

## NOTES ON THE RUSCHEWEYH DERIVATIVES

Nak Eun Cho and Oh Sang Kwon

### Abstract

We introduce the classes  $S^*(n, \alpha)$  and  $K(n, \alpha)$  of analytic functions by using the symbol  $D^\alpha f(z)$  defined by  $\frac{z}{(1-z)^{1+\alpha}} * f(z)$  ( $\alpha \geq -1$ ). The object of the present paper is to derive some properties of these classes.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $A(n)$  be the class of the functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (n \in N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\})$$

which are analytic in the unit disk  $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ .

A function  $f(z)$  belonging to the class  $A(n)$  is said to be in the class  $S^*(n)$  if and only if

$$(1.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in U).$$

Further, a function  $f(z)$  belonging to the class  $A(n)$  is said to be in the class  $K(n)$  if and only if

$$(1.3) \quad \operatorname{Re}\left\{1 + \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right\} > 0 \quad (z \in U).$$

Note that  $f(z) \in K(n)$  if and only if  $zf'(z) \in S^*(n)$ , and that  $K(n) \subset S^*(n)$ .

For the functions  $f_j(z) (j=1,2)$  defined by

$$(1.4) \quad f_j(z) = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_{kj} z^k,$$

we define the Hadamard product (or convolution)  $f_1 * f_2(z)$  of  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  by

$$(1.5) \quad f_1 * f_2(z) = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_{k1} a_{k2} z^k.$$

Making use of the convolution, Ruscheweyh [4] introduced the symbol  $D^\alpha f(z)$  by

$$(1.6) \quad D^\alpha f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{1+\alpha}} * f(z) \quad (\alpha \geq -1)$$

for  $f(z)$  in  $A(n)$ , which is called the Ruscheweyh derivative of  $f(z)$ .

A function  $f(z)$  belonging to the class  $A(n)$  is said to be in the class  $S^*(n, \alpha)$  if it satisfies  $D^\alpha f(z) \in S^*(n)$  for  $\alpha \geq -1$ . A function  $f(z)$  belonging to the class  $A(n)$  is said to be in the class  $K(n, \alpha)$  if it satisfies  $D^\alpha f(z) \in K(n)$  for  $\alpha \geq -1$ .

The classes  $S^*(1, \alpha)$  and  $K(1, \alpha)$  for  $n=1$  were studied by Owa, Fukui, Sakaguchi and Ogawa [3].

## 2. Some Properties of The Classes $S^*(n, \alpha)$ and $K(n, \alpha)$

In order to derive some properties of our classes, we have to recall here the following results.

**Lemma 1** ([1], [3]). for  $\alpha \geq -1$ , we have

$$(2.1) \quad z(D^\alpha f(z))' = (\alpha + 1)D^{\alpha+1}f(z) - \alpha D^\alpha f(z).$$

**Lemma 2**([2]). Let  $\phi(u, v)$  be a complex valued function,

$$\phi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad D \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} (\mathbb{C} \text{ is the complex plane}),$$

and let  $u = u_1 + iu_2, v = v_1 + iv_2$ . Suppose that the function  $\phi(u, v)$  satisfies the following conditions :

- (1)  $\phi(u, v)$  is continuous in  $D$  ;
- (2)  $(1, 0) \in D$  and  $Re\{\phi(1, 0)\} > 0$  ;
- (3) for all  $(iu_2, v_1) \in D$  and such that  $v_1 \leq -n(1 + u_2^2)/2$ ,  
 $Re\{\phi(iu_2, v_1)\} \leq 0$ .

Let  $P(z) = 1 + p_n z^n + p_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$  be regular in the unit disk  $U$  such that  $(p(z), zp'(z)) \in D$  for all  $z \in U$ . If

$$Re\{\phi(p(z), zp'(z))\} > 0 \quad (z \in U),$$

then  $Re\{P(z)\} > 0$  ( $z \in U$ ).

Applying the above lemmas, we derive

**Theorem 1.** For  $\alpha \geq 0$ , we have

$$S^*(n, \alpha + 1) \subset S^*(n, \alpha).$$

**Proof.** Define the function  $p(z)$  by

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{z(D^\alpha f(z))'}{D^\alpha f(z)} = p(z)$$

for  $f(z)$  belonging to the class  $S^*(n, \alpha + 1)$ . Then  $p(z) = 1 + p_n z^n + p_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$  is regular in  $U$ , so using Lemma 1, we have

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{D^{\alpha+1} f(z)}{D^\alpha f(z)} = \frac{1}{\alpha+1} (\alpha + p(z)).$$

Taking the logarithmic differentiations of both sides in (2.3), we obtain

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{z(D^{\alpha+1} f(z))'}{D^{\alpha+1} f(z)} = p(z) + \frac{z p'(z)}{\alpha + p(z)}$$

or

$$(2.5) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z(D^{\alpha+1} f(z))'}{D^{\alpha+1} f(z)} \right\} = \operatorname{Re} \left\{ p(z) + \frac{z p'(z)}{\alpha + p(z)} \right\} > 0.$$

Let  $p(z) = u = u_1 + iu_2$ ,  $z p'(z) = v = v_1 + iv_2$ , and

$$(2.6) \quad \phi(u, v) = u + \frac{v}{\alpha + u}$$

Then

- (1)  $\phi(u, v)$  is continuous in  $D = (C - \{\alpha\}) \times C$ ;
- (2)  $(1, 0) \in D$  and  $\operatorname{Re}\{\phi(1, 0)\} = 1 > 0$ .
- (3) for all  $(iu_2, v_1) \in D$  and such that  $v_1 \leq -n(1 + u_2^2)/2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\{\phi(iu_2v_1)\} &= \frac{\alpha v_1}{\alpha^2 + u_2^2} \\ &\leq -\frac{\alpha n(1 + u_2^2)}{2(\alpha^2 + u_2^2)} \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the function  $\phi(u, v)$  satisfies the conditions in Lemma 2. This implies that

$$(2.7) \quad \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z(D^\alpha f(z))'}{D^\alpha f(z)}\right\} = \operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\} > 0 \quad (z \in U),$$

this is, that  $f(z) \in S^*(n, \alpha)$ . Thus we complete the assertion of Theorem 1.

**Remark.** From the definition of the Ruscheweyh derivative, it is easy to see that

$$z(D^\alpha f(z))' = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{1+\alpha}} * z^{\alpha}(z) = D^\alpha(z^\alpha(z)).$$

With the help of Theorem 1 and Remark, we prove

**Theorem 2.** For  $\alpha \geq 0$ , we have

$$K(n, \alpha + 1) \subset K(n, \alpha).$$

**Proof.** Note that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) \in K(n, \alpha + 1) &\Leftrightarrow D^{\alpha+1}f(z) \in K(n) \\ &\Leftrightarrow z(D^{\alpha+1}f(z))' \in S^*(n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Leftrightarrow D^{\alpha+1}(zf'(z)) \in S^*(n) \\
&\Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in S^*(n, \alpha+1) \\
&\Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in S^*(n, \alpha) \\
&\Leftrightarrow D^\alpha(zf'(z)) \in S^*(n) \\
&\Leftrightarrow z(D^\alpha f(z))' \in S^*(n) \\
&\Leftrightarrow D^\alpha f(z) \in K(n) \\
&\Leftrightarrow f(z) \in K(n, \alpha).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Finally, we drive

**Theorem 3.** If the function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) is in the class  $S^*(n, \alpha)$ , then

$$(2.8) \quad \operatorname{Re}\left\{\left(\frac{D^\alpha f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta-1}\right\} > \frac{n}{2(\beta-1)+n} \quad (z \in U),$$

where  $1 < \beta \leq (n-2)/2$ .

**Proof.** Defining the function  $p(z)$  by

$$(2.9) \quad \left(\frac{D^\alpha f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta-1} = \gamma + (1-\gamma)p(z)$$

for  $f(z) \in S^*(n, \alpha)$ , where  $\gamma = \frac{n}{2(\beta-1)+n}$ , we see that  $p(z) = 1 + p_n z^n + p_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$  is regular in  $U$ . Making the logarithmic differentiations of both sides in (2.9), we have

$$(2.10) \quad \frac{z(D^\alpha f(z))'}{D^\alpha f(z)} = 1 + \frac{(1-\gamma)z p'(z)}{(\beta-1) \{\gamma + (1-\gamma)p(z)\}}$$

Therefore

$$(2.11) \quad \operatorname{Re}\left\{1 + \frac{(1-\gamma)z p'(z)}{(\beta-1) \{\gamma + (1-\gamma)p(z)\}}\right\} > 0 \quad (z \in U).$$

Letting  $p(z) = u = u_1 + iu_2$ ,  $zp'(z) = v = v_1 + iv_2$ , and

$$(2.12) \quad \phi(u, v) = 1 + \frac{(1-\gamma)v}{(\beta-1) \{\gamma + (1-\gamma)u\}},$$

we obtain that

- (1)  $\phi(u, v)$  is continuous in  $D = (C - \{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1}\}) \times C$ ;
- (2)  $(1, 0) \in D$  and  $\operatorname{Re}\{\phi(1, 0)\} = 1 > 0$ ;
- (3) for all  $(iu_2 v_1) \in D$  and such that  $v_1 \leq -n(1+u_2^2)/2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\{\phi(iu_2 v_1)\} &= 1 + \frac{\gamma(1-\gamma)v_1}{(\beta-1) \{\gamma^2 + (1-\gamma)^2 u_2^2\}} \\ &\leq 1 - \frac{n\gamma(1-\gamma)(1+u_2^2)}{2(\beta-1) \{\gamma^2 + (1-\gamma)^2 u_2^2\}} \\ &\leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $1 < \beta \leq (n+2)/2$ . Therefore, with the aid of Lemma 2, we conclude that  $\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\} > 0$  ( $z \in U$ ), that is, that

$$(2.13) \quad \operatorname{Re}\left\{\left(\frac{D^\alpha f(z)}{z}\right)^{\beta-1}\right\} > \gamma = \frac{n}{2(\beta-1)+n} \quad (z \in U).$$

**Corollary.** If the function  $f(z)$  defined by (1.1) is in the class  $K(n, \alpha)$ , then

$$(2.14) \quad \operatorname{Re}\{(D^\alpha f(z))'\}^{\beta+1} > \frac{n}{2(\beta-1)+n} \quad (z \in U),$$

where  $1 < \beta \leq (n+2)/2$

**Proof.** Noting that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) \in K(n, \alpha) &\Leftrightarrow D^\alpha f(z) \in K(n) \\ &\Leftrightarrow z(D^\alpha f(z))' \in S^*(n) \\ &\Leftrightarrow D^\alpha(zf'(z)) \in S^*(n) \\ &\Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in S^*(n, \alpha), \end{aligned}$$

we complete the proof of Corollary.

#### References

1. S. Fukui and K. Sakaguchi, An extension of a theorem of S. Ruscheweyh, Bull. Fac. Edu. Wakayama Univ. Nat. Sci. 29(1980), 1-3.
2. S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Second order differential inequalities in the complex plane, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 65(1978), 289-305.
3. S. Owa, S. Fukui, K. Sakaguchi and S. Ogawa, An application of the Ruscheweyh derivatives, Internat. J. Math. Sci. 4(1986), 721-730.
4. S. Ruscheweyh, New criteria for univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 49(1975), 109-115.

Nak Eun Cho : Department of Applied Mathematics  
National Fisheries University of Pusan  
Pusan 608-737

Oh Sang Kwon : Department of Mathematics  
Kyung Sung University  
Pusan 608-736