

SOME PROPERTIES OF D^n -GROUPS

IN SU KIM

1. Introduction

In 1980 and 1983, it was proved that PD^2 -groups are surface groups ([2], [3]). Since then, topologists have been positively studying about PD^n -groups (or D^n -groups). For example, let a topological space X have a right π -action, where π is a multiplicative group. If each $x \in X$ has an open neighborhood U such that for each $u \in \pi$, $u \neq 1$, $U \cap U_u = \emptyset$, this right π -action is said to be proper. In this case, if X/π is compact then

- (1) $\pi_1(X/\pi) \cong \pi$ (X : connected, π_1 : fundamental group) ([4]),
- (2) if X is a differentiable orientable manifold with dimension n and ∂X (the boundary of X) = \emptyset then

$$H^k(X; Z) \cong H_{n-k}(X; Z), \quad ([6]),$$

where Z is the set of all integers.

In particular, since

$R^n / (Z \times Z \times \dots \times Z) (n\text{-times}) \cong S^1 \times S^1 \times \dots \times S^1 (n\text{-times}) = T^n$ is compact $Z \times Z \times \dots \times Z (n\text{-times})$ is a PD^n -group over Z (Theorem 3.1). Consider a short exact sequence of torsion free groups;

$$1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 1.$$

Then, for a left G -module A we have the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{q,n} \cong H^q(Q; H^n(N, A)) \xrightarrow{q} H^{n+q}(G, A) \quad (\text{See } 2).$$

Let K be the field of rationals. Our aim in this paper is to prove the following under suitable conditions:

- (a) $E_2^{q,n+1} \cong E_2^{q+2,n}$.
- (b) $0 \longrightarrow E_2^{q,n+r} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow E_2^{q+2r,n} \longrightarrow 0$

is exact (Theorem 3.3)

Received June 26, 1987.

Revised September 9, 1988.

A Research supported by a grant from the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation in 1984-86.

2. Preliminaries

Let

$$1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 1$$

be a short exact sequence of torsion free groups. Then, for a left G -module A we have a first quadrant spectral sequence $\{E_r, d_r\}$, natural in A with natural isomorphism

$$E_2^{p,q} \cong H^p(Q, H^q(N, A)) \xrightarrow[p]{\cong} H^{p+q}(G, A). \quad (*)$$

(Note that $E_2^{p,q} \xrightarrow[p]{\cong} H^{p+q}(G; A)$ means $E_\infty^{p,q} \cong H^{p+q}(G, A)$) ([1], [7]).

Let us denote the cohomological dimension of G by $\text{cd}(G)$.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *In a short exact sequence of groups;*

$$1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 1$$

if $\text{cd}(N) = n < \infty$ and $\text{cd}(Q) = q < \infty$ then $\text{cd}(G) \leq n + q$.

Proof. For a left G -module A we have the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(Q, H^q(N, A)) \xrightarrow[p]{\cong} H^{p+q}(G, A)$$

as above (*).

$$H^i(N, A) = 0 \text{ if } i > n \text{ and } H^j(Q, B) = 0 \text{ if } j > q,$$

where A is a left G -module and B is a left Q -module. Thus

$$E_2^{r,s} = H^r(Q, H^s(N, A)) = 0 \text{ if } r > q \text{ or } s > n,$$

and hence

$$E_\infty^{r,s} = H^{r+s}(G, A) = 0.$$

This means that for every left G -module A ,

$$H^{r+s}(G, A) = 0 \text{ if } r + s > n + q$$

and thus $\text{cd}(G) \leq n + q \leq \text{cd}(N) + \text{cd}(Q)$.

DEFINITION 2.2. A (multiplicative) group G is said to be of *type* (F, P) if there is a finite resolution over the trivial G -module Z by finitely generated projective modules, where Z is the set of integers.

DEFINITION 2.3. A group G is a D^n -group over Z if and only if G satisfies the conditions

- (1) G is of type (F, P)
- (2) $H^k(G, Z[G]) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \neq k \\ C, & \text{if } n = k, \end{cases}$

where $Z[G]$ is the group ring of G over Z .

(3) C is a Z -free module ([5], [8]).

A D^n -group sometimes is called a *Poincare duality group of dimension n* . Throughout this section, by a D^n -group we mean a D^n -group over Z without any statements.

Let G be a D^n -group. If $C=H^n(G, Z[G])\cong Z$ then G is said to be an *oriented Poincare duality group of dimension n* , written PD^n .

LEMMA 2.4. *In the exact sequence of groups*

$$1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 1,$$

if N is a D^n -group (PD^n -group) and Q is a D^q -group (PD^q -group) then G is a D^{n+q} -group (PD^{n+q} -group) such that

$$H^{n+q}(G, Z[G]) \cong H^q(Q, Z[Q]) \otimes H^n(N, Z[N]), \text{ where } \otimes = \otimes_z.$$

Proof. By (*) above

$$E_2^{r,s} \cong H^r(Q, H^s(N, Z[G])) \xrightarrow{p} H^{r+s}(G, Z[G])$$

on our case. Since

$$H^n(N, Z[G]) \cong H^n(N, Z[N]) \otimes_{Z[N]} Z[G] \quad ([7], [9])$$

and

$$G/N = \{N, Nx_2, \dots\}, \quad Q \cong \{1, x_2, x_3, \dots\}$$

is a group (N is normal in G) we have

$$H^n(N, Z[G]) \cong H^n(N, Z[N]) \otimes Z[Q],$$

and thus

$$E_2^{r,s} \cong H^r(Q, H^s(N, Z[N]) \otimes Z[Q]).$$

By Definition 2.3 and our assumption

$H^k(N, Z[N]) = 0$ if $k \neq n$ and $H^n(N, Z[N])$ is Z -free, and from

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E_2^{r-2, n+1} & \longrightarrow & E_2^{r,n} & \longrightarrow & E_2^{r+2, n-1} \\ \parallel & & & & \parallel \\ 0 & & & & 0 \end{array}$$

we have $E_2^{r,n} = E_3^{r,n} = \dots = E_\infty^{r,n} = H^{r+n}(G, Z[G])$.

Since Q is a D^q -group (PD^q -group)

$$\begin{aligned} & H^r(Q, H^n(N, Z[N]) \otimes Z[Q]) \\ & \cong H_{q-r}(Q, H^q(Q, Z[Q]) \otimes (Z[Q] \otimes H^n(N, Z[N]))) \\ & \cong H_{q-r}(Q, H^q(Q, Z[Q]) \otimes H^n(N, Z[N])) \quad ([5], [9]), \end{aligned}$$

and thus $H^q(Q, H^n(N, Z[G])) \cong H_0(Q, H^q(Q, Z[Q]) \otimes H^n(N, Z[N]))$

and

$$H^q(Q, H^n(N, Z[G])) \cong H^{n+q}(G, Z[G])$$

$$H_0(Q, H(Q, Z[Q])) \otimes H^n(N, Z[N]) \cong H^q(Q, Z[Q]) \otimes H^n(N, Z[N]).$$

That is,

$$H^k(G, Z[G]) = 0 \text{ if } n+q \neq k \text{ and}$$

$$H^{n+q}(G, Z[G]) \cong H^q(Q, Z[Q]) \otimes H^n(N, Z[N]).$$

Note that $H^n(N, Z[N])$ and $H^q(Q, Z[Q])$ are free Z -modules. Furthermore $H^{n+q}(G, Z[G])$ is Z -free.

Next, we have to prove that G is of type (F, P) . Since N is a D^n -group (PD^n -group) and Q is a D^q -group (PD^q -group), we have projective resolutions;

$$0 \longrightarrow P_n(N) \xrightarrow{\delta'} \cdots \longrightarrow P_0(N) \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow P_q(Q) \xrightarrow{\delta''} \cdots \longrightarrow P_0(Q) \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $P_i(N)$ ($i=0, \dots, n$) and $P_j(Q)$ ($j=0, \dots, q$) are finitely generated.

Put

$$P_k(G) = \sum_{i+j=k} (P_i(N) \otimes P_j(Q)),$$

then $P_k(G)$ is a finitely generated projective left $Z[G]$ -module as follows. Since every element of G is represented by nx ($n \in N, x \in Q$) by a unique way, if we define

$$nx(P_i(N) \otimes P_j(Q)) = (nP_i(N) \otimes (xP_j(Q)))$$

then $P_i(N) \otimes P_j(Q)$ is a left G -module. In fact,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z[N] \otimes Z[Q] & \xrightarrow{\cong} & Z[G] \\ \Downarrow & \curvearrowright & \Downarrow \\ (n \otimes x) & & nx \end{array}$$

is an isomorphism. For $n_1x_1, n_2x_2 \in G$, since

$$(n_1x_1)(n_2x_2) = (n_1n_2)(x_1x_2)$$

for $a \otimes b \in P_i(N) \otimes P_j(Q)$

$$(n_1x_1)(n_2x_2)(a \otimes b) = n_1n_2a \otimes x_1x_2b.$$

By our hypothesis there exist positive integers n_i, n_j , a $Z[N]$ -epimorphism τ , and a $Z[Q]$ -epimorphism σ such that

$$\tau : Z[N]^{n_i} \longrightarrow P_i(N)$$

$$\sigma : Z[Q]^{n_j} \longrightarrow P_j(Q).$$

Noting that $Z[N]$ and $X[Q]$ are Z -free we have isomorphisms

$$Z[N]^{n_i} \otimes Z[Q]^{n_j} \cong (Z(N) \otimes Z[Q])^{n_i n_j} \cong Z[G]^{n_i n_j}.$$

For a $Z[G]$ -epimorphism $g : A \rightarrow A'$ and a $Z[G]$ -homomorphism $f : P_i(N) \otimes P_j(Q) \rightarrow A'$, suppose the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Z[G]^{n_i n_j} & \xrightarrow{\tau \otimes \sigma} & P_i(N) \otimes P_j(Q) \\
 \downarrow h & \searrow h & \downarrow f \\
 A & \xrightarrow{g} & A'
 \end{array}$$

Then there exists a $Z[G]$ -homomorphism \tilde{h} such that $g \circ \tilde{h} = f \circ \tau \otimes \sigma$. Define $h : P_i(N) \otimes P_j(Q) \rightarrow A$ by $h \circ (\tau \otimes \sigma) = \tilde{h}$. It follows that $g \circ h = f$. This means that each $P_i(N) \otimes P_j(Q)$ is a projective $Z[G]$ -module. Therefore we have a projective semiexact sequence over Z as $Z[G]$ -modules such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(G) : 0 \rightarrow P_n(N) \otimes P_i(Q) &\xrightarrow{\delta} \dots \rightarrow \sum_{i+j=k} P_i(N) \otimes P_j(Q) \\
 &\rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_0(N) \otimes P_0(Q) \rightarrow Z \otimes Z = Z \rightarrow 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta = \delta' \otimes \delta''$ and for $a \otimes b \in P_i(N) \otimes P_j(Q)$

$$(a \otimes b) = \delta' a \otimes b, \quad \delta''(a \otimes b) = (-1)^i a \otimes \delta'' b.$$

For $a \otimes b \in P_i(N) \otimes P_j(Q)$ we assume that

$$\delta(a \otimes b) = \delta' a \otimes b + (-1)^i a \otimes \delta'' b = 0,$$

which is equivalent to $a \in \text{Ker } \delta'$ and $b \in \text{Ker } \delta''$. Thus there are $a' \in P_{i+1}(N)$, $b' \in P_{j+1}(Q)$ such that $\delta' a' = a$ and $\delta'' b' = b$.

Thus, $a' \otimes b \in P_{i+1}(N) \otimes P_j(Q)$ and

$$\delta(a' \otimes b) = \delta' a' \otimes b + (-1)^{i+1} a' \otimes \delta'' b.$$

Since $\delta''(b) = \delta'' \delta''(b') = 0$ and $\delta' a' = a$ we have $\delta(a' \otimes b) = a \otimes b$. That is, the complex $P(G)$ is exact. Consequently G is of type (F, P) .

If N and Q are PD -groups, then

$$H^n(N, Z[N]) \cong Z \cong H^q(Q, Z[Q]), \text{ and thus}$$

$$H^{n+q}(G, Z[G]) \cong Z \otimes Z \cong Z.$$

3. Main theorems

From Lemma 2.4, we obtain the following:

THEOREM 3.1. $Z \times Z \times \dots \times Z$ (n -times) is a PD^n -group over Z .

Proof. We denote each element $n \in Z$ by $[n]$ and define a multiplication by $[m][n] = [m+n]$. Therefore the group ring $Z[Z]$ is well defined. In $Z[Z]$

$$\sum n_i [a_i] + \sum m_i [a_i] = \sum (n_i + m_i) [a_i]$$

and

$$\sum n_i [a_i] \cdot \sum m_j [b_j] = \sum n_i m_j [a_i + b_j].$$

In particular, Z is the trivial $Z[Z]$ -module, i.e., for $m \in Z$ and $n[a] \in Z[Z]$ ($n[a]$) $m = nm$. Suppose a $Z[Z]$ -module sequence.

$$(\sigma - \kappa) : 0 \longrightarrow Z[Z] \xrightarrow{\sigma} Z[Z] \xrightarrow{\kappa} Z \longrightarrow 0,$$

is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma([m]) &= [m] - [0] \\ \sigma(m_1 + m_2) &= [m_2]([m_1] - [-m_2]) \\ ([m]\sigma)([n]) &= [m]([n] - [-m]). \end{aligned}$$

Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} m = n_1 + n_2 &\implies \sigma[m] = \sigma[n_1 + n_2] \\ ([m]\sigma)[n] &= \sigma([m][n]) = \sigma([m+n]). \end{aligned}$$

Thus σ is a $Z[Z]$ -monomorphism. κ is defined by $\kappa(n[m]) = n$ for $n[m] \in Z[Z]$. Then κ is a $Z[Z]$ -epimorphism. In particular, $\ker \kappa = \{\sum n_i [m_i]([a_i] - [0]) \mid n_i [m_i], a_i \in Z[Z]\}$ and thus $\text{Im } \sigma = \ker \kappa$. Therefore the sequence is exact as $Z[Z]$ -modules. Hence the multiplicative group Z is of type (F, P) . From the sequence $(\sigma - \kappa)$ we get the following.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 \longleftarrow \text{Hom}_{Z[Z]}(Z[Z], Z[Z]) & \xleftarrow{\sigma^*} & \text{Hom}(Z[G], Z[G]) & \xleftarrow{K^*} & \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \\ & & Z[G] & & \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \\ \text{Hom}_{Z[Z]}(Z, [Z]) & \longrightarrow & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow \cong & & \\ & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

Therefore,

$$H^k(Z, Z[Z]) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } k \neq 1 \\ Z, & \text{if } k = 1 \end{cases}$$

and it follows that the multiplicative group Z is a PD^1 -group over Z .

In general, $Z \times Z \times \cdots \times Z$ (n -times) is a multiplicative group with multiplication

$$([m_1], \dots, [m_n]) \cdot ([n_1], \dots, [n_n]) = ([m_1][n_1], \dots, [m_n][n_n])$$

$$= [(m_1 + n_1], \dots, [m_n + n_n]).$$

We can use mathematical induction to complete our proof. Assume $Z \times Z \times \dots \times Z$ ($(n-1)$ -times) is a PD^{n-1} -group over Z . Consider the exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow Z \times \dots \times Z \text{ (}(n-1)\text{-times)} \longrightarrow Z \times \dots \times Z \text{ (}n\text{-times)} \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow 1$$

$$([m_1], \dots, [m_{n-1}]) \longrightarrow ([m_1], \dots, [0]) \longrightarrow [m_n]$$

Since $Z \times \dots \times Z$ ($(n-1)$ -times) is a PD^{n-1} -group over Z and Z is PD^1 -group over Z by Lemma 2.4 $Z \times \dots \times Z$ (n -times) is a PD^n -group over Z .

Let us put K the set of all rationals. A D^n -group G over K is defined by

- (1) G is of type (F, P) over K
- (2) $H^i(G, K[G])$ is zero if $i \neq n$
- (3) $H^n(G, K[G])$ is K -free, where $K[G]$ is the group ring of G over K .

Throughout this section we assume that every group is torsion free over K . The following is well-known

LEMMA 3.2. *If a group G is a D^n -group over Z , then G is also a D^n -group over K . ([2], [3])*

Let

$$1 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 1 \quad (**)$$

be a short exact sequence of groups. Then by (*) in §2 we have the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{r,s} \cong H^r(Q, H^s(N, K[G])) \underset{r}{\implies} H^{r+s}(G, K[G]).$$

Since $K[G]$ is $K[N]$ -free

$$H^s(N, K[G]) \cong H^s(N, K[N]) \otimes K[Q],$$

and since every K -module is K -free $H^s(N, K[N]) \otimes K[Q]$ is $K[Q]$ -free. Thus we have the following ([6], [9]):

$$\begin{aligned} H^r(Q, H^s(N, K[G])) &\cong H^r(Q, H^s(N, K[N]) \otimes K[Q]) \\ &\cong H^r(Q, K[Q]) \otimes_{\text{Mod } K[Q]} (H^s(N, K[N]) \otimes K[Q]) \\ &\cong H^r(Q, K[Q]) \otimes H^s(N, K[N]) \\ &\cong E_2^{r,s}. \end{aligned}$$

In (**) we assume that

- (1) N is of type (F, P) over Z

(2) G is a D^{n+q} -group over Z

(3) $\text{cd } Z(Q) < \infty$.

Then we can prove that Q is of type (F, P) over Z ([9]).

THEOREM 3.3. *Under the above situation let us put*
 $m = \text{least number with } H^m(N, K[N]) \neq 0$
 $p = \text{least number with } H^p(Q, K[Q]) \neq 0$.

Then we have the following:

(1) $m = n$ and $p = q$

(2) There is an isomorphism $E_2^{q, n+1} \cong E_2^{q+2, n}$

(3) There is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E_2^{q, n+1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow E_2^{q+2r, n} \longrightarrow 0$$

Proof. (1) By the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{r, s} \cong H^r(Q, K[Q]) \otimes_k H^s(N, K[N])$$

and by our assumptions

$$E_2^{p, m} (\neq 0) \cong H^{p+m}(G, K[G]).$$

by Lemma 3.2 G is a D^{n+q} -group over K and thus $p = q$, $m = n$

(2) In our spectral sequence

$$\left. \begin{array}{ccccc} E_2^{q-2, n+2} & \longrightarrow & E_2^{q, n+1} & \xrightarrow{d_2} & E_2^{q+2, n} \\ \parallel & & & & \\ 0 & & & & \\ E_3^{q-3, n+3} & \longrightarrow & E_3^{q, n+1} & \longrightarrow & E_3^{q+2, n-1} \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ 0 & & \ker d_2 & & 0 \end{array} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \Rightarrow E_3^{q, n+1} \cong \dots \cong E_\infty^{q, n+1} \\ \cong H^{q+n+1}(G, K[G]) = 0 \end{array}$$

Thus $E_3^{q, n+1} = \text{Ker } d_2 = 0$. From

$$\left. \begin{array}{ccccc} E_2^{q, n+1} & \xrightarrow{d_2} & E_2^{q+2, n} & \longrightarrow & E_2^{q+4, n-1} \\ & & & & \parallel \\ & & & & 0 \\ E_3^{q-1, n+2} & \longrightarrow & E_3^{q+2, n} & \longrightarrow & E_3^{q+5, n-2} \\ \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ 0 & & E_2^{q+2, n} / \text{Im } d_2 & & 0 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow E_2^{q+2, n} / \text{Im } d_2 = 0.$$

We have the isomorphism $d_2 : E_2^{q, n+1} \cong E_2^{q+2, n}$

(3) Since

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E_2^{q-1, n+1} & \longrightarrow & E_2^{q+1, n} & \longrightarrow & E_2^{q+3, n-1} \\ \parallel & & & & \parallel \\ 0 & & & & 0 \end{array}$$

and $H^n(N, K[N]) \neq 0$, from

$$E_2^{q+1, n} \cong H^{q+1}(Q, K[Q]) \otimes_k H^n(N, K[N]) \cong E_\infty^{q+1, n}$$

Some properties of D^n -groups

$$\begin{aligned} &\cong H^{q+1+n}(G, K[G]) = 0 \\ H^{q+1}(Q, K[Q]) = 0. \text{ Similarly, from} \\ &0 = E_2^{q+1, n+1} \longrightarrow E_2^{q+3, n} \longrightarrow E_2^{q+5, n-1} = 0 \\ &H^{q+3}(Q, K[Q]) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$H^{q+i}(Q, K[Q]) = 0 \text{ for } i=1, 3, 5, \dots.$$

Therefore we have

$$E_3^{q+s, n+t} = 0$$

because that

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \ s : \text{ odd} &\implies E_3^{q+s, n+t} = 0 \implies E_3^{q+s, n+t} = 0 \\ (2) \ s : \text{ even} &\implies E_3^{q+s-3, n+t+2} \longrightarrow E_3^{q+s, n+t} \longrightarrow E_3^{q+s+3, n+t-2} \\ &\quad \parallel \qquad \qquad \qquad \parallel \\ &\quad 0 \qquad \qquad \qquad 0 \\ &\implies E_3^{q+s, n+t} = E_\infty^{q+s, n+t} = H^{q+s+n+t}(G, K[G]) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

According, we get the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow E_2^{q, n+r} \longrightarrow E_2^{q+2, n+r-1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow E_2^{q+2r, n} \longrightarrow 0.$$

References

1. H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological Algebra*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1956.
2. B. Eckmann and H. Müller, *Poincaré duality groups of dimension two*, Comment. Math. Helv. **55**, 1980, 510-520.
3. B. Eckmann and P. Linnel, *Poincaré duality groups of dimension two*, Comment. Math. Helv. **58**, 1983, 11-114.
4. S. T. Hu, *Homotopy theory*, Academic Press, 1959.
5. K. Lee, *Remarks of C^∞ -Manifolds I*, to appear.
6. _____, *Foundation of Topology*, Vol. 2, Hakmunsa, 1982.
7. S. MacLane, *Homology*, Springer-Verlag, 1975.
8. C. B. Thomas, *Splitting theorems for Certain PD^3 -group*, Math. Z, **186**, 1984, 201-209.
9. _____, *On the PD^n -groups* (Lecture Notes by In Su Kim), Chonbuk National University, 1985.

Chonbuk National University
Chonju 560-756, Korea