

Quantitative PERT Assay: Method Validation of Real-Time PCR Analysis

Min-Ja Kang^a, Joung-Yun Choi^b, Jee-Won Joung^b, Min-Hee Cho^b,
Chan-Wha Kim^a and Yeo-Won Sohn^b

^aSchool of Life Sciences and Biotechnology, Korea University, Seoul, Korea
TEL:+82-2-3290-3439, FAX:+82-2-3290-3957

^bDepartment of Biologics Evaluation, Korea Food & Drug Administration

Abstract

Detection of retrovirus in cell substrate is an important issue in quality control of biological products. The biological assay and the RT (reverse transcriptase) assay were most commonly used methods for detecting adventitious retrovirus. Here, we describe the development and application of a sensitive TaqMan fluorescent probe-based product enhanced RT assay for the quantitative detection of RT activity (Q-PERT) including the method validation of Q-PERT assay to ensure confidence in the analytical data according ICH and FDA guidelines. Specificity, accuracy, linearity, precision, range, detection limit and robustness of the method were studied using the commercial RT. After establishing optimal assay conditions, linearity with good correlation coefficients ($R^2 > 0.99$) were found between the C_T values and the concentrations of RTase in the range of ($1.25 \sim 1.25 \times 10^6$ Unit/reaction). The limit of detection (LOD) for the assay was $\sim 10^6$ Units of retrovirus RT activity, corresponding approximately to 1 retrovirus particle in a 1~10 μ L sample. LOD result also imply that this assay has enough sensitivity. The precision and the accuracy were satisfactory with good recovery ranges from 91~107%. The level of RT activity in culture supernatant of several cells was determined by validated Q-PERT assay. RT activity was not detected in supernatant from primary cultured immature dendritic cells, whereas it was detected in primary cultured chicken embryonic fibroblast supernatant. These results imply that Q-PERT assay can be used as substitution for conventional RT assays.

References

- [1] ICH Harmonized Tripartite Guidance, Viral safety evaluation of biotechnology products derives

- from cell lines of human or animal origin(1997).
- [2] ICH Q2B, "International Conference on Harmonization : Guidance for Industry Q2B Validation of Analytical Procedure : Methodology, Availability"(1997), *Fed. Regist.* 62(96), 27464-27467.
- [3] ICH Q2A, "International Conference on Harmonization : Guidance for Industry: Text on the Validation of Analytical Procedures, Availability"(1995), *Fed. Regist.* 60(40), 11260-11262.
- [4] Food and Drug Administration, "Draft Guidance for Industry on Analytical Procedures and Methods Validation Chemistry, Manufacturing, and Controls Documentation"(2000), *Fed.Regist.* 65(169), 52776-52777.
- [5] Murielle Andre *et al.*, Quantitative Detection of RT Activity by PERT Assay : Feasibility and limits to a standardized Screening Assay for Human Vaccine(2000), *Biologicals* 28, 67-80.
- [6] Cecile Voisset *et al.*, Specific Detection of RT Activity in Culture Supernatant of Retrovirus-Producing Cells, Using Synthetic DNA as Competitor in Polymerase Enhanced Reverse Transcriptase Assay(2001), *J. of Virol. Methods* 94. 2, 187-193.
- [7] A. Lovatt *et al.*, High throughput detection of retrovirus-associated reverse transcriptase using an improved fluorescent product enhanced reverse transcriptase assay and its comparison to conventional detection methods(1999), *J. of Virol. Methods* 82, 185-200.
- [8] Kurt Brorson *et al.*, Use of a Quantitative Product-Enhanced Reverse Transcriptase Assay to monitor Retrovirus Level in mAb Cell-Culture and Downstream Processing(2001), *Biotechnol. Prog.*, 188-196.
- [9] Arifa S. Khan *et al.*, Early detection of endogenous retrovirus in chemically induced mouse cells(2001), *Virus Research* 79, 39-45.