

퍼지관계방정식의 해의 관계성

On the solutions U^* and U_+ of fuzzy relation equation

JONG DUEK JEON HYUN-MEE KIM

Department of Mathematics, KyungHee University
Seoul, Korea, 130-701

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to investigate solutions U_+ and U^* for the fuzzy relation equation $R \circ U = T$ in cases of $R < T$, $R \leq T$, and $R = T$, when R is irreflexive, $U_+(x_i, x_k) = \bigwedge [R(x_i, x_j) \ll T(x_j, x_k)]$, $U^*(x_i, x_k) = \bigwedge [R(x_j, x_i) \rightarrow T(x_j, x_k)]$.

1. Introduction

Let us consider the lattice $L = ([0, 1], \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, \ll)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} a \vee b &= \max(a, b), \\ a \wedge b &= \min(a, b), \\ a \rightarrow b &= 1 \quad \text{if } a \leq b, \\ & \quad b \quad \text{if } a > b, \\ a \ll b &= 1 \quad \text{if } a \geq b, \\ & \quad a \quad \text{if } a < b. \end{aligned}$$

2. Preliminaries

The existence of solution of the relation equation

$$R \circ U = T$$

(with unknown relation U and given relations R, T) was characterized by Sanchez [1].

We need the following definitions and properties.

Let X be non-empty a finite set , $\text{card}(X) = n$.

Definition 2.1

A *fuzzy binary relation* on X and Y is a fuzzy subset R on $X \times Y$.

We are only interested in the case in which $X = Y$.

Definition 2.2

Suppose R and U are two fuzzy relation on X .

$$(R \circ U)(x_i, x_k) = \bigvee [R(x_i, x_j) \wedge T(x_j, x_k)] , \forall x_i, x_j, x_k \in X,$$

where \circ operation is called a *sup-inf composition*.

Definition 2.3

We say that I is called an *identity relation* on X if $R \circ I = I \circ R = R$,

where $I(x, y) = 1$ if $x = y$,

0 if $x \neq y$.

Definition 2.4

- 1) A fuzzy relation R is said to *reflexive* if $I \leq R$.
- 2) A fuzzy relation R is *irreflexive* iff $I \wedge R = \emptyset$.
- 3) If $R \circ R \leq R$,then R is called *transitive*.

Theorem 2.5 [2]

Equation $R \circ U = T$ has solutions iff $R \circ U^\dagger = T$, where

$$U^\dagger (x, z) = \bigwedge [R(y, x) \rightarrow T(y, z)] \quad \forall x, y, z \in X .$$

If $R \circ U = T$ has solutions , then the above formula gives the greatest one.

In general, we always have $R \circ U^\dagger \leq T$.

3. Result

Theorem 3.1

Let R be irreflexive.

1) If $R < T$,then $U_\dagger = \emptyset$.

2) If $R = T$ and $R(x_i, x_j) \neq 0$, where $i \neq j$,then $U_\dagger \leq I = U^\dagger$.

Proof.

1] Let $R(x_i, x_j) = [r_{ij}]$, $T(x_j, x_k) = [t_{jk}]$, $\forall r_{ij}, t_{jk} \in [0, 1]$.

Since $R < T$ and R is irreflexive,

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\uparrow}(x_i, x_k) &= \bigwedge_j [R(x_i, x_j) \ll T(x_j, x_k)] \\ &= \bigwedge [r_{i1} \ll t_{1k}, r_{i2} \ll t_{2k}, \dots, r_{in} \ll t_{nk}] \\ &= 0 \quad \text{for all } i, j, k \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

2] Let $U_{\uparrow}(x_i, x_k) = \bigwedge [R(x_i, x_j) \ll R(x_j, x_k)]$ ----- (1.1),

$$U^{\uparrow}(x_i, x_k) = \bigwedge [R(x_j, x_i) \rightarrow R(x_j, x_k)] \text{ ----- (1.2).}$$

For any $i, j, k \leq n$, the right-hand member of (1.1) is

$$\bigwedge [r_{i1} \ll r_{1k}, r_{i2} \ll r_{2k}, \dots, r_{in} \ll r_{nk}].$$

i) Let $i = k$, we find $U_{\uparrow}(x_i, x_k) = r_{ih}$ if $r_{ih} < r_{hi}$,
 1 if $r_{ih} \geq r_{hi}$, $\forall h \leq n$. --- (1.3)

In case of $i \neq k$, $U_{\uparrow} \equiv R \ll R$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \bigwedge_j [r_{ij} \ll r_{jk}] \\ &= \bigwedge [r_{i1} \ll r_{1k}, r_{i2} \ll r_{2k}, \dots, r_{in} \ll r_{nk}] \text{ ----- (1.4)} \end{aligned}$$

The right-hand member of (1.4) contains $r_{ii} \ll r_{ik}$. Since $R = T$, $r_{ik} \neq 0$, $\forall i \neq k$,
 $U_{\uparrow} = 0$. Thus $U_{\uparrow} = \{0, r_{ih}, 1\}$.

ii) For any $i, j, k \leq n$, the right-hand member of (1.2) is

$$\bigwedge_j [r_{ji} \rightarrow r_{jk}] = \bigwedge [r_{1i} \rightarrow r_{1k}, r_{2i} \rightarrow r_{2k}, \dots, r_{ni} \rightarrow r_{nk}]$$

We find $U^{\uparrow}(x_i, x_k) = \bigwedge_j [1]$ if $i = k$,
 $\bigwedge_j [0]$ if $i \neq k$.

Thus $U^{\uparrow} = I$ ----- (1.5)

By (1.3) and (1.5), $U^{\uparrow} = I \geq U_{\uparrow}$.

Remark 3.2

If $R \leq T$, then we always not have $U_{\uparrow} \leq U^{\uparrow}$. This means U_{\uparrow} and U^{\uparrow} can not comparable or $U_{\uparrow} > U^{\uparrow}$. If we take $U_{\circ} = U_{\uparrow} \wedge U^{\uparrow}$, then U_{\circ} and U^{\uparrow} are solutions of $R \circ U = T$. But U_{\uparrow} may be solution or not. Specially, $R \circ U = R$ is called eigen fuzzy relation equation.

4. Examples

Example 4.1

In case of $R < T$,

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0.1 & 0.5 \\ 0.3 & 0 & 0.7 \\ 0.8 & 0.4 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 & 0.2 & 0.6 \\ 0.4 & 0.5 & 0.8 \\ 0.9 & 1 & 0.9 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U_{\uparrow} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \emptyset.$$

Example 4.2

In case of $R = T$,

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0.1 & 0.5 \\ 0.3 & 0 & 0.7 \\ 0.8 & 0.4 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0.1 & 0.5 \\ 0.3 & 0 & 0.7 \\ 0.8 & 0.4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$U_{\uparrow} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U^{\uparrow} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and } U_{\uparrow} \leq U^{\uparrow} = I.$$

References

- 1.E.Sanchez, Resolution of composite fuzzy relation equations, Inform. and Control 30(1976) 38-48
2. J.Drewniak, Equation in classes of fuzzy relations, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 75 (1995) 215-228