

## Confounded Row-Column Designs

Kuey Chung Choi<sup>1)</sup> and Sudhir Gupta<sup>2)</sup>

### Abstract

Confounded row-column designs for factorial experiments are studied in this paper. The Designs, thus, have factorial balance with respect to estimable main effects and interactions. John and Lewis (1983) considered generalized cycle row=column designs for factorial experiments. A simple method of constructing confounded designs using the classical method of confounding for block designs is described in this paper.

### 1. Introduction

Block designs for factorial experiments have been extensively investigated in the literature over the last several decades. In some experiments, however, two sources of extraneous variability must be controlled where Latin square and Youden square designs are quite useful. A more flexible class of two-dimensional designs is provided by row-column designs as Latin squares and squares do not always suit the requirements of the experimenter. Yates (1937), and Rao (1946) gave some row-column designs for 2-level and 3-level factorial experiments. The algorithmic methods of Patterson (1976), Bailey et al. (1977), and Patterson and Bailey (1978) are quite general in that they are capable of producing designs with various blocking structures, including row-column designs. John and Lewis (1983) developed the generalized cyclic method of construction for obtaining row-column designs. They also derived main effect and interaction efficiency factors for generalized cyclic designs, and gave some guidelines for choosing the row and column component designs appropriately.

It is clear that the developments in the area of row-column designs for factorial designs are relatively few. The purpose of this paper, therefore, is to present a simple method of obtaining confounded row-column designs based on the classical method of confounding. Thus, the method is applicable to symmetrical factorial experiments, with number of levels a prime or a prime power. The model and some preliminaries of row-column designs are presented in Section 2. The method of construction is presented in Section 3. The method is illustrated with the help of several examples.

### 2. Model and Preliminaries

Consider a row-column design  $D$  having  $v$  treatments,  $p$  rows and  $q$  columns. Let  $r$  denote the constant number of treatments replications The model for a row-column design

---

1) Kuey Chung Choi: Dept.of Com. & statistics Chosun Univ.

2) Sudhir Gupta: Division of Statistics, Northern Illinois University. DeKalb, IL 60115 USA

Confounded Row-Column Designs

is given by,

$$Y_{ijk} = \mu + r_i + \rho_j + r_k + \epsilon_{ijk}$$

where  $Y_{ijk}$  is the observation from the  $j$ th row,  $k$ th column to which the  $i$ th treatments has been applied,  $\mu$  is the overall mean,  $r_i, \rho_j, r_k$  are the effects of the  $i$ th treatment,  $j$ th row, and  $k$ th column respectively, and  $\epsilon$ 's are uncorrelated random errors with zero and variance  $\sigma^2$ .

Let  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  denote the treatment-row and treatment-column incidence matrices respectively. The component designs corresponding to  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  will be denoted by  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  respectively. The intra-block reduced normal equations for estimating the vector of treatment parameters  $r = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_v)'$ ,  $r_i$  being the  $i$ th treatment effect, are given by  $Cr = Q$  with

$$C = rI - \frac{1}{q}N_1N_1' - \frac{1}{p}N_2N_2' + \frac{R^2}{pq}11'$$

where  $I$  denotes the identity matrix and  $1$  denotes the column vector of 1's of size  $v$  each. It is easy to verify that

$$C = C_1 + C_2 - C_b \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$C_1 = rI - \frac{1}{q}N_1N_1'$$

$$C_2 = rI - \frac{1}{p}N_2N_2'$$

$$C_b = r(I - \frac{1}{v}11')$$

are the intra-block information matrices of the component designs  $D_1, D_2$  and  $D_b$  respectively.

Here  $D_b$  denotes a design having one block obtained by ignoring the row and column classifications, with the incidence matrix  $N_b = r1$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** Suppose  $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_v)'$  with  $u'1 = 0$  is an eigen vector of both  $C_1$  and  $C_2$ .

(a) If  $u'r$  is confounded in the component design  $D_1(D_2)$  and it is not confounded in the component design  $D_2(D_1)$ , then it is confounded in the row-column design  $D$ .

(b) If  $u'r$  is unconfounded in both the component designs  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ , then it is unconfounded in the row-column design  $D$  as well.

**Proof.** (a) clearly  $u'r$  is unconfounded in  $D_b$ . Suppose it is confounded in the component design  $D_1$  only. Then, using  $C_1u = 0$ ,  $C_2u = C_bu = ru$  in equation (2.1) we get  $Cu = 0$ . (b) Now suppose  $u'r$  is unconfounded in both  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ . Then,  $C_1u = C_2u = C_bu = ru$ . Thus,  $Cu = ru$ . Hence the lemma.

### 3. The Method of Construction

As mentioned in the introduction section, attention will be restricted to equireplicate  $s^m$  factorial experiments involving  $m$  factors  $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m$ , having  $s$  levels each. The treatment combinations will be denoted by  $n$ -tuples  $a_1a_2 \dots a_n$ ,  $n$ . Consider the row-column design  $D$  for a  $s^m$  factorial experiment. Let  $p = s^{m_1}, q = s^{m_2}$ , with  $pq = s^{m_1+m_2} = rs^m$ . Thus, experiment. Let  $p = s^{m_1}, q = s^{m_2}$ , with  $pq = s^{m_1+m_2} = rs^m$ . Thus,

$$r = s^{m_1+m_2-m}.$$

Since  $r \geq 1$ , we have,

$$m_1 + m_2 \geq m.$$

Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{m-m_2}$  denotes the independent interactions confounded between  $s^{m_1}$  rows of  $D$ . Then, a total of  $s^{m-m_2} - 1$  treatment degrees freedom are onfounded between  $p = s^{m_1} = rs^{m-m_2}$  rows of  $D$ . The total number of effects confounded between rows, each effect having  $s - 1$  degrees of freedom, are then given by  $(s^{m-m_2} - 1)/(s - 1)$ . Thus, the number of generalized interactions confounded between rows of  $D$  is giver by

$$g_1 = \frac{s^{m-m_2} - 1}{s - 1} - (m - m_2).$$

Let these generalized interactions be denoted by  $A_{m-m_2+1}, A_{m-m_2+2}, \dots, A_{m-m_2+g_1}$ . The factorial effects  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{m-m_2+g_1}, B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{m-m_1+g_2}$  are to be chosen such that they are all distinct.

We first constrict the key or the principal block for rows by confounding  $m - m_2$  independent interactions  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{m-m_2}$  between rows of  $D$ . Let this row key block be denoted by  $(a_1^i a_2^i \dots a_n^i, i = 1, 2, \dots, s^{m_2})$  where  $a_\ell^i \in \{0, 1, \dots, s - 1\}$  with  $a_\ell^i = 0, \ell = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Next the column key block is similarly obtained by confounding  $m - m_1$ , independent interactions  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{m-m_1}$  between columns of  $D$ . Let the column key block key block be denoted by  $b_1^j b_2^j \dots b_n^j, j = 1, 2, \dots, s^{m_1}$ , where  $b_\ell^j \in \{0, 1, \dots, s - 1\}$  with  $b_\ell^j = 0, \ell = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then the treatment combination in the

Confounded Row-Column Designs

$\ell_1^{th}$  row and  $\ell_2^{th}$  column of  $D$  is given by  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n$ , with  $c_\ell = a_\ell^{\ell_2} + b_\ell^{\ell_1}$ ,  $\ell = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , where the addition is done  $mod(d)$ .

**Example 2.1** A  $2^4$  experiment using a row-column design with  $p = q = 2^2$ . Thus  $s = 2, m = 4, m_1 = m_2 = 2, m - m_1 = m - m_2 = 2$ . Let  $A_1 = F_1F_2, A_2 = F_3F_4, B_1 = F_1F_2F_3, B_2 = F_2F_3F_4$ . Then  $A_3 = F_1F_2F_3F_4, B_3 = F_1F_4$ . The row and column key blocks and the resulting row-column design are given below.

Column Key Block	Row Key Block 0000 1100 0011 1111
0000	0000 1100 0011 1111
0110	0110 1010 0101 1001
1101	1101 0001 1110 0010
1011	1011 0111 1000 0100

The above single replicate design completely confounds the interactions  $F_1F_2, F_3F_4, F_1F_2F_3F_4, F_1F_2F_3, F_2F_3F_4$  and  $F_1F_2$ . All the main other interactions are estimated with full efficiency. A design which completely confounds only the four-factor interaction  $F_1F_2F_3F_4$  can be constructed by adding a second replicate, using  $A_1 = F_1F_3, A_2 = F_1F_3, B_1 = F_1F_3F_4, B_2 = F_1F_2F_4$ .

**Example 2.2** A  $2^4$  experiment using a row-column design with  $p = 2^2, q = 2^3$ . Here  $s = 2, m = 4, m_1 = 2, m_2 = 3, m - m_1 = 2, m - m_2 = 1$ . Let  $A_1 = F_1F_2F_3F_4, B_1 = F_1F_2F_3, B_2 = F_2F_3F_4$ . Then,  $B_3 = F_1F_4$ . The row and column key blocks and the resulting row-column design are given below.

Column Key Block	Row Key Block 0000 0011 0101 0110 1100 1010 1001 1111
0000	0000 0011 0101 0110 1100 1010 1001 1111
0110	0110 0101 0011 0000 1010 1100 1111 1001
1101	1101 1110 1000 1011 0001 0111 0100 0010
1011	1011 1000 1110 1101 0111 0001 0010 0101

Note that each treatment is replicated  $r = s^{m_1+m_2-m} = 2$  times in the above design. The interactions  $F_1F_4, F_1F_2F_3, F_2F_3F_4$  and  $F_1F_2F_3F_4$  are completely confounded, and

there is no loss of information on any of the main effects and other interactions.

**Example 2.3** A  $3^3$  experiment, with  $p = 3, q = 3^2$ . Here  $s = 3, m = 3, m_1 = 1, m_2 = 2, m - m_1 = 2, m - m_2 = 1$ . Let  $A_1 = F_1F_2F_3, B_1 = F_1F_2F_3^2, B_2 = F_2F_3, B_3 = F_1F_2^2, B_4 = F_1F_3$ . The design is then as follows.

Column	Row Key Block								
Key Block	000	102	012	201	021	111	120	210	222
000	000	102	012	201	021	111	120	210	222
112	112	211	121	010	100	220	202	022	001
221	221	020	200	122	212	002	011	101	110

The above single replicate design completely confounds the interactions  $F_1F_2F_3, F_1F_2F_3^2, F_2F_3, F_1F_2^2, F_1F_3$ , having degrees of freedom each. All other factorial effects are estimated with full efficiency.

### References

1. Bailey, R.A., Gilchrist, G.H.L. and Patterson, M.D. (1977). Identification of effects and confounding patterns in factorial designs. *Biometrika*, 64, 347-354.
2. John, J.A. and Lewis, S.M. (1983). Factorial experiments in generalized cyclic row-column designs. *J.R. Statist. Soc. B*, 45, 245-251.
3. Jones, B. (1979). An algorithm to search for optimal row-and column designs. *J.R. Statist. Soc. B*, 41, 210-216.
4. Jones, B. and Eccleston, J.A (1980). Exchange and interchange procedures to search for optimal designs. *J.R. Statist. Soc. B*, 42, 238-243.
5. Patterson, H.D. (1976). Generation of factorial designs. *J.R. Statist. Soc. B*, 38, 175-179
6. Patterson, H.D. and Bailey, R.A(1978). Design keys for factorial experiments. *Appl. Statist.* 27, 335-343.
7. Rao, C.R. (1946). Confounded factorial design in quasi-Latin squares. *Sankhya*, 7, 295-304.
8. Yates, F. (1937). A further note on the arrangement of variability trials: Quasi-Latin squares. *Ann. Eugenics*, 7, 319-339.