

COMPLEX HOLOMORPHIC LINE BUNDLES AND PSEUDOCONVEXITY

Kwang Ho Shon* and Hong Rae Cho

1. Introduction

In this paper we investigate the relation between a holomorphic line bundle over a complex n -torus T^n and a Chern class. And, we introduce the theorem that allows us to refer to the Picard variety of T^n as a group of weakly pseudoconvex manifolds, so that the Picard variety of T^n is considered as an important tool for the research on a weakly pseudoconvex manifold.

2. The holomorphic line bundle on a complex n -torus

Let $C(O)$ be the sheaf of germs of continuous (holomorphic) functions on T^n and $C^*(O^*)$ the sheaf of germs of nonvanishing continuous (holomorphic) functions on T^n . Since $O \subset C$ and $O^* \subset C^*$. We have the following commutative, exact diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \rightarrow & Z & \rightarrow & O & \xrightarrow{\text{exp}} & O^* & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & Z & \rightarrow & C & \xrightarrow{\text{exp}} & C^* & \rightarrow & 0.
 \end{array}$$

This yields the commutative, exact diagram :

Received December 20, 1990

* Research was partially supported by KOSEF.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & H^0(T^n, Z) & \rightarrow & H^0(T^n, O) & \rightarrow & H^0(T^n, O^*) & \xrightarrow{\delta^*} & H^1(T^n, Z) & \rightarrow & \dots \\
& & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\
& & H^1(T^n, Z) & \rightarrow & H^1(T^n, O) & \rightarrow & H^1(T^n, O^*) & \xrightarrow{\delta^*} & H^2(T^n, Z) & \rightarrow & \dots \\
& & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\
& & H^1(T^n, Z) & \rightarrow & H^1(T^n, C) & \rightarrow & H^1(T^n, C^*) & \xrightarrow{\delta^*} & H^2(T^n, Z) & \rightarrow & \dots
\end{array}$$

Here the vertical maps are induced by the natural inclusions of O in C , O^* in C^* and the identity map of Z [3].

Definition 2.1[5]. For $E \in H^1(T^n, C^*)$ we call $C_1(E) = \delta^*(E)$ the first Chern class of E .

Lemma 2.2. Let $\Phi : H^1(T^n, O^*) \rightarrow H^1(T^n, C^*)$ be the canonical map induced by the natural inclusion of O^* in C^* . Then $E \in H^1(T^n, O^*)$ is a trivial complex holomorphic line bundle over T^n if and only if $\Phi(E) = 1$.

Lemma 2.3. $\Phi(E) = 1$ if and only if $C_1(E) = 0$.

By Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. $E \in H^1(T^n, O^*)$ is a trivial complex holomorphic line bundle over T^n if and only if $C_1(E) = 0$.

3. Weakly pseudoconvex manifolds

Proposition 3.1[2]. Let Ω be a domain of C^n and let $f \in C^0(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$. The function f is pluriharmonic if and only if f is locally the real part of a holomorphic function.

Let P be the sheaf of germs of C^∞ pluriharmonic functions on T^n . Consider D as the sheaf of germs of constant functions with values in $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$. We define $L : O^* \rightarrow P$ by $L(f)(x) = \log |f(x)|$, $x \in U$,

$f \in H(U)$. For $g \in P$, by Proposition 3.1, there is $f \in H(U)$ such that g is the real part of f on a simply connected subset U . $\exp(f) \in O^*$ and $L(\exp(f(x))) = \log |\exp(f(x))| = \log(\exp(g(x))) = g(x)$ on U so that L is surjective. Since $\text{Ker } L = \{f \in O^* : L(f) = 0\} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\} = D$, we get a (short) exact sequence of sheaves on $T^n : 0 \rightarrow D \rightarrow O^* \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$. We denote by $L^* : H^1(T^n, O^*) \rightarrow H^1(T^n, P)$ the homomorphism induced by $L : O^* \rightarrow P$. Since T^n is compact, $H^0(T^n, O^*) \cong \mathbb{C}^*$ and $H^0(T^n, P) \cong \mathbb{R}$ so that $H^0(T^n, O^*) \rightarrow H^0(T^n, P)$ is surjective. Hence we have a (long) exact sequence :

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow H^0(T^n, O^*) &\rightarrow H^0(T^n, P) \rightarrow H^1(T^n, D) \\ &\rightarrow H^1(T^n, O^*) \xrightarrow{L^*} H^1(T^n, P) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

Thus $H^1(T^n, D) \cong \text{Ker } L^* \subset H^1(T^n, O^*)$.

Lemma 3.2[4]. $\text{Ker } L^* = \{E \in H^1(T^n, O^*) : C_1(E) = 0\}$.

Theorem 3.3. Every trivial complex holomorphic line bundle on T^n is a weakly pseudoconvex manifold.

Proof. Let E be a trivial holomorphic line bundle on T^n . By lemma 3.2, $E \in \text{Ker } L^* = H^1(T^n, D) \subset H^1(T^n, O^*)$. Hence there is $(\theta_{jk}) \in Z^1(U, D)$ such that $\{\theta_{jk}\}$ are transition functions of the line bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow T^n$. Then there are biholomorphic functions $\theta_j : \pi^{-1}(U_j) \rightarrow U_j \times \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\theta_j \circ \theta_k^{-1}(x, z_k) = (x, z_j)$ if and only if $\theta_k(z_k) = z_j$ for $x \in U_j \cap U_k$. For $a \in E$, $\theta_j(a) = (\pi(a), z_j(a))$ if $a \in \pi^{-1}(U_j)$. We define $\Phi : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $\Phi(a) = |z_j(a)|^2$ if $a \in \pi^{-1}(U_j)$. Then $E_c = \{a \in E : \Phi(a) < c\} \subset \mathbb{C}E$ for each $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and the Levi form $L(\Phi) = dz_j d\bar{z}_j$ is everywhere positive semi-definite. Hence E is a weakly pseudoconvex manifold.

REFERENCES

1. M. Field, several complex variables and complex manifolds I, II, London Math. Soc. Lec. Note Ser., 65, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1982.
2. R. C. Gunning and H. Rossi, Analytic functions of several complex variables, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliff, N.J., 1965.
3. H. Kazama and K. H. Shon, Characterizations of the $\bar{\partial}$ -cohomology groups for a family of weakly pseudoconvex manifolds, J. Math. Soc. Japan, Vol. 39, No. 4(1987), 685~700.
4. H. Kazama and T. Umeno, On a certain holomorphic line bundle over a compact non-Kähler complex manifold, Math. Rep. of College of General Education, Kyushu Univ., 12-2(1980), 93~102.
5. J. Morrow and K. Kodaira, Complex manifolds, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., New York, 1971.

Department of Mathematics
Pusan National University
Pusan 609-735,
Korea