

On Some Common Fixed Point Theorems in Probabilistic Metric Spaces

by Sang-Tae Ro

Joong-Kyeong Technical Junior College, Daejeon, Korea

Abstract

In this paper we obtain several results on the existence of common fixed points of commuting mappings on PM-spaces and give an application. Our results generalize a multitude of fixed point theorems in PM-spaces.

1. Preliminaries

We first give several definitions and preliminary results for probabilistic metric spaces and its topological properties. For more detailed discussions of probabilistic metric spaces and their properties, we refer to [1~5, 7, 9~10].

Let R denote the real numbers and $R^+ = \{x \in R; x \geq 0\}$.

Definition 1.1. A mapping $F: R \rightarrow R^+$ is called a *distribution function* if it is nondecreasing, left-continuous with $\inf F = 0$ and $\sup F = 1$.

We denote by \mathcal{L} the set of all distribution functions.

Definition 1.2. A *probabilistic metric space* (briefly *PM-space*) is an ordered pair (S, \mathcal{F}) , where S is a set and \mathcal{F} is a function defined on $S \times S$ into \mathcal{L} (we shall denote $\mathcal{F}(p, q)$ by $F_{p,q}$) satisfying

- (i) $F_{p,q}(0) = 0$,
- (ii) $F_{p,q}(x) = 1$ if and only if $p = q$,
- (iii) $F_{p,q}(x) = F_{q,p}(x)$,
- (iv) if $F_{p,q}(x) = 1$ and $F_{q,r}(y) = 1$, then $F_{p,r}(x+y) = 1$.

Definition 1.3. A function $\Delta: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a Δ -norm if it satisfies

- (I) $\Delta(a, 1) = a$, $\Delta(0, 0) = 0$,
- (II) $\Delta(a, b) = \Delta(b, a)$,
- (III) $\Delta(c, d) \geq \Delta(a, b)$ for $c \geq a$, $d \geq b$,
- (IV) $\Delta(\Delta(a, b), c) = \Delta(a, \Delta(b, c))$.

Definition 1.4. A *Menger space* is a triple (S, \mathcal{F}, Δ) , where (S, \mathcal{F}) is a PM-space and Δ -norm satisfies the following triangle inequality:

- (iv') $F_{p,r}(x+y) \geq \Delta(F_{p,q}(x), F_{q,r}(y))$

for all p, q, r in S and for all $x \geq 0$, $y \geq 0$.

Definition 1.5. A sequence of points $\{p_n\}$ in a PM-space *converges to* p if for every $\epsilon > 0$ and

$\lambda > 0$ there exists an integer $M_{\epsilon, \lambda}$ such that $F_{p, p_n}(\epsilon) > 1 - \lambda$ for all $n \geq M_{\epsilon, \lambda}$.

Theorem 1.6 ([9]). *If (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) is a Menger space and Δ is continuous, then the statistical distance function, \mathcal{F} , is a lower semicontinuous function of points, i.e., for every fixed x , if $q_n \rightarrow q$ and $p_n \rightarrow p$, then $\liminf_n F_{p_n, q_n}(x) = F_{p, q}(x)$.*

On the other hand, Sehgal-Bharucha-Reid ([10]) obtained the properties about connection between metric spaces and probabilistic metric spaces.

Theorem 1.7. *If (X, d) is a metric space, then the metric d induces a mapping $\mathcal{F} : X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$, where $\mathcal{F}(p, q)$ is defined by $\mathcal{F}(p, q)x = H(x - d(p, q))$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, where $H(x) = 0$ if $x \leq 0$ and $H(x) = 1$ if $x > 0$. Further, if $\Delta : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is defined by $\Delta(a, b) = \min\{a, b\}$, then (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) is a Menger space. It is complete if the metric d is complete.*

2. The Main Theorems

Now, we are ready to give our main theorems.

Theorem 2.1. *Let (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) be a complete Menger space with continuous Δ -norm: $\Delta(x, x) \geq x$ for each $x \in [0, 1]$ and P, Q, S and T be mappings from X into itself satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) $F_{Px, Qy}(t) \geq \min\{F_{x, Px}(t/k), F_{y, Qy}(t/k), F_{x, Qy}(t/k), F_{y, Sx}(t/k), F_{x, Ty}(t/k), F_{y, Px}(t/k), F_{Px, Sx}(t/k), F_{Qy, Ty}(t/k), F_{Px, Ty}(t/k), F_{Sx, Qy}(t/k), F_{Sx, Ty}(t/k)\}$ for all x, y in X ,
- (2) $ST = TS, PS = SP, PT = TP, QS = SQ$ and $QT = TQ$,
- (3) there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X such that $PTx_{2n} = TSx_{2n+1}, Qx_{2n+1} = TSx_{2n+2}, Tx_{2n} = TSx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n-1} = TSx_{2n-2}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (4) S and T are continuous.

Then P, Q, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. Let $TS = ST = A$. From (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{Ax_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n}}(t) &= F_{PTx_{2n}, Qx_{2n-1}}(t) \geq \min\{F_{Tx_{2n}, PTx_{2n}}(t/k), F_{Sx_{2n-1}, Qx_{2n-1}}(t/k), F_{Tx_{2n}, Qx_{2n-1}}(t/k), \\ &F_{Sx_{2n-1}, STx_{2n}}(t/k), F_{Tx_{2n}, TSx_{2n-1}}(t/k), F_{Sx_{2n-1}, PTx_{2n}}(t/k), F_{PTx_{2n}, STx_{2n}}(t/k), F_{Qx_{2n-1}, TSx_{2n-1}}(t/k), \\ &F_{PTx_{2n}, TSx_{2n-1}}(t/k), F_{STx_{2n}, QTx_{2n}}(t/k), F_{STx_{2n}, TSx_{2n-1}}(t/k)\} = \min\{F_{Ax_{2n-1}, Ax_{2n+1}}(t/k), F_{Ax_{2n-2}, Ax_{2n}}(t/k), \\ &F_{Ax_{2n-1}, Ax_{2n}}(t/k), F_{Ax_{2n-2}, Ax_{2n}}(t/k), F_{Ax_{2n-1}, Ax_{2n-1}}(t/k), F_{Ax_{2n-2}, Ax_{2n+1}}(t/k), F_{Ax_{2n-1}, Ax_{2n}}(t/k), \\ &F_{Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n-1}}(t/k), F_{Ax_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n-1}}(t/k), F_{Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n+1}}(t/k), F_{Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n-1}}(t/k)\}, \end{aligned}$$

which on simplification gives

$$F_{Ax_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n}}(t) \geq F_{Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n-1}}(t/k).$$

Similarly, $F_{Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n-1}}(t) = F_{Ax_{2n-1}, Ax_{2n}}(t) = F_{PTx_{2n-2}, Qx_{2n-1}}(t) \geq \min\{F_{Ax_{2n-3}, Ax_{2n-1}}(t/k), F_{Ax_{2n-2}, Ax_{2n}}(t/k),$

$$F_{Ax_{2n-3}, Ax_{2n}}(t/k), F_{Ax_{2n-2}, Ax_{2n-1}}(t/k), F_{Ax_{2n-1}, Ax_{2n-1}}(t/k), F_{Ax_{2n-2}, Ax_{2n-1}}(t/k), F_{Ax_{2n-1}, Ax_{2n-2}}(t/k),$$

$$F_{Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n-1}}(t/k), F_{Ax_{2n-1}, Ax_{2n-1}}(t/k), F_{Ax_{2n-2}, Ax_{2n}}(t/k), F_{Ax_{2n-2}, Ax_{2n-1}}(t/k)\} = F_{Ax_{2n-1}, Ax_{2n-2}}(t/k).$$

Hence, in general, $F_{Ax_{n+1}, Ax_n}(t), F_{Ax_n, Ax_{n-1}}(t/k), \dots, F_{Ax_1, Ax_0}(t/k^n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Thus $\{Ax_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since X is complete, $\{Ax_n\}$ converges to some point z in X , and $\{PTx_{2n}\}$ and $\{Qx_{2n+1}\}$ being the subsequences of $\{Ax_n\}$ converge to the same point z . Therefore, by the conditions (2) and (4),

$$PTx_{2n_i} = SPTx_{2n_i} \rightarrow Sz, STx_{2n_i} \rightarrow Sz,$$

$$QTx_{2n_{j+1}} = TQx_{2n_{j+1}} \rightarrow Tz, TTx_{2n_{j+1}} \rightarrow Tz,$$

$$TSx_{2n_i} = STx_{2n_i} = STSx_{2n_i} \rightarrow Sz \text{ and } TSx_{2n_j+1} = TTSx_{2n_j} \rightarrow Tz$$

for some subsequences $\{n_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{n_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\{n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Hence it follows from $F_{FTSx_{2n_i}, QTSx_{2n_i+1}}(t) \geq \min\{F_{FTSx_{2n_i}, PTSx_{2n_i}}(t/k), F_{FTSx_{2n_j+1}, QTSx_{2n_j+1}}(t/k), F_{FTSx_{2n_i}, QTSx_{2n_j+1}}(t/k), F_{FTSx_{2n_j+1}, STSx_{2n_i}}(t/k), F_{FTSx_{2n_i}, TTSx_{2n_j+1}}(t/k), F_{FTSx_{2n_j+1}, PTSx_{2n_i}}(t/k), F_{PTSx_{2n_i}, STSx_{2n_i}}(t/k), F_{QTSx_{2n_j+1}, TTSx_{2n_j+1}}(t/k), F_{PTSx_{2n_i}, TTSx_{2n_j+1}}(t/k), F_{STSx_{2n_i}, QTSx_{2n_j+1}}(t/k) F_{STSx_{2n_i}, TTSx_{2n_j+1}}(t/k)\}$ and Theorem 1.6 that $F_{Sx, Tz}(t) \geq \min\{1, 1, F_{Sx, Tz}(t/k), F_{Tx, Sz}(t/k), F_{Sx, Tz}(t/k), F_{Tx, Sz}(t/k), 1, 1, F_{Sx, Tz}(t/k), F_{Sx, Tz}(t/k), F_{Sx, Tz}(t/k)\}$

which means that $Sx = Tz$.

By the same method, we have $Sz = Tz = Pz = Qz$. Furthermore, from

$$F_{PTx_{2n}, Qz}(t) \geq \min\{F_{Tx_{2n}, PTx_{2n}}(t/k), F_{z, Qz}(t/k), F_{Tx_{2n}, Qz}(t/k), F_{z, STx_{2n}}(t/k), F_{Tx_{2n}, Tz}(t/k), F_{z, PTx_{2n}}(t/k), F_{PTx_{2n}, STx_{2n}}(t/k), F_{Qz, Tz}(t/k), F_{PTx_{2n}, Tz}(t/k), F_{STx_{2n}, Qz}(t/k), F_{STx_{2n}, Tz}(t/k)\}$$

it follows that $F_{z, Qz}(t) \geq \min\{1, F_{z, Qz}(t/k), F_{z, Qz}(t/k), F_{z, Qz}(t/k), F_{z, Qz}(t/k), 1, F_{z, Qz}(t/k), 1, F_{z, Qz}(t/k), 1, 1\}$, which means $z = Qz$. Hence $z = Qz = Pz = Tz = Sz$. The uniqueness of the common fixed point z of mappings P, Q, S and T follows easily.

In Theorem 2.1, if P and Q are commuting in stead of the commutativity of S and T , we also obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 2.2. *Let (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) be a complete Menger space with continuous Δ -norm: $\Delta(x, x) \geq x$ for each $x \in [0, 1]$ and P, Q, S and T be mappings from X into itself satisfying the condition (1) and the following conditions:*

- (5) $PQ = QP, PS = SP, PT = TP, QS = SQ$ and $QT = TQ,$
- (6) *there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X such that $SQx_{2n+1} = QPx_{2n}, TPx_{2n+2} = QPx_{2n+1}, Qx_{2n+1} = QPx_{2n}, Px_{2n} = QPx_{2n-1}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*
- (7) *S and T are continuous.*

Then P, Q, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

As immediate consequence of Theorem 2.1, we obtain the following:

Corollary 2.3 ([3]). *Let (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) be a complete Menger space with continuous Δ -norm: $\Delta(x, x) \geq x$ for each $x \in [0, 1]$, and S and T be continuous mappings of X into X . Then S and T have a common fixed point in X if and only if there exists a continuous mapping A of X into $SX \cap TX$ which commutes with S and T satisfies the following two conditions:*

- (8) $F_{A, A}(t) \geq F_{S, T}(t/k)$, for every $t > 0$, where $k \in (0, 1)$,
- (9) *there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X such that*

$$Ax_{2n-2} = Sx_{2n-1}, Ax_{2n-1} = Tx_{2n} \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Indeed, S, T and A then have a unique common fixed point in X .

Theorem 2.4. *Let (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) be a complete Menger space with continuous Δ -norm: $\Delta(x, x) \geq x$ for each $x \in [0, 1]$, and P, Q, S and T be mappings from X into itself satisfying the conditions (2), (4) and the following conditions:*

- (10) *there exist positive integers p, q, s, t and a number $k \in (0, 1)$ such that $F_{P^p, Q^q}(t) \geq$*

$$\min \{F_{x, P^p x}(t/k), F_{y, Q^q y}(t/k), F_{x, Q^q y}(t/k), F_{y, S^s x}(t/k), F_{x, T^i y}(t/k), F_{y, P^p x}(t/k), F_{P^p x, S^s x}(t/k), \\ F_{Q^q y, T^i y}(t/k), F_{P^p x, T^i y}(t/k), F_{S^s x, Q^q y}(t/k), F_{S^s x, T^i y}(t/k)\}$$

for all x, y in X ,

- (11) there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X such that $P^p T^i x_n = T^i S^s x_{n+1}$, $Q^q S^s x_{n+1} = T^i S^s x_{n+2}$, $S^s x_{n+1} = T^i S^s x_{n+2}$, $T^i x_n = T^i S^s x_{n+1}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then P, Q, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Proof. Since P commutes with each of S and T , P^p also commutes with each of S^s and T^i . Similarly Q^q commutes with each of S^s and T^i , and S^s commutes with T^i . Thus Theorem 2.1 pertains to P^p, Q^q, S^s and T^i , so there exists a unique point u in X such that $u = P^p u = Q^q u = S^s u = T^i u$. From this $Tu = P^p(Tu) = Q^q(Tu) = S^s(Tu) = T^i(Tu)$. Therefore Tu is a common fixed point of P^p, Q^q, S^s and T^i . Similarly, Su is a common fixed point of P^p, Q^q, S^s and T^i , also $Pu = P^p(Pu) = S^s(Pu) = T^i(Pu)$, so Pu is a common fixed point of P^p, S^s and T^i . Similarly, Qu is a common fixed point of Q^q, S^s and T^i . Thus from (10), we obtain

$$F_{Pu, Qu}(t) \geq F_{Pu, Qu}(t/k).$$

So $Pu = Qu$, Su and Tu are the common fixed points of P^p, Q^q, S^s and T^i . Hence by the uniqueness of u ,

$$u = Pu = Qu = Su = Tu.$$

Now, we give common fixed point theorems for families of mappings.

Theorem 2.5. Let (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) be a complete Menger space with continuous Δ -norm: $\Delta(x, x) \geq x$ for each $x \in [0, 1]$. If $\{P_i\}$, $\{Q_i\}$, $\{S_i\}$ and $\{T_i\}$ ($i=1, 2, \dots, n$) are the families of mappings of S into itself such that the compositions $P_1 P_2 \dots P_n$, $Q_1 Q_2 \dots Q_n$, $S_1 S_2 \dots S_n$ and $T_1 T_2 \dots T_n$ are satisfying the conditions (1), (2), (3) and (4), and $P_i P_j = P_j P_i$, $Q_i Q_j = Q_j Q_i$, $S_i S_j = S_j S_i$ and $T_i T_j = T_j T_i$, then $\{P_i\}$, $\{Q_i\}$, $\{S_i\}$ and $\{T_i\}$ have a unique common fixed point z in X .

Proof. Let $P = P_1 P_2 \dots P_n$, $Q = Q_1 Q_2 \dots Q_n$, $S = S_1 S_2 \dots S_n$ and $T = T_1 T_2 \dots T_n$. Then the theorem can be proved in a way similar to the Theorem 2.2 of [8].

Remark. We will obtain a common fixed point theorem for the families of mappings as the Theorem 2.5 of [8].

Now, we give an extension of Theorem 2.1 to a non-complete Menger space.

Theorem 2.6. Let (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) be a Menger space with continuous Δ -norm: $\Delta(x, x) \geq x$ for each $x \in [0, 1]$, and P, Q, S and T be mappings from X into itself satisfying the conditions (1), (2), (3) and the following conditions:

- (12) the sequence $\{TSx_n\}$ has subsequences converging to a point z in X ,
 (13) S and T are continuous at z .

Then z is the unique common fixed point of P, Q, S and T .

Proof. The proof of Theorem 2.6 is contained in the process of the proof of Theorem 2.1.

As an application of Theorem 2.1, we establish the following theorem:

Theorem 2.7. Let (X, \mathcal{F}, Δ) be a complete Menger space with continuous Δ -norm: $\Delta(x, x) \geq x$ for

each $x \in [0, 1]$, and P, Q, S and T be mappings from the product space $X \times X$ into X satisfying the conditions (2) and (4), and the following conditions:

(a) $F_{P(x,y),Q(x',y')}(t) \geq \min \{F_{(x,y),P(x,y)}(t/k), F_{(x',y'),Q(x',y')}(t/k), F_{(x,y),Q(x',y')}(t/k), F_{(x',y'),S(x,y)}(t/k), F_{(x,y),T(x',y')}(t/k), F_{(x',y'),P(x,y)}(t/k), F_{P(x,y),S(x,y)}(t/k), F_{Q(x',y'),T(x',y')}(t/k), F_{P(x,y),T(x',y')}(t/k), F_{S(x,y),Q(x',y')}(t/k), F_{S(x,y),T(x',y')}(t/k)\}$ for all x, y, x', y' in X and $k \in (0, 1)$.

(b) there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X such that $P(T(x_{2n}, y), y) = T(S(x_{2n+1}, y), y)$, $QS(x_{2n+1}, y), y) = T(S(x_{2n+2}, y), y)$, $S(x_{2n-1}, y) = T(S(x_{2n-2}, y), y)$, $T(x_{2n}, y) = T(S(x_{2n-1}, y), y)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists a unique point a in X such that $a = P(a, y) = Q(a, y) = S(a, y) = T(a, y)$ for all y in X .

References

1. Cain, Jr., G.L. and R.H. Kasriel, Fixed and periodic points of local contraction mappings on probabilistic metric spaces, *Math. systems theory*, 9(1976), 189-197.
2. Ciric, Lj. B., On fixed points of generalized contractions on probabilistic metric spaces, *Publ. Inst. (Beograd) (N.S.)*, 18(32, 1975), 71-78.
3. Hadzic, O., On common fixed point in probabilistic metric spaces, *Math. Sem. Notes*, Kobe Univ., 10(1982), 31-39.
4. Istratescu, V., *Fixed point theory*, D. Reidel publishing company, 1981, 325-343.
5. Istratescu, V. and I. Sacuiu, Fixed point theorems for contraction mappings on probabilistic metric spaces, *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures et Appl.*, XVIII, 9(1973), 1375-1381.
6. Jungck, G., Commuting mappings and fixed points, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 83(1976), 261-263.
7. Menger, K., Statistical metrics, *Proc. Nat. Acad. of Sci. U.S.A.*, 28(1942), 535-537.
8. Park, K.S. and N.Z. Woo, On fixed point theorems and convergence theorems in probabilistic metric spaces, *J. of Gyeongsang Nat. Univ.*, 23(1, 1984), 17-21.
9. Schweizer, B. and A. Sklar, Statistical metric spaces, *Pacific J. Math.*, 10(1960), 313-334.
10. Sehgal, V.M. and A.T. Bharucha-Reid, Fixed points of contraction mappings on probabilistic metric spaces, *Math. systems theory*, 6(1972), 97-102.
11. Singh, S.L. and B.D. Part, Fixed point theorems for commuting mappings in probabilistic metric spaces, *Honam Math. J.*, V5(1, 1983), 139-149.