LAYERWISE FORMULATION OF PIEZOELECTRIC LAMINATED COMPOSITES

Jaehong Lee *, Hee-Jung Ham †

Hyundai Institute of Construction Technology
178 Sejong Ro, Chongro Ku, Seoul 110-050

Abstract

A layerwise theory for the dynamic response of a laminated composite plate with integrated piezoelectric actuators and sensors subjected to both mechanical and electrical loadings is proposed. The formulation is derived form the variational principle with consideration for both total potential energy of the structures and the electrical potential energy of the piezoceramics. The governing equations of the present theory account for direct and converse effects of piezoelectrics, and layerwise variation of displacement field through the thickness of a laminate.

I. Introduction

Piezoelectric materials have received considerable attention due to their potential use in actively controling the elastic deformations of structures. Piezoelectric materials respond to mechanical load and generates an electric charge, which is called the *direct piezoelectric effect*¹. Conversely, application of an electric field to the material can produce mechanical stress or strain which is referred to the *converse piezoelectric effect*. The sensing and actuating piezoelectric elements can be either surface bonded or embedded within the laminated structures.

One of the earliest studies concerned with piezoelectric plates is the work of Tiersten². In his monograph, the basic equations governing the behavior of linear, piezoelectric media are developed and applied to various wave and vibration problems. Recently, a number of investigators have considered incorporating layers of piezoelectric materials into structural systems, providing a means for altering the structure's response through sensing, actuation, and control. Bailey and Hubbard³ used a PVDF(Polyvinylidene fluoride) polymer as a distributed actuator for a Bernoulli-Euler beam model. Some other analyses and numerical

^{*}Senior Research Engineer

[†]Research Engineer

models have also been developed to study cantilever beam with segmented piezoelectric actuators^{4,5}.

Lee⁷ presented a formulation of distributed piezoelectric sensors and actuators based on the classical lamination theory (CLT) for bending and torsional modal control. Wang and Rogers⁸ developed spatially distributed induced strain actuation model based on CLT. Tauchert⁹ investigated piezothermoelastic behavior of a laminated composite under staionary thermal and electric fields. Buckling and postbuckling analyses with piezoelectric actuator studied by Chandrashekhara and Bhatia⁶ by finite element method based on the first order shear deformable theory (FSDT). A fully nonlinear theory for the dynamics of anisotropic plates with piezoelectric layer was presented by Pai et al.¹⁰ based on a higher-order shear deformable theory (HSDT). Tzou and his companion¹¹ presented a multilayered thin shell model with a piezoelectric layer based on Love's theory.

All the literature above used equivalent single-layer two dimensional theories (CLT, FSDT, HSDT), which model a multi-layered composite as an equivalent single-layer homogeneous plate. These theories are adequate for global response of laminates. In these equivalent single-layer theories, however, the coupling effects coming from material anisotropy may be overlooked. Furthermore, when the piezoelectric layers are taken into account in the analysis, these coupling effects become even larger. In this regard, a full three-dimensional piezoelectric finite element model was developed by several researchers. Among them, Ha et al. 12 implemented eight-node composite brick element based on the total potential energy of the structures and the electrical potential energy of the piezoceramics. Sunar and Rao¹³ considered distributed thermopiezoelectric sensors and actuators by using three-dimensional finite lement method. Hagood et al. 14 used extended Hamilton's principle to derive the governing equations of coupled piezoelectric laminates and applied Ritz method to solve the problem. Ray et al. 15 considered a piezoelectric plate under cylindrical bending subjected to sinusoidal mechanical loading and electric potential on the top surface. Robbins and Reddy¹⁶ performed static and dynamic analyses of an isotropic beam using layerwise finite element model to investigate the piezoelectric behavior of beam structures. However, the direct piezoelectric effect was not considered in that analysis.

In the present study, the layerwise plate theory¹⁷ is extended for laminated composites with distributed piezoelectric sensors and actuators. The governing equations are obtained by the application of the variational principle. The present layerwise theory accounts for direct and converse effects of piezoelectrics, and a linear variation of displacement field through the thickness of a laminate for each layer.

II. Theoretical Formulation

A. Kinematics

An N-layer fiber-reinforced composite plate containing distributed piezoelectric sensors and actuators is considered. The resulting displacements U_{α} and U_3 at a generic point x_1, x_2, x_3 in the laminate are assumed to be of the form:

$$U_{\alpha}(x_{\beta}, x_3, t) = u_{\alpha}(x_{\beta}, t) + \phi^j(x_3)u_{\alpha}^j(x_{\beta}, t), \qquad (1)$$

$$U_3(x_{\beta}, x_3, t) = u_3(x_{\beta}, t).$$
 (2)

The usual Cartesian indicial notation is employed where Greek subscripts are assumed to have values 1 to 2. Superscript j ranges from 1 to N, where N is the number of layers. The terms u_{α} and u_3 are the displacements of a point (x_{β}, t) on the reference surface of the laminate, u_{α}^j are nodal values of the displacements in the x_{α} direction of each single-layer, and $\phi^j(z)$ is the linear Lagrangian interpolation function through the thickness of the laminate which accounts for linear variation of displacement field within each layer.

The strain tensor associated with small-displacement theory of elasticity are given by

$$\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{\circ} + \phi^{j} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{j}, \tag{3}$$

$$\gamma_{\alpha 3} = \gamma_{\alpha 3}^{\circ} + \phi_3^j \gamma_{\alpha 3}^j \,, \tag{4}$$

where

$$\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{\circ} = \frac{1}{2} (u_{\alpha,\beta} + u_{\beta,\alpha}), \qquad (5)$$

$$\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{j} = \frac{1}{2} (u_{\alpha,\beta}^{j} + u_{\beta,\alpha}^{j}), \qquad (6)$$

$$\gamma_{\alpha 3}^{\circ} = u_{3,\alpha}, \qquad \gamma_{\alpha 3}^{j} = u_{\alpha}^{j}.$$
 (7)

B. Constitutive Equations.

The constitutive equations of a piezoelectric media are of the following form¹⁸:

$$\epsilon_{ij} = S_{ijkl}\sigma_{kl} + d_{nij}E_n \,, \tag{8}$$

$$D_m = d_{mkl}\sigma_{kl} + \varepsilon_{mn}E_n \,, \tag{9}$$

or, alternatively

$$\sigma_{ij} = C_{ijkl}\epsilon_{kl} - e_{kij}E_k \,, \tag{10}$$

$$D_m = e_{mkl}\epsilon_{kl} + \varepsilon_{mk}E_k \,, \tag{11}$$

where, σ_{ij} and ϵ_{ij} denote the stress and strain components; D_m are the electric displacements; E_m are the electric field vectors. Also, S_{ijkl} and C_{ijkl} denote elastic compliances and moduli, respectively; d_{mij} and e_{nij} are the piezoelectric coefficients; ε_{mk} are the dielectric constants. As shown in Eqs. (10) and (11), the piezoelectric couple the mechanical and electrical equations. The coupling terms are the piezoelectric constants, e_{nij} which correlate stress to applied electric filed, electric displacement to applied strain. The first index in subscripts refers to electrical axis while the second and third indices are mechanical.

By using the assumption $\sigma_{33} = 0$ in the constitutive relation of Eqs. (10) and (11), the following expressions can be obtained for monoclinic materials,

$$\sigma_{\alpha\beta} = Q_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega}\epsilon_{\gamma\omega} - e_{3\alpha\beta}^* E_3 \,, \tag{12a}$$

$$\sigma_{\alpha 3} = Q_{\alpha 3\beta 3} \gamma_{\beta 3} - e_{\beta \alpha 3}^* E_{\beta} \,, \tag{12b}$$

$$D_{\alpha} = e_{\alpha\beta3}^* \gamma_{\beta3} + \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} E_{\beta} \,, \tag{12c}$$

$$D_3 = e_{3\alpha\beta}^* \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} + \varepsilon_{33}^* E_3 \,, \tag{12d}$$

where $Q_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega}$, $e_{k\alpha\beta}^*$ and e_{33}^* are reduced quantities defined by

$$Q_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} = C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} - \frac{C_{\alpha\beta33}C_{33\gamma\omega}}{C_{3333}},$$

$$e_{k\alpha\beta}^* = e_{k\alpha\beta} - \frac{C_{\alpha\beta33}e_{333}}{C_{3333}},$$
(13)

$$e_{k\alpha\beta}^* = e_{k\alpha\beta} - \frac{C_{\alpha\beta33}e_{333}}{C_{3333}},$$
 (14)

$$\varepsilon_{33}^* = \varepsilon_{33} + \frac{e_{333}^2}{C_{3333}}. (15)$$

C. Variational Formulation

The general system is composed of an elastic body with inclusion of piezoelectric material which are poled and electroded arbitrarily. The extented Hamilton's principle is used to derive the equations of motion of the present theory¹⁸,

$$0 = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left[\delta(\mathcal{T} - \mathcal{U} + \mathcal{W}_e) - \delta \mathcal{W} \right] dt, \qquad (16)$$

where $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{W}_e$ and $\delta \mathcal{W}$ are kinetic energy, strain energy, electric energy and the first variation of work done by external forces, respectively, given by

$$\mathcal{T} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{v} \rho \dot{U}_{i} \dot{U}_{i} dv \,, \tag{17}$$

$$\mathcal{U} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\alpha} \sigma_{ij} \epsilon_{ij} dv \,, \tag{18}$$

$$W_e = \frac{1}{2} \int_{v} D_i E_i dv \,, \tag{19}$$

$$\delta \mathcal{W} = \int_{v}^{\infty} f_{i} \delta U_{i} dv + \oint_{s} \hat{t}_{i} \delta U_{i} ds + \oint_{s} \hat{q} \delta V ds.$$
 (20)

In the above Equations, ρ is the density, \hat{t}_i are the specified surface traction forces, \hat{q} is electric charge, f_i are the body forces (i = 1, 2, 3), and V denotes electric potential. v is the volume of the plate and s is the boundary of v on which the tractions are specified.

The electric field is related to the electric potential V by

$$E_i = -V_i \,, \tag{21}$$

and the electric potential is assumed to be the following form as for the displacement field:

$$V(x_{\beta}, x_{3}, t) = v^{\circ}(x_{\beta}, t) + \phi^{j}(x_{3})v^{j}(x_{\beta}, t).$$
 (22)

Then the electric field vector becomes

$$E_{\alpha} = E_{\alpha}^{\circ} + \phi^{j} E_{\alpha}^{j}, \tag{23}$$

$$E_3 = \phi_3^i E_3^j, \tag{24}$$

where

$$E_{\alpha}^{\circ} = -v_{,\alpha}^{\circ}; \qquad E_{\alpha}^{\jmath} = -v_{,\alpha}^{\jmath}; \qquad E_{3}^{\jmath} = -v^{\jmath}.$$
 (25)

Substituting Eqs. (17), (18), (19) and (20) into Eq. (16) and defining specified traction and electric charge resultants as

$$(\hat{N}_{\alpha}, \hat{N}_{\alpha}^{j}) = \int_{z_{b}}^{z_{b+1}} \hat{t}_{\alpha}(1, \phi^{j}) dx_{3}, \qquad (26)$$

$$\hat{Q}_3 = \int_{z_L}^{z_{k+1}} \hat{t}_3 dx_3, \qquad (27)$$

$$(\hat{G}, \hat{G}^j) = \int_{z_k}^{z_{k+1}} \hat{q}(1, \phi^j) dx_3, \qquad (28)$$

Eq. (16) takes the following form in the absence of body forces after integrating through the thickness

$$0 = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \left[I^{\circ} (\dot{u}_{\alpha} \delta \dot{u}_{\alpha} + \dot{u}_{3} \delta \dot{u}_{3}) + I^{j} (\dot{u}_{\alpha} \delta \dot{u}_{\alpha}^{j} + \dot{u}_{\alpha}^{j} \delta \dot{u}_{\alpha}) + I^{jk} \dot{u}_{\alpha}^{j} \delta \dot{u}_{\alpha}^{k} \right] d\Omega \right.$$

$$- \int_{\Omega} \left[N_{\alpha\beta} \delta u_{\alpha,\beta} + N_{\alpha\beta}^{j} \delta u_{\alpha,\beta}^{j} + Q_{\alpha3} \delta u_{3,\alpha} + Q_{\alpha3}^{j} \delta u_{\alpha}^{j} - p \delta u_{3} \right] d\Omega$$

$$- \int_{\Omega} \left[G_{\alpha} \delta v_{,\alpha}^{\circ} + G_{\alpha}^{j} \delta v_{,\alpha}^{j} + G_{3}^{j} v^{j} \right] d\Omega$$

$$- \int_{\Gamma_{1}} \hat{N}_{\alpha} \delta u_{\alpha} ds - \int_{\Gamma_{2}} \hat{Q}_{3} \delta u_{3} ds - \int_{\Gamma_{3}} \hat{N}_{\alpha}^{j} \delta u_{\alpha}^{j} ds - \int_{\Gamma_{4}} \hat{G} \delta v^{\circ} ds - \int_{\Gamma_{5}} \hat{G}^{j} \delta v^{j} ds \right\} dt , \quad (29)$$

where p is a specified distributed transverse load and $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3, \Gamma_4, \Gamma_5$ are the portions of the boundary of the reference surface Ω on which $\hat{N}_{\alpha}, \hat{Q}_3, \hat{N}_{\alpha}^j, \hat{G}, \hat{G}^j$, respectively, are specified. In Eq. (29), the stress and electric resultants are defined as

$$[N_{\alpha\beta}, N_{\alpha\beta}^j] = \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{z_k}^{z_{k+1}} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}[1, \phi^j] dx_3, \qquad (30)$$

$$[Q_{\alpha 3}, Q_{\alpha 3}^j] = \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{z_k}^{z_{k+1}} \sigma_{\alpha 3}[1, \phi_{,3}^j] dx_3, \qquad (31)$$

$$[G_{\alpha}, G_{\alpha}^{j}] = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} D_{\alpha}[1, \phi^{j}] dx_{3}, \qquad (32)$$

$$G_3^j = \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{z_k}^{z_{k+1}} D_3 \phi_{,3}^j dx_3.$$
 (33)

The inertia terms are defined as

$$[I^{\circ}, I^{j}, I^{jk}] = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} \rho[1, \phi^{j}, \phi^{jk}] dx_{3}.$$
 (34)

D. Governing Equations

The actuator equations of motion and the sensor equations of the present theory can be derived by integrating the derivatives of the varied quantities by parts and collecting the coefficients of δu_{α} , δu_{3}^{j} , δv° , δv° :

$$N_{\alpha\beta,\beta} = I^{\circ}\ddot{u}_{\alpha} + I^{\jmath}\ddot{u}_{\alpha}^{\jmath} \tag{35}$$

$$Q_{\beta 3,\beta} + p = I^{\circ} \ddot{u}_{3} \tag{36}$$

$$N^{j}_{\alpha\beta,\beta} - Q^{j}_{\alpha\beta} = I^{j}\ddot{u}_{\alpha} + I^{jk}\ddot{u}^{k}_{\alpha},$$

$$G_{\alpha,\alpha} = 0$$
(38)

$$G_{\alpha,\alpha} = 0 \tag{38}$$

$$G_{\alpha,\alpha} = 0 \tag{38}$$

$$G_{\alpha,\alpha}^j - G_3^j = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \tag{39}$$

Eqs. (35), (36) and (37) are the equations of motion (actuator equations) induced by the applied load and electric field, while Eqs. (38) and (39) are the sensor equations which relate the displacements of the plate to the closed-circuit output charge signal. The complete set of Eqs. (35) through (39) ((4+3N)) differential equations) describes the mechanical and electrical state of the piezoelectric laminated composite plate in (4 + 3N) variables $(u_{\alpha}, u_3, u_{\alpha}^j, v^{\circ}, v^j)$.

The natural boundary conditions associated with Eqs. (35) to (39) are of the form:

$$N_{\alpha\beta}n_{\beta} - \hat{N}_{\alpha} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1 \tag{40}$$

$$Q_{\beta 3} n_{\beta} - \hat{Q}_3 = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_2$$
 (41)

$$N_{\alpha\beta}^{j} n_{\beta} - \hat{N}_{\alpha}^{j} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_{3}$$
 (42)

$$G_{\beta}n_{\beta} - \hat{G} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_4 \tag{43}$$

$$G_{\beta}^{j} n_{\beta} - \hat{G}^{j} = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_{5} \,, \tag{44}$$

where n_{β} is a unit normal in β direction. Recall that Γ_1 through Γ_5 complement the total boundary Γ such a way that essential boundary conditions are specified:

$$u_{\alpha} = \hat{u}_{\alpha} \quad \text{on } \Gamma - \Gamma_1 \tag{45}$$

$$u_3 = \hat{u}_3 \quad \text{on } \Gamma - \Gamma_1 \tag{46}$$

$$u_{3} = \hat{u}_{3} \quad \text{on } \Gamma - \Gamma_{1}$$

$$u_{\alpha}^{j} = \hat{u}_{\alpha}^{j} \quad \text{on } \Gamma - \Gamma_{1}$$

$$v^{\circ} = \hat{v}^{\circ} \quad \text{on } \Gamma - \Gamma_{1}$$

$$(46)$$

$$(47)$$

$$v^{\circ} = \hat{v}^{\circ} \quad \text{on } \Gamma - \Gamma_1$$
 (48)

$$v^j = \hat{v}^j \quad \text{on } \Gamma - \Gamma_1 \,. \tag{49}$$

E. Laminate Constitutive Equations

Substitution of Eq. (12) into Eqs. (30), (31), (32) and (33) gives the constitutive equations of the laminate:

$$\begin{cases}
N_{\alpha\beta} \\
N_{\alpha\beta}^{j} \\
G_{3}^{j}
\end{cases} = \begin{bmatrix}
A_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega} & B_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega}^{k} & L_{3\alpha\beta}^{k} \\
B_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega}^{j} & D_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega}^{jk} & F_{3\alpha\beta}^{kj} \\
L_{3\gamma\omega}^{j} & F_{3\gamma\omega}^{jk} & H_{33}^{jk}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix}
\epsilon_{\gamma\omega}^{\circ} \\
\epsilon_{\gamma\omega}^{k} \\
-E_{3}^{k}
\end{Bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{cases}
Q_{\alpha3} \\
Q_{\alpha3}^{j} \\
G_{\alpha}^{j}
\end{cases} = \begin{bmatrix}
A_{\alpha3\beta3} & B_{\alpha3\beta3}^{k} & L_{\beta\alpha3}^{j} & F_{\beta\alpha3}^{k} \\
B_{\alpha3\beta3}^{j} & D_{\alpha\beta\beta}^{jk} & L_{\beta\alpha\beta}^{j} & F_{\beta\alpha3}^{jk} \\
L_{\alpha\beta3} & L_{\alpha\beta3}^{k} & H_{\alpha\beta}^{jk} & H_{\alpha\beta}^{k} \\
F_{\alpha\beta3}^{j} & F_{\alpha\beta3}^{jk} & H_{\alpha\beta}^{jk}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{Bmatrix}
\gamma_{\beta3}^{\kappa} \\
\gamma_{\beta3}^{k} \\
-E_{\beta}^{\kappa}
\end{Bmatrix},$$
(50)

where $A_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega}$, $B^{j}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega}$, $D^{jk}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega}$ are the stiffnesses of the laminate and $L_{\alpha\beta3}$, $F^{j}_{\alpha\beta3}$, etc. are the piezoelectric quantities given by

$$(A_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega}, B^{j}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega}, D^{jk}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{z_k}^{z_{k+1}} \bar{Q}^{(k)}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\omega}(1, \phi^j, \phi^j\phi^k) dx_3, \qquad (51a)$$

$$(A_{\alpha3\beta3}, B^{j}_{\alpha3\beta3}, D^{jk}_{\alpha3\beta3}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} \bar{Q}^{(k)}_{\alpha3\beta3}(1, \phi^{j}_{,3}, \phi^{j}_{,3}\phi^{k}_{,3}) dx_{3},$$
 (51b)

$$(L_{\alpha\beta3}, L_{\alpha\beta3}^{j}, F_{\alpha\beta3}^{j}, F_{\alpha\beta3}^{jk}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} \bar{e}_{\alpha\beta3}^{*(k)}(1, \phi_{,3}^{j}, \phi^{j}, \phi_{,3}^{j}\phi^{k}) dx_{3},$$
 (51c)

$$(L_{3\alpha\beta}^{j}, F_{3\alpha\beta}^{jk}) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{z_{k}}^{z_{k+1}} \bar{e}_{3\alpha\beta}^{*(k)}(\phi_{,3}^{j}, \phi_{,3}^{j}\phi^{k}) dx_{3}, \qquad (51d)$$

$$(H_{\alpha\beta}, H_{\alpha\beta}^j, H_{\alpha\beta}^{jk}) = -\sum_{k=1}^N \int_{z_k}^{z_{k+1}} \bar{\varepsilon}_{\alpha\beta}^{(k)}(1, \phi^j, \phi^j \phi^k) dx_3, \qquad (51e)$$

$$H_{33}^{jk} = -\sum_{k=1}^{N} \int_{z_k}^{z_{k+1}} \bar{\varepsilon}_{33}^{*(k)} \phi_{,3}^{j} \phi^k dx_3, \qquad (51f)$$

where "-" denotes transformed quantities for each layer.

III. Closure

A two-dimensional, layerwise theory of laminated composite plates with distributed piezo-electric sensors and actuators is presented. The theory accounts for direct as well as converse piezoelectric effects, and a linear variation of displacement field through the thickness of a laminate for each layer. Thus, coupling effects coming from strong material anisotropy are systematically included in the formulation. Extension to finite element model awaits attention.

References

- 1. Cady, W. G., Piezoelectricity, Dover, New York, 1964.
- 2. Tiersten, H. F., Linear Piezoelectric Plate Vibrations,, Plenium Press, New York, 1969.
- Bailey, T. B. and Hubbard, J. E., Jr., "Distributed Piezoelectric Polymer Active Vibration Control of a Cantilever Beam", Journal of Guidance, Control, and Dynamics, Vol. 8, No. 5, 1985, pp. 605-611.
- 4. Crawley, E. F. and Anderson, E. H., "Detailed Models of Piezoceramic Actuation of Beams", Journal of Intelligent Material Systems and Structures, Vol., 1, Jan., 1990, pp. 4-25.
- Im, S. and Atluri, S. N., "Effects of Piezo-Actuator on a Finitely Deformed Beam Subjected to General Loading", Journal of American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Vol. 27, No. 12, 1989, pp. 1801-1807.

- Chandrashekhara, K. and Bhatia, K., "Active Buckling Control of Smart Composite Plates-Finite Element Analysis", Smart Material and Structures, Vol. 2, 1993, pp. 31-39.
- Lee, C. K., "Laminated Piezopolymer Plates for Torsion and Bending Sensors and Actuators", Journal of Accoustic Society of America, Vol. 85, No. 6, 1989, pp. 2432-2439.
- 8. Wang, B. T. and Rogers, C. A., "Laminate Plate Theory for Spatially Distributed Induced Strain Actuators", *Journal of Composite Materials*, Vol., 25, April, 1991, pp. 433-452.
- 9. Tauchert, T. R., "Piezothermoelastic Behavior of Laminated Plate", Journal of Thermal Stresses, Vol. 15, 1992, pp. 25-37.
- Pai, P. F., Nayfeh, A. H., and Oh, K., Mook, D. T., "A Refined Nonlinear Model of Composite Plates with Integrated Piezoelectric Actuators and Sensors", *International Journal of Solids and Structures*, Vol. 30, No. 12, 1993, pp. 1603-1630.
- 11. Tzou, H. S. and Gadre, M., "Theoretical Analysis of a Multilayered Thin Shell coupled with Piezoelectric Shell Actuators for Distributed Vibration Controls", *Journal of Sound and Vibration*, Vol. 132, No.3, pp. 433-450.
- 12. Ha, S. K., Keilers, C., and Chang, F. K., "Finite Element Analysis of Composite Structures Containing Distributed Piezoelectric Sensors and Actuators", *Journal of American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics*, Vol. 30, No. 3, 1992, pp. 772-780.
- Sunar, M. and Rao, S. S., "Distributed Thermopiezoelectric Sensors and Actuators in Structural Design", 33rd AIAA/ASME/ASCE/ASC/AHS SDM Conference, Dallas, TX, April, 1992, pp. 890-895.
- 14. Hagood, N. W., Chung, W. H. and von Flotow, A., "Modelling of Piezoelectric Actuator Dynamics for Active Structural Control", *Journal of Intelligent Material Systems and Structures*, Vol. 1, July, 1990, pp. 327-354.
- 15. Ray, M. C., Rao, K. M., and Samanta, B., "Exact Analysis of Coupled Electroelastic Behavior of a Piezoelectric Plate under Cylindrical Bending", Computers and Structures, Vol. 45, No. 4, 1992, pp. 667-677.
- Robbins, D. H. and Reddy, J. N., "Analysis of Piezoelectrically Actuated Beams using Layer-wise Displacement Theory", Computers and Structures, Vol. 41, No. 2, 1991, pp. 265-279.
- 17. Lee, J., Gurdal, Z., and Griffin, O. H., "Layerwise Approach for the Bifurcation Problems in Laminated Composites", Journal of American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Vol. 31, No. 2, 1993, pp. 331-338.
- 18. Mikhailov, G. K. and Parton, V. Z., *Electromagnetoelasticity*, Applied Soviet Reviews, Hemisphere Pub., New York, 1989.