# Spaces of the type $Q_w$ and the Laplace transformation

Young Sik Park

#### 0. Introduction

This article is devoted to the study of the Banach spaces of analytic functions of the type  $Q_w$  and the type  $Q_w'$  dual which are closely related to the Laplace transformation.

As first, we define spaces of the type  $Q_w$  and examine connection between spaces of the type  $Q_s$  and  $Q_w$ . Especially, Laplace transforms and inverse Laplace transforms on the spaces of the type  $Q_w$  and that of the type  $Q_w$  are studied. In the case of convex compact subsets K, K' in  $R^n$  are  $\mathscr{L}$ -admissible pairs, we considered conditions of existence of a spectral function, and related theorems.

# 1. The space $Q_w(T(K) : K')$ and spaces of the type $Q_w$ .

With a view to constructing the Laplace transformation of analytic functions, we now introduce test-function spaces consisting of functions that are continuous in horizontal bands, holomorphic in the interior of the horizontal bands and of exponentials.

We denote by  $Q_w(T(K); K')$  the Banach space consisting of all

The work was supported by the Korean Ministry of Education grants 1989.

functions  $\phi$  continuous in T(K) and holomorphic in the interior T(K) of T(K) for which

$$\| \phi \|_{KK_{\omega}} = \sup\{e^{W_{K}(x)} \mid \phi(z) \mid : z = x + iy \in T(K)\} < \infty$$
 (1.1)

where  $W_{K'}(x) = \inf\{x\eta : \eta \in K'\}$ , and having the topology defined by the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{K,K'_{\omega}}$  (we recall yet once more that K and K' are convex compact subsets in R'' with nonempty interior).

Since 
$$Q_w(T(K); K') \subset Q_w(T(L); L')$$
 if  $L \subset K$ ,  $K' \subset L'$ ,

the natural embedding mapping

$$i \stackrel{KL}{K'L'} : Q_{w}(T(K); K') \rightarrow Q_{w}(T(L); L')$$
(1.2)

is defined (we emphasize that here  $K' \subset L'$ , and not  $K' \supset L'$ , in contrast to the space  $Q_s(T(K); K')$ ).

The mapping  $i\frac{KL}{K'L'}$  is compact for all  $L \subseteq K$ ,  $K' \subseteq L'$ .

The proof is the same as in the case of spaces of the type  $Q_s$ . We shall also assume everywhere that  $K_sK'_sL$  and L' are convex compact sets with nonempty interior and  $U_sU'$  are convex open sets in R''.

We denote by

$$\overrightarrow{Q}_{w}(T(K); U') = \lim \text{ ind } Q_{w}(T(L); L')$$

$$K \subseteq L, U' \supset L'$$
(1.3)

The inductive limit of the Banach spaces  $Q_{\omega}(T(L); L')$  is taken over all convex, compact sets  $L \supset K$ ,  $L' \subset U'$ .

Since the mappings  $i\frac{LM}{L'M'}: Q_w(T(L):L') \rightarrow Q_w(T(M):M')$   $(M \subseteq L, L' \subseteq M')$  are compact,  $\overrightarrow{Q}_w(T(K):U')$  is a space of the type (DFS) and its dual

$$\overrightarrow{Q}_{w}'(T(K); U') = \lim \operatorname{proj} \ Q'_{w}(T(L); L')$$

$$K \subseteq L, \ U' \supset L'$$
(1.4)

is a space of type (FS). Further, we denote by, for an open convex set U and a convex compact set K' of R'' with nonempty interior,

$$\stackrel{\longleftarrow}{Q}_{w}(T(U); K') = \lim \text{ proj } Q_{w}(T(L); L')$$

$$L \subseteq U, L' \supset K' \tag{1.5}$$

the projective limit of the Banach spaces  $Q_w(T(L):L')$ . The space  $\overleftarrow{Q}_w(T(U):K')$  is a space of the type (FS), and its dual

$$\overleftarrow{Q}_{w}'(T(U); K') = \lim \text{ ind } Q'_{w}(T(L); L')$$

$$L \subseteq U, L' \supset K'$$
(1.6)

is a space of the type (DFS).

We have defined the followings:

$$\overrightarrow{Q}_{w}(T(K); R^{n}) = \lim \text{ ind } Q_{w}(T(K); K')$$

$$K' \subset R^{n}$$
(1.7)

$$\overleftarrow{Q}_{w}(T(K);(0)) = \lim \operatorname{proj} Q_{w}(T(K);K'),$$

$$\{0\} \subseteq K'$$
(1.8)

$$\overrightarrow{Q}_{w}(T(0); R^{n}) = \lim \text{ ind } Q_{w}(T(K); K') \equiv \overrightarrow{Q}_{w}(R^{n})$$

$$\{0\} \subset K, R^{n} \supset K'$$

$$(1.9)$$

$$Q_{w}(T(0); (0)) = \lim \inf [\lim \operatorname{proj} \ Q_{w}(T(K); K')]$$

$$K \supset \{0\} \ \{0\} \subset K'$$

$$(1.10)$$

We remark that the space  $\overrightarrow{Q}_{w}(R^{n})$  is properly included in the space  $\overrightarrow{Q}_{w}(R^{n})$ . The space  $Q_{w}(T(0); (0))$  is also

$$\begin{array}{ll} \lim & \operatorname{proj}[\lim & \operatorname{ind} & Q_w(T(K) : K')] \\ \{0\} & \subseteq K' & K \supset \{0\} \end{array}$$

and hence 
$$Q_w(T(0);(0))=\lim_{K \supset \{0\}} \inf \overleftarrow{Q}_w(T(K);(0))$$

=
$$\lim_{\{0\}} proj \overrightarrow{Q}_{\omega}(T(0); K').$$

The spaces  $Q_w(T(K); K')$  and the spaces obtained from them by means of the inductive and projective limits will be called here spaces of the type  $Q_w$ ; the dual, of the type  $Q_w'$ .

# 2. The connection between spaces of the type $Q_s$ and $Q_{u}$ .

By definitions we have

$$Q_s(T(K); -K') \subset Q_{w}(T(K); K') \text{ and } \| \varphi \|_{K,K_w} \leq \| \varphi \|_{K,K'}$$
 (2.1)

for  $\phi \in Q_s(T(K); -K')$ . For in accordance with property g) of the nega-support function [11]  $W_{K'} \leq -W_{-K'}$ , whence  $\|\phi\|_{K,K_u} \leq \|\phi\|_{K,-K'}$ 

For the decreasing sequence of positive numbers  $\epsilon_j > 0 (j = 0, 1, 2, ...)$  such that  $\lim_{t \to \infty} \epsilon_j = 0$ ,  $\epsilon_0 = \epsilon$ , we have the following relations:

$$Q_{s}(T(K); [-\varepsilon,\varepsilon]^{n} \subset Q_{s}(T(K); [-\varepsilon_{1},\varepsilon_{1}]^{n}) \subset ... \subset Q_{s}(T(K); [-\varepsilon_{p}\varepsilon_{p}]^{n}) \subset ... \subset Q_{s}(T(K); (0)) \subset \widetilde{Q}_{s}(T(K); (0)) \subset ... \subset Q_{s}(T(K); [-\varepsilon,\varepsilon]^{n}).$$

$$(2.2)$$

Under the condition  $\{0\} \subset K'$ , we have the followings:

$$\overleftarrow{Q}_{s}(C^{n}) \subset Q_{s}(T(K); R^{n}) \subset Q_{s}(T(K); K') \subset \overrightarrow{Q}_{s}(T(K); (0)) \subset \overleftarrow{Q}_{w}(T(K); (0))$$

$$\subset Q_{w}(T(K); K') \subset \overrightarrow{Q}_{w}(T(K); R^{n}) \subset \overrightarrow{Q}_{w}(R^{n})$$
(2.3)

**Proposition 2.1.** Then space  $Q_s(C'')$  is dense in  $Q_w(T(K); K')$  in the topology of  $Q_w(T(L); L')$  if  $L \subset K$ .  $L' \supset K'$ 

For first, as in the case of the space  $Q_s(T(K):K')$ , we can show that  $Q_s(T(K):R'')$  is dense in  $Q_w(T(K):K')$  in the topology of  $Q_w(T(L):L')$  for  $L' \supset K' \supset \{0\}$ . Further, as we have shown [11] Proposition 4.4,  $Q_s(C'')$  is dense in  $Q_s(T(K):R'')$  in the toplogy of  $Q_s(T(L):-L') \subset Q_w(T(L):L')$ . Therefore, for every function  $\Phi \in Q_s(T(K):R'')$  there exists a sequence  $\{\phi_k\} \subset Q_s(C'')$  such that  $\|\Phi \circ \Phi_k\|_{L,L'} \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ .

But then  $\| \phi - \phi_k \|_{LL_w} (\leq \| \phi - \phi_k \|_{L-L}) \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ . i.e.,  $\overline{Q}_s(C^n)$  is dense in  $Q_s(T(K); R^n)$  in the topology of  $Q_u(T(L); L')$  as well.

Thus,  $\overline{Q}_s(C^n)$  is dense in  $Q_s(T(K); R^n)$ , and  $Q_s(T(K); R^n)$  is dense in  $Q_w(T(K); K')$ , whence the assertion.

We have the followings:

$$\overleftarrow{Q}_{s}(C^{n}) \subset \overrightarrow{Q}_{s}(T(K); U') \subset \overrightarrow{Q}_{s}(T(K); R^{n}), \tag{2.4}$$

$$\overleftarrow{Q}_{s}(C^{n}) \subset \overleftarrow{Q}_{s}(T(R^{n}); (U^{\prime})) \subset \overleftarrow{Q}_{w}(T(R^{n}); (U^{\prime})) \subset \overleftarrow{Q}_{w}(T(R^{n}); K^{\prime}) \subset \overleftarrow{Q}_{w}(T(U); K^{\prime}), \text{ if } \{0\} \subseteq K^{\prime}, \text{ and } U^{\prime} \supset \{0\}.$$
(2.5)

The space  $\overleftarrow{Q}_s(C^n)$  is dense in  $\overleftarrow{Q}_w(T(U);K')$  and in  $\overrightarrow{Q}_w(T(K);U')$ . This follows directly from the previous assertion.

## 3. Construction of the Laplace transformation.

We now turn to construction of the Laplace transformation. It is readily verified that  $e^{iz} \in Q_w(T(K); K')$  for all  $z \in T(K')$ , and  $\|e^{iz}\|_{K, K'_w} \le e^{w_K(x)}$  (z=x+iy). Moreover, differentiation of the exponential  $e^{iz}$  with respect to the parameter z is continuous in  $Q_w(T(K); K')$ . More precisely, suppose  $z \in T(K')$  then

$$\|\frac{e^{i(z+\Delta_R)}-e^{iz}}{\Delta z_i} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}e^{iz}\|_{KK_{\infty}} \to 0 \text{ as } \Delta z_i \to 0,$$

 $j=1,2,\ldots n$ , where  $\triangle_j z=(0,\ldots,0,\ \triangle z_j,0,\ldots,0)\in C^n$ , and  $\triangle z_j$  is the j-th component.

We denote by  $Q'_{w}(T(K); K')$  the space dual to  $Q_{w}(T(K); K')$  and define the Laplace transform  $\mathscr{L}[g]$  of an analytic functional g  $\varepsilon Q'_{w}(T(K); K')$  by the equation

$$\mathscr{L}[g](z)=(g,e^{i\alpha}),$$

i.e., we define the Laplace transform of a functional by its values on exponentials. By virtue of what we have said above, the function  $f(z) = \mathcal{L}[g](z)$  is defined and holomorphic in  $T(\dot{K}')$  and satisfies the estimate

$$| f(z) | \le ||g|| ||e^{z}||_{K,K_{w}} \le ||g|| e^{W_{K}(z)},$$

where z=x+iy and ||g|| is the norm of the functional g.

**Theorem 3.1.** Suppose that L,K,L',&K' are convex compact sets in R'' with nonempty interior and that  $K \subseteq L$ ,  $L' \subseteq K'$ . Then the Laplace transformation  $\mathscr L$  is a continuous linear mapping of

$$Q'_{w}(T(K); K') \rightarrow Q_{w}(T(L'); L)$$

and 
$$\| \mathscr{L}[g] \|_{LL} = \| f \|_{LL} \le \| g \|$$
.

This permits us to transfer the Laplace transformation  $\mathscr{L}$  to the projective and inductive limits of the spaces  $Q'_{w}(T(K); K')$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** The Laplace transformation that associates every analytic functional  $g \in \overrightarrow{Q'}_{u}(T(K); U)$  with the function  $f(z) = (g,e^{iz})$ ,  $z \in T(U)$ , defines a continuous linear mapping

$$\mathscr{L}: \overrightarrow{Q}'_{w}(T(K):U) \rightarrow \overleftarrow{Q}_{w}(T(U):K)$$
.

4. Inversion of the Laplace transformation on the spaces  $Q_{w}(T(K'); K)$ .

**Definition 4.1.** Suppose  $f \in Q_w(T(K'); K)$ . We shall call every analytic functional  $g \in Q'_w(T(L); L')$ , where  $L \supset K$ ,  $L' \subseteq K'$ , such that  $(ge^u)=f(z)$  for all  $z \in T(L')$  a spectral function for f.

Before we consider the existence and uniqueness of such a spectral function, we give a number of ancillary definitions.

Let J(-iD) be the non-local differential operator then

$$J(-iD_{\zeta})e^{it\zeta} = \sum_{\alpha \geq 0} a_{\alpha}(-i)^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}(iz)^{\alpha}e^{it\zeta} = J(z)e^{it\zeta}$$
, i.e.,

 $J(-iD)e^{iz} = J(z)e^{iz}$ . Further, suppose  $J(z) = e^{-az}$  where  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$  then  $e^{iaD} \phi(\zeta) = \sum_{\alpha \geq 0} (ia)^{\alpha} \phi^{(\alpha)} (\zeta)/\alpha ! = \phi(\zeta + ia)$ , wher  $\phi$  is a function which is holomorphic in a sufficiently large tube region.

**Definition 4.2.** Let K be a convex compact subset of  $R^n$  with nonempty interior. We denote by  $\Lambda(K)$  the Banach space of all continuous functions  $\phi(\xi)$  for which

$$\|\phi\|_{K_{m}}=\sup\{e^{W_{K}(\xi)}\mid\phi(\xi)\mid\ \colon\xi\ \in R^{n}\}<\infty,$$

with the topology defined by the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{K_{\mu}}$ .

**Definition 4.3.** We shall say that the pair KK' is  $\mathcal{L}$ -admissible if for any  $L \supset K$ ,  $L' \subset K'$  there exists an entire function J(z) such that

- A.  $J(-iD): Q_w(T(L); L') \rightarrow \Lambda(L')$  is continuous, linear,
- B. There exist  $K_j \supset K$  and  $K'_j \supset K'$  such that  $I/J(z) \subset Q_i(T(K)) : K_i)$ .

**Proposition 4.4.** Suppose  $J(z) = \sum_{v=1}^{n} exp(-a_v z)$ ,  $a_v \in K(v = 1, 2, ..., n)$ , then J(-iD) is non-local and

$$J(-iD) : Q_{u}(T(K) ; K') \rightarrow \Lambda(K')$$

is a continuous linear differential operator.

**Proof.** Suppose  $\phi \in Q_w(T(K) : K')$ , then  $J(-iD)\phi(\xi) = \sum_{v=1}^n e^{iavD}\phi(\xi) = \sum_{v=1}^n \phi(\xi + ia_v)$  and therefore

$$||J(-iD)\phi||_{K_n} = \sup\{e^{W_K(Q)} \mid \sum_{i=1}^n \phi(\xi + ia_i) \mid : \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$$

$$\leq n \cdot \sup\{e^{W_K(Q)} \mid \phi(\zeta) \mid : \zeta \in T(K)\} = n ||\phi||_{\mathcal{L}_{K_n}}$$

We now give a sufficient condition for a pair K, K' to be  $\mathscr{L}$  -admissible.

**Proposition 45.** Let K be a convex compact subset of  $R^n$  with nonempty interior. Suppose there exists a positive number  $\delta$  and K' convex compact subset of  $R^n$  with nonempty interior such that

$$|K \cdot K'| \leq \frac{1}{2} - \delta_i$$

then K, K' is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -admissible, where  $K \cdot K' = \{x \xi : x \in K, \xi \in K'\}$  and  $|K \cdot K'| \le \rho$  if and only if  $|x \cdot \xi| \le \rho$  for all  $x \in K, \xi \in K'$ .

**Proof.** Suppose we are given a pair  $L \supset K$ ,  $L' \subset K'$ , Since K is convex compact, there exist points  $a_1, \ldots, a_N \in L \setminus K$  such that the polyhedron

 $K_f = \operatorname{ch}(\mathbf{a}_1, \dots, \mathbf{a}_N)$  satisfies these conditions;

- 1)  $L \supset K, \supset K$ ;
- 2) There exist positive number  $\delta'$  and  $K'_{I} \supset K'$  such that

$$|K_f K_f'| \leq \frac{\pi}{2} - \delta'.$$

We set  $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} e^{a_n z}$ . Then, by Proposition 4.4,

 $J(-iD): Q_w(T(L):L') \rightarrow \Lambda(L')$  is continuous, linear, and hence condition A is satisfied. Suppose  $z \in T(K')$  then

$$|J(z)| = |\sum_{v=1}^{N} e^{avz}| \ge Re \sum_{v=1}^{N} e^{-avz} = \sum_{v=1}^{N} e^{-avx} \cos a_v y$$
  
 $\ge \sum_{v=1}^{N'} e^{-avx} \sin \delta' > 0,$ 

where z=x+iy. Therefore

$$\|\frac{1}{J}\|K'_{j}, K_{j} = \sup \{e^{-W_{K_{j}}(x)} \mid \frac{1}{J(z)} \mid : z = z = x + iy \in T(K_{j})\}$$

$$\leq \sup_{x \in R^{n}} \frac{e^{-W_{K_{j}}(x)}}{\sin \delta' \sum_{x=1}^{N} e^{a \sqrt{x}}} \leq \frac{1}{\sin \delta'},$$

since  $e^{W_{K_f}(x)} \le \sum_{n=1}^{N} e^{-a_n x}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

### 5. A spectral function in the case of $\mathcal{L}$ -admissible pairs.

**Proposition 5.1.** Let K,K' be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -admissible pair,  $f \in Q_w(T(K'); K)$ , J is an entifier function with the properties A and B of the definition of  $\mathcal{L}$ -admissibility and define

$$f_{f}(z) = \frac{f(z)}{I(z)}$$

then  $f_j \in Q_s(T(K') : \overline{U}_{\epsilon})$  for sufficiently small  $\epsilon > 0$ .

**Proof.** By property B, there exist  $K_j \supset K$ ,  $K'_j \supset K'$  such that  $\frac{1}{J(z)} \in Q_s(T(K'_j); K_j)$ , and since  $f(z) \in Q_w(T(K'); K)$ ,

$$\left|\frac{1}{J(z)}\right| \le C e^{W_{K_{f}}(z)}$$
 for some constant  $C > 0$ ,  $z \in T(K_{f})$ , and

$$|f(z)| \le Me^{-W_K(x)}$$
 for some constant  $M > 0$ ,  $z \in T(K')$ .

$$||f_{j}||_{K;\overline{U_{e}}} = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{e}} |e^{-W_{\overline{U_{e}}}(x)}| \frac{f(z)}{f(z)}| : z = x + iy \in T(K') \}$$

$$\leq CM \sup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_{e}} |e^{-W_{\overline{U_{e}}}(x) + W_{K_{j}}(x)}| : x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \}.$$

By property e) of the nega-support function[11], for every  $K \subset K_f$  there exists  $\delta(K) > 0$  such that  $W_{K_f}(x) - W_K(x) \le -\delta |x|$ . Hence

$$-W_{\overrightarrow{U_{\epsilon}}}(x)-W_{K}(x)+W_{K_{l}}(x)\leq -W_{\overrightarrow{U_{\epsilon}}}(x)-\delta \mid x \mid$$

$$\langle \varepsilon | x | - \delta | x | = (\varepsilon - \delta) | x |$$
.

Therefore, if  $\varepsilon < \delta$  then  $||f_j||_{K:\overline{U}_{\varepsilon}}$  is finite.

**Proposition 5.2.** Let K,K' be an  $\mathscr{L}$  admissible pair,  $f \in Q_{w}(T(K') : K)$ ,  $L \supset K$ ,  $L' \subset K'$  then there exists a spectral function  $g \in Q'_{w}(T(L) : L')$  such that

- a)  $f(z) = (g_i e^{iz})$  for every z in T(L'),
- b)  $\|g\| \le C \|f\|_{K'K_{-}}$ ,

where the constant C does not depend on f.

We set  $g_j(\xi) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[f_j](\xi)$  where  $f_j$  is the function defined in Proposition 5.1, and then  $g_j(\xi) \in Q_s(T(\overline{U}_{\epsilon_j}) : M')$ , where  $0 < \epsilon' < \epsilon$ ,  $L' \subseteq M' \subseteq K'$ , and  $f_j(z) = \mathcal{F}[g_j](z)$  and  $\|g_j\|_{\overline{U}_s^1M'} \le C \|f_j\|_{K',\overline{U}_{\epsilon}}$  for some constant C > 0.

In particular, the function  $g_j$  defines a regular functional on  $\Lambda(L')$  that acts in accordance with the formula

$$(g_{j}, \phi) = \int g_{j}(\xi)\phi(\xi)d^{n}\xi$$
, and   
 $(g_{j}, e^{\mu}) = \mathcal{F}[g_{j}](z) = f_{j}(z)$ , for every  $z \in T(L')$ ,

and  $\|g_j\| \Lambda'_{(L')} \leq C' \|g_j\|_{\overline{U}_{\bullet, L'}} \leq C'' \|f_j\|_{K',\overline{U}_{\bullet}}$  where C' and C'' are some constants.

We define the functional  $g \in Q'_w(T(L); L')$  by the equation  $(g, \phi) = (g_f, J(-iD)\phi)$ , for every  $\phi \in Q_w(T(L); L')$ .

By property A of the function J, this definition is correct.

We verify that g has the required properties a) and b). Suppose  $z \in T(L')$ , then

$$(g_{i}e^{u}) = \int g_{j}(\xi) [J(-iD)e^{u\xi}] d^{n} \xi = \int g_{j}(\xi) J(z) e^{u\xi} d^{n} \xi$$
$$= J(z) (g_{j}e^{u}) = J(z) f_{j}(z) = f(z),$$

and a) is proved.

Further, by virtue of the estimates obtained above, for any  $\phi \in Q_n(T(L); L')$ ,

$$\begin{split} \| (g, \varphi) \| & \leq \| |g_j| \| |\Lambda'(L')| \| |f(-iD)\varphi| \| |\Lambda(L')| \\ & \leq C \| |f_j| \|_{K', \overline{D}_s} \| |f(-iD)| \| |\| |\varphi| \|_{L_{L'_{loc}}}, \text{ for some constant } \end{split}$$

C>0, where ||J(-iD)|| is the norm of the operator J(-iD). However,

$$\| f_{J} \|_{K'\bar{U}_{\varepsilon}} = \sup \{ e^{-W_{\bar{U}_{\varepsilon}}(x)} | \frac{f(z)}{f(z)} | : z = x + iy \in T(K') \}$$

$$\leq \sup_{x \in R^{n}} \{ e^{z + x + 1} \| f \|_{K', K_{n}} e^{-W_{K}(x)} \| \frac{1}{f} \|_{K'_{J}, K_{J}} e^{-W_{K'_{J}}(x)} \}$$

$$= \| f \|_{K'_{J}, K_{n}} \| \frac{1}{f} \|_{K'_{J}, K_{J}} \sup_{z \in R^{n}} \{ e^{-x + 1} | W_{K'_{J}}(z) + W_{K'_{J}}(x) \}$$

$$= \| f \|_{K_{-}K_{w}} \| \frac{1}{J} \|_{K_{J}K_{I}}$$

Since  $\varepsilon \mid x \mid -W_{K}(x) + W_{K_{j}}(x) \le 0$  for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ . Therefore

 $\|(g,\phi)\| \le C \|f\|_{K^*,K_{\omega}} \|\phi\|_{L,L_{\omega}}$ , where the constant C does not depend on f or  $\phi$ , so that property b) also holds.

To study the uniqueness of the spectral function, we require ancillary assertions about integration under the symbol of an analytic functional.

**Proposition 5.3.** Suppose  $g \in Q'_w(T(K); K')$ . F is a compact set in R'',  $y \in L' \subseteq K'$ ,  $\psi \in \mathcal{H}(T(K'))$  then

$$\int_{F} (g_{i}e^{u}) \psi(z) d^{n}x = (g(\zeta) \int_{F} e^{u\zeta} \psi(z) d^{n}x), \quad (z = x + iy).$$

**Proof.** Suppose  $\sum_{v=1}^{N} [z^{uv}\psi(z_v)]$  mes  $F_v(z_v=x_v+iy)$  is an integral sum for the integral  $\int_F e^u\psi(z)d^nx$ , where  $\{F_v: v=1,2,\ldots,N\}$  is a partitioning of F with mesh  $\delta$ (i.e.,  $\delta=\sup\{d(F_v): v=1,2,\ldots,N\}$ , where  $d(F_v)$  is diameter of  $F_v$ ), mes  $F_v$  is the Lebesgue measure of  $F_v$ . Consider the difference

$$\triangle(\zeta) = \sum_{v=1}^{N} \left[ e^{u_v} \quad \psi(z_v) \right] \quad \text{mes} \quad F_v - \int_F e^{u\zeta} \psi(z) d^n x$$

$$\sum_{v=1}^{N} \int_{F_v} \left[ e^{u_v \zeta} \quad \psi(z_v) - e^{u\zeta} \psi(z) \right] d^n x.$$

By means of the first mean value theorem, we obtained

$$| \triangle(\zeta) | \leq \sum_{v=1}^{N} | e^{iz\cdot\zeta} \psi(z_v) - e^{iz\cdot\zeta} \psi(z_v') | \text{ mes } F_v(z_v' = x_v' + iy)$$

$$\leq \text{mes } F \text{ sup } \{ | e^{iz\cdot\zeta} \psi(z') - e^{iz\cdot\zeta} \psi(z'') | : z' = x' + iy, z'' = x'' + iy$$

$$| x' - x'' | \leq \delta, x', x'' \in F \}$$

Fixing a sufficiently small r>0 and using the integral Cauchy formula, we obtain

 $| \triangle(\zeta) | \le \text{mes } F \cdot \frac{2}{r} \sup \{ | e^{iz\zeta} \psi(z) | : z \in F_r + iL'_r \} \delta$ , for every  $\delta \le \frac{r}{2}$  (we recall that  $F_r$  and  $L'_r$  are real r-neighborhood of the sets F and L'). Therefore

$$\| \triangle \|_{K,K_{\mathbb{W}}} = \sup \{ e^{W_{K'(\xi)}} \mid \triangle(\zeta) \mid : \zeta \in T(K) \}$$

$$\leq \sup_{\zeta \in T(K)} \{ e^{W_{K'(\xi)}} \quad \text{mes } F \frac{2}{r} \quad \sup | e^{ix\zeta} | \quad \sup | \psi(z) | \} \delta$$

$$z \in F_r + iL'_r \quad z \in F_r + iL'_r$$

$$\leq [\max F \frac{2}{r} \sup_{z \in F_r + iL'_r} | \psi(z) | \quad \sup_{x \in F_r} e^{W_{K'(x)}} \sup_{\xi \in R^n} e^{W_{K'(\xi)} \cdot W_{L'}(\xi)} ] \delta$$

$$= C\delta, \text{ for every } \delta \leq \frac{r}{2}$$

since  $W_{K}(\xi)-W_{L}(\xi)\leq 0$  for sufficiently small r.

Thus  $\| \triangle \|_{K,K_w'} \to 0$  in the limit  $\delta \to 0$ . This means that the integral sums converge to the integral in topology of  $Q_w(T(K); K')$  and therefore, one can integrate under the functional sign, from which the required equation then follows.

**Theorem 5.4.** Suppose  $g \in Q'_{w}(T(K); K')$ ,  $\psi \in Q_{s}(T(K'); L)$ ,  $L \supset K$ ,  $z=x+iy \in T(K')$  then

$$\int (g,e^{u})\psi(z)d^{n}x = (g, \mathcal{F}[\psi]).$$

For suppose R>0, we set

$$\triangle_{R}(\zeta) = \mathcal{F}[\psi](\zeta) - \iint_{x} e^{i\zeta x} \psi(z) d^{n}x = \iint_{x} e^{i\zeta x} \psi(z) d^{n}x.$$

Then we can show that  $\triangle_R(\zeta) \in Q_w(T(K); K')$  and  $\|\triangle_R\|_{K,K_\omega} \to 0$  as  $R \to \infty$ .

This means that  $\int_{|x| \leq R} e^{i\zeta z} \psi(z) d^n x \to \mathcal{F}[\psi](\zeta)$  in the topology of  $Q_x(T(K); K')$  as  $R \to \infty$ , so that

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} (g(\zeta), \quad \int_{|z|< R} e^{i\zeta z} \psi(z) d^n x) = (g, \mathcal{F}[\psi]).$$

By the Proposition 5.3,  $\int_{|x| < R} (g_i e^{iz}) \psi(z) d^n x = (g(\zeta), \quad \int_{|x| < R} e^{i\zeta z} \psi(z) d^n x),$ 

we obtain the required equation.

**Theorem 5.5.** Suppose  $g \in Q'_{w}(T(K); K')$  and  $(g,e^{\pi})=0$  for all  $z \in T(\{y\})$  = R'' + iy for some  $y \in K'$ . Then g = 0 on  $Q_{s}(C'')$  and hence g = 0 on any space  $Q_{w}(T(L); L')$  with  $L \supset K$ ,  $L' \subset K'$ .

**Proof.** For any function  $\psi \in \overleftarrow{Q}_s(C^n)$ , z = x + iy,  $(g, \mathscr{F}[\psi]) = \int (g, e^n) d^n x = 0$  by Theorem 5.4. Since  $\mathscr{F}(\overleftarrow{Q}_s(C^n)) = \overleftarrow{Q}_s(C^n)$ , g = 0 on  $\overleftarrow{Q}_s(C^n)$ . Be-

cause  $\overleftarrow{Q}_{s}(C'')$  is dense in  $Q_{w}(T(L):L')$  in the topology of  $Q_{w}(T(K):K')$  if  $L\supset K$ ,  $L'\subset K'$ , g=0 on  $Q_{w}(T(L):L')$ .

By the above Theorem 5.5 and the Hahn-Banach theorem the linear hull of the set  $\{e^{i(x+iy)}:x\in R^n\}$  for fixed  $y\in L'$  is dense in  $Q_w(T(L):L')$  in the topology of  $Q_w(T(K):K')$  for all  $L\supset K$ ,  $L'\subset K'$ .

**Theorem 5.6.** Let g',  $g'' \in Q'_w(T(L); L')$  be two spectral functions for  $f \in Q_w(T(K'); K)$ , where  $L \supset K$ ,  $L' \subset K'$  then g' = g'' on any space  $Q_w(T(M); M')$  with  $M \supset L$ ,  $M' \subset L'$ .

To prove this, it sufficient to set g=g'-g' and use Theorem 5.5.

#### References

- A. Kaneko, On the structure of Fourier hyperfunctions, Proc. Japan Acad, 48(1972), 651-653.
- Representation of hyperfunctions by measures and some of its applications, J. Fac Sci., Univ. Tokyo, Sec. IA, 19(1972), 321-352.
- H Komatsu, Projective and injective limits of weakly compact sequences of locally convex spaces, J. Math. Soci. Japan, Vol. 19, No.3, July, 1967, 366-383.
- M. Morimoto, Introduction to Sato Hyperfunctions, Kyoritsu Shuppan Tokyo, 1976(in Japanese).
- P. Sargos and M. Morumoto, Transformation des Fonctionnelles Analytiques
  à Porteurs non Compacts, Tokyo J. of Math. Vol 5, No.2, Dec., 1981, 457492.
- V.V. Zharinov, Laplace Transformation of Fourier Hyperfunctions and Related classes of Analytic Functionals, Teoret. 1 Mat. Fiz. 33, No.3, Dec., 1977, 291-

309.

- Distributive Lattices and Their Applications in Complex Analysis,
   Proc. Stek. Inst. Math., 1985, Issue 1.
- Y.S. Park and M. Morimoto, Fourier ultra-hyperfunctions in the Euclidean n-space, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sec. IA Math. 20(1973), 121-127.
- Y.S. Park, Fourier Ultra-Hyperfunctions Valued in a Fréchet Space, Tokyo
   J. of Math. Vol. 5, No.1, 143-155, June, 1982.
- T. Kawai, On the theory of Fourier hyperfunctions and its applications to partial differential equations with constant coefficients, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sec. IA Math. 17(1970), 465-517.
- 11. Y.S. Park, Banach Spaces of the Type  $Q_s$  and the Type  $Q_w(l)$ , (to appear).