

THE MIXED PROBLEM FOR PARABOLIC TYPE IN L^1 SPACE

DONG-GUN PARK

1. Introduction

Let Ω be not necessary bounded domain \mathbf{R}^N locally regular of class $C^{2\alpha}$ and uniformly regular of class C^α in the sense of F. E. Browder [4]. We consider the following linear parabolic mixed problem:

$$(1.1) \quad \partial u(x, t) / \partial t + A(x, t, D)u(x, t) = f(x, t), \quad x \in \Omega, \\ 0 < t \leq T,$$

$$(1.2) \quad B_j(x, t, D)u(x, t) = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, m/2, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \\ 0 < t \leq T,$$

$$(1.3) \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \Omega$$

in $L^1(\Omega)$.

Let $\{M_k\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers which has the properties specified later [5]. Assuming that $A(t)$ belongs to the class $\{M_k\}$ [5] as a function of t in some sense, we shall prove that $u(t)$ is also a function of t of the class $\{M_k\}$ provided that $f(t)$ is of the same class.

The objective of the present paper is concerned with the regularity in t of the solution $u(t)$ in $L^1(\Omega)$. Defining for each $t \in [0, T]$ a linear operator $A_p(t)$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ ($1 < p < \infty$) by

$$D(A_p(t)) = \{u \in W^{m,p}(\Omega) : B_j(x,t,D)u(x) = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \\ j=1, \dots, m/2\}$$

$$(A_p(t)u)(x) = A(x,t,D)u(x) \text{ for } u \in D(A_p(t)).$$

We write (1.1)-(1.3) in an evolution equation in $L^p(\Omega)$ of the following form;

$$(1.4) \quad du(t)/dt + A_p(t)u(t) = f(t), \quad 0 < t \leq T,$$

$$(1.5) \quad u(x, 0) = u_0(x).$$

In the case $1 < p < \infty$ several papers have been already published concerning this problem. In [7] (see also [8], [9]) H. Tanabe proved

$$\|(\partial/\partial t)^n (\partial/\partial t + \partial/\partial s)^l (\partial/\partial t)^k U(t, s)\| \\ \leq L_0 L^{n+l+k} M_{n+l+k} (s-t)^{-n-k}$$

for some constants L_0, L and all non-negative integers n, l , and k , assuming that

- i) each $A_p(t)$ is the generator of an analytic semigroup on $L^p(\Omega)$,
- ii) $A_p(t)^{-1}$ is infinitely differentiable in t ,
- iii) the resolvents $(\lambda - A_p(t))^{-1}$ satisfy an estimation of the form

$$\|(\partial/\partial t)(\lambda - A_p(t))^{-1}\| \leq K_0 K^n M_n / |\lambda|$$

for non-negative integer n and $\lambda \in \Sigma = \{\lambda : \theta \leq \arg \lambda \leq 2\pi - \theta, 0 < \theta < \pi/2\}$.

In the case of $p=1$, in the paper [6] we have already constructed the evolution operator $U(t, s)$ of the above equation.

The main theorem of this paper is to establish a similar estimation in $L^1(\Omega)$.

We start in Section 2 with some preliminaries and assumptions related to the paper. In Section 3, we study the case $m > N/2$. Finally, in Section 4, we simply establish the estimate of the main result.

2. Preliminaries and assumptions

If X and Y are the Banach spaces, we denote by $B(X, Y)$ the set of all bounded linear operators from X to Y . The operator norm of $A(t)$ is denoted by $A(t)_{B(X, Y)}$.

Let $W^{m, p}(\Omega)$ be the Banach space consisting of measurable functions defined in Ω whose distribution derivatives of order up to m belong to $L^p(\Omega)$. The norm of $W^{m, p}(\Omega)$ and $L^p(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$u_{m, p} = \left(\sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_{\Omega} |D^{\alpha} u| dx \right)^{1/p}$$

$$u_p = \left(\int |u|^p dx \right)^{1/p}$$

respectively.

For each $t \in (0, T]$,

$$A(x, t, D) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_{\alpha}(x, t) D^{\alpha}$$

is a strongly elliptic linear differential operator of order m and

$$B_j(x, t, D) = \sum_{|\beta| \leq m_j} b_{j, \beta}(x, t) D^{\beta}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m/2$$

is a normal set of linear differential operators on $\partial\Omega$ of an order less than m .

Let $A(t)$ be an operator in $L^p(\Omega)$, $1 < p < \infty$, defined by

$$(2.1) \quad D(A(t)) = \{u \in W^{m,p}(\Omega) : B_j(x,t,D)u(x) = 0, \\ j=1, \dots, m/2, x \in \partial\Omega\}, \\ \text{for } x \in D(A(t)), (A(t)u)(x) = A(x,t,D)u(x).$$

REMARK. Properly speaking, $A(t)$ depends on p and we would normally write $A_p(t)$ but here, for simplicity we will write $A(t)$.

Let $\arg \lambda = \theta$ be called the *ray of minimal growth* of the resolvent of $A(t)$ in the sense of S. Agmon for any $\phi \in (\pi/2, 3\pi/2)$ [9].

(A.1) If $B_j(x,t,D)_{j=1}^{m/2}$ is of Dirichlet type then $-A(t)$ for any strongly elliptic operator $A(x,t,D)$ of order m generates an analytic semigroup $\exp(-\tau A(t))$ in $L^p(\Omega)$:

i. e., there exists $\theta_0 \in (0, \pi/2)$ such that

$$\rho(A(t)) \supset \Sigma = \{\lambda : \theta_0 \leq \arg \lambda \leq 2\pi - \theta_0, |\lambda| \geq C_0\}$$

then $C_0 = 0$ implies $0 \in \rho(A(t))$.

(A.2) The formal adjoint of $A(x,t,D)$ is

$$A'(x,t,D) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha'(x,t) D^\alpha,$$

and the adjoint system of boundary operators $\{B_j(x,t,D)\}_{j=1}^{m/2}$ can be constructed for $A(x,t,D)$ of $\{B_j(x,t,D)\}_{j=1}^{m/2}$.

REMARK. If $A'(t)$ defines $\{A'(x,t,D), B_j'(x,t,D), p'\}$ replacing $\{A(x,t,D), B_j(x,t,D), p\}$ in (2.1) then $A^*(t) = A'(t)$, where $A^*(t)$ is the adjoint system of $A(t)$ defined in $L^p(\Omega)$.

Let $\{M_k : k=0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of positive numbers satisfying the following condition [5]: there exist positive

numbers $d_0, d_1,$ and d_2 such that

$$\begin{aligned} M_{k+1} &\leq d_0^k M_k, \quad M_k \leq M_{k+1}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \\ \binom{k}{j} M_{k-j} M_j &\leq d_1 M_k, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k, \\ M_{j+k} &\leq d_2^{j+1} M_j M_k, \quad j, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots. \end{aligned}$$

Here, however, all of the coefficients of $A(x, t, D)$ and $\{B_j(x, t, D)\}_{j=1}^{m/2}$, as functions of t , are assumed to belong to the class $\{M_k\}$.

(A.3) The inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} |(\partial/\partial t)^l a_\alpha(x, t)| &\leq B_0 B^l M_l, \\ |(\partial/\partial t)^l D_j^\beta b_{j,\beta}(x, t)| &\leq B_0 \bar{B}^l M_l \end{aligned}$$

hold for every $x \in \bar{\Omega}, t \in (0, T], |\alpha| \leq m, |\beta| \leq m_j, |\gamma| \leq m - m_j, j = 1, \dots, m/2$ and $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, there exist positive numbers B_0 and B .

Under the above assumption we consider the following: there exist positive numbers K_0 and K such that for every $\lambda \in \Sigma,$ and $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$\|(\partial/\partial t)^l (A(t) - \lambda)^{-1}\|_{B(L^1, L^1)} \leq K_0 K^l M_l.$$

Putting

$$\begin{aligned} A(x, t, D + \eta) &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} a_\alpha(x, t) (D + \eta)^\alpha, \\ B_j(x, t, D + \eta) &= \sum_{|\beta| \leq m_j} b_{j,\beta}(x, t) (D + \eta)^\beta \quad \text{for } \eta \in \mathbb{C}^N. \end{aligned}$$

The operators $A^\eta(t)$ and $A'^\eta(t)$ are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} D(A^\eta(t)) &= \{u \in W^{m,\beta}(\Omega) : B_j(x, t, D + \eta)u(x) = 0, \\ &\quad j = 1, \dots, m/2, \quad x \in \partial\Omega\} \\ (A^\eta(t)u)(x) &= A(x, t, D + \eta)u(x) \quad \text{for } u \in D(A^\eta(t)), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$D(A'^{\eta}(t)) = \{u \in W^{m, p'}(\Omega) : B_j'(x, t, D + \bar{\eta})u(x) = 0, \\ j = 1, \dots, m/2, x \in \partial\Omega\}$$

$$(A'^{\eta}(t)u)(x) = A'(x, t, D + \bar{\eta})u(x) \text{ for } u \in D(A'^{\eta}(t)).$$

Then

$$(A^{\eta}(t))^* = A'^{\eta}(t) [9].$$

If $\delta > 0$ is a sufficiently small, $\lambda \in \Sigma$ and $|\eta| \leq \delta|\lambda|^{1/m}$ then $\lambda \in \rho(A^{\eta}(t))$ we get [9]

$$\|(A^{\eta}(t) - \lambda)^{-1}\|_{B(L^p, L^p)} \leq C_p/|\lambda|,$$

$$\|(A^{\eta}(t) - \lambda)^{-1}\|_{B(L^p, W^{m, p})} \leq C_p,$$

$$\|(A'^{\eta}(t) - \lambda)^{-1}\|_{B(L^{p'}, W^{m, p'})} \leq C_p.$$

PROPOSITION 2.1. There exist positive numbers C_1 and C_2 such that for every $\lambda \in \Sigma$ and $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$\|(\partial/\partial t)^l (A^{\eta}(t) - \lambda)^{-1}\|_{B(L^p, L^p)} \leq C_1 C_2^l M_l / |\lambda|,$$

$$\|(\partial/\partial t)^l (A^{\eta}(t) - \lambda)^{-1}\|_{B(L^p, W^{m, p})} \leq C_1 C_2^l M_l,$$

$$\|(\partial/\partial t)^l (A'^{\eta}(t) - \lambda)^{-1}\|_{B(L^{p'}, W^{m, p'})} \leq C_1 C_2^l M_l.$$

PROOF. If there is no fear of confusion we simply write $\|\cdot\|_m$ and $\|\cdot\|$ in place of $\|\cdot\|_{m, p}$ and $\|\cdot\|_p$ respectively. For $f \in L^p(\Omega)$, putting

$$u(t) = (A^{\eta}(t) - \lambda)^{-1} f$$

we get

$$(2.2) \quad (A(x, t, D + \eta) - \lambda)u(x, t) = f(t), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

$$(2.3) \quad B_j(x, t, D + \eta)u(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \quad j = 1, \dots, m/2.$$

Putting

$$u^l = (\partial/\partial t)^l u,$$

$$A'(x, t, D + \eta) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} (\partial/\partial t)^l a_{\alpha}(x, t) (D + \eta)^{\alpha},$$

$$B_j{}^l(x, t, D+\eta) = \sum_{\substack{l \neq 1 \neq j \\ j=1, \dots, m/2}} (\partial/\partial t)^l b_{j,s}(x, t) (D+\eta)^l,$$

And differentiating in t both sides of (2.2) and (2.3) we get

$$(A(x, t, D+\eta) - \lambda)u^l(x, t) = - \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{l}{k} A^{l-k}(x, t, D+\eta)u^k(x, t),$$

$$B_j(x, t, D+\eta)u^l(x, t) = - \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{l}{k} B_j{}^{l-k}(x, t, D+\eta)u^k(x, t).$$

In view of these we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (A(x, t, D) - \lambda)u^l(x, t) \\ &= (A(x, t, D) - A(x, t, D+\eta))u^l(x, t) \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{l}{k} A^{l-k}(x, t, D+\eta)u^k(x, t), \\ & B_j(x, t, D)u^l(x, t) \\ &= (B_j(x, t, D) - B_j(x, t, D+\eta))u^l(x, t) \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{l}{k} B_j{}^{l-k}(x, t, D+\eta)u^k(x, t). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 17.5 of [9], we get the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^m |\lambda|^{(m-i)/m} \|u^l(t)\|_i \\ & \leq C \left\{ \left\| (A(x, t, D) - A(x, t, D+\eta))u^l(t) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{l}{k} A^{l-k}(x, t, D+\eta)u^k(t) \right\| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^{m/2} |\lambda|^{(m-m_j)/m} \left\| (B_j(x, t, D) - B_j(x, t, D+\eta))u^l(t) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{l}{k} B_j{}^{l-k}(x, t, D+\eta)u^k(t) \right\| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{j=1}^{m/2} \left\| (B_j(x, t, D) - B_j(x, t, D+\eta))u^l(t) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. - \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{l}{k} B_j{}^{l-k}(x, t, D+\eta)u^k(t) \right\|_{m-m_j} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $\delta \leq 1$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (A(x, t, D) - A(x, t, D + \eta)) u'(t) \| \\ & \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} |\eta|^{m-i} \|u(t)\|_i \leq C \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (\delta |\lambda|^{1/m})^{m-i} \|u(t)\|_i \\ & \leq C \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |\lambda|^{(m-i)/m} \|u(t)\|_i, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{l}{k} A^{l-k}(x, t, D + \eta) u^k(t) \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{l}{k} B_0 B^{l-k} M_{l-k} \sum_{i=0}^m |\eta|^{m-i} \|u^k(t)\|_i \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{l-k} \binom{l}{k} B_0 B^{l-k} M_{l-k} \sum_{i=0}^m |\lambda|^{(m-k)/m} \|u^k(t)\|_i, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^{m/2} |\lambda|^{(m-m_j)/m} \| (B_j(x, t, D) - B_j(x, t, D + \eta)) u'(t) \| \\ & \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{m/2} |\lambda|^{(m-m_j)/m} \sum_{i=0}^{m_j-1} |\eta|^{m_j-i} \|u^i(t)\|_i \\ & \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{m/2} |\lambda|^{(m-m_j)/m} \sum_{i=0}^{m_j-1} (\delta |\lambda|^{1/m})^{m_j-i} \|u^i(t)\|_i \\ & \leq C \delta \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |\lambda|^{(m-i)/m} \|u^i(t)\|_i, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^{m/2} |\lambda|^{(m-m_j)/m} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{l}{k} B_j^{l-k}(x, t, D + \eta) u^k(t) \right\| \\ & \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{m/2} |\lambda|^{(m-m_j)/m} \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{l}{k} B_0 B^{l-k} M_{l-k} \sum_{i=0}^{m_j} |\eta|^{m_j-i} \|u^k(t)\|_i \\ & \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{m/2} |\lambda|^{(m-m_j)/m} \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{l}{k} B_0 B^{l-k} M_{l-k} \sum_{i=0}^{m_j} |\lambda|^{(m_j-i)/m} \|u^k(t)\|_i \\ & \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{l}{k} B_0 B^{l-k} M_{l-k} \sum_{i=1}^m |\lambda|^{(m-i)/m} \|u^k(t)\|_i, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{j=1}^{m/2} \|(B_j(x, t, D) - B_j(x, t, D + \eta))u^l(t)\|_{m-m_j} \\
 & \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{m/2} \sum_{i=0}^{m_j-1} |\eta|^{m_j-i} \|u^l(t)\|_{m-m_j+i} \\
 & \leq C \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |\eta|^{m-i} \|u^l(t)\|_i \\
 & \leq C \delta \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |\lambda|^{(m-i)/m} \|u^l(t)\|_i \\
 & \sum_{j=1}^{m/2} \left\| \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{l}{k} B_j^{l-k}(x, t, D + \eta) u^k(t) \right\|_{m-m_j} \\
 & \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{m/2} \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \binom{l}{k} B_0 B^{l-k} M_{l-k} \sum_{i=0}^{m_j} |\eta|^{m_j-i} \|u^k(t)\|_{m-m_j+i} \\
 & \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{l}{k} B_0 B^{l-k} M_{l-k} \sum_{i=0}^m |\lambda|^{(m-i)/m} \|u^k(t)\|_i.
 \end{aligned}$$

Inserting these into (2.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{i=0}^m |\lambda|^{(m-i)/m} \|u^l(t)\|_i \\
 & \leq C \left\{ \delta \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |\lambda|^{(m-i)/m} \|u^l(t)\|_i \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{l}{k} B_0 B^{l-k} M_{l-k} \sum_{i=0}^m |\lambda|^{(m-i)/m} \|u^k(t)\|_i \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Replacing $\delta > 0$ by sufficiently small number if necessary we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.5) \quad & \sum_{i=0}^m |\lambda|^{(m-i)/m} \|u^l(t)\|_i \\
 & \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{l}{k} B_0 B^{l-k} M_{l-k} \sum_{i=0}^m |\lambda|^{(m-i)/m} \|u^k(t)\|_i.
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying $\|u(t)\|_i \leq C \|u(t)\|_i^{i/m} \|u(t)\|^{(m-i)/m}$ and Young's

inequality to the above inequality and

$$(2.6) \quad \|u'(t)\|_m + |\lambda| \|u'(t)\| \\ \leq C \sum_{k=0}^{l-1} \binom{l}{k} B_0 B^{l-k} M_{l-k} (\|u^k(t)\|_m + |\lambda| \|u^k(t)\|).$$

Hence, (2.5) and (2.6) are essentially equivalent.

In view of (7) there exist positive numbers C_1 and C_2 such that

$$\|u'(t)\|_m + |\lambda| \|u'(t)\| \leq C_1 C_2 M_l \|f\|.$$

By the above inequality, we obtain the conclusion of proposition.

3. Estimates of the kernel of the derivatives of $\exp(-\tau A(t))$

For simplicity we consider $m > N/2$ and by Sobolev's imbedding theorem we get

$$(3.1) \quad \|u(t)\|_\infty \leq \gamma \|u(t)\|_{m,2}^{N/2m} \|u(t)\|_2^{1-N/2m}.$$

We consider that $K_{\lambda,\mu}(x, y; t)$ and $K_{\lambda,\mu}^1(x, y; t)$ denote the kernel of $(A(t) - \lambda)^{-1}(A(t) - \mu)^{-1}$ and $(A^q(t) - \lambda)^{-1}(A^q(t) - \mu)^{-1}$ respectively for $\lambda, \mu \in \Sigma$ and $|\eta| \leq \delta \min(|\lambda|^{1/m}, |\mu|^{1/m})$.

LEMMA 3.1. There exist positive numbers C_1 and C_2 such that for any $\lambda, \mu \in \Sigma$ and $l=0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\partial/\partial t)^l K_{\lambda,\mu}^1(x, y; t)| \\ & \leq \gamma^2 C_1^2 C_2^l d_1 (l+1) M_l |\lambda|^{N/2m-1} |\mu|^{N/2m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. In view of Leibniz's formula we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (\partial/\partial t)^l \{ (A^\eta(t) - \lambda)^{-1} (A^\eta(t) - \mu)^{-1} \} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^l l k (\partial/\partial t)^{l-k} (A^\eta(t) - \lambda)^{-1} (\partial/\partial t)^k (A^\eta(t) - \mu)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (3.1) and Proposition 2.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\partial/\partial t)^{l-k} (A^\eta(t) - \lambda)^{-1} f\|_\infty \\ &\leq \gamma \|(\partial/\partial t)^{l-k} (A^\eta(t) - \lambda)^{-1} f\|_m^{N/2m} \\ &\quad \|(\partial/\partial t)^{l-k} (A^\eta(t) - \lambda)^{-1} f\|^{1-N/2m} \\ &\leq \gamma (C_1 C_2^{l-k} M_{l-k} \|f\|)^{N/2m} (C_1 C_2^{l-k} M_{l-k} \|f\|/|\lambda|)^{1-N/2m} \\ &= \gamma C_1 C_2^{l-k} M_{l-k} |\lambda|^{N/2m-1} \|f\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|(\partial/\partial t)^{l-k} (A^\eta(t) - \lambda)^{-1}\|_{B(L^2, L^\infty)} \leq \gamma C_1 C_2^{l-k} M_{l-k} |\lambda|^{N/2m-1}.$$

In view of (9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |\text{Kernel of } (\partial/\partial t)^{l-k} (A^\eta(t) - \lambda)^{-1} (\partial/\partial t)^k (A^\eta(t) - \mu)^{-1}| \\ &\leq \|(\partial/\partial t)^{l-k} (A^\eta(t) - \lambda)^{-1}\|_{B(L^2, L^\infty)} \\ &\quad \|(\partial/\partial t)^k (A^\eta(t) - \mu)^{-1}\|_{B(L^2, L^\infty)} \\ &\leq \gamma C_1 C_2^{l-k} M_{l-k} |\lambda|^{N/2m-1} C_1 C_2^k M_k |\mu|^{N/2m-1} \\ &= \gamma^2 C_1^2 C_2^l M_{l-k} M_k |\lambda|^{N/2m-1} |\mu|^{N/2m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\partial/\partial t)^l K_{\lambda, \mu}^\eta(x, y; t)| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^l \binom{l}{k} \gamma^2 C_1 C_2^l M_{l-k} M_k |\lambda|^{N/2m-1} |\mu|^{N/2m-1} \\ &\leq \gamma^2 C_1^2 C_2^l d(l+1) M_l |\lambda|^{N/2m-1} |\mu|^{N/2m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the proof of Lemma 3.1 is completed.

LEMMA 3.2. There exist positive numbers C_1 and C_2 such that for any $\lambda, \mu \in \Sigma$ and $l=0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$|(\partial/\partial t)^l K_{\lambda, \mu}^\eta(x, y; t)|$$

$$\leq \gamma^2 C_1^2 C_2^l d_1(l+1) M_l |\lambda|^{N/2m-1} |\mu|^{N/2m-1} \{ e^{-\delta |\lambda|^{1/m} |x-y|} + e^{-\delta |\mu|^{1/m} |x-y|} \}.$$

PROOF. $K_{\lambda, \mu}(x, y; t) = e^{-(x-y)\eta} K_{\lambda, \mu}^\eta(x, y; t)$. (1)

Hence, for real vector η , we get

$$\begin{aligned} |(\partial/\partial t)^l K_{\lambda, \mu}(x, y; t)| &= |e^{-(x-y)\eta} (\partial/\partial t)^l K_{\lambda, \mu}^\eta(x, y; t)| \\ &\leq e^{-(x-y)\eta} \gamma^2 C_1 C_2^l d_1(l+1) M_l |\lambda|^{N/2m-1} |\mu|^{N/2m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting the minimal value of η into the right side of the inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} |(\partial/\partial t)^l K_{\lambda, \mu}(x, y; t)| \\ \leq \gamma^2 C_1 C_2^l d_1(l+1) M_l |\lambda|^{N/2m-1} |\mu|^{N/2m-1} e^{-\delta \min(|\lambda|^{1/m}, |\mu|^{1/m}) |x-y|}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of this and

$$e^{-\delta \min(|\lambda|^{1/m}, |\mu|^{1/m}) |x-y|} \leq e^{-\delta |\lambda|^{1/m} |x-y|} + e^{-\delta |\mu|^{1/m} |x-y|}.$$

PROPOSITION 3.3. There exist positive numbers C_3, C_4, c and $\theta \in (0, \pi/2)$ such that for any $|\arg \tau| \leq \theta$ and $l=0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$|(\partial/\partial t)^l G(x, y, \tau; t)| \leq C_3 C_4^l (M_l \frac{1}{|\tau|^{N/m}} \exp\left(-c \frac{x-y^{m/(m-1)}}{|\tau|}\right)).$$

PROOF. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(-\tau A(t)) &= \exp(-(\tau/2)A(t))^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} e^{-(\tau/2)\lambda} (A(t) - \lambda)^{-1} d\lambda \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} e^{-(\tau/2)\mu} (A(t) - \mu)^{-1} d\mu \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^2 \int_{\Gamma} \int_{\Gamma} e^{-(\tau/2)(\lambda+\mu)} (A(t) - \lambda)^{-1} (A(t) - \mu)^{-1} d\lambda d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$G(x, y, \tau; t) = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}\right)^2 \int_{\Gamma} \int_{\Gamma} e^{-(\tau/2)(\lambda+\mu)} K_{\lambda, \mu}(x, y; t) d\lambda d\mu$$

where $\Gamma_{x,y,\tau/2} = \{\lambda: |\arg \lambda| = \theta_0, |\lambda| \geq a\} = \{\lambda: \lambda = ae^{i\theta}, |\theta| \geq \theta_0\}$.

$$a = \varepsilon \frac{|x-y|^{m/(m-1)}}{|\tau/2|^{m/(m-1)}}, \quad \rho = \frac{|x-y|^{m/(m-1)}}{|\tau/2|^{1/(m-1)}}, \quad a = \frac{\varepsilon \rho}{|\tau/2|}$$

and $a^{1/m}|x-y| = \varepsilon^{1/m}\rho$.

In view of Lemma 3.2 we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.2) \quad & |(\partial/\partial t)^l G(x, y, \tau; t)| \\ & \leq \left| \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \right)^2 \right| \int_{\Gamma_{x,y,\tau/2}} \int_{\Gamma_{x,y,\tau/2}} |e^{-(\tau/2)(\lambda+\mu)}| |(\partial/\partial t)^l K_{\lambda,\mu}(x, y; t)| \\ & \quad |d\lambda| |d\mu| \\ & = \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^2 \gamma^2 C_1^2 C_2^l \left\{ \int_{\Gamma_{x,y,\tau/2}} |\lambda|^{N/2m-1} e^{-Re(\tau/2)\lambda} e^{-\delta|\lambda|^{1/m}|x-y|} |d\lambda| \times \right. \\ & \quad \left. \int_{\Gamma_{x,y,\tau/2}} |\mu|^{N/2m-1} e^{-Re(\tau/2)\mu} |d\mu| \right. \\ & = \int_{\Gamma_{x,y,\tau/2}} |\lambda|^{N/2m-1} e^{-Re(\tau/2)\lambda} |d\lambda| \times \\ & \quad \left. \int_{\Gamma_{x,y,\tau/2}} |\mu|^{N/2m-1} e^{-Re(\tau/2)\mu} e^{-\delta|\mu|^{1/m}|x-y|} |d\mu| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $0 < \theta_0 < 1$, if τ is such that $\frac{|Im\tau|}{Re\tau} \leq (1-\theta_0) \frac{\cos\theta_0}{\sin\theta_0}$ assuming $\lambda = re^{\pm i\theta_0} (r > 0)$ then $Re(\tau/2)\lambda \leq rRe(\tau/2)\varepsilon_0 \cos\theta_0$.

Hence, there exists a positive c such that

$$Re(\tau/2)\lambda \geq cr|\tau/2| \quad [9],$$

we take $\Gamma_{x,y,\tau/2} = \Gamma_1 \Gamma_2 \Gamma_3$, where $\Gamma_1 = \{\lambda = re^{-i\theta_0}: r \geq a\}$, $\Gamma_2 = \{\lambda = ae^{i\theta}: \theta_0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi - \theta_0\}$ and $\Gamma_3 = \{\lambda = re^{i\theta_0}: r \geq a\}$.

We get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Gamma_3} |\lambda|^{N/2m-1} e^{-Re(\tau/2)\lambda} e^{-\delta|\lambda|^{1/m}|x-y|} |d\lambda| \\ & \leq \int_a^\infty r^{N/2m-1} e^{-cr|\tau/2|} e^{-\delta r^{1/m}|x-y|} dr \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq e^{-ca^{1/m}|x-y|} \int_a^\infty r^{N/2m-1} e^{-cr|\tau/2|} dr \\ &\leq \Gamma(N/2m) ((1/c)|2/\tau|)^{N/2m} e^{-\delta\varepsilon^{1/m}} \rho. \end{aligned}$$

And similarly for an integral along Γ_1 ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Gamma_2} |\lambda|^{N/2m-1} e^{-Re(\tau\lambda/2)} e^{-\delta|\lambda|^{1/m}|x-y|} |d\lambda| \\ &\leq a^{N/2m-1} e^{|\tau/2|a} e^{-\delta a^{1/m}|x-y|} 2\pi a \\ &= 2\pi a^{N/2m} e^{|\tau/2|a} e^{-\delta a^{1/m}|x-y|}. \end{aligned}$$

If x and y are positive numbers, then $x^y \leq (y/e)^y e^x$. Hence, the integral of the same function along Γ_2 is dominated by

$$2\pi|2/\tau|^{N/2m} (N/2me)^{N/2m} e^{2\varepsilon\delta} e^{-\delta\varepsilon^{1/m}} \rho.$$

Collecting these results we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\Gamma_{x,y,\tau/2}} |\lambda|^{N/2m-1} e^{Re(\tau\lambda/2)} e^{-\delta|\lambda|^{1/m}|x-y|} |d\lambda| \\ &\leq 2\Gamma(N/2m) ((1/c)|2/\tau|)^{N/2m} e^{-\delta\varepsilon^{1/m}} \rho + \\ &\quad 2\pi|2/\tau|^{N/2m} (N/2m\rho)^{N/2m} e^{2\varepsilon\delta - \delta\varepsilon^{1/m}} \\ &\leq \{2c^{-N/2m} \Gamma(N/2m) + 2\pi(N/2me)^{N/2m}\} |2/\tau|^{N/2m} e^{2\varepsilon\delta} \varepsilon^{1/m} \rho, \\ &\int_{\Gamma_3} |\mu|^{N/2m} e^{-Re(\tau\mu/2)} |d\mu| \leq \Gamma(N/2m) ((1/c)|2/\tau|)^{N/2m}. \\ &\int_{\Gamma_2} |\mu|^{N/2m-1} e^{-Re(\tau\mu/2)} |d\mu| \leq 2\pi|2/\tau|^{N/2m} (N/2me)^{N/2m} e^{2\varepsilon\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting these into (3.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &|(\partial/\partial t)^l G(x, y, \tau; t)| \\ &\leq 2(1/2\pi)^{2\gamma} C_1^2 C_2^l d_1(l+1) M_l \{2c^{-N/2m} \Gamma(N/2m) + \\ &\quad 2\pi(N/2me)^{N/2m}\}^2 |2/\tau|^{N-m} \times e^{4\varepsilon\delta - \delta\varepsilon^{1/m}}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\varepsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small then $\delta\varepsilon^{1/m} - 4\varepsilon > 0$, and

$$4\varepsilon\delta - \delta\varepsilon^{1/m} \rho = -2^{1/(m-1)} (\delta\varepsilon^{1/m} - 4\varepsilon) \frac{|x-y|^{m/(m-1)}}{|\tau|^{1/(m-1)}}.$$

Therefore, $l+1 < e^t$ holds and we obtain the conclusion of proposition.

4. The main theorem

Now, denote the kernel of $(A(t) - \lambda)^{-1}$ by $K_\lambda(x, y; t)$

PROPOSITION 4.1. There exist positive numbers C_5, C_6 and $\theta_0 \in (0, \pi/2)$ such that for any $\arg \lambda \in (-\theta_0, \theta_0)$ and $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$|(\partial/\partial t)^l K_\lambda(x, y; t)| \leq C_5 C_6^l M_l e^{-\delta|\lambda|^{1/m}|x-y|} \times \begin{cases} |x-y|^{m-N} & \text{if } m < N \\ |\lambda|^{N/m-1} & \text{if } m > N \\ 1 + \log^+(|\lambda|^{1/m}|x-y|)^{-1} & \text{if } m = N. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. The proof of this proposition is similar to [9]. We write (1.1)-(1.3) as an evolution equation in $L^1(\Omega)$:

$$(4.1) \quad du(t)/dt + A(t)u(t) = f(t), \quad 0 < t \leq T,$$

$$(4.2) \quad u(0) = u_0.$$

Let $U(t, s)$ be the evolution operator of (4.1) which is a bounded operator valued function defined in \bar{A} satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \partial U(t, s)/\partial t + A(t)U(t, s) &= 0 \\ \partial U(t, s)/\partial s + U(t, s)A(s) &= 0 && (ts) \in A \\ U(s, s) &= I && 0 \leq s \leq T, \end{aligned}$$

where $A = \{(s, t): 0 \leq s < t \leq T\}$ and $\bar{A} = \{(s, t): 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T\}$. The existence of such an operator is known by [6].

THEOREM. Under the assumptions stated above the

evolution operator $U(t, s)$ of (4.1) is infinitely differentiable in $(s, t) \in \mathcal{A}$. There exist constants L_0, L such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(\partial/\partial t)^n (\partial/\partial t + \partial/\partial s)^m (\partial/\partial s)^k\|_{B(L^1, L^1)} \\ & \leq L_0 L^{n+m+k} M_{n+m+k} (t-s)^{-n-k}, \quad (s, t) \in \mathcal{A} \end{aligned}$$

for $n, m, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

According to [7] it suffices to prove the following Proposition 4.2. in order to establish the above Theorem.

PROPOSITION 4.2. There exist positive numbers K_0 and K such that

$$\|(\partial/\partial t)^l (A(t) - \lambda)^{-1}\|_{B(L^1, L^1)} \leq K_0 K^l M_l / |\lambda|$$

for $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

PROOF. In view of Proposition 4.1. we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} |(\partial/\partial t)^l K_{\lambda}(x, y; t) f(y) dy| dx \\ & \leq C_5 C_6^l M_l \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} e^{-\delta |\lambda|^{1/m} |x-y|} |x-y|^{m-N} |f(y)| dy dx \\ & \leq C_5 C_6^l M_l \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\delta |\lambda|^{1/m} |x-y|} |x-y|^{m-N} dx |f(y)| dy \\ & \leq C_5 C_6^l M_l \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\delta |\lambda|^{1/m} r} r^{m-N} r^{N-1} dr |f(y)| dy \\ & = C_5 C_6^l M_l \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\delta \rho} \rho^{m-1} d\rho |\lambda|^{-1} |f(y)| dy \\ & = K_0 K^l M_l \|f\|_{L^1} / |\lambda| \end{aligned}$$

where $K_0 = C_5 e^{-\delta \rho}$ and $K = C_6$.

Bibliography

1. S. Agmon, On the eigenfunctions and on the eigenvalues of general elliptic boundary value problem, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 15(1962), 119-147.
2. _____, *Lectures on Elliptic Boundary Value Problem*, D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc. Princeton, New Jersey, Tronto, New York, London, 1965.
3. R. Beals, Asymptotic behavior of Green's function and spectral function of an elliptic operator, *J. Func. Anal.* 5(1970), 484-503.
4. F.E. Browder, On the spectral theory of elliptic differential operators. I, *Math. Ann.* 142(1961), 22-130.
5. J.L. Lions and E. Magenes, *Espaces de fonctions et distributions du type de Gevrey et problemes aux limites paraboliques*, *Ann. Mat. Pure Appl.* 68(1965), 341-418.
6. D.G. Park, Initial-boundary value problem for parabolic equation in L^1 , *Proc. Japan Acad.* 62(1986), 178-180.
7. H. Tanabe, On regularity of solutions of abstract differential equations of parabolic type in Banach space, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 19(1967), 521-542.
8. _____, *Equation of Evolution*, Pitman, London, 1979.
9. _____, *Functional Analysis II*, Jikkyo Shuppan Publish- ing Company, Tokyo, 1981 (in Japanese).

Dong-A University
Pusan 604-714
Korea

Received April 5, 1988