Distances between Interval-valued Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets

Jin Han Park¹, Ki Moon Lim¹, Bu Young Lee², Mi Jung Son²

 Division of Math. Sci., Pukyong National University E-mail: jihpark@pknu.ac.kr
 Department of Mathematics, Dong-A University

Abstract

We give a geometrical interpretation of the interval-valued fuzzy set. So, based on the geometrical background, we propose new distance measures between interval-valued fuzzy sets and compare these measures with distance measures proposed by Burillo and Bustince and Grzegorzewski, respectively. Furthermore, we extend three methods for measuring distances between interval-valued fuzzy sets to interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets.

1. Introduction

Most of problems in real life situation such as economics, engineering, environment, sciences and medical sciences not always involve crisp data. So we cannot successfully use the traditional methods because of various types of uncertainties presented in those problems. Since Zadeh [17] introduced fuzzy sets in 1965, many approaches and theories treating imprecision and uncertainty have been proposed. Some of these theories, like as intuitionistic fuzzy set theory and interval-valued fuzzy set theory and intervalvalued intuitionistic fuzzy set theory. extensions of fuzzy set theory and the others try to handle imprecision and uncertainty in different ways. Some authors [3,6] pointed out that there is strong connection between intuitionistic fuzzy interval-valued fuzzy intuitionistic fuzzy set theory and interval-valued fuzzy set theory are equipollent generalizations of fuzzy set theory.

Some authors have investigated interval-valued fuzzy set and its relevant topics, for example, Burillo and Bustince [4] researched entropy and distance for interval-valued fuzzy sets, Grzegorzewski [7] studied distance between interval-valued fuzzy sets based on the Hausdorff metric, Zeng and Li [20] studied the relationship between entropy and similarity measure of interval-valued fuzzy sets. In this paper, we give a geometrical interpretation of the interval-valued

fuzzy set and take into account all three parameters describing the interval-valued fuzzy set. So, based on the geometrical background, we distance measures propose interval-valued fuzzy sets and compare these measures with above-mentioned measures proposed by Burillo and Bustince [4] and Grzegorzewski [7], respectively. Furthermore, we extend three methods for measuring distances interval-valued between interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets.

2. Distances between Interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets

As a generalization of the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Atanassov and Gargov [3] introduced the notion of interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy sets in the spirit of interval-valued fuzzy sets.

An interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy set (IVIF set, for short) A on a universe X is an object having the form

$$A = \{(x, M_A(x), N_A(x)) : x \in X\} ,$$

where $M_A: X \to [I]$ and $N_A: X \to [I]$ denote, respectively, membership function and non-membership function of A and satisfy $0 \le M_{AU}(x) + N_{AU}(X) \le 1$ for any $x \in X$.

Let IVIF(X) denote all interval-valued fuzzy sets on X. Even though we can represent a fuzzy set in an intuitionistic-type representation,

we can not always represent any interval-valued fuzzy set in interval-valued intuitionistic-type representation. For example, let A be an intervalued fuzzy set in $X = \{x\}$ such that $M_A = [\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}]$. Then $(M_A, \overline{M}_A) = ([\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}], [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}])$ is not an **IVIF** set because $M_{AU} + \overline{M}_{AU} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{4} \not \geq 1$. However, if an IVF set A satisfy the condition $M_{AU} + \overline{M}_{AU} \leq 1$ i.e. $M_{AU} + 1 - M_{AL} \leq 1$, then the interval-valued fuzzy set A can represent interval-valued intuitionistic-type representation (M_A, \overline{M}_A) .

We extend the Burillo and Bustince's distances to **IVIF** sets. For any two **IVIF** sets $A = \{(x_i, M_A(x_i), N_A(x_i)) : x_i \in X\}$ and $B = \{(x_i, M_B(x_i), N_B(x_i)) : x_i \in X\}$ of the universe of discourse $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$,

• the Hamming distance $d_1'(A,B)$:

$$d_{1}'(A,B) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [|M_{AL}(x_{i}) - M_{BL}(x_{i})| + |M_{AU}(x_{i}) - M_{BU}(x_{i})| + |N_{AL}(x_{i}) - N_{BL}(x_{i})| + |N_{AU}(x_{i}) - N_{BU}(x_{i})|],$$
(2)

• the normalized Hamming distance $l_1'(A, B)$:

$$l_{1}'(A,B) = \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{ |M_{AL}(x_{i}) - M_{BL}(x_{i})| + |M_{AU}(x_{i}) - M_{BU}(x_{i})| + |N_{AL}(x_{i}) - N_{BL}(x_{i})| + |N_{AU}(x_{i}) - N_{BU}(x_{i})| \},$$

$$(3)$$

• the Euclidean distance $e_1'(A, B)$:

$$e_{1}'(A,B) = \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[(M_{AL}(x_{i}) - M_{BL}(x_{i}))^{2} + (M_{AU}(x_{i}) - M_{BU}(x_{i}))^{2} + (N_{AL}(x_{i}) - N_{BL}(x_{i}))^{2} + (N_{AU}(x_{i}) - N_{BU}(x_{i}))^{2} \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (4)$$

• the normalized Euclidean distance $q_1'(A, B)$:

$$q_{1}'(A,B) = \left\{ \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [(M_{AL}(x_{i}) - M_{BL}(x_{i}))^{2} + (M_{AU}(x_{i}) - M_{BU}(x_{i}))^{2} + (N_{AL}(x_{i}) - N_{BL}(x_{i}))^{2} + (N_{AU}(x_{i}) - N_{BU}(x_{i}))^{2} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}},$$
 (5)

Now, we consider the amplitude margin to modify these distances.

• the Hamming distance $d_1''(A,B)$:

$$\begin{split} d_{1}''(A,B) &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [|M_{AL}(x_{i}) - M_{BL}(x_{i})| + \\ &|M_{AU}(x_{i}) - M_{BU}(x_{i})| + |N_{AL}(x_{i}) - N_{BL}(x_{i})| \\ &+ |N_{AU}(x_{i}) - N_{BU}(x_{i})| + |W_{M_{A}}(x_{i})| \\ &- W_{M_{B}}(x_{i})| + |W_{N_{C}}(x_{i}) - W_{V_{C}}(x_{i})|], \end{split}$$
(6)

• the normalized Hamming distance $l_1'(A,B)$:

$$l_{1}''(A,B) = \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [|M_{AL}(x_{i}) - M_{BL}(x_{i})| + |M_{AU}(x_{i}) - M_{BU}(x_{i})| + |N_{AL}(x_{i}) - N_{BL}(x_{i})| + |N_{AU}(x_{i}) - N_{BU}(x_{i})| + |W_{M_{A}}(x_{i})| - |W_{M_{B}}(x_{i})| + |W_{N_{A}}(x_{i}) - |W_{N_{B}}(x_{i})| + |W_{N_{A}}(x_{i})|,$$

$$(7)$$

• the Euclidean distance $e_1''(A, B)$:

$$e_{1}''(A,B) = \left\{ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\left(M_{AL}(x_{i}) - M_{BL}(x_{i}) \right)^{2} + \left(M_{AU}(x_{i}) - M_{BU}(x_{i}) \right)^{2} + \left(N_{AL}(x_{i}) - N_{BL}(x_{i}) \right)^{2} + \left(N_{AU}(x_{i}) - N_{BU}(x_{i}) \right)^{2} + \left(M_{M_{A}}(x_{i}) - M_{M_{B}}(x_{i}) \right)^{2} + \left(M_{M_{A}}(x_{i}) - M_{M_{B}}(x_{i}) \right)^{2} + \left(M_{M_{A}}(x_{i}) - M_{M_{B}}(x_{i}) \right)^{2} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

$$(8)$$

• the normalized Euclidean distance $q_1^{"}(A,B)$:

$$q_{1}''(A,B) = \left\{ \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\left(M_{AL}(x_{i}) - M_{BL}(x_{i}) \right)^{2} + \left(M_{AU}(x_{i}) - M_{BU}(x_{i}) \right)^{2} + \left(N_{AL}(x_{i}) - N_{BL}(x_{i}) \right)^{2} + \left(N_{AU}(x_{i}) - N_{BU}(x_{i}) \right)^{2} + \left(W_{M_{A}}(x_{i}) - W_{M_{B}}(x_{i}) \right)^{2} + \left(W_{N_{A}}(x_{i}) - W_{M_{B}}(x_{i}) \right)^{2}.$$

$$(9)$$

Clearly these distances satisfy the conditions of the metric (cf. [8]). Finally, we extend the Grzegorzewski's distances to **IVIF** sets as (?)-(?). For any two **IVIF** sets $A = \{(x_i, M_A(x_i), N_A(x_i)) : x_i \in X\}$ and $B = \{(x_i, M_B(x_i), N_B(x_i)) : x_i \in X\}$ of the universe of discourse $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$,

• the Hamming distance $d_H(A, B)$:

$$\begin{split} d_{H}(A,B) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\max \left\{ |M_{AL}(x_{i}) - M_{BL}(x_{i})| + |M_{AU}(x_{i}) - M_{BU}(x_{i})| \right\} + \\ &\max \left\{ |N_{AL}(x_{i}) - N_{BL}(x_{i})| + |N_{AU}(x_{i}) - N_{BU}(x_{i})| \right\}. \end{split}$$
(10)

• the normalized Hamming distance $l_H(A,B)$:

$$\begin{split} l_H(A,B) &= \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n \{ \max \{ |M_{4L}(x_i)| \\ &- |M_{BL}(x_i)| \} : |M_{4U}(x_i) - |M_{BU}(x_i)| \} + \\ &\max \{ |N_{4U}(x_i)| - |N_{BU}(x_i)| \}. \end{split}$$

$$|N_{AU}(x_i) - N_{BU}(x_i)|\}],$$
 (11)

• the Euclidean distance $e_H(A,B)$:

$$\begin{split} e_{H}(A,B) &= \{\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}[\;(\max\;\{|M_{AL}(x_{i})\\ &-M_{BL}(x_{i})\;|\;,\;|M_{AU}(x_{i})-M_{BU}(x_{i})|\})^{2} + \\ &(\max\{|N_{AL}\;(x_{i})-N_{BL}(x_{i})|\;,\;\end{split}$$

$$|N_{AU}(x_i) - N_{BU}(x_i)|\}|^2$$
, (12)

• the normalized Euclidean distance $q_H(A, B)$:

$$\begin{split} q_{H}(A,B) &= \{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [(\max \{|M_{AL}(x_{i}) - M_{BL}(x_{i})|, |M_{AU}(x_{i}) - M_{BU}(x_{i})| \})^{2} + \\ &(\max\{|N_{AL}(x_{i}) - N_{BL}(x_{i})|, \\ & 1 \end{split}$$

$$|N_{AU}(x_i) - N_{BU}(x_i)|\})^2]\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
 (13)

Proposition 1. Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a finite universe of discourse. Then function $d_H, l_H, e_H, q_H : \mathbf{IVIF}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ given by (10) - (13), respectively, are metrics.

Proposition 2. For any two **IVIF** sets $A = \{(x_i, M_A(x_i), N_A(x_i)) : x_i \in X\}$ and $B = \{(x_i, M_B(x_i), N_B(x_i)) : x_i \in X\}$ of the universe of discourse $X = \{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\}$, the following inequalities hold:

$$d_H(A,B) \le n,\tag{14}$$

$$l_H(A,B) \le 1,\tag{15}$$

$$e_H(A,B) \le \sqrt{n},\tag{16}$$

$$q_H(A,B) \le 1. \tag{17}$$

Proposition 3. For any two **IVIF** sets $A = \{(x_i, M_A(x_i), N_A(x_i)) : x_i \in X\}$ and $B = \{(x_i, M_B(x_i), N_B(x_i)) : x_i \in X\}$ of the universe of discourse $X = \{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\}$, the following inequalities hold:

$$d_1'(A,B) \le d_H(A,B) \le d_1''(A,B),$$
 (18)

$$l_1'(A,B) \le l_H(A,B) \le l_1''(A,B),$$
 (19)

$$e_1'(A,B) \le e_H(A,B) \le e_1''(A,B),$$
 (20)

$$q_1'(A,B) \le q_H(A,B) \le q_1''(A,B).$$
 (21)

When generalizing any notion it is desirable that the new object should be consistent with the primary one and it should reduce to that primary one in some particular cases. As it was

mentioned above each **IVF** set can be **IVIF** set under some conditions.

Thus it would be desirable that our definitions (2)–(13) should reduce to the Burillo and Bustince's distances, our distances and Grzegorzewski's distances, respectively, for ordinary **IVF** sets. One can check easily that

Proposition 4. For any two **IVIF** sets $A, B \in X = \{x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n\}$ such that $A = \{(x_i, M_A(x_i), N_A(x_i)) : x_i \in X\}$ and $B = \{(x_i, M_B(x_i), N_B(x_i)) : x_i \in X\}$, the following equalities hold:

$$d'(A,B) = d_1'(A,B),$$
 (22)

$$l'(A,B) = l_1'(A,B),$$
 (23)

$$e'(A,B) = e_1'(A,B),$$
 (24)

$$q'(A,B) = q_1'(A,B),$$
 (25)

$$d''(A,B) = d_1''(A,B), (26)$$

$$l''(A,B) = l_1''(A,B),$$
 (27)

$$e''(A,B) = e_1''(A,B),$$
 (28)

$$q''(A,B) = q_1''(A,B),$$
 (29)

$$d_h(A,B) = d_H(A,B),$$
 (30)

$$l_h(A, B) = l_H(A, B),$$
 (31)

$$e_h(A,B) = e_H(A,B), \tag{32}$$

$$q_h(A,B) = q_H(A,B).$$
 (33)

Remark 1. Since intuitionistic fuzzy sets and IVF sets are equipollent generalizations of fuzzy sets, our definitions (2)-(13) should also reduce to the Szmidt and Kacprzyk's distances [11] and Grzegorzewski 's distances [7], respectively, for ordinary intuitionistic fuzzy sets.

3. Conclusions

In this paper, we propose new distances between IVF sets by taking into account three parameters describing an IVF set. We compare these distances with distances proposed by Burillo and Bustince and Grzegorzewski, respectively. Furthermore, we extend three methods for measuring distances between IVF sets to IVIF sets and show that these reduce to the Burillo and Bustince's distances, our distances and Grzegorzewski's distances, respectively, for ordinary IVF sets.

4. Reference

- [1] K. Atanassov, "Intuitionistic fuzzy sets," in: V. Sgurev, Ed., VII ITKR's Session, Sofia (June 1983 Central Sci. and Techn. Library, Bulg. Academy of Sciences, 1984.
- [2] K. Atanassov, "Intuitionistic fuzzy sets," Fuzzy Sets and Systems, Vol. 20, pp. 87-96, 1986.
- [3] K. Atanassov and G. Gargov, "Interval valued intuitionistic fuzzy set," Fuzzy Sets and Systems Vol. 31, pp. 343-349, 1989.
- [4] P. Burillo and H. Bustince, "Entropy on intuitionistic fuzzy sets and interval-valued fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems Vol. 78, pp. 305-316, 1996.
- [5] G. Deschrijver and E. Kerre, "On the relationship between some extensions of fuzzy set theory," Fuzzy Sets and Systems Vol. 133, pp. 227-235, 2003.
- [6] P. Diamond and P.E. Kloeden, "Metric Spaces of Fuzzy Sets: Theory and Applications," World Scientific, Singapore, 1994.
- [7] P. Grzegorzewski, "Distance between intuitionistic fuzzy sets and/or interval-valued fuzzy sets on the Hausdorff metric," Fuzzy Sets and Systems Vol. 148, pp. 319-328, 2004.
- [8] A. Kaufman, "Introduction a la theorie des sous-ensembles flous," Masson et Cie, Editeurs, 1973.
- [9] R. Sambuc, "Functions φ-flous. Aplication a l'aide au diagnostic en pathologie thyroidienne, Ph.D. Thesis," Universite de Marseille, France, 1975.
- [10] E. Szmidt and J. Kacprzyk, "On measuring distances between intuitionistic fuzzy sets," Notes IFS Vol. 2(1), pp. 15-32, 1996.
- [11] E. Szmidt and J. Kacprzyk, "Distances between intuitionistic fuzzy sets," Fuzzy Sets and Systems Vol. 114, pp. 505-518, 1997.
- [12] E. Szmidt and J. Kacprzyk, "Applications of intuitionistic fuzzy sets in decision making," Proc. Eighth Cong. EUSFLAT'98, Pampelona, pp. 150-158, 1998.
- [13] E. Szmidt and J. Kacprzyk, "Entropy for intuitionistic fuzzy sets," Fuzzy Sets and Systems Vol. 118, pp. 467-477, 2001.

- [14] B. Turksen, "Interval valued fuzzy sets based on normal forms," Fuzzy Sets and Systems Vol. 20, pp. 191-210, 1986.
- [15] I.K. Vlachos and G.D. Sergiadis, "Subsethood, entropy, and cardinality for interval-valued fuzzy sets - An algebraic derivation," Fuzzy Sets and Systems, in press.
- [16] W. Wang and X. Xin, Distance measure between intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Pattern Recognition Lett. Vol. 26, pp. 2063–2069, 2005.
- [17] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, Inform. and Control Vol. 8, pp. 338–353, 1965.
- [18] L.A. Zadeh, Outline of new approach to the analysis of complex systems and decision processes interval-valued fuzzy sets, IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybernet. Vol. 3, pp. 28-44, 1973
- [19] L.A. Zadeh, Theory of approximate reasoning, in J.E.Hayes, D. Michie, L.I. Mikulich (Eds.), Machine Intelligence, Ellis Horwood, Chichester, 1970, pp. 149-194.
- [20] W. Zeng and H. Li, Relationship between similarity measure and entropy of interval valued fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems Vol. 157, pp. 1477-1484, 2006.