was calculated based on different
Table 3. Annual payment under different rotation age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rotation Age</th>
<th>Annual Payment (yen/ha/yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>9869</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>85900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>60385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>20626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>15060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>12102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>10416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>10503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>19075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>19006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>17723</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>16758</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

rotation length, so that the following annual payment was used to compare the results.

\[ C_{SD} = \frac{1 - \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^T}{1 - \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)} \]  

(15)

where

- \( C_{SD} \): Induced cost for snow damage protection
- \( A_{PT} \): Annual payment
- \( T \): Rotation age
- \( r \): Interest rate (1%)

Table 3 shows the resultant annual payment under different rotation ages. As was observed in Figure 4, annual payment was the largest for the rotation age of 25 years. It ranged from 9,869 yen/ha/yr of the rotation age 20 years to 85,900 yen/ha/yr of the age 25 years. At the optimal rotation age for the restricted case, it was 10,416 at age 50 years. More or less, 10,000 yen/ha/yr to 20,000 yen/ha/yr seemed to cover the payment from the rotation age of 35 to 75 years.

CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we conducted cost evaluation of the snow damage protection through the optimization framework for the forest stand management of sugi forests. If the induced costs by the snow protection management scheme becomes more than the expected cost of snow damage on the forest management, we would stay with the optimal thinning regime without considering snow damage protection into the management scheme. If not, it would be better off for the forest manager to maintain the optimal regime under the snow protection scheme. In the analysis, we utilized the height-DBH ratio for the management scheme against snow damage for the cost evaluation of snow damage. The previous study indicated that the H/D ratio should be maintained less than or equal to 65% against snow damage, so that we used this requirement as a constraint into the optimization framework.

Our experimental analyses showed first that the optimal rotation age was 65 years for the unrestricted case, while 50 year rotation age was for the restricted case. The difference in NPV of these two cases as the induced costs ranged from 179,867 to 1,910,713 yen/ha over the rotation age of 20 to 75 years. Under the optimal rotation of 65 years, the cost became 914,226 yen/ha. In order to reduce an effect of different length of the rotation age, annual payment was next calculated based on the difference in NPV. The largest was 85,900 yen/ha/yr of the age 25 years, while the smallest was 9,869 yen/ha/yr of the rotation age 20 years. At the optimal rotation age of 50 years for the restricted case, it was 10,416. All in all, 10,000 yen/ha/yr to 20,000 yen/ha/yr seemed to cover the payment from the rotation age of 35 to 75 years.

Although the results indicated here are limited to the
assumed situations, the results suggested that cost evaluation through the provided approach is useful for the forest policy toward snow damage protection through the management scheme. The induced costs can be used as the basis for the coverage of snow damage in the forest management. The cost for forest owners could be offset by this coverage.
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