

## NUMERICAL PRINCIPAL AND $\rho$ -PRINCIPAL POINTS OF AN OPERATOR

By Phadke S.V. and Thakare N.K.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $H$  be a complex Hilbert space. By an operator on  $H$  we mean a continuous linear transformation on  $H$ . As usual, let  $\overline{W(T)}$  denote the closure of the numerical range  $W(T)$  of an operator  $T$ . Define  $H(T)$  as follows:

$$H(T) = \overline{W(T)} \cap \{\lambda : |\lambda| = \|T\|\},$$

the members of  $H(T)$  are called principal points of an operator  $T$ . This concept originally due to Hildebrandt [2] was recently used by Shah and Sheth [7] to characterize normaloid operators. Let  $P(T)$  denote the set  $\sigma(T) \cap \{\lambda : |\lambda| = w(T)\}$  where  $\sigma(T)$  is the spectrum of  $T$  and  $w(T)$  is the numerical radius of an operator  $T$  on  $H$ . The purpose of this note is to characterize the spectraloid operators via this set  $P(T)$ ;  $P(T)$  will be called the set of numerical principal points of  $T$ .

In what follows  $\gamma(T)$  denotes the spectral radius,  $\sigma_p(T)$ , the point spectrum,  $\sigma_{ap}(T)$ , the approximate point spectrum of  $T$ ; and the definitions of normaloid, convexoid and spectraloid operators are as usual.

In the last section we extend these considerations to  $\rho$ -oid operators the study of which was recently initiated by Patel and Gupta [6]. The discussion about it is postponed to that very section.

### 2. Some characterizations

We begin with the characterization of spectraloid operators.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *The set  $P(T)$  is nonempty if and only if  $T$  is spectraloid.*

**PROOF.** Let  $P(T)$  be nonempty. Then there exists  $\lambda$  such that  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$  and  $|\lambda| = w(T)$ . This means that  $w(T) = |\lambda| \leq \gamma(T)$ . Since  $r(T) \leq w(T)$  always,  $T$  must be spectraloid.

Conversely, let  $T$  be spectraloid that is  $r(T) = w(T)$ . There exists  $\lambda$  in  $\sigma(T)$  such that  $r(T) = |\lambda|$ . Hence  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$  and  $|\lambda| = w(T)$ ; thus we have  $\lambda \in P(T)$  and we are through.

The following result shows under what conditions on operator  $T$ , one has  $P(T) = \sigma(T)$ .

**THEOREM 2.2.** *If  $T$  is unitary or a scalar multiple of a unitary operator, then  $P(T) = \sigma(T)$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $T = zU$ ,  $U$  being unitary,  $z$  being scalar, then

$$\begin{aligned} P(T) &= P(zU) = \sigma(zU) \cap \{\lambda : |\lambda| = w(zU)\} \\ &= \sigma(zU) \cap \{\lambda : |\lambda| = |z|\} = \sigma(zU) \\ &= \sigma(T). \end{aligned}$$

It is also observed that the hypothesis of the preceding theorem implies that  $P(T^{-1}) = [P(T)]^{-1}$ . As the inverse of an invertible spectraloid operator need not be spectraloid, it may well happen that  $P(T^{-1})$  may not equal  $[P(T)]^{-1}$ . Hence let us ask:

- i) If  $P(T) = \sigma(T)$ , does it follow that  $T$  is a scalar multiple of a unitary operator?
- ii) If  $P(T^{-1}) = [P(T)]^{-1}$ , where  $T$  is invertible spectraloid, does it follow that  $T$  is a scalar multiple of a unitary operator?

We answer these questions under rather restrictive assumptions in the following:

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $T$  be an operator on  $H$  such that  $P(T) = \sigma(T)$  lies on the unit circle. Then  $T$  is unitary if i)  $P(T^{-1}) = [P(T)]^{-1}$  or ii)  $T$  satisfies the growth condition  $G_1$  i.e.  $\|(T - \lambda I)^{-1}\| \leq [d(\lambda, \sigma(T))]^{-1}$  for all  $\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$ .*

**PROOF.** (i) Clearly  $P(T)$  is nonempty and thus  $T$  is spectraloid. Also  $P(T^{-1}) = [P(T)]^{-1}$ ; which implies that  $T^{-1}$  is spectraloid. Now  $1 = r(T) = w(T)$  and  $1 = r(T^{-1}) = w(T^{-1})$ . Thus  $W(T^{\pm 1}) \subset \Delta$ , the unit disc of the complex plane. By applying the result due to Stampfli [8] we arrive at the desired conclusion.

(ii) Clearly  $P(T)$  is nonempty and hence  $T$  is spectraloid. Now  $r(T) = 1$  implies that  $w(T) = 1$ . Since  $T$  satisfies the growth condition  $G_1$ ,  $\|T^{-1}\| \leq 1$ . Hence  $w(T^{-1}) \leq 1$ . Again by applying the result due to Stampfli [8] we are through.

### 3. Additional results

It is noted that if  $T$  is a scalar multiple of the identity then  $P(T + \lambda I) = P(T) + \lambda$ ,  $\lambda$  scalar. Now let us ask: What one can say about the converse? In order to answer this we need the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 3.1. *If  $T$  is convexoid operator with  $\sigma(T)$  a singleton set, then  $T$  is a scalar multiple of the identity.*

PROOF. If  $\sigma(T) = \{\mu\}$ , then  $W(T) = \{\mu\}$  and we are through.

LEMMA 3.2. *For a spectraloid operator  $T$ , if  $P(T + \lambda I) = P(T) + \lambda$  for every scalar  $\lambda$ , then  $T$  is convexoid.*

PROOF. The hypothesis implies that  $P(T + \lambda I)$  is nonempty for every scalar  $\lambda$ . Hence  $T + \lambda I$  is spectraloid which in view of the result due to Furuta [1] implies that  $T$  is convexoid.

We now prove the main result of this section.

THEOREM 3.3. *For a spectraloid operator  $T$  on  $H$  if  $P(T + \lambda I) = P(T) + \lambda$  for every scalar  $\lambda$ , then  $T$  is a scalar multiple of the identity.*

PROOF. In view of Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, it suffices to show that  $\sigma(T)$  is singleton. Firstly we prove that  $P(T)$  is singleton set. That  $P(T)$  is nonempty is obvious as  $T$  is spectraloid. Let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in P(T)$  with  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ . Then for any scalar  $\lambda$ ,  $\lambda_1 + \lambda$  and  $\lambda_2 + \lambda$  are in  $P(T) + \lambda = P(T + \lambda I)$ . This implies that

$$|\lambda_1 + \lambda| = w(T + \lambda I) = |\lambda_2 + \lambda| \tag{1}$$

If scalar  $\lambda_0$  is not on the perpendicular bisector of the line segment joining  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$ , then  $|\lambda_1 - \lambda_0| \neq |\lambda_2 - \lambda_0|$  which contradicts (1) for  $\lambda = -\lambda_0$ . Hence  $P(T)$  must be singleton set. Let  $P(T) = \{\mu_0\}$ . Now we shall prove that  $\sigma(T) = \{\mu_0\}$ . If possible, let  $\mu \neq \mu_0 \in \sigma(T)$ . Since  $\mu \notin P(T)$ ,  $|\mu| < w(T)$ . Select a scalar  $\lambda$  such that

$$|\mu - \lambda| > |\mu_0 - \lambda| \tag{2}$$

Now  $\mu_0 - \lambda \in P(T) - \lambda = P(T - \lambda I)$  which implies that  $|\mu_0 - \lambda| = w(T - \lambda I)$ . Since  $\mu - \lambda \in \sigma(T - \lambda I)$ , it follows that  $|\mu - \lambda| \leq w(T - \lambda I)$ . Hence  $|\mu - \lambda| \leq |\mu_0 - \lambda|$  which is a contradiction to (2). Hence  $\sigma(T)$  must be singleton set and in fact  $\sigma(T) = \{\mu_0\}$ .

#### 4. $\rho$ -Principal points of an operator

Let  $C_\rho (\rho > 0)$  be the class of all operators with unitary  $\rho$ -dilation in the sense of [4] ; let  $R(T) = \sigma(T) \cap \{\lambda : |\lambda| = w_\rho(T)\}$ , where  $w_\rho(T)$  is the operator radius of  $T$  defined as

$$w_\rho(T) = \inf \{ \alpha : \alpha > 0, \alpha^{-1} T \in C_\rho \}$$

(See Holbrook [3], Patel [5], Patel-Gupta [6]). The members of  $R(T)$ , will be called  $\rho$ -principal points of an operator  $T$ .

An operator  $T$  is called  $\rho$ -oid if  $w_\rho(T) = r(T)$ . On the lines of our previous discussion we obtain.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *The set  $R(T)$  is nonempty if and only if  $T$  is  $\rho$ -oid*

**PROOF.** Let  $T$  be  $\rho$ -oid. Then  $w_\rho(T) = r(T)$  and hence for some  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ ,  $|\lambda| = r(T) = w_\rho(T)$ . This means that  $\lambda \in R(T)$  and thus  $R(T)$  is nonempty. Conversely let  $R(T)$  be nonempty. Take  $\lambda \in R(T)$ . Then  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$  with  $|\lambda| = w_\rho(T)$ . Hence  $w_\rho(T) = |\lambda| \leq r(T) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} w_\alpha(T) \leq w_\rho(T)$ . Hence  $w_\rho(T) = r(T)$  which means that  $T$  is  $\rho$ -oid.

We also have an analogue of theorem 2.3. For that we need to recall the following concept.  $T$  is called an operator of class  $M_\rho$  ( $\rho \geq 1$ ) if  $w_\rho[(T - zI)^{-1}] = \frac{1}{d(z, \sigma(T))}$ ; equivalently if  $(T - zI)^{-1}$  is  $\rho$ -oid for all  $z \notin \sigma(T)$ .

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Let  $T$  be an operator on  $H$  such that  $R(T) = \sigma(T)$  (Assume  $\rho \geq 1$ ) lies on the unit circle. Then  $T$  is unitary if i)  $R(T^{-1}) = (R(T))^{-1}$ , or (ii)  $T$  is an operator of class  $M_\delta$ ,  $\delta \geq 1$ .*

**PROOF.** Clearly  $R(T)$  is nonempty and hence  $T$  is  $\rho$ -oid. (i)  $R(T^{-1}) = [R(T)]^{-1}$  implies that  $T^{-1}$  is  $\rho$ -oid. Now  $w_\rho(T) = r(T) = 1$  and  $w_\rho(T^{-1}) = r(T^{-1}) = 1$  which implies that  $T \in C_\rho$  and  $T^{-1} \in C_\rho$ . Hence  $T$  is unitary in view of the Corollary 4 of [9].

(ii) As  $w_\rho(T) = r(T) = 1$  we have that  $T \in C_\rho$ . Also since  $T \in M_\delta$ ,  $(T - zI)^{-1}$  is  $\delta$ -oid for all  $z \notin \sigma(T)$ . In particular, for  $z = 0$ ,  $T^{-1}$  is  $\delta$ -oid. Hence  $w_\delta(T^{-1}) = r(T^{-1}) = 1$  imply that  $T^{-1} \in C_\delta$ . Hence  $T$  is unitary in view of the Corollary 4 of [9].

Here is an analogue of the result stated in Section 3, the proof of which is straight forward.

**THEOREM 4.3.** *If  $T$  is a scalar multiple of the identity then  $R(T + \lambda I) = R(T) + \lambda$ ,  $\lambda$  scalar.*

Shivaji University  
Kolhapur (Maharashtra)  
India-416004

REFERENCES

- [1] Furuta T. and Nakamoto R., *On the numerical range of an operator*, Proc. Japan Acad. 47 (3), 1971, 279-284.
- [2] Hilderbrandt S., *The closure of the numerical range of an operator as a spectral set*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 17 (1964) 415-421.
- [3] Holbrook J.A.R., *On the power bounded operators of Sz.-Nagy and Foias*, Acta sci. Math. (Szeged) 29 (1968), 299-310, MR 39 # 810.
- [4] B.Sz. Nagy and C.Foias, *Analyse harmonique des operateurs de l'espace de Hilbert*, Masson, Paris, Akad. Kiado, Budapest, 1967, English rev. transl., North Holland, Amsterdam, American Elsevier, New York, Akad. Kiado, Budapest, 1970, MR 37 # 778; 43 # 947.
- [5] Patel S.M., *On generalized numerical ranges*, to appear in Paci. J. Math. 64, .1, 1976.
- [6] Patel S.M. and Gupta B.C., *Operators satisfying certain growth conditions*, Proc. AMS. 53, 2, 1975, 341-346.
- [7] Shah N.C. and Sheth I. H., *The principal points of an operator* (preprint) (to appear).
- [8] Stampfli J.G., *Minimal range theorems for operators with thin spectra*, Paci. Jour. Math. 23(3), 1967, 601-612.
- [9] Stampfli J.G., *A local spectral theory for operators*, Jour. Fun. Ana. 4, (1), 1969, 1-10.