

## DUAL OPERATOR ALGEBRAS AND $C_0$ -OPERATORS

IL BONG JUNG\* AND YONG CHAN KIM\*\*

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a separable, infinite dimensional, complex Hilbert space and let  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  be the algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . A *dual algebra* is a subalgebra of  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  that contains  $I_{\mathcal{H}}$  and is closed in the weak\* topology on  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ . The theory of dual algebras have been applied to the topics of invariant subspaces, dilation theory, and reflexivity (cf. [6]). In [5], Bercovici-Foias-Pearcy studied the problem of solving systems of simultaneous equations in the predual of a dual algebra. The theory of dual algebras is deeply related to the study of the classes  $\mathbf{A}_{m,n}$  (to be defined below), where  $m$  and  $n$  are any cardinal numbers with  $1 \leq m, n \leq \aleph_0$ . That is the main topic of this paper. Jung [16] showed that the classes  $\mathbf{A}_{m,n}$  are distinct one from another. Apostol-Bercovici-Foias-Pearcy [1] obtained an abstract geometric criterion for membership in  $\mathbf{A}_{\aleph_0}$ . Bercovici-Brown-Chevreaux-Exner-Pearcy [7][10][11][12] obtained some relationship between dual algebras and Fredholm theory, and established topological criteria for membership in  $\mathbf{A}_{\aleph_0}$  or  $\mathbf{A}_{1,\aleph_0}$ . Bercovici [3] and Chevreau [9] proved independently that  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_1$ . Recently several functional analysts have studied sufficient conditions for membership in the class  $\mathbf{A}_{1,\aleph_0}$ ,  $\mathbf{A}_{\aleph_0}$  or  $\mathbf{A}$  (cf. [13], [15], [17]). As a sequel to this study, we shall obtain a sufficient condition for membership in the classes  $\mathbf{A}_{1,n}$  in this paper. Also, we study  $C_0$ -operators and the unilateral shift  $S^{(n)}$  of multiplicity  $n$  concerning the classes  $\mathbf{A}_{m,n}$ .

### 2. Notation and preliminaries

The notation and terminology employed herein agree with those in

---

Received February 3, 1990.

\*The first author was partially supported by the Basic Science Research Institute Program, Ministry of Education, 1989.

\*\*The second author was partially supported by Korean Traders Scholarship Foundation.

[2], [6], [8], and [19]. The class  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{H})$  is the Banach space of trace-class operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  equipped with the trace norm. The dual algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  can be identified with a dual space of  $Q_{\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{H}) / {}^{\perp}\mathcal{A}$ , where  ${}^{\perp}\mathcal{A}$  is the preannihilator in  $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{H})$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , under the pairing

$$(2.1) \quad \langle T, [L]_{\mathcal{A}} \rangle = \text{tr}(TL), \quad T \in \mathcal{A}, \quad [L] \in Q_{\mathcal{A}}.$$

We write  $[L]$  for  $[L]_{\mathcal{A}}$  when there is no possibility of confusion. If  $x$  and  $y$  are vectors in  $\mathcal{H}$ , we denote a rank one operator  $(x \otimes y)(u) = (u, y)x$  for all  $u$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ . As noted above, we write  $[x \otimes y]$  for  $[x \otimes y]_{\mathcal{A}}$  when there is no possibility of confusion.

**DEFINITION 2.1** [6]. Suppose  $m$  and  $n$  are cardinal numbers such that  $1 \leq m, n \leq \aleph_0$ . A dual algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  will be said to have property  $(\mathbf{A}_{m, n})$  if every  $m \times n$  system of simultaneous equations of the form

$$(2.2) \quad [x_i \otimes y_j] = [L_{ij}], \quad 0 \leq i < m, \quad 0 \leq j < n,$$

where  $\{[L_{ij}]\}_{\substack{0 \leq i < m \\ 0 \leq j < n}}$  is an arbitrary  $m \times n$  array from  $Q_{\mathcal{A}}$ , has a solution

$\{x_i\}_{0 \leq i < m}$ ,  $\{y_j\}_{0 \leq j < n}$  consisting of a pair of sequences of vectors from  $\mathcal{H}$ . Furthermore, if  $m$  and  $n$  are positive integers and  $r$  is a fixed real number satisfying  $r \geq 1$ , a dual algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  (with property  $(\mathbf{A}_{m, n})$ ) is said to have property  $(\mathbf{A}_{m, n}(r))$  if for every  $s > r$  and every  $m \times n$  array  $\{[L_{ij}]\}_{\substack{0 \leq i < m \\ 0 \leq j < n}}$  from  $Q_{\mathcal{A}}$ , there exist sequences  $\{x_i\}_{0 \leq i < m}$ , and

$\{y_j\}_{0 \leq j < n}$  that satisfy (2.2) and also satisfy the following conditions:

$$(2.3a) \quad \|x_i\|^2 \leq s \sum_{0 \leq j < n} \|[L_{ij}]\|, \quad 0 \leq i < m$$

and

$$(2.3b) \quad \|y_j\|^2 \leq s \sum_{0 \leq i < m} \|[L_{ij}]\|, \quad 0 \leq j < n.$$

Finally, a dual algebra  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  has property  $(\mathbf{A}_{m, \aleph_0}(r))$  (for some real number  $r \geq 1$ ) if for every  $s > r$  and every array  $\{[L_{ij}]\}_{\substack{0 \leq i < m \\ 0 \leq j < \infty}}$  from  $Q_{\mathcal{A}}$  with summable rows, there exist sequences  $\{x_i\}_{0 \leq i < m}$  and  $\{y_j\}_{0 \leq j < \infty}$  of vectors from  $\mathcal{H}$  that satisfy (2.2) and (2.3a, b) with the replacement of  $n$  by  $\aleph_0$ . Properties  $(\mathbf{A}_{\aleph_0, n}(r))$  and  $(\mathbf{A}_{\aleph_0, \aleph_0}(r))$  are defined similarly.

For a brief notation, we shall denote  $(\mathbf{A}_{n, n})$  by  $(\mathbf{A}_n)$ . A contraction operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is *absolutely continuous* if in the canonical decomposition  $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$ , where  $T_1$  is a unitary operator and  $T_2$  is a completely nonunitary contraction,  $T_1$  is either absolutely continuous or acts on

the space (0). We write  $D$  for the open unit disc in the complex plane  $C$  and  $T$  for the boundary of  $D$ . The space  $L^p = L^p(T)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , is the usual Lebesgue function space relative to normalized Lebesgue measure  $m$  on  $T$ . The space  $H^p = H^p(T)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , is the usual Hardy space. It is well-known (cf. [14]) that the space  $H^\infty$  is the dual space of  $L^1/H_0^1$ , where

$$(2.4) \quad H_0^1 = \{f \in L^1 : \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{it}) e^{int} dt = 0, \text{ for } n=0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

and the duality is given by the pairing

$$(2.5) \quad \langle f, [g] \rangle = \int_T f g \, dm, \text{ for } f \in H^\infty, [g] \in L^1/H_0^1.$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{A}_T$  the dual algebra generated by  $T$  and denote by  $Q_{\mathcal{A}}$  the predual space  $Q_{\mathcal{A}_T}$  of  $\mathcal{A}_T$ .

**THEOREM 2.2** [6, Theorem 4.1]. *Let  $T$  be an absolutely continuous contraction in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ . Then there exists a functional calculus  $\Phi_T : H^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_T$  defined by  $\Phi_T(f) = f(T)$  for every  $f$  in  $H^\infty$ . The mapping  $\Phi_T$  is a norm-decreasing, weak\* continuous algebra homomorphism, and the range of  $\Phi_T$  is weak\* dense in  $\mathcal{A}_T$ . Furthermore, there exists a bounded, linear, one-to-one map  $\phi_T$  of  $Q_T$  into  $L^1/H_0^1$  such that  $\Phi_T = \phi_T^*$ .*

**DEFINITION 2.3** [5]. We define by  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{H})$  the class of all absolutely continuous contractions  $T$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  for which the functional calculus  $\Phi_T : H^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_T$  is an isometry. Furthermore, if  $m$  and  $n$  are any cardinal numbers such that  $1 \leq m, n \leq \aleph_0$ , we define by  $\mathbf{A}_{m,n} = \mathbf{A}_{m,n}(\mathcal{H})$  the set of all  $T$  in  $\mathbf{A}(\mathcal{H})$  such that the singly generated dual algebra  $\mathcal{A}_T$  has property  $(\mathbf{A}_{m,n})$ .

It follows from [5] that if  $T \in \mathbf{A}$ , then  $\Phi_T$  is a weak\* homeomorphism and  $\phi_T$  is an isometry of  $Q_T$  onto  $L^1/H_0^1$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a Hilbert space and let  $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ . Then we write  $T_1 \cong T_2$  if  $T_1$  is unitarily equivalent to  $T_2$ . Throughout this paper,  $N$  is the set of all natural numbers. For an invariant subspace  $\mathcal{M}$  for an operator  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ , we write  $T|_{\mathcal{M}}$  for the restriction to  $\mathcal{M}$ .

### 3. A sufficient condition for membership in the classes $\mathbf{A}_{1,n}$

We start this section as the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.1. *Suppose  $T, S \in \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{H})$ . Let  $[L]_T \in Q_T$  and let  $[M]_S \in Q_S$ . Then  $\phi_T([L]_T) = \phi_S([M]_S)$  if and only if  $\langle T^n, [L]_T \rangle = \langle S^n, [M]_S \rangle$ , for  $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$*

*Proof* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) For  $n=0, 1, 2, \dots$ , we have

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle T^n, [L]_T \rangle &= \langle T^n, \phi_T^{-1} \phi_S([M]_S) \rangle \\ &= \langle \Phi_T(\xi^n), \phi_T^{-1} \phi_S([M]_S) \rangle \\ &= \langle \xi^n, \phi_S([M]_S) \rangle \\ &= \langle S^n, [M]_S \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Since  $\langle p(T), [L]_T \rangle = \langle p(S), [M]_S \rangle$  for any polynomial  $p$ , we have

$$(3.2) \quad \langle h(T), [L]_T \rangle = \langle h(S), [M]_S \rangle$$

for any  $h \in H^\infty$ . Then

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle h, \phi_T([L]_T) \rangle &= \langle h(T), [L]_T \rangle = \langle h(S), [M]_S \rangle \\ &= \langle h, \phi_S([M]_S) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $h \in H^\infty$ . Hence  $\phi_T([L]_T) = \phi_S([M]_S)$ . The proof is complete.

The following proposition is a generalization of [15, Proposition 2.4].

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Suppose  $\mathcal{H}_i$  is a separable, infinite dimensional, complex Hilbert space, for  $i=1, 2, \dots, m$ . Let  $n_i \in \mathbf{N}$ , and let  $T_i \in \mathbf{A}_{1, n_i}(\mathcal{H}_i)(r_i)$  and  $r_i \geq 1$  for  $i=1, 2, \dots, m$ . Then*

$$(3.4) \quad \bigoplus_{i=1}^m T_i \in \mathbf{A}_{1, N}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i)(r),$$

where  $r = \max\{r_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq m\}$  and  $N = n_1 + \dots + n_m$ .

*Proof.* Let us denote  $\hat{T} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^m T_i$ . Let  $s > r$  and let

$$(3.5) \quad \{[L_k]_{\hat{T}}\}_{1 \leq k \leq N} \subset Q_{\hat{T}}.$$

For a convenient calculation, we correspond  $\{[L_j^{(i)}]\}_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n_i}}$  with  $\{[L_k]\}_{1 \leq k \leq N}$ . Let us denote  $\phi_i = \phi_{T_i}^{-1} \phi_{\hat{T}}$ . Then it is obvious that  $\phi_i$  is an isometric isomorphic weak\* homeomorphism from  $Q_{\hat{T}}$  onto  $Q_{T_i}$ . Since  $\phi_i([L_j^{(i)}]_{\hat{T}}) \in Q_{T_i}$  and  $s > r_i$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq n_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , there exist vectors  $x^{(i)}$  and  $y_j^{(i)}$  in  $\mathcal{H}_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n_i$  such that

$$(3.6a) \quad \phi_i([L_j^{(i)}]_{\hat{T}}) = [x^{(i)} \otimes y_j^{(i)}]_{T_i},$$

$$(3.6b) \quad \|x^{(i)}\|^2 \leq s \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} \|[L_j^{(i)}]_{\hat{T}}\|, \text{ and } \|y_j^{(i)}\|^2 \leq s \|[L_j^{(i)}]_{\hat{T}}\|.$$

Now let  $\tilde{x} = x^{(1)} \oplus \dots \oplus x^{(m)}$  and  $\tilde{y}_j^{(i)} = \underbrace{0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0}_{(i-1)} \oplus y_j^{(i)} \oplus 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0$ , for

$1 \leq j \leq n_i, 1 \leq i \leq m$ . Then it follows from Lemma 3.1 and (3.6a) that we have

$$(3.7) \quad \phi_i([\tilde{x} \otimes \tilde{y}_j^{(i)}]_{\hat{T}}) = [x^{(i)} \otimes y_j^{(i)}]_{T_i} = \phi_i([L_j^{(i)}]_{\hat{T}})$$

since

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \hat{T}^n, [\tilde{x} \otimes \tilde{y}_j^{(i)}]_{\hat{T}} \rangle &= \langle \hat{T}^n \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}_j^{(i)} \rangle \\ &= \langle T_i^n x^{(i)}, y_j^{(i)} \rangle \\ &= \langle T_i^n, [x^{(i)} \otimes y_j^{(i)}]_{T_i} \rangle, \text{ for } n=0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $[\tilde{x} \otimes \tilde{y}_j^{(i)}]_{\hat{T}} = [L_j^{(i)}]_{\hat{T}}$ . Furthermore, since

$$(3.9) \quad \|\tilde{x}\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m \|x^{(i)}\|^2 \leq s \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq n_i}} \|[L_j^{(i)}]_{\hat{T}}\|$$

and

$$(3.10) \quad \|\tilde{y}_j^{(i)}\|^2 = \|y_j^{(i)}\|^2 \leq s \|[L_j^{(i)}]_{\hat{T}}\|, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m,$$

we have  $\hat{T} \in \mathbf{A}_{1,N}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^m \mathcal{H}_i)(r)$ . Thus the proof is complete.

It is well known that  $T \in \mathbf{A}_{1,n}(\mathcal{H})$  if and only if for any weak\*-continuous functional  $\varphi_i$  on  $\mathcal{A}_T$ ,  $0 \leq i < n$ , there exist vectors  $x$  and  $y_i$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $0 \leq i < n$  such that

$$(3.11) \quad \varphi_i(h(T)) = (h(T)x, y_i).$$

Using the above statement, we obtain a sufficient condition for membership in  $\mathbf{A}_{1,n}$ .

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Suppose  $T \in \mathbf{A}(\mathcal{H})$  and  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Assume that for any  $\tilde{x}$  in  $\underbrace{\mathcal{H} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{H}}_{(n)}$ , there exists a vector  $x$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  such that*

$$(3.12n) \quad \tilde{T} | (\bigvee_{k \geq 0} \tilde{T}^k \tilde{x}) \cong T | (\bigvee_{k \geq 0} T^k x),$$

where  $\tilde{T} = \underbrace{T \oplus \dots \oplus T}_{(n)}$ . Then  $T \in \mathbf{A}_{1,n}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\zeta_i : \mathcal{A}_T \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be a weak\*-continuous functional, for  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then it follows from [6, Proposition 1.7] that there exists  $[L_i]_T \in \mathcal{Q}_T$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ , such that

$$(3.13) \quad \zeta_i(h(T)) = \langle h(T), [L_i]_T \rangle, \quad \forall h \in H^\infty.$$

Furthermore, since  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_1$  (cf. [3], [9]), there exist vectors  $x_i$  and

$y_i$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ , such that  $[L_i]_T = [x_i \otimes y_i]_T$ . It is equivalent to

$$(3.14) \quad \zeta_i(h(T)) = (h(T)x_i, y_i), \quad \forall h \in H^\infty, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Moreover, we have  $\tilde{T} \in \mathbf{A}_{1,n}$  by Proposition 3.2. Since

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \zeta_i(T^k) &= (T^k x_i, y_i) \\ &= (\tilde{T}^k(x_i \underbrace{\oplus 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0}_{(n-1)}, \underbrace{(y_i \oplus 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0)}_{(n-1)}) \\ &= \langle \tilde{T}^k, [(\underbrace{x_i \oplus 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0}_{(n-1)}) \otimes (\underbrace{y_i \oplus 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0}_{(n-1)})]_{\tilde{T}} \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $k=0, 1, 2, \dots$ , there exist vectors  $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}_i \in \underbrace{\mathcal{H} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{H}}_{(n)}$ ,

$i=1, 2, \dots, n$  such that

$$(3.16) \quad \zeta_i(T^k) = (\tilde{T}^k \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}_i), \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n, \quad k=0, 1, 2, \dots$$

If we set  $\mathcal{M} = \bigvee_{0 \leq k} \tilde{T}^k \tilde{x}$ , we get from the hypothesis that there exist a vector  $u$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  and a unitary operator

$$(3.17) \quad U : \mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \bigvee_{0 \leq k} T^k u$$

such that  $TU = U(\tilde{T}|_{\mathcal{M}})$ . Hence if we denote  $v_i = UP_{\mathcal{M}}\tilde{y}_i$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots, n$ , where  $P_{\mathcal{M}}$  is the orthogonal projection whose range is  $\mathcal{M}$ , then

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} (\tilde{T}^k \tilde{x}, \tilde{y}_i) &= (\tilde{T}^k \tilde{x}, P_{\mathcal{M}}\tilde{y}_i) = (\tilde{T}^k \tilde{x}, U^*v_i) \\ &= (U\tilde{T}^k \tilde{x}, v_i) = (T^k U\tilde{x}, v_i), \quad \text{for } i=1, 2, \dots, n, \\ & \quad k=0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

According to (3.16), we have

$$(3.19) \quad \zeta_i(T^k) = (T^k U\tilde{x}, v_i), \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n, \quad k=0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Therefore it is obvious that  $T \in \mathbf{A}_{1,n}$ . Therefore the proof is complete.

The following example shows that there exists an operator satisfying the condition (3.12n) in Theorem 3.3.

EXAMPLE 3.4. The (forward) unilateral shift operators  $S$  of multiplicity one satisfies (3.12n) in Theorem 3.3 for any  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , since  $S$  has a cyclic vector.

REMARK 3.5. Since the backward unilateral shift  $S^{(n)*}$  of multiplicity  $n \geq 2$  has a cyclic vector,  $S^{(n)*}$  cannot have the property (3.12n) in Theorem 3.3 for  $n \geq 2$ . Hence the converse implication of Theorem 3.3 is not always true.

#### 4. $C_0$ -operators and the classes $\mathbf{A}_{m,n}$

Before starting this section, we recall that a set  $\{e_i\}_{0 \leq i < n}$  of vectors in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K}$  is an  $n$ -cyclic set for an operator  $A$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$  if  $\mathcal{K}$  is the smallest invariant subspace for  $A$  containing  $\{e_i\}_{0 \leq i < n}$ . If  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  is a semi-invariant subspace for  $T$ , we shall write  $T_{\mathcal{M}} = P_{\mathcal{M}}T|_{\mathcal{M}}$  for the compression of  $T$  to  $\mathcal{M}$ , where  $P_{\mathcal{M}}$  is the orthogonal projection whose range is  $\mathcal{M}$ . If  $X$  is a (unbounded) linear transformation, we write  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  for the domain of  $X$  and  $\mathcal{R}(X)$  for the range of  $X$ . Recall that a completely nonunitary contraction  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$  is said to be of class  $C_0$  if there exists  $u \in H^\infty$ ,  $u \neq 0$ , such that the functional calculus  $u(T) = 0$ . The following is an interesting dilation theorem concerning  $C_0$ -operators and the classes  $\mathbf{A}_{m,n}$ .

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Suppose  $T \in \mathbf{A}_{m,n}(\mathcal{K})$  for some positive integers  $m$  and  $n$ . Let  $A$  be any absolutely continuous contraction on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K}$  and let  $A \notin C_0$ . If  $A$  possesses an  $m$ -cyclic  $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$  of vectors in  $\mathcal{K}$  and its adjoint operator  $A^*$  has an  $n$ -cyclic set  $\{f_1, \dots, f_n\}$  of vectors in  $\mathcal{K}$ , then there exist  $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in \text{Lat}(T)$  with  $\mathcal{M} \supset \mathcal{N}$  and a closed one-to-one linear transformation*

$$(4.1) \quad X : \mathcal{D}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}$$

such that

- (a) the linear manifold  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{K}$  and contains  $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ ,
- (b) the range  $\mathcal{R}(X)$  of  $X$  is dense in  $\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}$ , and
- (c)  $A\mathcal{D}(X) \subset \mathcal{D}(X)$  and  $T_{\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}}Xz = XAz$  for all  $z$  in  $\mathcal{D}(X)$
- (d)  $T_{\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}} \notin C_0$ .

*Proof.* (a), (b) and (c) follow from [16, Theorem 3.1]. Hence we shall claim (d). Let us denote  $\tilde{T} = T_{\mathcal{M} \ominus \mathcal{N}}$  and suppose  $\tilde{T} \in C_0$ . Then there exists a bounded analytic function  $h \in H^\infty$  such that  $h(\tilde{T}) = 0$ . Let  $p_n(\lambda)$  be polynomials such that  $p_n \longrightarrow h$  in  $H^\infty$ . Since  $\tilde{T}Xz = XAz$  for all  $z$  in  $\mathcal{D}(X)$ , we have

$$(4.2) \quad \tilde{T}^k Xz = XA^k z$$

for all  $z$  in  $\mathcal{D}(X)$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Thus

$$(4.3) \quad p_n(\tilde{T})Xz = Xp_n(A)z$$

for all  $z$  in  $\mathcal{D}(X)$ . Moreover, since

$$(4.4) \quad Xp_n(A)z = p_n(\tilde{T})Xz \longrightarrow h(\tilde{T})Xz \quad (n \longrightarrow \infty)$$

and since

$$(4.5) \quad p_n(A)z \longrightarrow h(A)z \quad (n \longrightarrow \infty),$$

it follows from the closedness of  $X$  that

$$(4.6) \quad Xh(A)z = h(\tilde{T})Xz$$

for all  $z$  in  $\mathcal{D}(X)$ . Hence  $Xh(A)z = 0$  for all  $z$  in  $\mathcal{D}(X)$ . So  $h(A)z = 0$  for all  $z$  in  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  because  $X$  is one-to-one. Since  $\mathcal{D}(X)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{K}$ , we have  $h(A) = 0$ . Therefore  $A \in C_0$  and this contradiction proves the theorem.

We now give two simple propositions before suggesting a conjecture.

PROPOSITION 4.2 [18, Theorem 1]. *Let  $S^{(n)}$  be a unilateral shift of multiplicity  $n$ . Assume that*

$$(4.7) \quad S^{(n)} \cong \begin{pmatrix} S^{(n)} & * \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $E \in C_0$ .

For a given contraction  $T$  in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ , we recall (cf. [19]) that

$$(4.8) \quad D_T = (I - T^*T)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad D_{T^*} = (I - TT^*)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$(4.9) \quad \mathcal{D}_T = \overline{\text{Range}(D_T)}, \quad \mathcal{D}_{T^*} = \overline{\text{Range}(D_{T^*})}.$$

$$(4.10) \quad d_T = \dim \mathcal{D}_T, \quad \text{and} \quad d_{T^*} = \dim \mathcal{D}_{T^*}.$$

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Let  $S^{(n)}$  be a unilateral shift of multiplicity  $n$ . Suppose*

$$(4.11) \quad S^{(n)} \cong \begin{pmatrix} S^{(n)} & * \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $S^{(n)} \oplus E \in \mathbf{A}_{n, \aleph_0}(1) \setminus \mathbf{A}_{n+1, 1}$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 4.2, we have  $E \in C_0$ . Furthermore, it is easy to show that  $d_E = d_{E^*} \leq n$ . According to [16, Corollary 5.4], we get  $S^{(n)} \oplus E \in \mathbf{A}_{n, \aleph_0}(1) \setminus \mathbf{A}_{n+1, 1}$ . Hence this proposition is proved.

Finally according to Proposition 4.3 and [16, Corollary 5.4], we can conjecture the following statement.

CONJECTURE 4.4. We conjecture that if  $B$  is an operator of class  $C_0$  acting on a separable Hilbert space, then we have

$$(4.12) \quad S^{(n)} \oplus B \in \mathbf{A}_{n, \aleph_0}(1) \setminus \mathbf{A}_{n+1, 1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$



## References

1. C. Apostol, H. Bercovici, C. Foias and C. Pearcy, *Invariant subspaces, dilation theory, and the structure of the predual of a dual algebra*. I, J. Funct. Anal., **63**(1985), 369-404.
2. H. Bercovici, *Operator theory and arithmetic in  $H^\infty$* , Math. Surveys and Monographs, No. 26, A.M.S. Providence, R.I., 1988.
3. \_\_\_\_\_, *Factorization theorems and the structure of operators on Hilbert space*, Ann. of Math., **128**(1988), 399-413.
4. \_\_\_\_\_, *Contribution to the structure theory of the class  $A$* , J. Funct. Anal., **78**(1988), 197-207.
5. H. Bercovici, C. Foias and C. Pearcy, *Dilation theory and systems of simultaneous equations in the predual of an operator algebra*. I, Michigan Math. J., **30**(1983), 335-354.
6. \_\_\_\_\_, *Dual algebras with applications to invariant subspaces and dilation theory*, CBMS Regional Conference Series, No. 56, A.M.S. Providence, R.I., 1985.
7. S. Brown, B. Chevreau and C. Pearcy, *On the structure of contraction operators*. II, J. Funct. Anal., **76**(1988), 30-55.
8. A. Brown and C. Pearcy, *Introduction to operator theory*. I, Elements of functional analysis, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
9. B. Chevreau, *Sur les contractions à calcul fonctionnel isométrique*. II, submitted.
10. B. Chevreau, G. Exner and C. Pearcy, *On the structure of contraction operators*. III, Michigan Math. J. **36**(1989), 29-62.
11. B. Chevreau and C. Pearcy, *On the structure of contraction operators with applications to invariant subspaces*, J. Funct. Anal., **67**(1986), 360-379.
12. \_\_\_\_\_, *On the structure of contraction operators*. I, J. Funct. Anal., **76**(1988), 1-29.
13. G. Exner and P. Sullivan *Normal operator and the classes  $A_n$* , J. Operator Theory, **19**(1988), 81-94.
14. K. Hoffman, *Banach spaces of analytic function*, Prentic-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1962.
15. P. Sullivan, *Subnormal operators in  $A$* , J. Operator Theory, **18**(1987), 237-248.
16. I. Jung, *Dual operator algebras and the classes  $A_{m,n}$* . I, J. Operator Theory (to appear).
17. \_\_\_\_\_, *Dual operator algebras and the classes  $A_{m,n}$* . II (preprint).
18. I. Jung and Y. Kim, *A note on unilateral shift operators and  $C_0$ -operators* (submitted).

Il Bong Jung and Yong Chan Kim

19. B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foias, *Harmonic analysis of operators on the Hilbert space*, North Holland Akademiai Kiado, Amsterdam/Budapest, 1970.

Kyungpook National University

Taegu 702-701, Korea

and

Yeungnam University

Kyungsan 713-749, Korea