sion between the relatively large electronic charge and bond pairs at the $\beta$-carbon. The MNDO results are consistent with the TS where $\mathrm{C}_{a}-\mathrm{O}$ bond polarization is the rate-determining step, which follows the pre-equilibrium involving the acidic hydrogen shift towards the carbonyl oxygen.
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# A Nonlinear Theory of Diffusion-Driven Instability in the Oregonator 
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A nonlinear theory presented previously is applied to the Oregonator, which is a model for the Belousov-Zhabotinskii reaction, to study instability near the critical point driven by diffusions. The result shows that the theory may be applied to an actual system.

## Introduction

Theoretical and experimental studies of instability in chemically reacting systems date back at least to the early part of this century. ${ }^{1-2}$ Lotka ${ }^{1}$ proposed in 1920 a simple model of autocatalytic chemical reactions which shows sustained oscillations in the concentration of the autocatalytic species when open to a flow of matter through the system. Bray ${ }^{2}$ discovered this kind of sustained oscillation in an inorganic redox reaction. In 1952 Turing ${ }^{3}$ showed that stable spatial patterns can be obtained when chemical reactions are coupled to the process of diffusion. Thus, he laid the foundation for a biochemical theory of morphogenesis. Much attention has been given to that subject in the past 20 years since the dramatic phenomena in the Belousov-Zhabotinskii (B-Z) reaction sparked the interest of experimentalists and
theoreticians in chemistry and other fields. ${ }^{4.7}$
Recently Lee and his coworkers ${ }^{8}$ have proposed a nonlinear theory for the fluctuations of intermediates in the Brusselator near the critical point caused by diffusion. The method used ${ }^{912}$ is the two time scaling method which is one of the most widely used methods. In the conventional two time scaling method the whole range of time is divided into three regions of time. The initial region of time is the range of time where the linear approximation is valid. The second region is the region in which the nonlinear effect becomes important and the system approaches a steady state (or equilibrium state). The two time scaling method used ${ }^{8}$ is different from the conventional method in the sense that a slight nonlinear effect is included in the initial time region where the linear approximation is valid. Their nonlinear theory shows that fluctuations close to the critical point approach
the value of a stable steady state or deviate infinitely from an unstable steady state, as time goes to infinity, while the linear theory gives approximately time-independent fluctua. tions.

The purpose of the present paper is to investigate that the nonlinear theory ${ }^{8}$ is applicable to the actual reacting system with the aid of the Oregonator. ${ }^{6.7}$ We first discuss the linear case for the Oregonator far from the steady state. Then, the nonlinear case is discussed with the aid of the two time scaling method.

## Theory

The overall $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Z}$ reaction is ${ }^{4.7}$

$$
\begin{gather*}
2 \mathrm{BrO}_{3}^{-}+3 \mathrm{CH}_{2}(\mathrm{COOH})_{2}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+} \longrightarrow \\
2 \mathrm{BrCH}(\mathrm{COOH})_{2}+3 \mathrm{CO}_{2}+4 \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O} . \tag{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Field, Körös and Noyes proposed a complex system of 10 chemical reactions with 7 intermediates as a model for the B-Z reaction. From this system Field and Noyes ${ }^{7}$ extracted a simpler model that appears to retain the most important features of the Field-Köros-Noyes (FKN) mechanism. Their simplification, called the Oregonator, is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& A+Y \xrightarrow{k_{1}} X+P \\
& X+Y \xrightarrow{k_{2}} 2 P \\
& A+X \xrightarrow{k_{3}} 2 X+2 Z,  \tag{2}\\
& 2 X \xrightarrow{k_{4}} P+A \\
& Z \xrightarrow{k_{5}} f Y
\end{align*}
$$

where $A$ is the reactant, $P$ is the product and $X, Y$, and $Z$ are the concentrations of the intermediates $\mathrm{HBrO}_{2}, \mathrm{Br}^{-}$and $\mathrm{Ce}(\mathrm{IV})$, respectively, f is a stoichiometric factor. Taking $[\mathrm{A}] \cong 0.06 \mathrm{M}$, the rate constants are given as ${ }^{7}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{1} \cong 2.1 M^{-1} S^{-1}, \quad k_{2} \simeq 2 \times 10^{9} M^{-1} S^{-1}, \quad k_{1} \simeq 10^{4} M^{-1} S^{-1} \\
& k_{4}=4 \times 10^{9} M^{-1} S^{-1}, k_{5} \simeq 0.4\left(\mathrm{BrCH}(\mathrm{COOH})_{2}\right] S^{-1} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the concentration of the reactant is held constant, the stoichiometric factor $f$ and the rate constant $k_{5}$ are controllable variables. The behavior of the Oregonator depends critically on the values of $f$ and $k_{5}{ }^{7}$

Including the diffusions of the intermediates, the rate equations are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t} X=D_{x} \nabla^{t} X+k_{1} A Y-k_{2} X Y+k_{2} A X-2 k_{t} X^{2} \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t} Y=D_{y} \nabla^{2} Y-k_{1} A Y-k_{2} X Y+f k_{s} Z,  \tag{4}\\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial t} Z=D_{z} \nabla^{2} Z+2 k_{2} A Y-k_{s} Z
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{D}_{x^{\prime}} \mathrm{D}_{y}$, and $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ are the diffusion coefficients of the intermediates $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$, and $Z$, respectively. In order to simplify above differential equations, let us introduce the following transformation for the variables

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=\frac{k_{2}}{k_{1} A} X \cong 1.6 \times 10^{10}\left[\mathrm{HBrO}_{2}\right], y=\frac{k_{2}}{k_{3} A} \mathrm{Y}=3.3 \times 10^{6}\left[\mathrm{Br}^{-}\right] \\
& z=\frac{k_{2} k_{3}}{2 k_{1} k_{3} A^{2}} Z=1.3 \times 10^{7}[\mathrm{Ce}(\mathrm{~N})], \xi=\frac{k_{1}}{k_{3}}=2 \times 10^{-4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau=\left(k_{1} A\right) t=0.13 t \\
& p=\frac{k_{1} A}{k_{5}} \cong \frac{0.126}{k_{5}}, q=\frac{2 k_{1} k_{4}}{k_{2} k_{3}} \cong 8.4 \times 10^{-6},  \tag{5}\\
& \nabla^{\prime}=\frac{D_{z}}{k_{s}} \nabla^{2} \cdot \eta^{2}=\frac{D_{y} k_{5}}{D_{z} k_{1} A} \cong 8.9 \frac{D_{y}}{D_{z}} k_{5} . \\
& \eta^{\prime z}=\frac{D_{x}}{D_{z}} \frac{k_{3}}{k_{3} A} \cong 1.7 \times 10^{-3} \frac{D_{x}}{D_{z}} k_{s} .
\end{align*}
$$

With the aid of the scaled variables, the rate equations are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} x=\eta^{\prime 2} \nabla^{\prime t} x+y-x y+x-2 q x^{2}, \\
& \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} y=\eta^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2} y-y-x y+2 f z  \tag{6}\\
& p \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} z=\nabla^{\prime} z+x-z
\end{align*}
$$

The value of $\xi$ is very small and so is $\eta^{\prime 2}$ since the magnitude of $\mathrm{k}_{5}$ is less than unity. ${ }^{7}$ Therefore, eq. (6) can be reduced to the following system involving only two intermediates, that is,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} y=\eta^{2} \nabla^{\prime 2} y-y-g(y) y+2 f z \\
& p \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} z=\nabla^{\prime 2} z+g(y)-z \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
x=g(y)=\left\{1-y+\left[(y-1)^{2}+8 q y\right]^{1 / 2}\right\} / 4 q
$$

From now on we shall omit the superscript prime in the Laplacian operator. Let $\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{0}$, and $z_{0}$ be the values of the homogeneous steady state. Expansion in terms of $u_{1}=y-y_{0}$ and $u_{2}=z-z_{j}$ leads to

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} u_{1}=\left(\eta^{2} \nabla^{2}-1-g-g_{0} g^{\prime}\right) u_{1}+2 f u_{1}-\left(g^{\prime}+y_{0} g^{\prime \prime}\right) u_{1}^{2} \\
&-\left(g^{\prime \prime}+y_{0} g^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) u_{1}^{3}+\cdots \\
& p \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} u_{1}= g^{\prime} u_{1}+\left(\nabla^{2}-1\right) u_{2}+g^{\prime \prime} u_{1}^{2}+g^{2 n} u_{1}^{2}+\cdots  \tag{8}\\
& g^{(n)}=\left.\frac{1}{n!} \frac{d^{n}}{d y^{n}} g\right|_{y=g_{0}} .
\end{align*}
$$

At first let us consider the linear case of eq. (8).

## (A) Linear case

Neglecting the nonlinear terms in eq. (8), the linear equation is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} u=M u \\
& u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)^{\tau}, M=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\eta^{2} \nabla^{2}-1-g-y_{4} g^{\prime}, 2 f \\
g^{\prime} / p & ,\left(\nabla^{2}-1\right) / p
\end{array}\right] \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

The linear stability conditions for the homogeneous case are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{det} M=1+g+y_{0} g^{\prime}-2 f g^{\prime}>0  \tag{10}\\
& \operatorname{tr} M=-\left(1+g+y_{0} g^{\prime}+p^{-3}\right)<0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Figures 1 and 2 show the regions of stability and instability between $\mathrm{y}_{0}$ and f , and $\mathrm{y}_{\theta}$ and p , respectively. As shown in Figure 1, there are the stable and unstable regions in the case that $y_{t}$ is between about 0.6 and 1.6 , depending on $f$.


Figure 1. The diagram of linear stability between $\mathrm{y}_{o}$ and f .


Figure 2. The linear stability diagram between $\mathrm{y}_{o}$ and p .
When $\mathrm{y}_{o}$ is less than $1.0, \mathrm{p}$ should be extremely small so that the system is stable. This case is physically unrealistic. In fact, Field and Noyes ${ }^{7}$ estimated that $\mathrm{k}_{5} \approx 1$, i.e., $\mathrm{p} \approx 0.126$. Taking $p \cong 0.126$, the system is stable, if $1.4 \leq y_{0} \leq 1.7$. Let us assume that the system is somewhere in the stable region. Then, when the system becomes inhomogeneous, the intermediates are diffused. The diffusions will drive the system to a new state, whether it is stable or unstable. Since it is more interesting to study the transition from the stable state to the unstable one, we shall consider the effect of diffusions on suc̣h transition.

To discuss the diffusion-driven instability linearly, let us take one dimensional diffusion for simplicity, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, \tau)=u(\tau) \cos k x \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we have

$$
\frac{d}{d \tau} u(\tau)=M_{n, k^{u}} u(\tau) ; M_{\eta, k}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-\left(\eta^{2} k^{2}+1+g+y_{0} g^{\prime}\right), & 2 f  \tag{12}\\
g^{\prime} / p & ,\left(1+k^{2}\right) / p
\end{array}\right]
$$



Figure 3. The relation between $\mathrm{y}_{\theta}$ and $\mathrm{k}_{c}{ }^{2}$.
If det $M_{n, k}$ is positive, the system is still stable. When $M_{n, k}<$ 0 , the diffusions drive the system to an unstable state. The variables $\eta^{2}$ and $k^{2}$ at the critical point are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta_{c}^{2}=\frac{\left[2 f g^{\prime}\left(2 f g^{\prime}-1-g-y_{0} g^{\prime}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}}{k_{c}^{2}\left(1+k_{c}^{7}\right)}  \tag{13}\\
& k_{c}^{2}=\frac{2 f g^{\prime}-1-g-y_{\bullet} g^{\prime}-\left[2 f g^{\prime}\left(2 f g^{\prime}-1-g-y_{0} g^{\prime}\right)\right]^{1 / 3}}{1+g+y_{0} g^{\prime}}
\end{align*}
$$

The value of $\mathrm{k}_{c}{ }^{2}$ is only positive for $0.5 \leq f$ when $0.6 \leq \mathrm{y}_{o} \leq 1.7$, as shown in Figure 3, while $\eta_{c}^{2}$ is positive in any value of $\mathrm{y}_{\sigma}$. This means that the transition can occur only in that region.

In order to analyze the dynamic behavior of the system we have to obtain the eigenvalues of eq. (12). When one of the eigenvalues is positive, the fluctuations become divergent, as time goes to infinity. The system, however, approaches the stable state, if the eigenvalues are negative. Let us consider the dynamic behavior of eq.(12) extremely close to the critical point. The eigenvalues of the matrix and their corresponding eigenvectors near the critical point are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{1}=-\frac{\left[\left(1+\mathrm{k}_{e}^{2}\right)^{2}+2 \mathrm{pfg}^{\prime}\right]}{\mathrm{p}\left(1+\mathrm{k}_{c}^{2}\right)}+\mathrm{O}\left(\eta-\eta_{c}\right) . \\
& \lambda_{2}=-\frac{2 \eta_{c} k_{c}^{2}\left(1+k_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(1+k_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}+2 p f g^{\prime}}\left(\eta-\eta_{c}\right)+0\left(\eta-\eta_{c}\right)^{2}, \\
& \Psi^{\prime}=\left(\Psi_{1}^{\prime}, \Psi_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{r}=\left(-\frac{2 p f}{1+k_{c}^{2}}, 1\right)^{\boldsymbol{r}} .  \tag{14}\\
& \mathbf{\Psi}^{2}=\left(\mathbf{Y}_{1}^{2}, \mathbf{\Psi}_{2}^{2}\right)^{\top}=\left(\frac{1+k_{c}^{2}}{g^{\prime}}, 1\right)^{\top} \text {. } \\
& \bar{F}^{\mathbf{1}}=\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{T}}_{1}^{1}, \bar{\Psi}_{z}^{1}\right)=\frac{\left(1+k_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(1+k_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}+2 p f g^{\prime}}\left(-\frac{g^{\prime}}{1+k_{c}^{2}}, 1\right), \\
& \bar{F}^{2}=\left(\bar{\Psi}_{1}^{2}, \bar{\Psi}_{z}^{2}\right)=\frac{2 p f g^{\prime}}{\left(1+k_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}+2 p f g^{\prime}}\left(\frac{1+k_{c}^{2}}{2 p f}, 1\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Psi^{a}$ and $\bar{\Psi}^{a}$ are the right and left eigenvecturs corresponding to $\lambda_{a}$. respectively. It can be easily checked that the eigenvectors satisfy the orthonormal conditions. ${ }^{8}$ The eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ is negative in the region that $1.4 \leq y_{0}{ }^{i} \leq 1.6$, where the theory ${ }^{8}$ holds. In that region, very close to the critical point, the solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, \tau)=A \Psi^{2} \cos k_{c} x \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The above result shows that fluctuations are timeindependent near the critical point, which does not describe the actual phenomena properly. Thus, in the next section we shall consider the nonlinear equation given in eq. (8).

## (B) Nonlinear case

We shall use the two time scaling method already discussed ${ }^{8}$ to investigate nonlinear stability for the Oregonator. The scaled times and vectors are ${ }^{8}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau_{n}=\varepsilon^{2 n} t, \quad m=0,1,  \tag{16}\\
& u_{j}=\sum_{i} \varepsilon i_{1}, i, j=1,2,
\end{align*}
$$

Where $\tau_{0}$ is the region of time where not only the linear approximation is valid but also a slight nonlinear effect is included and $\tau$, is the region of time in which the nonlinear effect becomes important. The parameter $\varepsilon$ has been defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{2}=\left|\eta-\eta_{c}\right| \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming that the fluctuations depend on $\tau$, and $\tau$, through the amplitude of fluctuations $A$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u\left(x, \tau_{0}, \tau_{1}\right)=A\left(\tau_{0}, \tau_{1}\right) v^{2} \cos k_{c} x \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

the following equations in the initial region of time, $r_{0}$ are obtained
$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_{n}}\left(u_{11}, u_{12}\right)^{\tau}-M_{n_{c}, k_{c}}\left(u_{11}, u_{12}\right)^{\tau}$,
$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_{g}}\left(u_{21}, u_{22}\right)^{\top}=M_{\eta_{c}, k_{c}}\left(u_{21}, u_{22}\right)^{r}-u_{11}^{2}\left(g^{\prime}+y_{0} g^{\prime \prime},-g^{\prime \prime} / p\right)^{T}$.
Eq. (19a) is just the linear equation already discussed. Thus, A is approximately independent of $\tau_{0}$ near the critical point. Following the procedure given in ref. 8 , we have the asymptotic solution in the initial region of time as follows
$\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)^{\top} \cong A \varepsilon \Psi^{2} \cos k_{c} x-\frac{1}{2} A^{2} \varepsilon^{2}\left(\Psi_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}\left(\phi^{\prime}+\phi^{2} \cos 2 k_{c} x\right)$,
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi^{\prime}=\left(\phi_{1}^{\prime} . \phi_{2}^{2}\right)^{\mathrm{r}} & =\frac{1}{H}\left(g^{\prime}+y_{0} g^{\prime \prime}-2 f g^{\prime \prime},-g^{\prime \prime}\left(1+g+y_{0} g^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+g^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime}+y_{0} g^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)^{r}, \\
\phi^{2}= & \frac{1}{R}\left(\left(1+4 k_{c}^{2}\right)\left(g^{\prime}+y_{0} g^{\prime \prime}\right)-2 f g^{\prime \prime},\right. \\
& \left.-g^{\prime \prime}\left(4 \eta_{c}^{2} k_{c}^{2}+1+g+y_{0} g^{\prime}\right)+g^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime}+y_{\Delta} g^{\prime \prime}\right)\right)^{\mathrm{T}} . \\
H & =1+g+y_{\bullet} g^{\prime}-2 f g^{\prime} \\
R= & \left(4 \eta_{c}^{2} k_{c}^{2}+1+g+y_{\bullet} g^{\prime}\right)\left(1+4 k_{c}^{2}\right)-2 f g^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using eq. (17), $\lambda_{2}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{2}=-\gamma \varepsilon^{2} ; \gamma=\frac{2 \eta_{c}^{k_{c}^{2}}\left(1+k_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}}{\left(1+k_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}+2 p f g^{\prime}} . \quad \operatorname{sign} \quad \gamma=\operatorname{sign}\left(\eta-\eta_{c}\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 4. The dependence of $A\left(\tau_{1}\right)$ on time $\tau_{1}$.
Substituting eqs. (20) and (22) into eq. (8), taking terms up to the third order in $\varepsilon$ and using the orthonormalization condition given in eq.(2.13) of ref. 8, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d}{d \tau_{1}} A=-\gamma A+\delta A^{3} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\delta= & \left.\frac{\left(1+k_{c}^{2}\right)^{3}}{g^{\prime 2}\left(\left(1+k_{c}^{2}\right)^{2}\right.}+4 p f g^{\prime}\right]
\end{array}\left(\left(1+k_{c}^{2}\right)\left(g^{\prime}+y_{\bullet} g^{\prime \prime}\right)-2 f g^{\prime \prime}\right)\right] .
$$

$\delta$ is positive for $\mathrm{f} \geq 0.5$ in the region of $1.4 \leq \mathrm{y}_{0} \leq 1.6$. When $\eta$ is larger than $\eta_{c}, \mathrm{~A}=\mathrm{O}$ is stable and $\pm(\mathrm{r} / \delta)^{1 / 2}$ are unstable. The amplitude becomes zero as $\tau_{1} \rightarrow \alpha$, if the initial state is between $-(\mathrm{r} / \delta)^{1 / 2}$ and $(\mathrm{r} / \delta)^{1 / 2}$. Otherwise, A becomes divergent. In the case that $\eta<\eta$, there is only a state which is unstable, that is, $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{O}$. Thus, A diverges as $r_{7} \rightarrow \infty$. The dependence of the amplitude of fluctuations on $\tau$; is shown in Figure 4.

## Conclusion and Discussion

We have applied the nonlinear theory ${ }^{8}$ to the reduced Oregonator, which is a model for the B-Z reaction. The result shows that the theory may be applied to an actual system, if there are available data. It should be mentioned that in the result the region of $\mathrm{y}_{0}, 1.4 \leqq \mathrm{y}_{0} \lesssim 1.6$, where the theory is valid, is approximate, since the data used have been roughly estimated. ${ }^{7}$ There are, however, some other points to be pointed out.
(1) Since there are some problems in the data for the Oregonator, that is, $q$ is too small and $k_{5}$ is too large, the present result should be compared with other models. ${ }^{13}$
(2) We have reduced the original Oregonator to the second order system. Thus, it is necessary to extend the theory to the third order system to study the diffusion-driven instability more precisely.
(3) Finally, in eq. (23) there are cases that the amplitude of
fluctuations is divergent, since we have considered the nonlinear terms up to the third order in $\varepsilon$. The higher order terms should be included, if necessary.
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#### Abstract

Various useful relations involving Hammett's and Bronsted's coefficients are derived for cross interactions between identical groups: $\rho_{11}=\rho^{N}+\rho^{i}, \rho^{L}-\rho^{N}=1 . \beta_{11}=\beta_{N}+\beta_{L}$ and $\beta_{N}-\beta_{i}=1$. The use of these retations enable us to correctly interprete the transition state structure. Another advantage of the use of these relations is to use $\rho / \rho_{p}$ for the determination of corresponding $\beta$ values instead of plotting log $\mathrm{k} v s \mathrm{pK}_{i g}$, once $\rho_{\ell}$ values for standardizing equilibria are obtained.


Cross interaction between two substituents has become a useful concept in the study of reaction mechanisms. ${ }^{1}$ Multipie linear regression analysis ${ }^{2}$ involving experimental rate constants $\mathbf{k}_{X Z}$ together with $\sigma_{X}$ and $\sigma_{Z}$ values provides us with the cross interaction constant $\rho_{X Z}$ for the interaction between two substituents X and Z in accordance with eq.(1) ${ }^{3}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left(k_{x z} / k_{M n}\right)=\rho_{x} \sigma_{x}+\rho_{z} \sigma_{z}+\rho_{x z} \sigma_{x} \sigma_{z} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing one of the substituents by H, e.g. $Z=H$, in eq. (1) reverts to the simple Hammett equation(2); the $\rho_{X}$ and $\rho_{Z}$ values in eq. (]) should therefore be more rigorously represented as ${ }^{\rho} X_{H}$ and ${ }^{\rho}{ }_{H Z}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \left(k_{x} / k_{H}\right)=\rho_{X} \sigma_{X} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider a reversible nucleophilic substitution ( $\mathrm{S}_{N}$ ) reaction, eq. (3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
X N+R L Z \underset{k^{-}}{\stackrel{k^{+}}{\rightleftharpoons}} X N R+L Z \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where XN and $\mathrm{L} Z$ represent a nucleophile with a substituent $X$ and a leaving group with a substituent $Z$, respectively.

The $\rho_{X}$ and $\rho_{Z}$ in eq. (1) now becomes the reaction constants for substituent variztions in the nucleophile and leaving group. For an identity $\mathrm{S}_{N}$ reaction, i.e., $\mathrm{XN}=\mathrm{L} Z$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\log \left(k_{i t} / k_{H N}\right)=i \rho^{N}+\rho^{2}\right) \sigma_{4}+\rho_{6} \sigma_{1}^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho^{\rho^{N}}=\rho_{i H}$ and $\vec{\rho}^{L}=\rho_{H i}$; Obviously $\rho^{N} \neq \rho^{L}$ in general.
Hereafter, we adopt a convention that ${ }^{\rho+}\left(\right.$ for $\left.\mathrm{k}^{+}\right)=\rho^{2}$ and $\rho\left(\right.$ for $\left.\mathrm{k}^{-}\right)=\rho^{N}$. It has been shown that the neglect of second order term in the Marcus equation leads to ${ }^{(4)}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{+}+\rho^{-}=\rho_{a} \text { i. e., } \rho^{L}+\rho^{*}=\rho_{14} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $\quad \log \left(k_{u} / k_{N H}\right)=\rho_{11}\left(\sigma_{i}+\sigma_{i}^{2}\right)$
To be consistent with the approximations adopted in the derivation of eq. (1) ${ }^{3}$, we neglect the term in $\sigma_{i}^{2}$, which simplifies eq. (6) to

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \log \left(k_{i t} / k_{z H}\right)=\rho_{1,} \sigma_{t} \\
\text { Since } & K_{W_{t}}=k_{H_{t}} / k_{i H}=k^{+} / k-\left(K_{W_{t}}=K_{1 H}^{-1}\right) \\
& \rho_{e}=\rho^{+}-\rho^{-}, i . e ., \rho_{e}=\rho^{L}-\rho^{N} \tag{9}
\end{array}
$$

where $\rho_{e}$ is the Hammett's coefficient for the equilib ium constant $\mathrm{K}_{H i}{ }^{5}$, and $\rho^{+}=\nu^{L}=\rho_{H i}$ a $\cdot \dot{c}^{0-}=\rho^{N}=\hat{p}_{t H}$. Eqs. (5) and (9) constitute a set of tundamental relations for the identity exchange reactions.

Definition of $\mathrm{Br} \phi$ nsted's coefsicit it $\beta$ leads us to more useful correlations for the identity -actions. ${ }^{6}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta_{L}=\frac{d \log k}{d p K}=\frac{d \log k^{+}}{d \rho} / \frac{d p K}{d \sigma}=\frac{\rho^{+}}{\rho_{e}}=-\frac{\rho^{L}}{\rho_{e}} \tag{10a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Likewise,

