Gurson

Analysis of crack growth by modified Gurson model

Seung-Yong Yang, Byeong-choon Goo, Jae-Hoon Kim

ABSTRACT

Modified Gurson model (Gurson-Tvergaard-Needleman model) was used to analyze crack growth in M(T) and C(T) specimens. A commercial finite element code ABAQUS/Explicit is used to account for total failure of material point by cavity coalescence, and crack growth was simulated by finite element extinction. Crack growth resistance curve was obtained by calculating J-integral. Crack growth under residual stress was investigated.

Key words: Crack growth (), Gurson model (), Finite element analysis (), ABAQUS/Explicit () 1. (ductile) (brittle) (cavity nucleation), (growth) (coalescence) (1) Gurson . Gurson 가 (softening) (2)Gurson ABAQUS/Standard *POROUS METAL PLASTICITY Gurson *POROUS FAILURE CRITERIA ABAQUS/Explicit . (3) 가 (total failure) (element extinction) ABAQUS/Explicit Gurson M(T) C(T)J-J-가 . C(T) , ABAQUS/Standard ABAQUS/Explicit * *

2. Gurson

•

.

Rice⁽⁴⁾

(porous material)

Gurson⁽¹⁾

(yield surface)

,

(hydrostatic pressure)

. Gurson

가

가

,

$$\Phi = \frac{\sigma_{eq}^2}{\sigma_y^2} + 2q_1 f^* \cosh\left(-\frac{3}{2} \frac{q_2 p}{\sigma_y}\right) - \left(1 + q_1^2 f^{*2}\right) = 0$$

$$\sigma_{eq}^2 = 3/2 S_{ij} S_{ij} \qquad \text{(effective Mises stress)} \qquad p = -1/3 \sigma_{ii}$$

$$\sigma_y = \sigma_y \left(\overline{\varepsilon}_m^{pl}\right) \qquad \text{(matrix)} \qquad 7 + \overline{\varepsilon}_m^{pl}$$

$$\cdot q_1, q_2 \qquad \cdot \qquad \text{(void volume fraction)} \quad f^* = f^*(f) \qquad \cdot$$

$$f^{*} = \begin{cases} f & \text{if } f \leq f_{c} \\ f_{c} + k(f - f_{c}) & \text{if } f_{c} < f \leq f_{f} \\ f^{*}(f_{f}) = 1/q_{1} & . q_{1}, f_{c} & f_{f} & f^{*} \\ f = 1 & . \\ & 7 \mathbf{h} & \dot{\varepsilon}_{ij}^{pl} \end{cases}$$

(associated flow rule),
$$\overline{\varepsilon}_{m}^{pl}$$
 7 ; $(1-f)\sigma_{y}\dot{\overline{\varepsilon}}_{m}^{pl} = \sigma_{ij}\dot{\varepsilon}_{ij}^{pl}$ \dot{f}_{gr} \dot{f}_{nuc}

$$f = \dot{f}_{gr} + \dot{f}_{nuc}$$

$$\dot{f}_{gr} = (1 - f) \dot{\varepsilon}_{ii}^{pl}$$

2 (second phase particle)
, 7

가 .⁽³⁾

가

•

$$\dot{f}_{nuc} = \dot{\overline{\varepsilon}}_{m}^{pl} \frac{f_{N}}{s_{N}\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\overline{\varepsilon}_{m}^{pl} - \varepsilon_{N}}{s_{N}}\right)^{2}\right]$$

•

ne

3. M(T)

Gurson M(T)1/4 , 90 x 40 . Fig. 1 x=0.025 m . $(100 \ \mu \text{ m x} 100 \ \mu \text{ m})$ 51 Gurson (cell model) 가 х y , y=0.1 . .⁽⁵⁾ Table 1 가 Gurson 2 , 0.005 ABAQUS/Explicit 가 . . Explicit 가 10 (3) 가 Fig. 2 가 가 $\sigma_{_{yy}}$ 가 cell model , 가 가 .

Table 1. Material parameters for the M(T) specimen.

$$q_1 = 1.5$$
 $q_2 = 1$
 $f_f = 0.25$ $f_c = 0.15$
 $f_N = 0$



Fig. 1. Finite element mesh for the M(T) specimen. The unit of length is meter.

,

.

Fig. 3			Rice	J-			
ABAQUS	J-						
J-					,	J-	
						가	가
, J-		가					



Fig.2. (a) σ_{22} distribution when the imposed displacement at the top surface is 0.39 mm.



Fig.2. (c) σ_{22} distribution when the imposed displacement at the top surface is 0.80 mm.



Fig.2. (b) σ_{22} distribution when the imposed displacement at the top surface is 0.578 mm.



Fig.2. (d) σ_{22} distribution when the imposed displacement at the top surface is 1.25 mm.



Fig. 3. Crack growth resistance curve in dependence of crack growth. a is the crack growth length and Δx is the length of one element.

C(T)	M(T)	(stress triaxiality)					
		. ⁽⁶⁾ Fig.	4		C(T)		
	Gurson	L .		Table 2	2 .		
	0 フト	. q ₂ 가					
	가 .						
2.4 mm/s		40 GJ/m^3s	가		가		
		ABAQUS/Standard		Gurson			
	ABAQU	JS/Standard		ABAQUS	Explicit *IMPORT		
			. ABA	QUS/Explicit			
	ABAOUS	, S/Standard			ABAOUS/Explicit		
	가		, 1500 ~	2000	가 .		
Fig. 5 가					•		
8 -		가		가 ,			
	. Fig. 6 cell	model			$\sigma_{_{yy}}$		
		. 가	가				
1.5 GPa	가 .	가					
		,					
	Fig. 7	, Gurson	-		Fig. 8 .		
	f7 f_c	$\varepsilon_{yy} = 0.15$					
		. Fig. 7	0.3		0 ,		
		200 μ m	가	(5)	0.3 60 μ m		
			(cohesive zone mode) -				

. Fig. 9

,

-

.

.

. ABAQUS J-

, J-

Table 2. Material parameters for the C(T) specimen.

$q_1 = 1.67$	$q_2 = 0.84$		
$f_{f} = 0.25$	$f_{c} = 0.15$		
$\varepsilon_{N} = 0.01$	$s_{N} = 0.05$	$f_{N} = 0.04$	



Fig. 4. Finite element model of the C(T) specimen.



Fig.5. Residual stress $\sigma_{\scriptscriptstyle 22}$ contour in Pascal unit.



Fig. 6. σ_{yy} along the ligament in dependence of time. After crack passes, the stress level drops to zero. *x* is the distance from the notch and Δx is the length of one element.



Fig. 7. Void volume fraction in dependence of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{E}}_{yy}$.



Fig.8. Stress vs. strain curve of modified Gurson model.



Fig. 9. Force-displacement at the pin hole.

5.



가

(NRL)

- []
- (1) Gurson, A.L., 1977, Continuum theory of ductile rupture by void nucleation and growth: Part-1-Yield criteria and flow rules for porous ductile media. J. Eng. Mat. Tech. 99, 2-15.
- (2) Tvergaard, V., 1982, On localization in ductile materials containing spherical voids. Int. J. Frac. 18, 237-252.
- (3) ABAQUS manual, version 6.3-1, 2002, Hibbitt, Karlsson & Sorensen.
- (4) Rice, J.R., Tracey, D.M., 1969, On the ductile enlargement of voids in triaxial stress fields. JMPS 17, 201-217.
- (5) Siegmund, T., Brocks, W., 1999, Prediction of the work of separation and implications to modeling. Int. J. Frac. 99, 97-116.
- (6) Chabanet, O., et al., 2003, Predicting crack growth resistance of aluminum sheets. Comp. Mat. Sci. 26, 1-12.