SYMMETRIC SPACES WHICH ARE MAPPED CONFORMALLY ON EACH OTHER

By Younki Chae

Introduction.

The considerations of Einstein spaces, which are mapped conformally on each other, were studied by Brinkmann [1]. In this paper we are going to study about symmetric spaces as in the case of Einstein spaces.

Let V_n and \overline{V}_n be n-dimensional Riemannian spaces with their fundamental metric tensors g_{ij} and \overline{g}_{ij} respectively, and the correspondence between them is given by

(0. 1)
$$\overline{g}_{ij}=e^{2\sigma}g_{ij}$$
, $\sigma=\sigma(x^1,\dots,x^n)$,

then, we have the following relations [2]:

(0. 2)
$$\bar{g}^{ij} = e^{-2\sigma}g^{ij}$$
,

$$(0. 3) \qquad \{\overline{l}_{ij}\} = \{\overline{l}_{ij}\} + \delta_{i}^{l}\sigma_{,j} + \delta_{j}^{l}\sigma_{,i} - g_{ij}g^{lm}\sigma_{,m},$$

where $\sigma_{i} = \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^{i}}$. If σ_{ij} denote the second covariant derivatives of σ

with respect to g_{ij} , and we put

(0. 4)
$$\sigma_{ij} = \sigma_{,ij} - \sigma_{,i}\sigma_{,j}$$
,

then we have

(0. 5)
$$e^{-2\sigma} \overline{R}_{hijk} = R_{hijk} + g_{kk} \sigma_{ij} + g_{ij} \sigma_{kk} - g_{hj} \sigma_{ik} - g_{ik} \sigma_{kj} + (g_{hk}g_{ij} - g_{hj}g_{ik}) \Delta_{i} \sigma_{kj}$$

where

$$\Delta_i \sigma = g^{ij} \sigma_{,i} \sigma_{,j}$$
.

Furthermore we have

$$(0.6) \quad \overline{R}_{ij} = R_{ij} + (n-2)\sigma_{ij} + [\Delta_2 \sigma + (n-2)\Delta_1 \sigma]g_{ij},$$

where

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{z}}\sigma = \mathbf{g}_{ij}\sigma_{,ij}$$

and

(0.7)
$$\overline{R} = e^{-2\sigma} [R + 2(n-1) \Delta_2 \sigma + (n-1)(n-2) \Delta_1 \sigma],$$

(0. 8)
$$\sigma_{ij} = \frac{1}{(n-2)} (\overline{R}_{ij} - R_{ij}) - \frac{1}{2(n-1)(n-2)} (\overline{g}_{ij} \overline{R} - g_{ij} R) - \frac{1}{2} g_{ij} \Delta_i \sigma.$$

1. Some Preliminaries.

Let us think about an *n*-dimensional Riemannian space V_n with the metric tensor g_{ij} , and an *n*-dimensional symmetric space \overline{V}_n with the metric tensor \overline{g}_{ij} , which are related to g_{ij} in the equations (0.1). For any second order covariant tensor B_{ij} , we have the following relations in virtue of (0.3)

(1. 1)
$$B_{ij1} = B_{ij,i} - 2B_{ij}\sigma_{,i} - B_{ij}\sigma_{,i} - B_{ij}\sigma_{,i} - B_{ij}\sigma_{,i} + (g_{ij}B_{aj} + g_{ji}B_{ia}) g^{am}\sigma_{,m},$$

where comma (,) and solidus (|) denote the covariant derivatives with respect to g_{ij} and g_{ij} respectively. In the same manner, for any second order contravariant tensor B^{ij} , we have

(1. 2)
$$B^{ij}_{1l} = B^{ij}_{,l} + 2B^{ij}\sigma_{,l} + (\delta^{i}_{l}B^{aj} + \delta^{j}_{l}B^{ia}) \sigma_{,a} - (g^{im}B^{aj} + g^{jm}B^{ia}) g_{al}\sigma_{,m}.$$

Generally, we can have the following relations between the two kind of covariant derivatives (,) and (|) for any tensor $T^{ab\cdots cd}_{ki\cdots jk}$ whose contravariant order is p and covariant orer is q

$$T^{ab\cdots cd}_{\lambda_{i}\cdots_{j_{k+1}}} = T^{ab\cdots cd}_{\lambda_{i}\cdots_{j_{k}}, l} + (p-q)T^{ab\cdots cd}_{\lambda_{i}\cdots_{j_{k}}}\sigma_{, l}$$

$$+ (\delta_{l}^{a}T^{nb\cdots cd}_{\lambda_{i}\cdots_{j_{k}}} + \cdots + \delta_{l}^{d}T^{ab\cdots cn}_{\lambda_{i}\cdots_{j_{k}}})\sigma_{, n}$$

$$- (g^{am}T^{nb\cdots cd}_{\lambda_{i}\cdots_{j_{k}}} + \cdots + g^{dm}T^{ab\cdots cn}_{\lambda_{i}\cdots_{j_{k}}})g_{nl}\sigma_{, m}$$

$$- (\sigma_{, \lambda_{l}}T^{ab\cdots cd}_{li\cdots_{j_{k}}} + \cdots + \sigma_{, \lambda_{l}}T^{ab\cdots cd}_{\lambda_{i}\cdots_{j_{l}}})$$

$$+ (g_{\lambda_{l}}T^{ab\cdots cd}_{ni\cdots_{j_{k}}} + \cdots + g_{k_{l}}T^{ab\cdots cd}_{\lambda_{i}\cdots_{j_{l}}})g^{nm}\sigma_{, m}$$

The above-mentioned general rule gives us the following relations immediately:

(1. 4)
$$g_{ij+1} = -2g_{ij}\sigma_{,i}$$
, $g^{ij}_{,i} = 2g^{ij}\sigma_{,i}$, (1. 5) $\delta^{i}_{j+1} = 0$,

and

(1. 6)
$$R_{ij+1} = R_{ij,i} - 2R_{ij} \sigma_{,i} - R_{il} \sigma_{,j} - R_{jl} \sigma_{,i} + (g_{il} R^a_{j} + g_{ij} R^a_{i}) \sigma_{,a}.$$

Furthermore, on account of (0.8), we have

$$\sigma_{ij+1} = -\frac{1}{n-2} R_{ij+1} + \frac{1}{2(n-1)(n-2)} [R_{1i} - 2R\sigma_{1i} + 2(n-1)(n-2)(A_{1}\sigma) \sigma_{1i} + 2(n-1)(n-2)(A_{1}\sigma)_{1i}]g_{ij},$$

$$(1. 7) \qquad +(n-1)(n-2)(A_{1}\sigma)_{1i}]g_{ij},$$

which can be rewritten in the following form by means of (1.6)

$$\sigma_{ij1i} = -\frac{1}{n-2} [R_{ij,i} - 2R_{ij}\sigma_{,i} - R_{ij}\sigma_{,i} - R_{ii}\sigma_{,j} + (g_{ii}R^{a}_{j} + g_{ij}R^{a}_{i})\sigma_{,a}] + \frac{1}{2(n-1)(n-2)} [R_{,i}]$$

$$(1. 8) \qquad -2R\sigma_{,i} + 2(n-1)(n-2)(\Delta_{i}\sigma)\sigma_{,i}$$

$$-(n-1)(n-2)(\Delta_{i}\sigma)_{,i}]g_{ij}.$$

We have used $R_{i,i}$ and $(\Delta_{i}\sigma)_{i,i}$ instead of $R_{i,i}$ and $(\Delta_{i}\sigma)_{i,i}$ in the above equations (1.8). In fact, for the scalar function, the covariant derivatives with respect to $g_{i,i}$ are equal to the one with respect to $g_{i,i}$.

Multiplying g^{ij} to (1.8) and contracting over i and j, we have

(1. 9)
$$g^{ij}\sigma_{ij+1} = \frac{1}{2(n-1)} (2R\sigma_{,i} - R_{,i}) + n[(\Delta_{i}\sigma)\sigma_{,i} - \frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{i}\sigma),_{i})].$$

2. Conformal correspondence of symmetric spaces.

In order to think about the conformal correspondence of symmetric spaces, the conditions that a Riemannian space can be mapped conformally on a symmetric space are desired to find out.

Let V_n and \overline{V}_n be n-dimensional spaces mentioned in the previous section, since \overline{V}_n is a symmetric space, we have

$$\overline{R}_{kijk+1} = e^{2\sigma} \left[R_{kijk+1} + g_{hk+1} \sigma_{ij} + g_{ij+1} \sigma_{hk} - g_{hj+1} \sigma_{ik} - g_{hj+1} \sigma_{ik} - g_{hj+1} \sigma_{ik} - g_{hj} \sigma_{ik+1} - g_{hj} \sigma_{ik+1} - g_{ik} \sigma_{hj+1} \right] \\
- g_{ik+1} \sigma_{hj} + g_{hk} \sigma_{ij+1} + g_{ij} \sigma_{hk+1} - g_{hj} \sigma_{ik+1} - g_{ik} \sigma_{hj+1} + (g_{hk+1} g_{ij} + g_{hk} g_{ij+1} - g_{hj+1} g_{ik} - g_{hj} g_{ik+1}) \Delta_1 \sigma \\
+ (g_{hk} g_{ij} - g_{hj} g_{ik}) (\Delta_1 \sigma)_{11} + 2e^{2\sigma} \left[R_{hijk} + g_{hk} \sigma_{ij} + g_{ij} \sigma_{hk} - g_{hj} \sigma_{ik} - g_{ik} \sigma_{hj} + (g_{hk} g_{ij} - g_{hj} g_{ik}) (\Delta_1 \sigma) \right] \sigma_{11} \\
= \mathbf{0} \quad = \mathbf{$$

On account of (1.3), (1.4) and (0.8), (2.1) is as follows:

$$g_{hk}\sigma_{ij+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{e^{2\sigma}R}{(n-1)(n-2)} + \Delta_{1}\sigma \right\} g_{hk}g_{ij}\sigma_{+1} + \frac{1}{n-2} \left(R_{hk+1}g_{ij} - R_{ik+1}g_{hj} - R_{hj+1}g_{ik} \right) + \frac{1}{n-2} \left(e^{2\sigma}R^{a}_{i} - R^{a}_{i} \right) g_{hk}g_{ij}\sigma_{+a} + \frac{R}{2(n-1)(n-2)} \left(g_{hk}g_{ij} - 4g_{hj}g_{ik} \right) \sigma_{+1} - \frac{R_{1i}}{2(n-1)(n-2)} \left(g_{hk}g_{ij} - g_{hj}g_{ik} \right) - R_{hijk+1} - R_{hijk}\sigma_{+1} .$$

By putting

(2. 3)
$$L_{hijk} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{e^{2\sigma} \overline{R}}{(n-1)(n-2)} + \Delta_1 \sigma \right\} g_{hk} g_{ij} + \frac{R}{2(n-1)(n-2)} (g_{hk} g_{ij} - g_{hj} g)_{ik} - R_{hijk},$$

(2. 4)
$$\Lambda_{hijkl} = \frac{1}{n-2} \{ R_{hk+l} g_{ij} - R_{ik+l} g_{kj} - R_{hj+l} g_{ik} - (e^{2\sigma} \overline{R}^{a}_{l} - R^{a}_{l}) \sigma_{la} g_{hk} g_{ij} \} - R_{hijk+l} ,$$

(2.2) can be reducible as

Hence we have the following theorem, because the sufficiency of the theorem is evident, since we did not contract (2.5) with respect to any indices.

THEOREM 1. A necessary and sufficient condition that a V_n for n>2 can be mapped conformally on a symmetric space \overline{V}_n is that there exist a function σ satisfying the equations (2.5).

Now let us find the integrability conditions of the equations (2.5). On account of (1.5), we have the following equations by multiplying

 g^{sh} to (2.2)

$$\delta_{k}^{s}\sigma_{ij+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{e^{2\sigma}\overline{R}}{(n-1)(n-2)} + \Delta_{1}\sigma \right\} \delta_{k}^{s}g_{ij}\sigma_{1i}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n-2} \left(R^{s}_{k+1} g_{ij} - 2R^{s}_{k}g_{ij}\sigma_{1i} - \delta_{j}^{s} R_{ik+1} - R^{s}_{j+1} g_{ik} \right)$$

$$+ 2R^{s}_{j}g_{ik}\sigma_{1i} - \frac{1}{n-2} \left(e^{2\sigma} \overline{R}^{a}_{i} - R^{a}_{i} \right) \delta_{k}^{s}g_{ij}\sigma_{1a}$$

$$+ \frac{R}{2(n-1)(n-2)} \left(\delta_{k}^{s}g_{ij} - 4\delta_{j}^{s}g_{ik} \right) \sigma_{1i}$$

$$- \frac{R_{1i}}{2(n-1)(n-2)} \left(\delta_{k}^{s}g_{ij} - 2\delta_{j}^{s}g_{ik} \right)$$

$$- R^{s}_{ijk+1} + R^{s}_{ijk}\sigma_{1i} ,$$

By effecting the covariant derivatives with respect to \bar{g}^{ij} , we have

$$\delta_{k}^{s} \sigma_{ij+m} - \delta_{k}^{s} \sigma_{ij+m} = \frac{1}{2} \{ (\Delta_{1}\sigma)_{+m} \sigma_{+i} - (\Delta_{1}\sigma)_{+i} \sigma_{+m} \} \delta_{k}^{s} g_{ij}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n-2} \left[(R^{s}_{k+lm} - R^{s}_{k+m}) g_{ij} - \delta_{j}^{s} (R_{ik+lm} - R_{ik+m}) \right]$$

$$- (R^{s}_{j+lm} - R^{s}_{j+m}) g_{ik} + \frac{1}{n-2} (R^{a}_{l+m} - R^{a}_{m+1}) \delta_{k}^{s} g_{ij} \sigma_{+a}$$

$$- \frac{2}{n-2} (R^{a}_{l} \sigma_{+m} - R^{a}_{m} \sigma_{+i}) \delta_{k}^{s} g_{ij} \sigma_{+a}$$

$$- \frac{e^{2\delta}}{n-2} (\overline{R}^{a}_{l} \sigma_{+am} - \overline{R}^{a}_{m} \sigma_{+al}) \delta_{k}^{s} g_{ij}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n-2} (R^{a}_{l} \sigma_{+am} - R^{a}_{m} \sigma_{+al}) \delta_{k}^{s} g_{ij}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2(n-1)(n-2)} (R_{1}^{i} \sigma_{+m} - R_{+m}^{i} \sigma_{+l}) \delta_{k}^{s} g_{ij}$$

$$- (R^{s}_{ijk+lm} - R^{s}_{ijk+m}) + (R^{s}_{ijk+m} \sigma_{+l} - R^{s}_{ijk+l} \sigma_{+m}) .$$

In virtue of Ricci identity, we have the following integrability conditions of the equations (2.5):

(2.8)
$$K_{ijklm}^{s} + \delta_{k}^{s} B_{lm} g_{ij} = T_{ijklm}^{s}$$
,

where we have put

$$B_{lm} = \frac{1}{2} \{ (\Delta_l \sigma)_{lm} \sigma_{ll} - (\Delta_l \sigma)_{ll} \sigma_{lm} \}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n-2} (R^{a}_{l+m} - R^{a}_{m+1}) \sigma_{l,a}$$

$$- \frac{2}{n-2} (R^{a}_{l} \sigma_{l,m} - R^{a}_{m} \sigma_{l,l}) \sigma_{l,a} - \frac{e^{2\sigma}}{n-2} (\overline{R}^{a}_{l} \sigma_{l,am})$$

$$- \overline{R}^{a}_{m} \sigma_{l,al}) + \frac{1}{n-2} (R^{a}_{l} \sigma_{a+m} - R^{a}_{m} \sigma_{l,al}) ,$$

$$K^{s}_{ijklm} = \frac{1}{n-2} [(R^{s}_{k+lm} - R^{s}_{k+ml}) g_{ij} - \delta^{s}_{j} (R_{ik+lm} - R_{ik+ml})$$

$$- (R^{s}_{j+lm} - R^{s}_{j+ml}) g_{ik}] - (R^{s}_{ijk+lm} - R^{s}_{ijk+m})$$

$$+ (R^{s}_{ijk+m} \sigma_{l,l} - R^{s}_{ijk+l} \sigma_{l,m}) ,$$

$$(2.11) \qquad T^{s}_{ijklm} = \delta^{s}_{k} (\sigma_{kj} \overline{R}^{k}_{llm} + \sigma_{l,k} \overline{R}^{k}_{jlm}) .$$

Hence we have the following

THEOREM 2. The integrability conditions of the equations (2.5) are given by the equations (2.8).

Next, we shall find out the conditions that two symmetric spaces can be in conformal correspondence. We can easily obtain them by substituting $R_{11}=R_{11}=0$ into the equations (2.5), hence we have the following

THEOREM 3. In order that a symmetric space can be mapped conformally on a symmetric space, it is necessary that the function σ satisfies the equations

$$(2.12) g_{hk}\sigma_{ij1} = L_{hijh}\sigma_{1l} + \Lambda_{hijkl}.$$

In virtue of (1.6), (2.12) is written as follows:

$$(2.13) g_{hk} \sigma_{ij+1} = L_{hijk} \sigma_{ij} - \frac{1}{n-2} (e^{z\sigma} \overline{R}^{a}_{i} - R^{a}_{i}) g_{hk} g_{ij} \sigma_{,a}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{n-2} [\{(g_{hl} R^{a}_{k} + g_{lk} R^{a}_{h}) \sigma_{,a}$$

$$-2R_{hk} \sigma_{,i} - R_{lk} \sigma_{,h} - R_{hl} \sigma_{,k}\} g_{ij} + \{2R_{hj} \sigma_{,i}$$

$$+ R_{lj} \sigma_{,h} + R_{hl} \sigma_{,j} + (g_{hl} R^{a}_{j} + g_{lj} R^{a}_{h}) \sigma_{,a}\} g_{ik}$$

$$+ \{2R_{ik} \sigma_{,i} + R_{lk} \sigma_{,i} + R_{il} \sigma_{,k} + (g_{il} R^{a}_{k}) \sigma_{,k}\} g_{ik}$$

$$+g_{ik}R^{a}_{i})\sigma_{,a}g_{\hbar j}]+4R_{\hbar ijk}\sigma_{,i}+R_{lijk}\sigma_{,h}+R_{\hbar ljk}\sigma_{,i}$$

 $+R_{\hbar ilk}\sigma_{,j}+R_{\hbar ijl}\sigma_{,k}-(g_{\hbar l}R^{a}_{ijk}+g_{il}R_{\hbar^{a}jk}+g_{il}R_{\hbar^{a}jk}+g_{il}R_{\hbar^{a}jk}$
 $+g_{jl}R_{\hbar i}^{a}_{k}+g_{kl}R_{\hbar ij}^{a})\sigma_{,a}$

Finally, we shall find out a condition that both of the scalar curvatures of V_n and \overline{V}_n vanish.

Multiplying g^{hk} and g^{ij} to (2.13) and summing over h, k, i, and j, we have

$$g^{ij} \sigma_{ij+1} = \frac{n}{2} \left\{ \frac{e^{2\sigma} \overline{R}}{(n-1)(n-2)} + \Delta_{i} \sigma \right\} \sigma_{,i}$$

$$-\frac{n}{(n-2)} \left(e^{2\sigma} \overline{R}^{a}_{i} - R^{a}_{i} \right) \sigma_{,a}$$

$$+ \frac{8n^{5} - 29n^{2} + 14n - 12}{2n(n-1)(n-2)} R\sigma_{,i},$$

and on account of (1.9), the equations (2.14) can be reducible as follows:

$$\left(\frac{\Delta_{1}\sigma}{e^{\sigma}}\right),_{i} = \frac{2}{n-2} \left(e^{\sigma} \overline{R}^{a}_{i} + e^{-\sigma} R^{a}_{i}\right)\sigma,_{\sigma}$$

$$-\frac{\overline{R}}{(n-1)(n-2)} (e^{\sigma}),_{i}$$

$$+\frac{8n^{3} - 31n^{2} + 18n - 12}{n^{2}(n-1)(n-2)} R(e^{-\sigma}),_{i}.$$

If $\Delta_1 \sigma$ satisfy the following equations:

(2.16)
$$\left(\frac{\Delta_1 \sigma}{e^{\sigma}}\right), = \frac{2}{n-2} \left(\overline{R}^a, e^{\sigma} + R^a, e^{-\sigma}\right) \sigma,$$

we have

(2.17)
$$\frac{\overline{R}}{(n-1)(n-2)}e^{2\sigma} - \frac{8n^3 - 31n^2 + 18n - 12}{n^2(n-1)(n-2)}R + ce^{\sigma} = 0,$$

where "c" is constant.

On the other hand, a necessary and sufficient condition that there exists $\Delta_1 \sigma$ satisfying the equations (2.16) is

$$(2.18) R^a_{cl}\sigma_{ma} = \overline{R}^a_{cl}\sigma_{ma} = 0,$$

72

since

$$(R^{a}_{l}e^{-6}\sigma_{,a})_{,m}-(R^{a}_{m}e^{-6}\sigma_{,a})_{,l}=e^{-6}(R^{a}_{l}\sigma_{am}-R^{a}_{m}\sigma_{al})_{,l}$$

and

$$(e^{\sigma} \overline{R}^{a}_{l} \sigma, a), m - (e^{\sigma} \overline{R}^{a}_{m} \sigma, a), l = \overline{R}^{a}_{l} \sigma_{ma}.$$

From the equation (2.17), $R = \overline{R} = 0$ if $\Delta_1 \sigma$ satisfy the equations (2.16), hence we have the following

THEOREM 4. If $\Delta_1 \sigma$ satisfy the equations (2.18), both of the scalar curvatures of the two spaces V_n and \overline{V}_n vanish.

Oct. 1959
Mathematical Department
Kyungpook University

REFERENCES

[1] H. W. Brinkmann: Einstein spaces which are mapped conformally on each other, Mathematische Annalen, vol. 94, PP.119-145. (1929).

[2] L. P. Eisenhart: Riemannian geometry, Princeton, (1949).