

# Size Distribution and Temperature Dependence of Magnetic Anisotropy Constant in Ferrite Nanoparticles

Sunghyun Yoon\*

Department of Physics, Gunsan National University, Gunsan 573-701

## Abstract

The temperature dependence of the effective magnetic anisotropy constant  $K(T)$  of ferrite nanoparticles is obtained based on the measurements of SQUID magnetometry. For this end, a very simple but intuitive and direct method for determining the temperature dependence of anisotropy constant  $K(T)$  in nanoparticles is introduced in this study. The anisotropy constant at a given temperature is determined by associating the particle size distribution  $f(r)$  with the anisotropy energy barrier distribution  $f_A(T)$ .

In order to estimate the particle size distribution  $f(r)$ , the first quadrant part of the hysteresis loop is fitted to the classical Langevin function weight-averaged with the log-normal distribution, slightly modified from the original Chantrell's distribution function.[1]

In order to get an anisotropy energy barrier distribution  $f_A(T)$ , the temperature dependence of magnetization decay  $M_{TD}$  of the sample is measured. For this measurement, the sample is cooled from room temperature to 5 K in a magnetic field of 100 G. Then the applied field is turned off and the remanent magnetization is measured on stepwise increasing the temperature.

And the energy barrier distribution  $f_A(T)$  is obtained by differentiating the magnetization decay curve at any temperature [2]. It decreases with increasing temperature and finally vanishes when all the particles in the sample are unblocked.

As a next step, a relation between  $r$  and  $T_B$  is determined from the particle size distribution  $f(r)$  and the anisotropy energy barrier distribution  $f_A(T)$ . Under the simple assumption that the superparamagnetic fraction of cumulative area in particle size distribution at a temperature is equal to the fraction of anisotropy energy barrier overcome at that temperature in the anisotropy energy barrier distribution, we can get a relation between  $r$  and  $T_B$ , from which the temperature dependence of the magnetic anisotropy constant was determined, as is represented in the inset of Fig. 1.

Substituting the values of  $r$  and  $T_B$  into the Néel-Arrhenius equation with the attempt time fixed to  $10^{-9}$  s and measuring time being 100 s which is suitable for conventional magnetic measurement, the anisotropy constant  $K(T)$  is estimated as a function of temperature (Fig. 1).

As an example, the resultant effective magnetic anisotropy constant  $K(T)$  of manganese ferrite decreases with increasing temperature from  $8.5 \times 10^4$  J/m<sup>3</sup> at 5 K to  $0.35 \times 10^4$  J/m<sup>3</sup> at 125 K. The reported value for  $K$  in the literatures is  $0.25 \times 10^4$  J/m<sup>3</sup>. [3] The anisotropy constant at low temperature region is far more than one order of magnitude larger than that at 125 K, indicative of the effects of inter-particle interaction, which is more pronounced for smaller particles.

## 참고문헌

- [1] S.-H. Yoon, M. Gonzales-Weimuller, Y.-C. Lee, and Kannan M. Krishnan, J. Appl. Phys. **105**, 07B507

(2009).

[2] A. J. Rondinon, A. C. S. Samia, and Z. J. Zhang, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **76**, 3624 (2000).

[3] V. A. M. Brabers, in *Handbook of Magnetic Materials*, edited by K. H. J. Buschow (Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, 1995), Vol. 8, Chap. 3.

