Kyungpook Math. J. Volume 17, Number 2 December, 1977

STIELTJES TRANSFORM OF FUNCTIONS SATISFYING THE LIPSCHITZ CONDITION*

By R.N. Mukherjee** and D.N. Bhattacharya

1. Introduction

This paper will be concerned about the Stieltjes transform of a function $\phi(t)$, $S_I[\phi, x]$ defined by

$$S_{I}[\phi, x] = f(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x+t} \phi(t) dt$$
 (1.1)

where $\phi(t) \in L_1(0, R)$ for all R > 0 and the integral exists in (1.1).

We define two operators in the following manner (see Widder, p. 345):

$$I[f, K; t] = \frac{(-1)^{K-1}}{K!(K-2)!} \frac{d^{2K-1}}{dt^{2K-1}} [t^{K}f(t)] - \phi(x)$$
$$J[f, K; t] = \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{(-1)^{K-1}}{K!(K-2)!} \frac{d^{2K-1}}{dt^{2K-1}} [t^{K}f(t)] \right].$$

Our main aim is to show that the asymptotic behavior J[f, K; t] = 0(1) uniformly in some interval of t, implies that $\phi(t)$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition there.

Also, we state a theorem about the asymptotic behavior of I[f, K; t], whose proof we include here because of the completeness, although it's proof is based along the same lines as the proof of a theorem in [2].

THEOREM 1.1 Let $f(x) = S_I[\phi, x]$, t > 0. Then

$$\int_{t}^{t+h} [\phi(t+y) - \phi(t)] \, dy = o(h) \, as \, h \to 0$$
 (1.2)

implies I[f, K; t] = o(1) as $K \to \infty$.

PROOF. It can be easily seen that

$$L_{K,t}[f(x)] = \frac{(2K-1)!}{K!(K-2)!} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{K-1}u^{K}}{(t+u)^{2K}} \phi(u)du$$

* Abstract has been published in the Notices of the A.M.S., February, 1974.

^{**} Current address: Department of Mathematics, Birla Institute of Technology and Science Pilani, Rajasthan, India.



then since (1.1) converges we get $\lim_{X\to\infty} \alpha(x) = A < \infty$.

Then

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(t)}{t} dt = \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{t+1}{t} d\alpha(t)$$
$$= \alpha(\infty) + \int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(t)}{t^2} dt,$$

provided the integral in the second term above converges. But it is clearly absolutely convergent. Also since $\phi(t) \in L$ in $0 \leq t \leq 1$, therefore we have the following;

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} t^{C} \phi(t) dt < \infty, \quad (C = -1)$$
(1.3)
$$\int_{0}^{1} t^{C'} \phi(t) dt < \infty, \quad (C' = 0)$$
(1.4)

Because of (1.2), (1.3) and (1.4) we know that Theorem 8C of [2] gives

$$\frac{(2K-1)!}{K!(K-2)!} \int_{0+}^{\infty} \frac{t^{K-1}u^K}{(t+u)^{2K}} \phi(u) du - \phi(t) = o(1) \quad as \ K \to \infty.$$

From which we get

$$I(f, K; t) = o(1) \text{ as } K \rightarrow \infty.$$

2. For the stieltjes transform $f(x) = S_I[\phi, x], \phi$ is called the determining function and f(x) the generating of the transform. Main theorem in this section will be stated as follows:

THEOREM 2.1. Suppose
$$f(x) = S_I[\phi, x]$$
 and let

$$|J[f, K; t]| \le K$$
(2.1)

for $t \in (a, b)$ and for some K > 0; then there exists a function $\Psi(t)$ which is equal to $\phi(t)$ in $L_1[a, b]$ norm such that

 $|\Psi(t_1) - \Psi(t_2)| \leq K |t_1 - t_2|, t_i \in (a, b), i = 1, 2,$

Stieltjes Transform of Functions Satisfying the Lipschitz Condition 213

We shall use the following representation theorem for the Stieltjes transforms as given in ([2], p.375) for the proof of Theorem 2.1. Also in the following $L_{K,t}[f]$ stands for the operator

$$L_{K,t}[f] = \frac{(-1)^{K-1}}{K!(K-2)!} \frac{d^{2K-1}}{dt^{2K-1}} [t^K f(t)], \quad (K=2, 3, \cdots)$$

THEROEM 2.2 Necessary and sufficient conditions for f(x) to be a Stieltjes

transform of $\phi(t)$ with $\phi(t) \in L_1(0, \mathbb{R})$ for all $\mathbb{R} > 0$ are the following:

(1)
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} |L_{K,t}[f(x)]| dt < \infty, \quad (K=1, 2, \cdots)$$

(2)
$$\lim_{K, l \to \infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} |L_{K,t}[f(x)] - L_{l,t}[f(x)]| dt = 0$$

(3)
$$\lim_{X \to 0+} xf(x) = 0.$$

PROOF of Theorem 2.1. By Theorem 2.2, $L_{K,t}[f]$ converges to $\phi(t)$ in $L_1(0, R)$ (for every R > 0) and hence in $L_1[a, b]$. Which implies that $L_{K,t}[f]$ converges in measure to $\phi(t)$ in (a, b). Hence there exists a subsequence of $L_{K,t}[f]$ say $L_{K(m),t}[f]$ which converges to $\phi(t)$ almost everywhere in (a, b). We will show that the sequence $L_{K(m),t}[f]$ converges point-wise in (a, b) to $\Psi(t)$ which obviously is equal to $\phi(t)$ in $L_1(a, b)$.

On the contrary suppose that $L_{K(m),t}[f]$ does not converge at t_0 , then since $L_{K(m),t}[f]$ converges to $\phi(t)$ a.e., in (a,b), there exists a sequence $t_n \in (a, b)$, such that $t_n \rightarrow t_0$ and $\lim_{m \to \infty} L_{K(m),t_n}[f]$ exists; we will prove that $\lim_{n \to \infty} \lim_{m \to \infty} L_{K(m),t_n}[f]$ exists and is equal to $\lim_{m \to \infty} L_{K(m),t_0}[f]$ (which also exists). To show that $\lim_{m \to \infty} L_{K(m),t_n}[f]$ is a Cauchy sequence in n, we apply the mean value theorem as follows:

$$L_{K,t_{n(1)}}[f] - L_{K,t_{n(2)}}[f]$$

$$= (t_{n(1)} - t_{n(2)}) \left[\frac{d}{dt} \frac{(-1)^{K-1}}{K!(K-2)!} \frac{d^{2K-1}}{dt^{2K-1}} \{t^{K}f(t)\} \right]_{t=\xi}$$

$$= (t_{n(1)} - t_{n(2)}) J[f, K; \xi], \qquad (2.2)$$

where ξ is between $t_{n(1)}$ and $t_{n(2)}$. Formula (2.2) is valid for all K and therefore by using(2.1) $|L_{K(m), t_{n(1)}}[f] - L_{K(m), t_{n(2)}}[f] |\leq K |t_{n_{(1)}} - t_{n_{(2)}}|,$ (2.3)

R. N. Mukherjee and D. N. Bhattacharya 214

and hence, $\lim_{m\to\infty} L_{K(m),t_{n(1)}}[f] - \lim_{m\to\infty} L_{K(m),t_{n(2)}}[f] |\leq K|t_{n(1)} - t_{n(2)}|.$ Inequality (2.4) implies that $\lim_{m\to\infty} L_{K(m),t_n}$ is a Cauchy sequence in *n*. Define $\lim_{m\to\infty} \lim_{n\to\infty} L_{K(m),t_n}[f] = M$. Since one can replace $t_{n(1)}$ by t_n and $t_{n(2)}$ by t_0 in (2.3) it is easy to observe

that
$$\lim_{m\to\infty} L_{K(m),t_0}[f] = M.$$

Now since every point in (a, b) can replace $t_{n(1)}$ and $t_{n(2)}$ in (2.3) and (2.4) and therefore as a consequence of the above estimates we get (2.1).

REMARK 1. The results obtained above have been motivated by the work of Ditzian ([1]) for the Laplace transforms.

REMARK 2. Some estimates for the operator I[f, K; t] can be obtained when $\phi(t)$ satisfies the generalized Lipschitz condition of order γ , (0 < γ < 1), *i.e.*, t+h $\int [\phi(t+y) - \phi(t)] dy = 0(h^{1+\gamma});$ we propose to deal with that situation in a forth-

coming work. Note that in Theorem 1.1, γ was set to be equal to zero.

New Mexico state University Carleton University Las Cruces, New Mexico 88003 Ottawa, Ontario Canada U.S.A

REFERENCES

[1] Ditzian, Z., Laplace transform of functions satisfying the Lipschitz condition, Composito Mathematica, Vol. 22. Fasc. 1, 1970, pp.29-38. [2] Widder, D.V., The Laplace Transform, Princeton University Press, 1946. [3] Zygmund, A., Trigonometric Series, Vol.1, Cambridge University Press, 1959.