

On the Homology of Algebras*

By

Joong-Kyu Rim

§ 1. INTRODUCTION

The main purpose of this note is to verify that if we take a left A -module A for algebra A with 1 over a field K and if we define homology module of A with coefficients in A (Definition 4.1), two properties concerning homology module hold (Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.3). In other words, in § 4, we define $H_n(A, A)$ for a A -bimodule A (Definition 4.1) and we show that

- (i) for a K -module L and $n > 0$ $H_n(A, A \otimes_K L \otimes_K A) = 0$
- (ii) for A -bimodules A, B and C if $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$

is a split exact sequence as K -modules, then

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{n+1}(A, C) \rightarrow H_n(A, A) \rightarrow H_n(A, B) \rightarrow H_n(A, C) \rightarrow \cdots$$

is a long exact sequence (Theorem 4.2).

On the other hand, we define resolutions $B(A)$ and $\bar{B}(A)$. In Theorem 4.3, if A is an augmented algebra with augmentation $\epsilon: A \rightarrow K$, then for a right A -module M and a K -module G ,

$$H_n(A, M) \cong H_n(M \otimes_A B(A)), \quad H_n(A, G) \cong H_n(G \otimes_K \bar{B}(A)).$$

§ 2. PRELIMINARIES

Definition 2.1 A relative abelian category is a pair of abelian categories \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} together with a covariant functor $\square: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ which is additive, exact and faithful.

Suppose $\square: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a relative abelian category. A short exact sequence

* This research was supported by the Korean Ministry of education scholarship foundation for 1984.

$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\kappa} B \xrightarrow{\sigma} C \longrightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{A} is said to be *relatively split* (or \square -split) if the short exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow \square A \xrightarrow{\square \kappa} \square B \xrightarrow{\square \sigma} \square C \longrightarrow 0$ is split in \mathcal{B} . We denote the class of \square -split short exact sequences of \mathcal{A} by \mathcal{S} .

A morphism $\alpha: A \longrightarrow B$ of \mathcal{A} with standard factorization $\alpha = \lambda \sigma$ is \square -allowable (or \mathcal{S} -allowable) if $\square \lambda$ has a left inverse and $\square \sigma$ a right inverse in \mathcal{B} .

The complex

$$X: \cdots \longrightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} X_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow X_0 \xrightarrow{\partial_0} X_{-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{-1}} X_{-2} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

is an \mathcal{A} -complex if X_n is an object of \mathcal{A} and ∂_n is a morphism of \mathcal{A} .

Proposition 2.2 Let $\square: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a relative abelian category.

(i) If X is an \mathcal{A} -complex, then $\square H_n(X) = H_n(\square X)$ for $n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$

(ii) For an \mathcal{A} -complex, $\square X$ has a contracting homotopy s in \mathcal{B} iff $H_n(X) = 0$ and

∂_n is \square -allowable for $n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$. When these conditions hold, s can be chosen such that $s^2 = 0$.

Proof. (i): Since \square is exact, (i) holds.

(ii): Suppose that $\square X$ has a contracting homotopy s such that $\square \partial_{n+1} s_n + s_{n-1} \square \partial_n = 1_{\square X_n}$ for each n . It follows that $H_n(\square X) = 0$ for all n . Since the covariant functor \square is faithful, $H_n(\square X) = \square H_n(X) = 0$ implies that $H_n(X) = 0$. Since $\square \partial_{n+1} s_n + s_{n-1} \square \partial_n = 1_{\square X_n}$, the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker } \square \partial_n \longrightarrow \square X_n \xrightarrow{\square \partial_n} \text{Im } \square \partial_n \longrightarrow 0$$

is split. This means that ∂_n is \square -allowable.

Conversely, assume that the sequence $X: \cdots \longrightarrow X_{n+1} \longrightarrow X_n \longrightarrow X_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots$ is exact and all ∂_n are \square -allowable. Since \square is exact

$$\square X: \cdots \longrightarrow \square X_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\square \partial_{n+1}} \square X_n \xrightarrow{\square \partial_n} \square X_{n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots$$

is exact. On the other hand, since all ∂_n is allowable,

$$\square X_n \cong \text{Ker } \square \partial_n \oplus \text{Im } \square \partial_n = \text{Im } \square \partial_{n+1} \oplus \text{Im } \square \partial_n.$$

Hence there is the inclusion map $s'_{n-1}: \text{Im } \square \partial_n \longrightarrow \square X_n$. Since $\square X_{n-1} \cong \text{Im } \square \partial_n \oplus \text{Im } \square \partial_{n-1}$,

we get the extension s_{n-1} of s'_{n-1} . Then, it is easy to know that

$$s_n s_{n-1} = 0, \quad \square \partial_{n+1} s_n + s_{n-1} \square \partial_n = 1_{\square X_n}.$$

Therefore $s = \{s_n\}$ is a contracting homotopy of $\square X$ such that $s^2 = 0$. //

For each morphism $\alpha: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ in \mathcal{A} such that the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } \square \alpha \rightarrow \square A \xrightarrow{\square \alpha} \square B \rightarrow 0$$

is split in \mathcal{B} , and for each morphism $\beta: P \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{A} if there is a morphism $\gamma: P \rightarrow A$ in \mathcal{A} such that $\beta = \alpha\gamma$, then P is called a \square -allowable projective object in \mathcal{A} . A \square -allowable projective object will also be called a *relative projective object* for \square .

Definition 2.3 A *resolvent pair* $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ of categories is a relative abelian category $\square: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ together with

- (i) a covariant functor $F: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$,
- (ii) a natural transformation $e: 1_{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \square F$ such that each morphism $u: M \rightarrow \square A$ in \mathcal{B} has the factorization $u = \square ae_M$ for a unique morphism $\alpha: F(M) \rightarrow A$ of \mathcal{A} , where $1_{\mathcal{B}}$ is the identity functor of \mathcal{B} .

For a resolvent pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ of categories, take an object A of \mathcal{A} and an object M of \mathcal{B} , then we have the canonical isomorphism

$$e^*: \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(FM, A) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(M, \square A)$$

of abelian groups which is defined by $e^*(\alpha) = \square ae_M$. We can also prove that each $F(M)$ is a relative projective object for \square . A *relative free complex* $\varepsilon_X: X \rightarrow A$ over A in \mathcal{A} is an \mathcal{A} -complex

$$X \rightarrow A: \cdots \rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} X_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow X_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} X_0 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_X} A$$

in \mathcal{A} such that for each n ($n=0, 1, 2, \dots$) X_n is of the form $F(M_n)$. An *allowable resolution* $\varepsilon_Y: Y \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{A} is an \mathcal{A} -complex over B such that $\square \varepsilon_Y: \square Y \rightarrow \square B$ has a contracting homotopy s with $s^2 = 0$. Then we can easily prove the following.

Proposition 2.4 If a relative abelian category $\square: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a resolvent pair of categories for two functors F and F' , then there is a unique natural transformation $\eta: F \rightarrow F'$ with $\square \eta e = e'$, where $e: 1_{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \square F$ and $e': 1_{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \square F'$.

§ 3. BAR RESOLUTIONS

Let a relative abelian category $\square: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a resolvent pair of categories. We put $F\square = F_{\square}, (F\square) \cdots (F\square)$ (n -times) $= F_{\square}^n$, and define

$$\beta_n(C) = \beta_n(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}; C) = F_{\square}^n F_{\square} C \text{ for each object } C \text{ of } \mathcal{A} \text{ and } n=0, 1, 2, \dots.$$

Then there is a sequence

$$\square C \xrightarrow{s_{-1}} \square \beta_0(C) \xrightarrow{s_0} \square \beta_1(C) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \square \beta_n(C) \xrightarrow{s_n} \square \beta_{n+1}(C) \longrightarrow \cdots$$

in \mathcal{B} , where $s_{-1} = e_{\square C}$, $s_n = e_{\square \beta_n(C)}$ ($n \geq 0$).

Proposition 3.1 There are unique morphisms

$$\varepsilon: \beta_0(C) \longrightarrow C, \quad \partial_n: \beta_n(C) \longrightarrow \beta_{n-1}(C) \quad (n \geq 1)$$

of \mathcal{A} such that $\beta(C) = \{\beta_n(C) | n=0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ is a relatively free allowable resolution of C with s as its contracting homotopy in \mathcal{B} .

Proof. By the isomorphism $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(F(\square C), C) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(\square C, \square C)$, $\varepsilon: F\square C = \beta_0(C) \longrightarrow C$ corresponds to morphism $1_{\square C}: \square C \longrightarrow \square C$. Hence $\square \varepsilon s_{-1} = 1_{\square C}$, and ε is \square -allowable. $\partial_1: \beta_1(C) \longrightarrow \beta_0(C)$ is uniquely determined by the canonical isomorphism;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta_1(C), \beta_0(C)) & \cong & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(\square \beta_0(C), \square \beta_0(C)) \\ \downarrow \partial_1 & \rightsquigarrow & \downarrow 1 - s_{-1} \\ & & \square \varepsilon \end{array}$$

Then, $\square \partial_1 s_0 = \square \partial_1 e_{\square \beta_0(C)} = 1 - s_{-1} \square \varepsilon$, and $\square \varepsilon \square \partial_1 = \square (\varepsilon \partial_1) = 0$. Since \square is faithful, $\varepsilon \partial_1 = 0$. By induction, $\partial_n: \beta_n(C) \longrightarrow \beta_{n-1}(C)$ ($n \geq 1$) is uniquely determined by the isomorphism as follows;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\beta_n(C), \beta_{n-1}(C)) & \cong & \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(\square \beta_{n-1}(C), \square \beta_{n-1}(C)) \\ \downarrow \partial_n & \rightsquigarrow & \downarrow 1 - s_{n-2} \\ & & \square \partial_{n-1} \end{array}$$

Then, $\square \partial_n s_{n-1} = 1 - s_{n-1} \square \partial_{n-1}$. Hence, by the same way as above we can prove that $\partial_n \partial_{n+1} = 0$ ($n \geq 1$). Furthermore, since $\square \partial_{n+1} s_n \square \partial_{n+1} = \square \partial_{n+1}$, ∂_{n+1} ($n \geq 0$) is \square -allowable. /// (Note that $\beta(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}; C) = \{\beta_n(C)\}$ is called the *un-normalized bar resolution* of C .)

Next, for a resolvent pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ and for each object M of \mathcal{B} , consider the exact sequence

$$M \xrightarrow{e_M} \square FM \xrightarrow{p_M} \bar{F}M = \square FM / e_M(M) \longrightarrow 0$$

in \mathcal{B} . Then it follows that

- (i) $\bar{F}: \beta \longrightarrow \beta$ is a covariant functor,
- (ii) $p: \square F \longrightarrow \bar{F}$ is a natural transformation,
- (iii) if $s_M = e_{\bar{F}M} \cdot p_M$, then s_M is a natural transformation $\square F \longrightarrow \square F \bar{F}$ ([11]).

Then, for each object C of \mathcal{A} , from the exact sequence

$$\bar{F}\square C \longrightarrow F\bar{F}\square C \longrightarrow \bar{F}\bar{F}\square C = \bar{F}^2\square C \longrightarrow 0$$

in \mathcal{B} , we get an object $\bar{F}^2\square C$ of \mathcal{B} . Put

$$B_n(C) = B_n(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}; C) = F\bar{F}^n\square C \text{ and } M_n = \bar{F}^n\square C \text{ (} n=0, 1, 2, \dots \text{)}.$$

Consider the sequene

$$\square C \xrightarrow{s_{-1}} \square B_0(C) \xrightarrow{s_0} \square B_1(C) \xrightarrow{s_1} \dots\dots$$

where $s_{-1} = e_{\square C}: \square C \longrightarrow \square F\square C$ and $s_n = e_{\bar{F}M_n} \cdot p_{M_n}: \square FM_n = \square B_n(C) \longrightarrow \square F\bar{F}M_n = \square B_{n+1}(C)$ ($n \geq 0$). By this construction we easily see that $s_{n+1}s_n = 0$ ($n \geq -1$).

Proposition 3.2 There are unique morphisms

$$\varepsilon: B_0(C) \longrightarrow C, \quad \partial_n: B_n(C) \longrightarrow B_{n-1}(C) \text{ (} n \geq 1 \text{)}$$

such that $B(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}; C) = \{B_n(C)\}$ is an \mathcal{A} -complex and a relatively free allowable resolution of C with s as contracting homotopy in \mathcal{B} such that $s^2 = 0$.

Proof. By the isomorphism $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(B_0(C), C) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(\square C, \square C)$, $\varepsilon: B_0(C) \longrightarrow C$ corresponds to the morphism $1_{\square C}: \square C \longrightarrow \square C$. Hence $\square \varepsilon s_{-1} = 1_{\square C}$. By induction, we construct ∂_n with

$$\square \varepsilon s_{-1} = 1_{\square C}, \quad \square \partial_1 s_0 = 1 - s_{-1} \square \varepsilon, \quad \square \partial_n s_{n-1} = 1 - s_{n-2} \square \partial_{n-1} \text{ (} n > 1 \text{)}$$

for a contracting homotopy s . Given $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_{n-1}$ satisfying the above equality,

$$(1 - s_{n-2} \square \partial_{n-1})s_{n-2} = s_{n-2} - s_{n-2}(1 - s_{n-3} \square \partial_{n-2}) = s_{n-2}s_{n-3} \square \partial_{n-1} = 0;$$

since $s_{n-2} = e_{\bar{F}^{n-1}(\square C)} \cdot \bar{p}_{\bar{F}^{n-2}(\square C)}$ with $\bar{p}_{\bar{F}^{n-2}(\square C)}$ epic, $(1 - s_{n-2} \square \partial_{n-1}) e_{\bar{F}^{n-1}(\square C)} = 0$.

Therefore $1 - s_{n-2} \square \partial_{n-1}$ factors through $\text{Cok } e_{\bar{F}^{n-2}(\square C)} = \bar{p}_{\bar{F}^{n-2}(\square C)}$ as $1 - s_{n-2} \square \partial_{n-1} = \gamma_n \cdot$

$\bar{p}_{\bar{F}^{n-1}(\square C)}$ and γ_n is unique. By the isomorphism

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(F\bar{F}^n(\square C), F\bar{F}^{n-1}(\square C)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(\bar{F}^n(\square C), \square F\bar{F}^{n-1}(\square C))$$

∂_n corresponds to the morphism $\gamma_n: \bar{F}^n(\square C) \longrightarrow \square F\bar{F}^{n-1}(\square C)$.

Then, since $s_{n-1} = e_{\bar{F}^n(\square C)} \cdot \bar{p}_{\bar{F}^{n-1}(\square C)}$ we see that $\square \partial_n s_{n-1} = 1 - s_{n-2} \square \partial_{n-1}$.

It is clear that ε is \square -allowable. Moreover, since $\bar{p}_{\square C}$ is epic and $\square \varepsilon(1 - s_{-1} \square \varepsilon) = 0$, we have $\square \varepsilon \gamma_1: \bar{F}(\square C) \longrightarrow \square C$ which is a zero morphism. Therefore $\varepsilon \partial_1$ corresponds to the zero morphism $\square \varepsilon \gamma_1$ in the isomorphism $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(F\bar{F}(\square C), C) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(\bar{F}(\square C), \square C)$. It follows that $\varepsilon \partial_1 = 0$. By the same way as above we can prove that $\partial_{n-1} \partial_n = 0$ ($n \geq 2$). Since

$$\square \partial_n s_{n-1} \square \partial_n = (1 - s_{n-2} \square \partial_{n-1}) \square \partial_n = \square \partial_n \quad (n \geq 1),$$

∂_n is \square -allowable. ///

Note that $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}; C)$ is called *normalized bar resolution* of C .

Example 3.3 Let K be a field and let A be an algebra with 1 over K . The class ${}_A\mathcal{M}$ of all left A -modules is a category whose morphisms are all left A -module homomorphisms, and the class ${}_K\mathcal{M}_K$ of all K -modules is a category whose morphisms are all K -module homomorphisms. Define

$$\square: {}_A\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow {}_K\mathcal{M}_K$$

as the usual neglect functor. For each a K -module M and $m \in M$ define

$$F(M) = A \otimes_K M, \quad e_M: M \longrightarrow A \otimes_K M (m \longmapsto 1 \otimes m).$$

Then $({}_A\mathcal{M}, {}_K\mathcal{M}_K)$ is a resolvent pair of categories and $F(M)$ is relatively projective in ${}_A\mathcal{M}$.

Proof. At first, we shall prove that for each object A of ${}_A\mathcal{M}$ and object M of ${}_K\mathcal{M}_K$,

$$e_M^*: \text{Hom}_{{}_A\mathcal{M}}(F(M), A) \cong \text{Hom}_{{}_K\mathcal{M}_K}(M, \square A),$$

where for each $\alpha \in \text{Hom}_{{}_A\mathcal{M}}(F(M), A)$, $e_M^*(\alpha) = \square \alpha e_M$. Since α is a left A -module homom-

orphism, for each $\lambda \otimes m \in A \otimes_K M$, $\alpha(\lambda \otimes m) = \alpha(\lambda(1 \otimes m)) = \lambda \alpha(1 \otimes m)$, and thus for two left A -module homomorphisms $\alpha_1, \alpha_2: A \otimes_K M \rightarrow A$ if $\alpha_1 \neq \alpha_2$, then there is at least one element $m \in M$ such that $\alpha_1(1 \otimes m) \neq \alpha_2(1 \otimes m)$. Therefore e_M^* is injective. Take a morphism of ${}_K \mathcal{M}_K$ $f: M \rightarrow \square A$ and define $\tilde{f}: A \otimes_K M \rightarrow A$ by $\tilde{f}(\lambda \otimes m) = \lambda f(m)$ for each $\lambda \otimes m \in A \otimes_K M$. Then for each $\lambda_1 \in A$,

$$\tilde{f}(\lambda_1(\lambda \otimes m)) = \tilde{f}(\lambda_1 \lambda \otimes m) = \lambda_1 \lambda f(m) = \lambda_1 \tilde{f}(\lambda \otimes m)$$

and thus \tilde{f} is a morphism of ${}_A \mathcal{M}$. In this case, it is clear that $\square \tilde{f} e_M = f$. Therefore e_M^* is surjective.

Next, we shall prove that $F(M)$ is relatively projective of ${}_A \mathcal{M}$. Let $\sigma: B \rightarrow C$ be a morphism of ${}_A \mathcal{M}$ such that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } \square \sigma \rightarrow \square B \xrightarrow{\square \sigma} \square C \rightarrow 0$$

is split in ${}_K \mathcal{M}_K$. Then there is a morphism κ of ${}_K \mathcal{M}_K$ such that $\square \sigma \cdot \kappa = 1_{\square C}$. For each morphism $\tau: F(M) \rightarrow C$ in ${}_A \mathcal{M}$, $\kappa \square \tau \cdot e_M: M \rightarrow \square B$. In the isomorphisms $\text{Hom}_{{}_A \mathcal{M}}(F(M), B) \cong \text{Hom}_{{}_K \mathcal{M}_K}(M, \square B)$, let us take $\mu: F(M) \rightarrow B$ corresponds to the morphism $\kappa \square \tau \cdot e_M$. Then we have $\square(\sigma \mu) \cdot e_M = \square \tau \cdot e_M$ and thus $\sigma \mu = \tau$. ///

Since $F_{\square}(C) = F(\square C) = A \otimes_K \square C = A \otimes_K C$ for each object C of ${}_A \mathcal{M}$, the un-normalized bar resolution $\beta({}_A \mathcal{M}, {}_K \mathcal{M}_K; C) = \beta(A, C)$ of C has

$$\beta_n(C) = \beta_n(A, C) = \beta_n({}_A \mathcal{M}, {}_K \mathcal{M}_K; C) = A \otimes_K A^n \otimes_K C,$$

where $A^n = A \otimes_K A \otimes_K \dots \otimes_K A$ (n -times). For any element $\lambda \otimes (\lambda_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_n) \otimes c \in \beta_n(A, C) = \beta_n(C)$, the contracting homotopy $s = \{s_n\}$ is defined as in Proposition 3.1

$$\begin{aligned} s_{-1}(c) &= e_{\square C}(c) = 1 \otimes c \\ s_n(\lambda \otimes (\lambda_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_n) \otimes c) &= 1 \otimes (\lambda \otimes \lambda_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_n) \otimes c \quad (n \geq 0) \dots \dots \dots (I) \end{aligned}$$

We also define left A -module homomorphisms ε and ∂_n ($n \geq 1$) by

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(\lambda \otimes c) &= \lambda c \\ \partial_n(\lambda \otimes (\lambda_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_n) \otimes c) &= \lambda \lambda_1 \otimes (\lambda_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_n) \otimes c \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i \lambda \otimes (\lambda_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \hat{\lambda}_i \otimes \lambda_i \lambda_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_n) \otimes c \\ &+ (-1)^n \lambda \otimes (\lambda_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_{n-1}) \otimes \lambda_n c \dots \dots \dots (II) \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\lambda}_i$ means that λ_i is omitted. Then ϵ and ∂_n are uniquely determined and they satisfy the equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \square \epsilon s_{-1} &= 1_{\square C}, & \square \partial_1 s_0 &= 1 - s_{n-1} \square \epsilon, \\ \square \partial_{n+1} s_n &= 1 - s_{n-1} \square \partial_n (n \geq 1), & \epsilon \partial_1 &= 0 = \partial_n \partial_{n+1} (n \geq 1), \dots \dots \dots \text{(III)} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Proposition 3.1, $\beta(A, C)$ is a relatively free allowable resolution of C with s as its contracting homotopy in ${}_{K}\mathcal{A}_K$ such that $s^2=0$. Next, we want to construct the normalized bar resolution of C . Since A is an algebra with 1 over K , there is the K -module homomorphism $I: K \rightarrow A$ such that $I(1)=1$. Hence we have the exact sequence of K -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{I} A \rightarrow A/I(K) = A/K \rightarrow 0$$

Since C is a K -module by $I: K \rightarrow A$, we have the exact sequence of K -modules:

$$C = K \otimes_K C \xrightarrow{I \otimes 1} A \otimes_K C \rightarrow (A/K) \otimes_K C \rightarrow 0$$

and thus $\bar{F}(\square C) \cong (A/K) \otimes_K \square C = (A/K) \otimes_K C$. Therefore

$$B_n(C) = B_n(A, C) = B_n({}_{K}\mathcal{A}_K; C) = \bar{F}^n \square C = A \otimes_K (A/K)^n \otimes_K C.$$

We shall denote elements of $B_n(A, C)$ by

$$\lambda[\lambda_1 | \dots | \lambda_n]c = \lambda \otimes (\lambda_1 + K) \otimes \dots \otimes (\lambda_n + K) \otimes c$$

In particular, elements of $B_0(A, C)$ are written as $\lambda [\] c$.

As in (I) and (II), $s_n: \square B_n(A, C) \rightarrow \square B_{n+1}(A, C)$ and $\partial_n: B_n(A, C) \rightarrow B_{n-1}(A, C)$ are defined by setting

$$\begin{aligned} s_{-1}(c) &= 1 [\] c, \quad s_n(\lambda[\lambda_1 | \dots | \lambda_n]c) = 1[\lambda | \lambda_1 | \dots | \lambda_n]c, \quad \epsilon(\lambda [\] c) = \lambda c, \\ \partial_n(\lambda[\lambda_1 | \dots | \lambda_n]c) &= \lambda \lambda_1 [\lambda_2 | \dots | \lambda_n]c + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i \lambda [\lambda_1 | \dots | \hat{\lambda}_i | \lambda_i \lambda_{i+1} | \dots | \lambda_n]c \\ &\quad + (-1)^n \lambda [\lambda_1 | \dots | \lambda_{n-1}] \lambda_n c. \end{aligned}$$

Then, these morphisms satisfy (III). Therefore, by Proposition 3.2, $B(A, C) = \{B_n(A, C)\}$ is a relatively free allowable resolution of C with contracting homotopy s in ${}_{K}\mathcal{A}_K$ such that $s^2=0$. Note that $\lambda[1 | \lambda_2 | \dots | \lambda_n]c = 0$ in $B_n(A, C)$.

Theorem 3.4 Two complexes $B(A, C)$ and $\beta(A, C)$ over a left A -module C are chain

equivalent.

Proof. Define $\eta_n: \beta_n(C) \rightarrow B_n(C)$ by $\eta_n(\lambda \otimes (\lambda_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_n) \otimes c) = \lambda[\lambda_1 | \cdots | \lambda_n]c$. Then it is obvious that η_n is a A -module chain transformation lifting $1_C: C \rightarrow C$. Define $\mathcal{E}_n: B_n(C) \rightarrow \beta_n(C)$ as follows:

- (i) $n=0$; $\mathcal{E}_0: A \otimes_K C \rightarrow A \otimes_K C$ by $\lambda[\]c \rightsquigarrow \lambda \otimes c$.
- (ii) By induction, \mathcal{E}_n is defined by $\square \mathcal{E}_n e_n' = s_{n-1} \square \mathcal{E}_{n-1} \square \partial_n' e_n'$,

where $e_n' = e_{\overline{F}(C)}$ and ∂_n' is the boundary of $B(A, C)$. That is, \mathcal{E}_n corresponds to the K -module homomorphism $s_{n-1} \square \mathcal{E}_{n-1} \square \partial_n' e_n': \overline{F}^n \square C \rightarrow \square \beta_n(C)$ by the isomorphism $\text{Hom}_{A\text{-}\mathcal{M}}(B_n(C), \beta_n(C)) \cong \text{Hom}_{K\text{-}\mathcal{M}_K}(\overline{F}^n \square C, \square \beta_n(C))$. Then, it is easy to prove that $\{\mathcal{E}_n\}$ is a A -module chain transformation lifting $1_C: C \rightarrow C$. We want to prove that $\eta \mathcal{E} \simeq 1_{B(C)}$ and $\mathcal{E} \eta \simeq 1_{\beta(C)}$. For each $[\lambda_1 | \cdots | \lambda_n]c \in \overline{F}^n \square C$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \square \eta_n s_{n-1} \square \mathcal{E}_{n-1} \square \partial_n' e_n'([\lambda_1 | \cdots | \lambda_n]c) &= \square \eta_n s_{n-1} \square \mathcal{E}_{n-1}(\lambda_1[\lambda_2 | \cdots | \lambda_n]c \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i 1[\lambda_1 | \cdots | \hat{\lambda}_i | \lambda_i \lambda_{i+1} | \cdots | \lambda_n]c + (-1)^n 1[\lambda_1 | \cdots | \lambda_{n-1}] \lambda_n c) \\ &= \square \eta_n(1 \otimes (\lambda_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_n) \otimes c + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i 1 \otimes (1 \otimes \lambda_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{\lambda}_i \otimes \lambda_i \lambda_{i+1} \\ &\quad \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_n) \otimes c + (-1)^n 1 \otimes (1 \otimes \lambda_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_{n-1}) \otimes \lambda_n c) \\ &= 1[\lambda_1 | \cdots | \lambda_n]c = e_n'([\lambda_1 | \cdots | \lambda_n]c), \end{aligned}$$

we have $\eta_n \mathcal{E}_n = 1_{\beta_n(C)}$ ($n \geq 0$).

Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & \beta_n(C) & \xrightarrow{\partial_n} & \beta_{n-1}(C) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \longrightarrow \beta_1(C) \longrightarrow \beta_0(C) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} C \\ & & & & & & \swarrow t_0 \quad \downarrow 1 - \mathcal{E}_0 \eta_0 \quad \swarrow t_{-1} \quad \downarrow 0 \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & \beta_n(C) & \longrightarrow & \beta_{n-1}(C) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \longrightarrow \beta_1(C) \longrightarrow \beta_0(C) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} C \end{array}$$

where $t_{-1} = 0$. Since $\varepsilon(1 - \mathcal{E}_0 \eta_0 - t_{-1} \varepsilon)(\lambda \otimes c) = \lambda c - \lambda c = 0$, $\text{Im}(1 - \mathcal{E}_0 \eta_0 - t_{-1} \varepsilon) \subset \text{Ker } \varepsilon$. As in example 3.3, since $\beta_n(C)$ ($n \geq 0$) is relatively projective and

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker } \square \partial_1 \longrightarrow \square \beta_1(C) \longrightarrow \text{Ker } \square \varepsilon \longrightarrow 0$$

is split and exact as K -modules, there is a left A -module homomorphism $t_0: \beta_0(C) \rightarrow \beta_1(C)$ such that

$$\partial_1 t_0 = 1 - \mathcal{E}_0 \eta_0 - t_{-1} \varepsilon.$$

By induction we can define a left A -module homomorphism $t_n: \beta_n(C) \rightarrow \beta_{n+1}(C)$ such that

$$\partial_{n+1} t_n + t_{n-1} \partial_n = 1 - \delta_n \eta_n \quad (n \geq 1).$$

This implies that $t: 1 \simeq \delta \eta. // //$

§ 4. HOMOLOGY OF ALGEBRAS

Let K be a field with characteristic $\neq 2$, and let A be an algebra with 1 over K . Two A -bimodules A and B have a bimodule tensor product $A \otimes_{A-A} B$ which is obtained from the tensor product $A \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} B$ by the equations

$$a\lambda \otimes b = a \otimes \lambda b, \quad \lambda a \otimes b = a \otimes b \lambda \quad \dots \dots \dots (IV)$$

for $a \in A$, $b \in B$ and $\lambda \in A$. For a A -bimodule A and a K -module M we have isomorphisms

$$\alpha: A \otimes_{A-A} A \cong A, \quad \theta: A \otimes_{A-A} (A \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} M \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} A) \cong A \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} M \quad \dots \dots \dots (V)$$

by $\alpha(\lambda \otimes a) = \lambda a$ and $\theta(a \otimes (\lambda_1 \otimes m \otimes \lambda_2)) = \lambda_2 a \lambda_1 \otimes m$. The inverses are given by $\alpha^{-1}(a) = 1 \otimes a$ and $\theta^{-1}(a \otimes m) = a \otimes (1 \otimes m \otimes 1)$.

Definition 4.1 Let A be a A -bimodule. The (Hochschild) homology modules of a K -algebra A with coefficients in A are defined via the bar (un-)normalized resolution $B(A, A)$ (or $\beta(A, A)$) to be K -modules

$$H_n(A, A) = H_n(A \otimes_{A-A} B(A, A)) \quad (\cong H_n(A \otimes_{A-A} \beta(A, A))) \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

In particular,

$$A \otimes_{A-A} \beta_n(A, A) = A \otimes_{A-A} (A \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} A^n \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} A) \cong A \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} A^n$$

by (V), and in this case

$$\partial_n: A \otimes_{A-A} \beta_n(A, A) \rightarrow A \otimes_{A-A} \beta_{n-1}(A, A)$$

is defined by $\partial_n: A \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} A^n \rightarrow A \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} A^{n-1}$ such that $\partial_n = d_0 - d_1 + \dots + (-1)^n d_n$, where $d_i: A \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} A^n \rightarrow A \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} A^{n-1}$ is defined by

$$d_i(a \otimes \lambda_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_n) = \begin{cases} a \lambda_1 \otimes \lambda_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_n & \text{if } i = 0 \\ a \otimes \lambda_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_i \otimes \lambda_i \lambda_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_n & \text{if } 1 \leq i < n \\ \lambda_n a \otimes \lambda_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \lambda_{n-1} & \text{if } i = n. \end{cases}$$

Since $\partial_1(a \otimes \lambda) = a\lambda - \lambda a$ for each $a \otimes \lambda \in A \otimes_K A$, we have the isomorphism

$$H_0(A, A) \cong A / \{\lambda a - a\lambda \mid a \in A, \lambda \in A\},$$

which is the quotient of A by the K -submodule generated by all elements $\lambda a - a\lambda$.

Theorem 4 2 Under the above situation we have the following:

- (i) For a K -module L and $n > 0$ $H_n(A, A \otimes_K L \otimes_K A) = 0$,
- (ii) For A -bimodules A, B and C if $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$

is a split exact sequence as K -modules, then there is for each $n > 0$ a connecting homomorphism $E_n: H_n(A, C) \rightarrow H_{n-1}(A, A)$ such that the long sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{n+1}(A, C) \xrightarrow{E_{n+1}} H_n(A, A) \rightarrow H_n(A, B) \rightarrow H_n(A, C) \rightarrow \cdots$$

is exact.

Proof. (i): Note that $\partial_n: (A \otimes_K L \otimes_K A) \otimes_K A^n \rightarrow (A \otimes_K L \otimes_K A) \otimes_K A^{n-1}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_n((\lambda \otimes l \otimes \lambda') \otimes (\lambda_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_n)) &= (\lambda \otimes l \otimes \lambda' \lambda_1) \otimes (\lambda_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_n) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i (\lambda \otimes l \otimes \lambda') \otimes (\lambda_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{\lambda}_i \otimes \lambda_i \lambda_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_n) \\ &+ (-1)^n (\lambda_n \lambda \otimes l \otimes \lambda') \otimes (\lambda_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

As is well-known

$$\nu: (A \otimes_K L \otimes_K A) \otimes_K A^n \cong (A \otimes_K L \otimes_K A) \otimes_K A^n \cdots \cdots \cdots (\mathbb{M})$$

by $\nu((\lambda \otimes l \otimes \lambda') \otimes (\lambda_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_n)) = (\lambda \otimes l \otimes \lambda_1) \otimes (\lambda_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_n \otimes \lambda')$.

Assume that

$$(\lambda \otimes l \otimes \lambda') \otimes (\lambda_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_n) \in \ker \partial_n \subset (A \otimes_K L \otimes_K A) \otimes_K A^n.$$

Take $(\lambda \otimes l \otimes 1) \otimes (\lambda' \otimes \lambda_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_n) \in (A \otimes_K L \otimes_K A) \otimes_K A^{n+1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{n+1}((\lambda \otimes l \otimes 1) \otimes (\lambda' \otimes \lambda_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_n)) &= (\lambda \otimes l \otimes \lambda') \otimes (\lambda_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_n) \\ &- \{(\lambda \otimes l \otimes 1) \otimes (\lambda' \lambda_1 \otimes \lambda_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_n)\} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i (\lambda \otimes l \otimes 1) \otimes (\lambda' \otimes \lambda_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{\lambda}_i \otimes \lambda_i \lambda_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_n) \\ &+ (-1)^n (\lambda_n \lambda \otimes l \otimes 1) \otimes (\lambda' \otimes \lambda_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_{n-1}). \end{aligned}$$

But, by the isomorphism ν , the terms in the above { } corresponds to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \{(\lambda \otimes l \otimes \lambda' \lambda_1) \otimes (\lambda_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_n) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i (\lambda \otimes l \otimes \lambda') \otimes (\lambda_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{\lambda}_i \otimes \lambda_i \lambda_{i+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_n) \\
& \quad + (-1)^n (\lambda_n \lambda \otimes l \otimes \lambda') \otimes (\lambda_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_{n-1})\} \otimes 1 \\
& = \partial_n (\lambda \otimes l \otimes \lambda') \otimes (\lambda_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_n) \otimes 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\partial_n ((\lambda \otimes l \otimes \lambda') \otimes (\lambda_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_n)) = 0$,

$$\partial_{n+1} ((\lambda \otimes l \otimes 1) \otimes (\lambda' \otimes \lambda_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_n)) = (\lambda \otimes l \otimes \lambda') \otimes (\lambda_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \lambda_n).$$

This implies that $\text{Ker } \partial_n = \text{Im } \partial_{n+1}$ ($n \geq 1$). Hence the proof is complete.

(ii) By hypothesis, the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \otimes_K A^n \longrightarrow B \otimes_K A^n \longrightarrow C \otimes_K A^n \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact as K -modules for each $n \geq 0$. Hence there is the desired connecting homomorphism. ///

Let A be an algebra with 1 over a field K . If $\varepsilon: A \rightarrow K$ is an augmented algebra, then a right A -module M is a A -bimodule, written ${}_A M$, by the way such that for $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in A$ and $m \in M$ $\lambda_1 m \lambda_2 = \varepsilon(\lambda_1) m \lambda_2$.

Furthermore, a K -module G is a A -bimodule with $\lambda_1 g \lambda_2 = \varepsilon(\lambda_1) g \varepsilon(\lambda_2)$, written as ${}_A G$. Since ${}_A K$ is a left A -module, we can consider the complex

$$B(A, {}_A K): \cdots \longrightarrow B_n(A, {}_A K) \xrightarrow{\partial_n} B_{n-1}(A, {}_A K) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow B_1(A, {}_A K) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} B_0(A, {}_A K) \longrightarrow 0$$

If we have to recall that $B_n(A, {}_A K) = A \otimes_K (A/K)^n \otimes_K {}_A K \cong A \otimes_K (A/K)^n = B_n(A)$, then we get the complex

$$B(A): \cdots \longrightarrow B_n(A) \xrightarrow{\partial'_n} B_{n-1}(A) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow B_1(A) \xrightarrow{\partial'_1} B_0(A) \longrightarrow 0$$

where ∂'_n is induced from ∂_n such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial'_n (\lambda [\lambda_1 | \cdots | \lambda_n]) &= \lambda \lambda_1 (\lambda_2 | \cdots | \lambda_n) \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^i \lambda [\lambda_1 | \cdots | \hat{\lambda}_i | \lambda_i \lambda_{i+1} | \cdots | \lambda_n] + (-1)^n \lambda [\lambda_1 | \cdots | \lambda_{n-1}] \varepsilon(\lambda_n).
\end{aligned}$$

Since $B(A, {}_A K)$ is a split exact sequence as K -modules, so does $B(A)$.

Under the above situation the *reduced bar resolution* for an augmented algebra A is a complex $\bar{B}(A) = K \otimes_A B(A)$. The boundary $\partial''_n: \bar{B}_n(A) \rightarrow \bar{B}_{n-1}(A)$ is defined by $\partial''_n = 1_K \otimes \partial'_n$. Also we see that $\bar{B}(A)$ is split exact sequence as K -modules and $\partial''_n \partial''_{n+1} = 0$. In this

case, we have to note that

$$\bar{B}_n(A) = K_s \otimes_A (A \otimes_K (A/K)^n) \cong K_s \otimes_K (A/K)^n \cong (A/K)^n$$

$$\bar{B}_0(A) = K_s \otimes_A (A \otimes_K (A/K)^0) \cong K,$$

and thus we shall put $\bar{B}_n(A) = (A/K)^n$ and $\bar{B}_0(A) = K$.

Theorem 4.3 Under the above situation, if M is a right A -module and G is a K -module, then we have the following isomorphisms:

$$H_n(A, M) \cong H_n(M \otimes_A B(A)), \quad H_n(A, G_s) \cong H_n(G_s \otimes_K \bar{B}(A)).$$

Proof. Recall that $H_n(A, M) = H_n(M \otimes_{A-A} B(A, A))$. By (V), we get the following:

$$M \otimes_{A-A} (A \otimes_K (A/K)^n \otimes_K A) \cong M \otimes_K (A/K)^n.$$

Since $M \otimes_A B(A) = M \otimes_A (A \otimes_K (A/K)^n) \cong M \otimes_K (A/K)^n$, we have the isomorphism

$$M \otimes_A B_n(A) \cong M \otimes_{A-A} B_n(A, A) \quad (n=0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

By the definition ∂ and ∂' , the above isomorphism is a chain isomorphism

$$M \otimes_A B(A) \cong M \otimes_{A-A} B(A, A).$$

Hence, we have

$$H_n(A, M) = H_n(M \otimes_{A-A} B(A, A)) \cong H_n(M \otimes_A B(A)).$$

Similarly, by (V) and our definition $\bar{B}_n(A)$, we have

$$G_s \otimes_{A-A} (A \otimes_K (A/K)^n \otimes_K A) \cong G_s \otimes_K (A/K)^n = G_s \otimes_K \bar{B}_n(A).$$

Therefore we get the following isomorphism

$$H_n(A, G_s) = H_n(G_s \otimes_{A-A} B(A, A)) \cong H_n(G_s \otimes_K \bar{B}(A)). //$$

References

1. H. Bass: Finitistic Dimension and a Homological Generalization of semi-primary Rings. *Trans. A.M.S.* **95** pp.466~488 (1960).
2. D. A. Buchsbaum: A Note on Homology in categories. *Ann. of Math.* **69**, pp.66~74, (1959).
3. H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg: Homological Algebra. Princeton, N.J., Princeton University Press (1959).
4. A. Dold: Chern Classes in General Cohomology. *Symposia Mathematica* pp.385~410, (1970).
5. A. Dold and D. Puppe: Homologie nicht-additiven Funktoren. Anwendungen. *Ann. Inst. Fourier* **11**, pp.201~312, (1961).
6. P.S. Green: A Cohomology Theory based upon self-conjugacies of complex vector Bundles. *Bull. A.M.S.* **70**, pp.522~524, (1964).
7. D.K. Harrison: Commutative Algebras and Cohomology. *Trans. A.M.S.* **104**, pp.191~204, (1962).
8. P.J. Hilton: General Cohomology Theory and K-theory. New York, Cambridge University Press (1971).
9. K. Lee: Cosingular Cohomology of Coalgebras. *J. of Math. Nagoya* **47**, pp.199~225, (1972).
10. K. Lee: Singular Cohomology with Coefficients in Sheaves. *Mathematica Japonicae. Vol.19*, No.3, pp.267~274, (1974).
11. S. MacLane: Homology. Springer-Verlag (1963).
12. J. Schmid: Zu den Reduktion-esätzen in the homologi schen Theorie der Gruppen. *Archiv. der Math.* **15**, pp.28~32, (1964).
13. U. Shukla: Cohomologie des algèbre associatives. *Ann. Sci. École norm. Sup.* **78**, pp.163~209, (1961).
14. C.T.C. Wall: On the Cohomology of Certain Groups. *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **57**, pp.731~733, (1961).
15. G.W. Whitehead: Generalized Homology Theories. *Trns. A.M.S.* **102**, pp.227~283, (1962).

Chonnam National University
Kwangju (500), Korea