

ON A FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM FOR EXPECTATION

By Kong-Ming Chong

It is a fundamental fact in probability theory that the abstract integral of a random variable with respect to a probability measure can be expressed as a Lebesgue Stieltjes integral on the real line [1, Proposition a, p.166]. This result has an analogue for random vectors which assume values in the real n -dimensional Euclidean space R^n [1, p.169]. In this note, via the notion of equidistributivity, we give a unified approach to these results, showing that these two results, one seemingly more general than the other, are in fact equivalent.

In what follows, inequalities between n -vectors in R^n are defined component-wise.

For any probability space (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) , we denote by $M(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ the collection of all real valued finite random variables on (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) . If $n \geq 1$ is an integer, let $M^n(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ denote the Cartesian product of $M(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ with itself n times. Let $(\Omega', \mathcal{A}', P')$ be another probability space. Two random vectors $\underline{X} \in M^n(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ and $\underline{Y} \in M^n(\Omega', \mathcal{A}', P')$ are said to be *equidistributed* (written $\underline{X} \sim \underline{Y}$) with respect to the probability measures P and P' whenever they have the same joint distribution functions, i. e.,

$$(1) \quad P[\{\omega : \underline{X}(\omega) \leq \underline{x}\}] = P'[\{\omega : \underline{Y}(\omega) \leq \underline{x}\}]$$

for all n -vectors $\underline{x} \in R^n$.

It is well known [1, p. 166 and p.169] that any given random vector $\underline{X} \in M^n(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ induces on its range space a corresponding probability space $(R^n, \mathcal{B}^n, P_{\underline{X}})$, where \mathcal{B}^n denotes the σ -field of all the n -dimensional Borel subsets of R^n , and $P_{\underline{X}} : \mathcal{B}^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ (called the *probability distribution of \underline{X}* [1, p.166]) is the probability measure defined by

$$(2) \quad P_{\underline{X}}[B] = P[\underline{X} \in B]$$

for all $B \in \mathcal{B}^n$.

THEOREM 1. *Two random vectors $\underline{X} \in M^n(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ and $\underline{Y} \in M^n(\Omega', \mathcal{A}', P')$ are equidistributed if and only if*

$$(3) \quad P[\underline{X} \in B] = P'[\underline{Y} \in B]$$

for all n -dimensional Borel subsets $B \subset R^n$, i.e., if and only if they induce the same probability distributions on R^n .

PROOF. Clearly, the condition is sufficient.

Conversely, assume that $\underline{X} \sim \underline{Y}$. Let \mathcal{E} denote the collection of all finite disjoint unions of sets of the form $[\underline{a}, \underline{b}) = \{x \in R^n : \underline{a} \leq x < \underline{b}\}$, where $\underline{a}, \underline{b} \in R^n$, $\underline{a} < \underline{b}$. Then it is easy to see that \mathcal{E} is a field (that is, closed under finite unions and complementation) and that it generates the Borel σ -field \mathcal{B}^n of R^n . Let $P_{\underline{X}}$ and $P_{\underline{Y}}$ be the probability distributions of \underline{X} and \underline{Y} respectively, which are defined as in (2). Since \underline{X} and \underline{Y} are equidistributed, it is not hard to see that $P_{\underline{X}}$ and $P_{\underline{Y}}$ agree on sets of the form $[\underline{a}, \underline{b})$, where $\underline{a}, \underline{b} \in R^n$, $\underline{a} < \underline{b}$, and hence on all the sets in \mathcal{E} , by the additivity property of measures. Thus, by the extension theorem for measures [1, Theorem A, p.87], we conclude that $P_{\underline{X}}$ and $P_{\underline{Y}}$ agree on all the Borel sets in \mathcal{B}^n , i.e., condition (2) holds.

COROLLARY 2. If $\underline{X} \in M^n(\Omega, \mathcal{O}, P)$ and $\underline{Y} \in M^n(\Omega', \mathcal{O}', P')$ are equidistributed, then

$$(4) \quad f(\underline{X}) \sim f(\underline{Y})$$

for all Borel measurable functions $f: R^n \rightarrow R^m$ where m is any natural number not necessarily distinct from n .

PROOF. Let $B \subset R^m$ be an m -dimensional Borel set. Then $f^{-1}(B) \subset R^n$ is an n -dimensional Borel set, and so

$$P[\underline{X} \in f^{-1}(B)] = P'[\underline{Y} \in f^{-1}(B)] \text{ or } P[f(\underline{X}) \in B] = P'[f(\underline{Y}) \in B].$$

Hence $f(\underline{X}) \sim f(\underline{Y})$, by Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 3. Let $\underline{X} \in M^n(\Omega, \mathcal{O}, P)$ be any random variable and let $I: R^n \rightarrow R^n$ be the identity map of R^n . Then

$$(5) \quad \underline{X} \sim I$$

whenever R^n is provided with the probability (distribution) $P_{\underline{X}}$ (of \underline{X}) on its Borel σ -field \mathcal{B}^n .

Thus, if $f: R^n \rightarrow R^m$ is any Borel measurable function, then

$$(6) \quad f(\underline{X}) \sim f$$

with respect to the probability measures P and $P_{\underline{X}}$.

PROOF. The assertion (5) is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1 and the

definition of $P_{\underline{X}}$, i.e., (3) and (2). With (5), the assertion (6) then follows directly from Corollary 2.

THEOREM 4. *If $X \in M(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ and $Y \in M(\Omega', \mathcal{A}', P')$ are random variables which are equidistributed, then $E[X] = E[Y]$ in the sense that both sides may be infinite and that if either side is finite, so is the other and they are equal.*

PROOF. Since it is clear from Corollary 2 that $X \sim Y$ implies both $X^+ \sim Y^+$ and $X^- \sim Y^-$, where $X^+ = X \vee 0$ and $X^- = (-X)^+$, we need only prove the assertion for nonnegative random variables X and Y such that $X \sim Y$.

Suppose $X \geq 0$, $Y \geq 0$ and $X \sim Y$. Then X and Y can be approximated respectively by an increasing sequence $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{Y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of elementary functions defined by

$$X_n = \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{k}{2^n} I_{\left\{ \frac{k}{2^n} < X \leq \frac{k+1}{2^n} \right\}}$$

and similarly for Y_n , $n=1, 2, 3, \dots$. But Theorem 1 implies that $P\left[\frac{k}{2^n} < X \leq \frac{k+1}{2^n}\right] = P'\left[\frac{k}{2^n} < Y \leq \frac{k+1}{2^n}\right]$ for all $k, n=1, 2, 3, \dots$, and so $E[X_n] = E[Y_n]$. By the monotone convergence theorem, we therefore infer that $E[X] = E[Y]$.

COROLLARY 5. *If $X \in M(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ is any given random variable and if $f: R \rightarrow R$ is any Borel measurable function, then*

$$(7) \quad E[f(X)] = \int_R f dP_X = \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(x) dF_X(x)$$

where $F_X: R \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is the distribution function of X , i.e., $F_X(x) = P[X \leq x]$, $x \in R$.

PROOF. The left-hand equality of (7) follows immediately from Corollary 3 and Theorem 4.

The right-hand equality of (7) is a consequence of the fact that P_X is the Lebesgue Stieltjes measure on R generated by F_X [1, p.167].

COROLLARY 6. *If $\underline{X} \in M^n(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ is a random vector and if $f: R^n \rightarrow R$ is any Borel measurable function, then*

$$(8) \quad E[f(\underline{X})] = \int_{R^n} f dP_{\underline{X}} = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \dots \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) dF_{\underline{X}}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n).$$

PROOF. This follows as in Corollary 5 on noting that $P_{\underline{X}}$ is the Lebesgue Stieltjes measure on R^n generated by $F_{\underline{X}}$ [1, p.168].

REMARK. Theorem 4 and Corollary 5 are in fact equivalent, since Corollary 5 can be established on its own as in [1, Proposition a, p.166] and Theorem 4 can then be derived as a particular case. In this way, Corollary 6 can be regarded as a particular case (and hence an equivalent form) of Corollary 5.

University of Malaya,
Kuala Lumpur 22-11,
Malaysia.

REFERENCE

- [1] Loève, M. *Probability Theory, 2nd edition*, Princeton, N.J., D. Van Nostrand Co., (1963).