# Optimality and Duality for Vector Optimization Problems

Gue Myung Lee and Do Sang Kim

# 1. Introduction

M.A. Hanson [3] defined the invex function which is a generalization of the convex function, proved that the Kuhn-Tucker conditions are sufficient for a solution of the scalar optimization problem concerning invex functions and established Wolfe [10] duality theorems for this problem. R.N. Kaul and S. Kaur [5] established optimality criteria in the scalar optimization problem involving pseudo-invex functions and guasi-invex functions. Very recently, R.R. Egudo [2] showed that Wolfe type duality theorems hold for the vector optimization problem which consists of p-convex functions which defined by J.P. Vial [8]. D.S. Kim and G.M. Lee [6] established that Wolfe type duality theorems hold for the vector optimization problem which consists of invex functions.

In this paper, we prove that the Kuhn-Tucker optimality conditions are sufficient for an efficient solution of the vector optimization problem which consists of pseudoinvex functions and establish Wolfe type duality theorems for this problem.

### 2. Definitions

Throughout this paper, we use the following conventions; Let  $R^p$  be a p-dimensionl Euclidean space,  $x=(x_1,\dots,x_p)^t \in R^p$ , and  $y=(y_1,\dots,y_p)^t \in R^p$ .

- 1.  $x \le y$  if and only if  $x_i \le y_i$ ,  $i=1,\dots,p$ .
- 2.  $x \le y$  if and only if  $x \le y$  and  $x \ne y$ .
- 3.  $x \not\leq y$  is the negation of  $x \leq y$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A differentiable function  $h: R^n \rightarrow R$  is pseudo-invex with respect to  $\eta$  if and only if there exists a vector valued function  $\eta$  defined on  $R^n \times R^n$  such that for all x,  $u \in R^n$ 

$$\nabla h(u)\eta(x, u) \ge 0$$
 implies  $h(x) \ge h(u)$ .

**Definition 2.2.** A differentiable function  $h: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is quasi-invex with respect to  $\eta$  if and only if there exists a vector valued function  $\eta$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$  such that for all x,  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$h(x) \le h(u)$$
 implies  $\nabla h(u) \eta(x,u) \le 0$ 

We consider the vector optimization problem;

(P) Miminize f(x)subject to  $x \in X = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : g(x) \le 0\}$ ,

where  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^p$  and  $g: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$  are differentiable functions.

In relation to (P), we consider the following vector optimization problem.

The Wolfe vector dual of (P) [10];

(D) Maximize  $f(x) + y^{i}g(x)e$ 

subject to(x, 
$$\lambda$$
, y) $\epsilon$ Y = {(x,  $\lambda$ , y) :  $\nabla \lambda^i f(x) + \nabla y^i g(x) = 0, y \ge 0, \lambda \epsilon \Lambda^+$ }, where  $e = (1, \dots, 1)^i \epsilon R^p$  and  $\Lambda^+ = \{r \epsilon R^p : r > 0, r^i e = 1\}$ .

Optimization in (P) and (D) means obtaining efficient solutions for the corresponding problems. T. Weir [9] first considered the above dual problems of (P). **Definition 1.3.** 1. A point  $\overline{x} \in X$  is an efficient solution for (P) if and only if for any  $x \in X$ ,  $f(x) \not = f(\overline{x})$ .

2. A point  $(\overline{x}, \overline{\chi}, \overline{y}) \in Y$  is an efficient solution for (D) if and only if for any  $(x, \lambda, y) \in Y$ ,

$$f(\overline{x}) + \overline{y}^{1} g(\overline{x}) e \not\leq f(x) + y^{1} g(x) e$$
.

# 3. Optimality Conditions

Now, we consider optimality conditions for an efficient solution for (P).

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose that there exist  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\lambda \epsilon R^{\mu}$ ,  $y_{\mu} \epsilon R^{I}$  such that

- (1)  $\lambda^t f + y^t_i g_i$  is pseudo-invex w.r.t.  $\eta$ ,
- (2) for all  $x \in X$ ,  $[\nabla \lambda f(\overline{x}) + \nabla y_{t}^{t} g_{t}(\overline{x})] \eta(x, \overline{x}) \ge 0$ ,
- (3)  $g(\bar{x}) \leq 0$ ,

where  $I = \{i : g_i(\overline{x}) = 0\}.$ 

Then,  $\bar{x}$  is an efficient solution for (P).

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\overline{x}$  is not an efficient solution for (P). Then there exists  $x^* \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$f(x^*) - f(\overline{x}) \le 0$$
 and  $g(x^*) \le 0$ .

Hence we have

$$f(x^*) - f(\overline{x}) \le 0$$
 and  $g_I(x^*) - g_I(\overline{x}) \le 0$ .

Thus, we have

$$\lambda'f(x^*) + y'_1g_1(x^*) < \lambda'f(\overline{x}) + y'_1g_1(\overline{x}).$$

By the definition of pseudo-invexity, we have

$$\left[ \nabla \lambda^{t} f(\overline{\mathbf{x}}) + \nabla y^{t} g_{l}(\overline{\mathbf{x}}) \right] \eta \left( \mathbf{x}^{*}, \ \overline{\mathbf{x}} \right) < 0,$$

which contradicts the assumption (2).

**Lemma 3.1** [1].  $\overline{x}$  is an efficient solution for (P) if and only if  $\overline{x}$  is a solution for the following scalar optimization problems:

(P<sub>i</sub>) Minimize  $f_i(x)$ subject to  $f_i(x) \le f_i(\overline{x})$  for all  $j \ne i$ ,  $g(x) \le 0$ ,

for each  $i=1,\dots,p$ .

From Lemma 3.1, we can prove the following theorem by the method similar to the proof in Theorem 3.4 of [4].

**Theorem 3.2.** If  $\overline{x}$  is an efficient solution for (P) and if we assume that  $\overline{x}$  satisfies a constraint qualification ([7]) for (P<sub>i</sub>),  $i=1,\dots,p$ , then there exist  $\overline{\chi} \in \Lambda^+$  and  $\overline{y} \ge 0$ ,  $\overline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that  $\nabla \overline{\chi}^i$   $f(\overline{x}) + \nabla \overline{y}^i$   $g(\overline{x}) = 0$  and  $\overline{y}^i$   $g(\overline{x}) = 0$ .

# 4. Duality Theorems

Now we establish duality theorems for (P) and (D).

**Theorem 4.1.** If, for all xeX and (u,  $\lambda$ , y)eY, (1) f<sub>i</sub>+y'g is pseudo-in-vex w.r.t.  $\eta$  for all i : or (2)  $\lambda$ 'f+y'g is pseudo-invex w.r.t.  $\eta$ , then

$$f(x) \not \leq f(u) + y'g(u)e$$
.

**Proof.** (1) Let  $x \in X$  and  $(u \cdot \lambda, y) \in Y$ . Suppose that  $f(x) \leq f(u) + y^t g(u)e$ .

Then for some i,  $f_i(x) < f_i(u) + y^i g(u)$  and for all j,  $j \neq i$ ,  $f_i(x) \leq f_i(u) + y^i g(u)$ .

Since  $f_i + y^i g$  pseudo-invex w.r.t.  $\eta$  and  $f_i(x) + y^i g(x) < f_i(u) + y^i g(u)$ , we have

$$\left[ \nabla f_i(u) + \nabla y^i g(u) \right] \eta \ (x, u) < 0.$$

Since  $f_i + y^i g$  is quasi-invex w.r.t.  $\eta$  and  $f_i(x) + y^i g(x) \ge f_i(u) + y^i g(u)$ , we have

$$\left[\nabla f_{j}(u) + \nabla y^{j}g(u)\right] \eta (x, u) \leq 0.$$

Thus,

$$\left[\nabla \lambda' f(u) + \nabla y' g(u)\right] \eta \quad (x, u) < 0.$$

This is a contradiction.

(2) Let xeX and  $(x, \lambda, y)$ eY. Suppose that  $f(x) \le f(u) + y'g(u)e$ . Then

$$f(x) + y'g(x)e \le f(u) + y'g(u)e$$
.

Moreover.

$$\lambda f(x) + y^t g(x) < \lambda f(u) + y^t g(u).$$

By the pseudo-invexity of  $\lambda^t f + y^t g$ , we have

$$\left[\nabla \lambda f(\mathbf{u}) + \nabla y^t g(\mathbf{u})\right] \eta \quad (\mathbf{x}, \ \mathbf{u}) < 0.$$

This is a contradiction.

**Theorem 4.2** Let  $\overline{x}$  is an efficient solution for (P) and assume that  $\overline{x}$  satisfies a constraint ([7]) for (P<sub>i</sub>),  $i=1,\dots,p$ . Then there exist  $\overline{\chi}$  and  $\overline{y}$  such that  $(\overline{x}, \overline{\chi}, \overline{y}) \in Y$  and the objective values of (P) and (D) are equal. If, also, (1)  $f_i + \overline{y}'$  g is pseudo-invex w.r.t.  $\eta$  for all i or (2)  $\overline{\chi}'$  f  $+ \overline{y}'$ g is pseudo-invex w.r.t.  $\eta$ , then  $(\overline{x}, \overline{\chi}, \overline{y})$  is an efficient solution for (D).

**Proof.** By Theorem 3.2, there exist  $\chi \in \Lambda^+$  and  $\overline{y} \geq 0$ ,  $\overline{y} \in R^m$  such that  $\nabla \chi f(\overline{x}) + \nabla \overline{y} g(\overline{x}) = 0$  and  $\overline{y} g(\overline{x}) = 0$ . Thus  $(\overline{x}, \overline{\chi}, \overline{y}) \in Y$ . Since  $\overline{y} g(\overline{x}) = 0$ , the objective values of (P) and (D) are equal. Suppose that  $(\overline{x}, \overline{\chi}, \overline{y})$  is not an efficient solution for (D). Then there exists  $(u^*, \lambda^*, y^*) \in Y$  such that

$$f(\overline{x}) + \overline{y}'g(\overline{x})e \le f(u^*) + y^{*'}g(u^*)e.$$

By the similar method of Theorem 4.1, we can prove that

$$\left[ \, \nabla \lambda^{*} {}^t\! f(u^*) + \nabla y^{*} {}^t\! g(u^*) \, \right] \ \eta \ (\overline{x} \ , u^*) < 0.$$

This is a contradiction.

#### References

- V. Chankong and Y.Y. Haimes, "Multiobjective Decision Making: Theory and Methodology", North-Holland, New York, 1983.
- R.R. Egudo, "Efficiency and Generalized Convex Duality for Multiobjective Programs", J. Math. Anal. Appl., 138, 84-94(1989).
- M.A. Hanson, "On Sufficiency of the Kuhn-Tucker Conditions", J.Math. Anal Appl., 80, 545-550(1981).
- P.Kanniappan, "Necessary Conditions for Optimality of Nondifferentiable Convex Multiobjective Programming", J. Opt. Th. Appl., 40, 167-174(1983).
- 5 R.N. Kaul and S. Kaur, "Optimality Criteria in Nonlinear Programming Involving Nonconvex Functions", J. Math. Anal. Appl., 105, 104-112(1985).
- D.S. Kim and G.M. Lee, "On Duality Theorems for Multiobjective Programs", The Pusan Kyongnam Math. J., 5(2), 209-213(1989).
- O.L. Mangasarian, "Nonlinear Programming", McGraw-Hill, New York, 1969.
- J.P. Vial, "Strong and Weak Convexity of Sets and Functions", Math. O. R., 8, 231
  – 259 (1983).
- T. Weir, "Proper Efficiency and Duality for Vector Valued Optimization Problems", J. Austral Math. Soc (Ser A), 43, 21-34(1987).
- P. Wolfe, "A Duality Theorem for Nonlinear Programming", Quart. Appl. Math., 19, 239-244(1961).

Pusan National University of Technology Pusan 608-739. Korea

National Fisheries University of Pusan Pusan 608 - 737 Korea