

오염지 토양에서의 대마 재배가 중금속 함량 변화에 미치는 영향

정미남, 문윤호, 오용비, 권병선¹⁾

호남농업시험장 목포시험장, ¹⁾순천대학교 농업생명과학대학

Effect of Cultivation Hemp on Variation of Heavy Metal in Pollution Soil

Mi Nam Chung, Yoon Ho Moon, Yong Bee Oh, Byung Sun Kwon¹⁾

Mokpo Experiment Station, Honam Agriculture Experiment Station RDA

¹⁾College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Suncheon National University

실험목적

대마재배에 의해 오염지 토양 개량효과를 구명하고자 함.

재료 및 방법

가. 공시품종 : 강원종

나. 공시토양 : 오염지토양(중금속, 축산폐수), 비오염토양(대비)

다. 재배법

| 구 분 | 파종일(월.일) | 정식일(월.일) | 수확일(월.일) | 비 고 |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|--------------|
| 오염지토양 | 5. 22 | 5. 28 | 9. 6 | Pot시험장소 : 온실 |
| 오염지토양+퇴비 | 6. 11 | 6. 17 | 9. 12 | |
| 축산폐수 | 7. 12 | 7. 19 | 9. 26 | |

결과요약

가. 식물체 부위별 중금속 함량은 Cd, Pb는 뿌리>줄기>잎, Zn은 뿌리>잎>줄기 순으로 많았다.

나. 중금속 오염지 토양 단독의 경우 대조구에 비해 현저한 생육 저해를 보였으며 「중금속 오염지 토양+퇴비」의 경우 비슷한 생육을 보였다.

다. 중금속 오염지 토양에서 중금속 감소량은 대마를 재배할 경우 Cd은 0.34~1.14

mg/kg, Pb은 18~28mg/kg, Zn은 47~73mg/kg으로 무재배지의 감소량 0.10~0.11, 4~9, 10~19mg/kg보다 더 많았다.

라. 축산폐수 오염지 토양에서는 대조구에 비해 조금 생육이 낮았으며, 시험전에 비해 유기물, 인산, 질소의 함량이 감소하였다.

Table 1. Comparisons of growth characteristics and dry matter yield of leaf, stem and root of hemp plant under four different soils.

| Soils | Stem length(cm) | Stem diameter(mm) | Dry matter yield(g/pot) | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| | | | Leaf | Stem | Root | Total |
| Non infected soil | 174(100) | 9.4(100) | 35.4(100) | 69.2(100) | 11.8(100) | 116.4(100) |
| Infected soil | 81(47) | 4.5(49) | 3.9(11) | 6.6(9) | 2.5(21) | 13(11) |
| non infected soil + Compost | 193(100) | 8.3(100) | 37.0(100) | 85.8(100) | 15.8(100) | 138.6(100) |
| Infected soil + Compost | 182(94) | 7.6(92) | 28.0(76) | 55.8(65) | 9.7(61) | 93.5(67) |

Table 2. Changes of cadmium, lead and zinc in heavy metal from before experiment to after experiment on different soils. (unit : mg/kg)

| Soils and cultivation | Cd | | | Pb | | | Zn | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------|-------------------|------------------|----------|-------------------|------------------|----------|
| | Before experiment | After experiment | Decrease | Before experiment | After experiment | Decrease | Before experiment | After experiment | Decrease |
| Non in fected soil | 0.64 | 0.58 | 0.06 | 5.6 | 5.0 | 0.6 | 22.7 | 16.7 | 6 |
| Infected soil | | | | | | | | | |
| Cultivation hemp | 5.01 | 4.67 | 0.34 | 263 | 238 | 25 | 310 | 263 | 47 |
| Non cultivation hemp | 5.07 | 4.96 | 0.11 | 273 | 264 | 9 | 295 | 285 | 10 |
| Non infected soil + Compost | 0.65 | 0.61 | 0.04 | 5.9 | 5.0 | 0.9 | 71 | 59 | 12 |
| Infected soil + Compost | | | | | | | | | |
| Cultivation hemp | 6.26 | 5.12 | 1.14 | 230 | 18 | 18 | 382 | 309 | 73 |
| Non cultivation hemp | 4.17 | 4.27 | 0.10 | 286 | 4 | 4 | 409 | 390 | 19 |

Table 3. Comparisons of heavy metal content and absorption amount in different organ with leaf, stem and root of hemp plant.

| Heavy metal | Plant organ | Non infected soil | | Infected soil | | Non infected soil + Compost | | Infected soil + Compost | |
|-------------|-------------|-------------------|----------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| | | Content (ppm) | Absorption amount (mg/pot) | Content (ppm) | Absorption amount (mg/pot) | Content (ppm) | Absorption amount (mg/pot) | Content (ppm) | Absorption amount (mg/pot) |
| Cd | Leaf | 24.9 | 0.88 | 30.8 | 0.12 | 25.9 | 0.96 | 27.5 | 0.77 |
| | Stem | 24.8 | 1.72 | 33.6 | 0.22 | 23.5 | 2.02 | 27.8 | 1.55 |
| | Root | 23.9 | 0.28 | 51.0 | 0.13 | 24.4 | 0.38 | 39.3 | 0.38 |
| | Total | 73.6 | 2.88 | 115.4 | 0.47 | 73.8 | 3.35 | 97.7 | 3.35 |
| Pb | Leaf | 205.0 | 7.28 | 205.8 | 0.79 | 207.0 | 7.66 | 211.5 | 5.92 |
| | Stem | 219.0 | 15.17 | 283.1 | 1.87 | 234.0 | 20.07 | 257.7 | 14.38 |
| | Root | 161.0 | 1.89 | 467.9 | 1.18 | 178.0 | 2.80 | 383.2 | 3.72 |
| | Total | 585.0 | 24.34 | 956.8 | 3.85 | 629.0 | 30.52 | 852.4 | 24.02 |
| Zn | Leaf | 166 | 5.9 | 1019 | 3.9 | 189 | 7.0 | 653 | 18.3 |
| | Stem | 139 | 9.6 | 939 | 6.2 | 117 | 10.0 | 347 | 19.3 |
| | Root | 195 | 2.3 | 1675 | 4.2 | 213 | 3.4 | 910 | 8.8 |
| | Total | 499 | 17.7 | 3633 | 1.4 | 519 | 20.4 | 1910 | 46.4 |

Table 4. Correlation coefficient of agronomic characteristics and heavy metal content in pollution soil.

| Factor | Heavy metal content in before experiment(ppm) | | | Dry matter yield(g/pot) | | | Stem length(m) | Stem diameter(mm) |
|--------|---|--------|---------|-------------------------|----------|----------|----------------|-------------------|
| | Cd | Pb | Zn | Leaf | Stem | Root | | |
| Cd | - | 0.449* | 0.921** | -0.848** | -0.791** | -0.819** | -0.737** | -0.698** |
| Pb | | - | 0.516* | -0.558* | -0.544* | -0.379 | -0.348 | -0.414 |
| Zn | | | - | -0.920** | -0.883** | -0.902** | -0.856** | -0.850** |

Table 5. Correlation coefficient of agronomic characteristics and heavy metal content in pollution soil with plus compost control.

| Factor | Heavy metal content in before experiment(ppm) | | | Dry matter yield(g/pot) | | | Stem length(m) | Stem diameter(mm) |
|--------|---|-------|---------|-------------------------|--------|---------|----------------|-------------------|
| | Cd | Pb | Zn | Leaf | Stem | Root | | |
| Cd | - | 0.390 | 0.941** | -0.189 | -0.142 | -0.490* | -0.005 | 0.061 |
| Pb | | - | 0.373 | -0.210 | -0.194 | -0.323 | -0.339 | 0.028 |
| Zn | | | - | -0.381 | -0.249 | -0.550* | -0.158 | -0.026 |

Table 6. Soil chemical properties of the experimental soil by ejected substance of animal and agronomic characteristics on hemp plant.

| Experimental soil | Decrease rate | Stem length(cm) | Stem diameter(mm) | Organic matter(%) | Av. P ₂ O ₅ (ppm) | NO ₃ -N (ppm) | NH ₄ -N (ppm) |
|--|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Infected soil by ejected substance of animal | Before experiment | - | - | 3.29 | 694 | 98.4 | 419.8 |
| | After experiment | 135 | 6.8 | 2.70 | 589 | 54.2 | 100.5 |
| | Decrease rate(%) | - | - | 18 | 15 | 45 | 76 |
| Non infected soil | Before experiment | - | - | 2.03 | 122 | 21.1 | 13.1 |
| | After experiment | 149 | 7.7 | 1.87 | 108 | 16.4 | 4.5 |
| | Decrease rate(%) | - | - | 8 | 11 | 22 | 66 |