

CHARACTERIZATIONS OF SPACES USING T_0 -IDENTIFICATION SPACES

By Charles Dorsett

1. Introduction

In this paper T_0 -identification spaces are used to characterize spaces which are R_0 , R_1 , regular, completely regular, normal R_0 , or pseudometrizable, where the T_1 axiom is not included in the definitions of regular, completely regular, and normal. Regular T_1 , completely regular T_1 , and normal T_1 will be denoted by T_3 , $T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$, and T_4 , respectively. Listed below are definitions and theorems that will be utilized in this paper.

DEFINITION 1.1. A topological space (X, T) is R_0 if and only if for each closed set C and for each $x \notin C$, $C \cap \overline{\{x\}} = \emptyset$ [1].

THEOREM 1.1. *The following are equivalent: (a) (X, T) is R_0 , (b) if $O \in T$ and $x \in O$, then $\overline{\{x\}} \subset O$, and (c) for $x, y \in X$, either $\overline{\{x\}} = \overline{\{y\}}$ or $\overline{\{x\}} \cap \overline{\{y\}} = \emptyset$ [1].*

DEFINITION 1.2. If \sim is an equivalence relation on (X, T) , then the \sim -identification space of (X, T) is $(\mathcal{D}\sim, \mathcal{O}\sim)$, where $\mathcal{D}\sim$ is the set of equivalence classes of \sim and $\mathcal{O}\sim$ is the decomposition topology on $\mathcal{D}\sim$ [3].

DEFINITION 1.3. Let \sim° be the equivalence relation on (X, T) defined by $x \sim^\circ y$ if and only if $\overline{\{x\}} = \overline{\{y\}}$. The T_0 -identification space of (X, T) is the \sim° -identification of (X, T) , which is T_0 . Let $X_0 = \mathcal{D}\sim^\circ$, let $S_0 = \mathcal{O}\sim^\circ$, and let $P : (X, T) \rightarrow (X_0, S_0)$ be the natural map [3].

DEFINITION 1.4. For a space (X, T) let \sim' be the relation in $X \times X$ defined by $x \sim' y$ if and only if $x \in \overline{\{y\}}$. Then \sim' is not always an equivalence relation on X and $\sim^\circ \subset \sim'$.

DEFINITION 1.5. A space (X, T) is R_1 if and only if for $x, y \in X$ such that $\overline{\{x\}} \neq \overline{\{y\}}$ there exist disjoint open sets U and V such that $\overline{\{x\}} \subset U$ and $\overline{\{y\}} \subset V$ [1].

THEOREM 1.2. *A space is T_1 if and only if it is R_0 and T_0 and a space is T_2*

if and only if it is R_1 and T_0 [1].

THEOREM 1.3. *A space (X, T) is R_1 if and only if (X_0, S_0) is T_2 [4].*

2. Characterizations

THEOREM 2.1. *The following are equivalent: (a) (X, T) is R_0 , (b) \sim' is an equivalence relation on X , (c) $(\mathcal{D}\sim', \mathcal{Q}\sim') = (X_0, S_0)$, $X_0 = \{\overline{\{x\}} \mid x \in X\}$, (X_0, S_0) is T_1 , P is closed open, and $P^{-1}(P(O)) = O$ for all $O \in T$, (d) (X_0, S_0) is R_0 , and (e) (X_0, S_0) is T_1 .*

PROOF. (a) implies (b): If $(x, y) \in \sim'$, then $x \in \overline{\{y\}}$ and $\overline{\{x\}} \cap \overline{\{y\}} \neq \emptyset$, which implies $\overline{\{x\}} = \overline{\{y\}}$ and $(x, y) \in \sim^\circ$. Hence $\sim' = \sim^\circ$, which is an equivalence relation.

(b) implies (c): If $x \in X$ and C_x is the equivalence class of \sim' containing x , then $C_x = \overline{\{x\}}$. Thus $\{\overline{\{x\}} \mid x \in X\}$ is a decomposition of X , which implies (X, T) is R_0 . By the argument above $\sim' = \sim^\circ$, and thus $(\mathcal{D}\sim', \mathcal{Q}\sim') = (X_0, S_0)$ and $X_0 = \{\overline{\{x\}} \mid x \in X\}$. If $O \in T$, then $P^{-1}(P(O)) = \bigcup_{x \in O} \overline{\{x\}} = O$, which implies $P(O) \in S_0$, and thus P is open. For C closed in X , $P(C) = X_0 \setminus P(X \setminus C)$, which is closed, and thus P is closed. If $\overline{\{x\}} \in X_0$, then $\overline{\{\overline{\{x\}}\}} = P(\overline{\{x\}}) = P(\overline{\{x\}}) = \{\overline{\{x\}}\}$, which implies (X_0, S_0) is T_1 .

(c) implies (d): Since every T_1 space is R_0 , then (X_0, S_0) is R_0 .

(d) implies (e): Since (X_0, S_0) is R_0 and T_0 , then (X_0, S_0) is T_1 .

(e) implies (a): Let $x \in X$ and let C_x be the equivalence class of \sim° containing x . Then $C_x \subset \overline{\{x\}}$. Since $\{C_x\}$ is closed in X_0 , then $x \in C_x = P^{-1}(\{C_x\})$, which is closed, and thus $\overline{\{x\}} \subset C_x$ and $C_x = \overline{\{x\}}$. Hence $X_0 = \{\overline{\{x\}} \mid x \in X\}$, which implies (X, T) is R_0 .

The following corollary can be obtained by using Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.2.

COROLLARY 2.1. *A space (X, T) is R_1 if and only if (X_0, S_0) is R_1 .*

Note that if (X, T) is R_0 , then $\overline{\{x\}}$ compact for all $x \in X$.

THEOREM 2.2. *The following are equivalent: (a) (X, T) is regular, (b) (X_0, S_0) is regular, and (c) (X_0, S_0) is T_3 .*

PROOF. (a) implies (b): Since (X, T) is regular, then (X, T) is R_0 . By Theorem 2.1, (X_0, S_0) is an upper semi-continuous decomposition of X into

compact sets and since (X, T) is regular, then (X_0, S_0) is regular [2].

(b) implies (c): Since (X_0, S_0) is regular T_0 , then (X_0, S_0) is T_3 .

(c) implies (a): By Theorem 2.1, $X_0 = \{\overline{\{x\}} \mid x \in X\}$, P is open, and $P^{-1}(P(O)) = O$ for all $O \in T$. Let $O \in T$ and let $x \in O$. Then $\overline{\{x\}} \in P(O)$, which is open in X_0 . Thus there exists an open set \mathcal{V} such that $\overline{\{x\}} \in \mathcal{V} \subset \overline{\mathcal{V}} \subset P(O)$, which implies $x \in P^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) \subset P^{-1}(\overline{\mathcal{V}}) \subset O$. Hence (X, T) is regular.

THEOREM 2.3. *The following are equivalent: (a) (X, T) is completely regular, (b) (X_0, S_0) is completely regular, and (c) (X_0, S_0) is $T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$.*

PROOF (a) implies (b): Since (X, T) is completely regular, then (X, T) is R_0 . By Theorem 2.1, $X_0 = \{\overline{\{x\}} \mid x \in X\}$ and P is open. Let \mathcal{E} be closed in X_0 and let $\overline{\{x\}} \notin \mathcal{E}$. Then $P^{-1}(\mathcal{E})$ is closed in X and $x \notin P^{-1}(\mathcal{E})$ and there exists a continuous function $f : (X, T) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f(x) = 0$ and $f(P^{-1}(\mathcal{E})) = 1$. Let $g : (X_0, S_0) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ defined by $g(\overline{\{y\}}) = f(y)$. Then $g(\overline{\{x\}}) = 0$ and $g(\mathcal{E}) = 1$. If O is open in $[0, 1]$, then $f^{-1}(O)$ is open in X and $g^{-1}(O) = P(f^{-1}(O))$ is open in X_0 , which implies g is continuous. Hence, (X_0, S_0) is completely regular.

(b) implies (c): Since (X_0, S_0) is completely regular T_0 , then (X_0, S_0) is $T_{3\frac{1}{2}}$.

(c) implies (a): By Theorem 2.1, $X_0 = \{\overline{\{x\}} \mid x \in X\}$ and P is closed. Let C be closed in X and let $x \notin C$. Then $P(C)$ is closed in X_0 and $P(x) \notin P(C)$ and there exists a continuous function $f : (X_0, S_0) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f(P(x)) = 0$ and $f(P(C)) = 1$. Then $f \circ P : (X, T) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is continuous and $(f \circ P)(x) = 0$ and $(f \circ P)(C) = 1$. Hence (X, T) is completely regular.

THEOREM 2.4. *The following are equivalent: (a) (X, T) is normal R_0 , (b) (X_0, S_0) is normal R_0 , and (c) (X_0, S_0) is T_4 .*

PROOF. (a) implies (b): By Theorem 2.1, (X_0, S_0) is an R_0 upper semi-continuous decomposition of X into compact sets and since (X, T) is normal, then (X_0, S_0) is normal.

(b) implies (c): Since (X_0, S_0) is normal, R_0 , and T_0 , then (X_0, S_0) is T_4 .

(c) implies (a): By Theorem 2.1, (X, T) is R_0 , $X_0 = \{\overline{\{x\}} \mid x \in X\}$, and P is closed. Let C_1 and C_2 be disjoint closed sets in X . Then $P(C_1)$ and $P(C_2)$ are disjoint closed sets in X_0 and there exist disjoint open sets \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} such that

$P(C_1) \subset \mathcal{U}$ and $P(C_2) \subset \mathcal{V}$. Then $C_1 \subset P^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) \in T$, and $C_2 \subset P^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) \in T$, and $P^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) \cap P^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) = \emptyset$. Hence, (X, T) is normal.

THEOREM 2.5. *A space (X, T) is pseudometrizable if and only if (X_0, S_0) is metrizable.*

PROOF. Suppose (X, T) is pseudometrizable. Let d be a pseudometric on X compatible with T . Since (X, T) is pseudometrizable, then (X, T) is R_0 and $X_0 = \{\overline{\{x\}} \mid x \in X\}$. Let d_0 be the metric on X_0 defined by $d_0(\overline{\{x\}}, \overline{\{y\}}) = d(x, y)$. Since P is continuous, open, and onto, then d_0 is compatible with S_0 , which implies (X_0, S_0) is metrizable.

Conversely, suppose (X_0, S_0) is metrizable. Then (X_0, S_0) is T_1 , which implies (X, T) is R_0 and $X_0 = \{\overline{\{x\}} \mid x \in X\}$. Let P_0 be a metric on X_0 compatible with S_0 and let P be the pseudometric on X defined by $P(x, y) = P_0(\overline{\{x\}}, \overline{\{y\}})$. Since P is continuous, open, and $P^{-1}(P(O)) = O$ for all $O \in T$, then P is compatible with T , which implies (X, T) is pseudometrizable.

THEOREM 2.6. *Let (X, T) be an R_0 space. Then (a) (X, T) is separable if and only if (X_0, S_0) is separable, and (b) (X, T) is second countable if and only if (X_0, S_0) is second countable.*

PROOF. By Theorem 2.1, (X_0, S_0) is T_1 , $X_0 = \{\overline{\{x\}} \mid x \in X\}$, P is closed open, and $P^{-1}(P(O)) = O$ for all $O \in T$.

(a) Suppose (X, T) is separable. Thus (X, T) has a countable dense subset $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$. Then $\{\overline{\{x_i\}}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a countable dense subset of (X_0, S_0) , which implies (X_0, S_0) is separable.

Conversely, suppose (X_0, S_0) is separable. Thus (X_0, S_0) has a countable dense subset $\{\overline{\{x_i\}}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$. Then $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a countable dense subset of X , which implies (X, T) is separable.

(b) Suppose (X, T) is second countable. Then (X_0, S_0) is an upper semi-continuous decomposition of (X, T) into compact sets and (X, T) is second countable, which implies (X_0, S_0) is second countable [2].

Conversely, suppose (X_0, S_0) is second countable. Then (X_0, S_0) has a countable base $\{\mathcal{O}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$. For each $i \in N$, let $O_i = P^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_i)$. Then $\{O_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a countable base for (X, T) , which implies (X, T) is second countable.

The next corollary can be obtained by using Theorem 2.5, Theorem 2.2, Theorem 2.6, and Urysohn's Metrization Theorem.

COROLLARY 2.2. *The following are equivalent: (a) (X, T) is regular second countable, (b) (X_0, S_0) is a separable metric space, and (c) (X, T) is a separable pseudometric space.*

North Texas State University
Denton, Texas 76203

REFERENCES

- [1] A. D. Davis, "*Indexed Systems of Neighborhoods for General Topological Spaces*," *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 68(1961), 886—893.
- [2] J. Kelley, *General Topology*, Van Nostrand, 1955.
- [3] S. Willard, *General Topology*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1970.
- [4] W. Dunham, "*Weakly Hausdorff Spaces*," *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, 15(1975), 41—50.