

monolayer surfactant. Therefore, in order to consider the effect of the anisotropy, we must use more refined approximation by which both energetic and entropic contributions to free energy are well described. In the future, by introducing the chain anisotropy in our approximation, we will study more general behavior of terminally anchored chain molecules.

Acknowledgment. The present study was supported (in part) by the Basic Science Research Institute Program, Ministry of Education, Korea, 1989.

References

1. A. K. Dolan and S. F. Edwards, *Proc. Roy. Soc.*, (London), **A343**, 427 (1975).
2. M. Muthukumar and Jyh-Shyong Ho, *Macromolecules*, **22**, 956 (1989).
3. Z. Wang and S. A. Rice, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **88**, 1290 (1988).
4. J. M. H. M. Scheutjens and G. J. Fleer, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **83**, 1619 (1979).
5. J. M. H. M. Scheutjens and G. J. Fleer, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **84**, 178 (1980).
6. J. M. H. M. Scheutjens and G. J. Fleer, *Macromolecules*, **18**,
7. S. Levine, M. M. Thomlinson, and K. Robinson, *Dis-*

- cuss. Faraday Soc.*, **65**, 202 (1978).
8. P. J. Flory, *Proc. Roy. Soc.* (London), **A234**, 60 (1956).
9. J. F. Nagle, P. D. Gujrati, and M. Goldstein, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **88**, 4599 (1984).
10. M. G. Bawendi and K. F. Freed, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **86**, 3720 (1987).
11. K. A. Dill and R. S. Cantor, *Macromolecules*, **17**, 380 (1984).
12. K. A. Dill and R. S. Cantor, *Macromolecules*, **17**, 384 (1984).
13. P. G. De Gennes, "Scaling Concepts in Polymer Physics" (Cornell University Press).
14. F. W. Wiegand, "Introduction to Path-integral Method in Physics and Polymer Science" (World Scientific 1986).
15. I. Szleifer and B. Widom, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **90**, 7524 (1989).
16. L. E. Reichl, "A Modern Course in Statistical Physics" (University of Texas Press, 1980).
17. R. S. Cantor and P. M. McIlroy, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **91**, 416 (1989).
18. MinPack-1 algorithm. National technical information service U.S. department of commerce (1980).
19. A. Kolinski, J. Skoinick, and R. Yaris, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **85**, 3585 (1986).

A Calculation for the Viscosity of Liquid Metals

Wonsoo Kim

Department of Metallurgy, Hong Ik Technical College, Seoul 121-791

Tong-Seek Chair*

Department of Chemistry, Korea University, Seoul 136-701. Received June 30, 1990

A phenomenological theory of viscosity which has been proposed by authors is applied to liquid metals for which the calculation is a severe test for liquid theories. The thermodynamic properties used in the calculations can be obtained by using the Roulette liquid theory. The calculated values of the viscosities for liquid metals are in good agreements with the observed values.

Introduction

The viscosities of liquid metals play an important role in liquid metal processing operation. Interests in the viscosity of liquid metals today stem from practical consideration, such as their use as atomic reactor coolants and from philosophical consideration, such as the fact that their structural simplicity makes them good media to test the current theories of the liquid state.

For liquid metals low pressures at melting, small differences in volume between liquid and solid, and rather large temperature ranges of the liquid state can lead to large differences between observed and calculated values for thermodynamic properties. Viscosity data¹ indicate that the hole size in metal melts is small relative to the size of metal atom

but is comparable to the volume of metal ion differently from other liquids. Therefore the calculation for liquid metals is a severe test for liquid theories.

Among the current theories used in the calculation of the viscosity of liquid metals, the model theories of Andrade² and Eyring³ have been often used. Their equations for the viscosity of liquid metals have proved to be useful for the calculation of the viscosity, but they have adjustable parameters and exponential form which is not physically meaningful.

A phenomenological theory⁴ of viscosity which was proposed by authors had been successfully applied to normal liquid, water⁵ and helium⁶ which exhibits abnormal behavior compared to other ordinary liquids. This theory also can be used in the form of the reduced equation⁷ because it does not

have any adjustable parameters. In this paper we apply this theory to liquid metals adequately as shown in other liquids.

Theory

According to the Maxwell theory³ of the viscosity in liquids, the shear viscosity η is given by

$$\eta = \tau K' \quad (1)$$

where τ is the shear relaxation time and k' is the shear elasticity. But the relaxation frequency is far from measurements, and could not be obtained by using the theories of liquids. Therefore, it had not been applied to liquid viscosity for practical use. If we can find the values of τ and K' from other liquid theories, we can make use of the Maxwell theory for the calculation of the viscosity of liquids. If we assume that the relaxation time is the collision time for the phonon to collide each other and the shear elasticity is the absolute pressure, we can get the equation as the same as our viscosity equation.⁴ As was shown in our equation, the collision time τ of the phonon, which propagates with a velocity V_{ph} and a mean free path λ_{ph} , is expressed as follows

$$\tau = \frac{\lambda_{ph}}{V_{ph}} = \frac{(\pi d^2 N_{ph})^{-1}}{(\gamma/\rho\beta_T)^{1/2}} \quad (2)$$

where N_{ph} is the phonon number density, ρ the liquid density, β_T the isothermal compressibility and γ the heat capacity ratio, C_p/C_v . The absolute pressure P_a (kinetic pressure P_k + internal pressure P_i) is expressed as follows

$$P_a = P_k + P_i = T \left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial T} \right)_v + \{ (T \frac{\partial P}{\partial T})_v - P \} = 2T \frac{\alpha_p}{\beta_T} - P \quad (3)$$

where α_p is the isobaric thermal expansion coefficient and P is the pressure. Therefore we have

$$\eta = (\rho\beta_T/\gamma)^{1/2} (\pi d^2 N_{ph})^{-1} (2T \frac{\alpha_p}{\beta_T} - P) \quad (4)$$

When the temperature rises, the collision diameter, d , of the phonon must be expanded, then

$$d = d^0 (1 + \alpha T) \quad (5)$$

where d^0 is the collision diameter at 0 K and α is the linear expansion coefficient, respectively.

Calculation and Result

For the calculation of the viscosity of liquid metals by us-

ing eq.(4), we have to know the thermodynamic properties such as α_p , β_T and γ . For the liquid metals the experimental values of such properties have not been known because of their experimental difficulties. If we use the equation of state of liquid metals, we can find the various thermodynamic properties.

According to the Roulette theory¹⁰ of liquid, the partition function of liquid Q is expressed as follow

$$Q = (q_s + q_c)^N \exp\left(-\frac{q_g}{q_s + q_c}\right) \quad (6)$$

where q_s is the partition function for the solid-like molecules, q_c is the partition function for the cell-like molecules, q_g is the partition function for the gas-like molecules and N is the Avogadro's number, respectively. They are given by,

$$q_s = (1 - e^{-\theta/T}) e^{E_s/RT} \quad (7)$$

$$q_c = \left(\frac{2\pi mkT}{h}\right)^{3/2} \frac{(V - V_s)}{N} e^{E_c/RT} \quad (8)$$

$$q_g = \left(\frac{2\pi mkT}{h}\right)^{3/2} (V - V_s) e^{E_g/RT} \quad (9)$$

where E_s and V_s are the ground state energy and the molar volume of solid-like molecule, E_c and E_g are the potential energy of the cell-like molecule and gas-like molecule as follows

$$E_c = a/X^n \quad (10)$$

$$E_s = E + E_c \quad (11)$$

$$E_g = E_g'/X^n T \quad (12)$$

where X is $\frac{V}{V_s}$ and a , E_g' , E and n are the parametric constants. The parametric values can be found by the use of the following equations

$$P = -\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial V}\right)_{T,n} \quad (13)$$

$$S = -\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial T}\right)_{V,n} \quad (14)$$

$$A + PV = A_g + PV_g \quad (15)$$

where A_g and V_g are the Helmboltz free energy and the molar volume of the vapor which is in thermal equilibrium with the liquid. By using eqs. (13), (14) and (15), we find the parametric values and calculate the various thermodynamic

Table 1. Parameters Used in the Calculation

| | $a \times 10^{-3}$ (cal/mol) | $E_g \times 10^{-6}$ (cal/mol.K) | n | E (cal/mol) | V_s^3 (cm ³ /mol) | θ^3 (K) | d^0 (Å) | $\alpha \times 10^4$ (K ⁻¹) |
|-----------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|--|
| Potassium | 20.21 | 3.67 | 0.502 | 755 | 47.1 | 75.0 | 4.76 | 6.42 |
| Rubidium | 17.97 | 2.88 | 0.461 | 620 | 55.8 | 43.5 | 5.04 | 2.82 |
| Cesium | 17.45 | 4.035 | 0.462 | 964 | 70.0 | 31.5 | 5.40 | 10.79 |
| Mercury | 14.12 | 3.003 | 0.860 | 680 | 14.1 | 60.0 | 3.10 | 31.38 |
| Lead | 45.99 | 7.40 | 0.455 | 791 | 18.8 | 56.2 | 3.50 | 4.97 |

⁴from ref. 17.

Table 2. Calculated Thermodynamic Properties of Potassium

| <i>T</i> (K) | <i>V</i> (cm ³ /mol) | $\alpha_p \times 10^4$ (K ⁻¹) | | $\beta_T \times 10^5$ (atm ⁻¹) | | <i>C_p</i> (cal/K.mol) | | <i>C_v</i> (cal/K.mol) | |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|--|-------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | | Calc. | Obs. ^a | Calc. | Obs. ^a | Calc. | Obs. ^a | Calc. | Obs. ^a |
| 342.9 | 49.704 | 2.203 | (2.90) | 0.938 | (4.02) | 5.83 | (7.67) | 3.69 | (6.9) |
| 440.4 | 50.771 | 2.174 | — | 1.302 | — | 5.56 | — | 3.60 | — |
| 523.0 | 51.697 | 2.210 | — | 1.654 | — | 5.51 | — | 3.58 | — |
| 623.0 | 52.874 | 2.291 | — | 2.147 | — | 5.53 | — | 3.58 | — |
| 923.0 | 56.938 | 2.688 | — | 4.242 | — | 5.77 | — | 3.61 | — |

^afrom ref. 15 and 16.**Table 3.** Calculated Thermodynamic Properties of Rubidium

| <i>T</i> (K) | <i>V</i> (cm ³ /mol) | $\alpha_p \times 10^4$ (K ⁻¹) | | $\beta_T \times 10^5$ (atm ⁻¹) | | <i>C_p</i> (cal/K.mol) | | <i>C_v</i> (cal/K.mol) | |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|--|-------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | | Calc. | Obs. ^a | Calc. | Obs. ^a | Calc. | Obs. ^a | Calc. | Obs. ^a |
| 311.0 | 59.491 | 2.643 | 3.4 | 1.572 | 4.9 | 5.59 | 7.5 | 3.59 | 6.7 |
| 323.0 | 59.649 | 2.620 | — | 1.628 | — | 5.56 | — | 5.39 | — |
| 371.7 | 60.415 | 2.633 | — | 1.960 | — | 5.49 | — | 3.57 | — |
| 413.5 | 61.080 | 2.659 | — | 2.264 | — | 5.47 | — | 3.56 | — |
| 493.1 | 62.427 | 2.755 | — | 2.936 | — | 5.49 | — | 3.56 | — |

^afrom ref. 15 and 16.**Table 4.** Calculated Thermodynamic Properties of Mercury

| <i>T</i> (K) | <i>V</i> (cm ³ /mol) | $\alpha_p \times 10^4$ (K ⁻¹) | | $\beta_T \times 10^5$ (atm ⁻¹) | | <i>C_p</i> (cal/K.mol) | | <i>C_v</i> (cal/K.mol) | |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|--|-------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | | Calc. | Obs. ^a | Calc. | Obs. ^a | Calc. | Obs. ^a | Calc. | Obs. ^a |
| 316.4 | 73.882 | 3.027 | (3.7) | 2.060 | (6.73) | 6.71 | 7.6 | 4.19 | 6.7 |
| 371.6 | 75.095 | 2.895 | — | 2.588 | — | 6.05 | — | 3.84 | — |
| 413.5 | 76.010 | 2.866 | — | 2.973 | — | 5.86 | — | 3.76 | — |
| 441.0 | 76.610 | 2.865 | — | 3.261 | — | 5.79 | — | 3.73 | — |
| 483.9 | 77.555 | 2.882 | — | 3.737 | — | 5.72 | — | 3.70 | — |

^afrom ref. 15 and 16.**Table 5.** Calculated Thermodynamic Properties of Mercury

| <i>T</i> (K) | <i>V</i> (cm ³ /mol) | $\alpha_p \times 10^4$ (K ⁻¹) | | $\beta_T \times 10^5$ (atm ⁻¹) | | <i>C_p</i> (cal/K.mol) | | <i>C_v</i> (cal/K.mol) | |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|--|-------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | | Calc. | Obs. ^a | Calc. | Obs. ^a | Calc. | Obs. ^a | Calc. | Obs. ^a |
| 253.0 | 14.642 | 1.779 | — | 1.401 | — | 13.78 | 6.73 | 11.75 | — |
| 273.0 | 14.696 | 1.846 | 1.81 | 1.536 | 3.80 | 7.14 | 6.7 | 4.98 | 5.44 |
| 293.0 | 14.750 | 1.851 | — | 1.674 | 3.95 | 5.99 | 6.67 | 3.84 | — |
| 373.0 | 14.971 | 1.868 | — | 2.287 | — | 5.46 | 6.58 | 3.40 | — |

^afrom ref. 15 and 16.

properties for liquid metals. The parameters are listed in Table 1 and the thermodynamic properties used in the calculation for the viscosity are listed in Tables 2 through 6. By using eq. (4) with the thermodynamic properties we have obtained the values of the viscosity of liquid metals. In Table 7, the calculated viscosities of potassium, cesium, rubidium, lead and mercury are compared with those of the observed values.

Discussion

Viscosities of liquid metals with few adjustable parameters are calculated by using the thermodynamic properties from Roulette liquid theory. The agreements between the calculated and observed values are reasonably good.

Some theories¹¹⁻¹³ of viscosity have applied to liquid metals, but none of these theories provide satisfactory

Table 6. Calculated Thermodynamic Properties of Lead

| T (K) | V (cm ³ /mol) | $\alpha_p \times 10^4$ (K ⁻¹) | | $\beta_T \times 10^5$ (atm ⁻¹) | | C _p (cal/K.mol) | | C _v (cal/K.mol) | |
|----------|-----------------------------|--|-------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|
| | | Calc. | Obs. ^a | Calc. | Obs. ^a | Calc. | Obs. ^a | Calc. | Obs. ^a |
| 729.0 | 19.992 | 0.941 | 1.3 | 1.887 | 2.9 | 5.31 | 7.25 | 3.65 | 5.6 |
| 842.0 | 20.207 | 0.952 | — | 2.267 | — | 5.32 | — | 3.67 | — |
| 976.0 | 20.469 | 0.970 | — | 2.756 | — | 5.35 | — | 3.69 | — |
| 117.0 | 20.754 | 0.993 | — | 3.320 | — | 5.39 | — | 3.72 | — |

^afrom ref. 15 and 16.**Table 7.** Calculated Viscosities of Various Liquid Metals

| | T (K) | $\eta_{calc.}$ (μ poise) | $\eta_{obs.}^a$ (μ poise) | Δ % |
|-----------|----------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|
| Potassium | 342.9 | 5150 | 5150 | 0.00 |
| | 440.4 | 3078 | 3310 | 12.02 |
| | 523.0 | 3011 | 2580 | 16.71 |
| | 623.0 | 2443 | 1910 | 27.91 |
| | 923.0 | 1504 | 1360 | 10.59 |
| Cesium | 316.4 | 6300 | 6299 | 0.00 |
| | 371.6 | 4582 | 4753 | -3.59 |
| | 413.5 | 3814 | 4065 | -6.17 |
| | 441.0 | 3437 | 3750 | -8.34 |
| | 483.9 | 2975 | 3430 | -3.27 |
| Rubidium | 311.0 | 6707 | 6734 | -0.40 |
| | 320.9 | 6485 | 6258 | 3.62 |
| | 371.7 | 5682 | 4844 | 21.01 |
| | 413.5 | 5170 | 4133 | 25.09 |
| | 493.1 | 4435 | 3234 | 37.14 |
| Lead | 729.0 | 20673 | 21160 | -2.30 |
| | 842.0 | 17544 | 17000 | 3.20 |
| | 976.0 | 14744 | 13490 | 9.30 |
| | 1117.0 | 12503 | 11850 | 5.51 |
| | Mercury | 253.0 | 18450 | 18500 |
| 273.0 | | 15200 | 16800 | -9.52 |
| 293.0 | | 12904 | 15500 | -16.75 |
| 373.0 | | 8233 | 12700 | -35.17 |
| 473.0 | | 5372 | 10100 | -46.81 |

^afrom ref. 3.

results. According to the significant structure theory which has been widely used for many liquids, the large deviations¹⁴ were shown for the calculation of viscosities of liquid metals. As were shown in Tables 2 through 6, the calculated thermodynamic properties are not satisfactory compared with the observed values, because they have been obtained

through second derivatives. As some deviations occur in the calculated thermodynamic properties, the values of calculated viscosities are not in good agreements as those might be hoped. But it is clear that this theory is not incorrect, in principle, for liquid metals including many liquids to which we apply this calculated values for practical use.

References

1. S. Glasstone, K. J. Laidler, and H. Eyring, "The Theory of Rate Processes", McGraw-Hill, New York (1942).
2. E. N. da C. Andrade, *Phil. Mag.*, **17**, 498 (1934).
3. M. Breithing and H. Eyring, "Liquid Metals", Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, Chapter 5, p. 213 (1972).
4. W. Kim, T. S. Chair, and H. Pak, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.*, **9**, 213 (1988).
5. W. Kim and T.-S. Chair, *ibid.*, **11**, 180 (1990).
6. J. Y. Kim, "M. S. Thesis", Korea Univ., Seoul (1989).
7. T.-S. Chair, W. Kim, H. Pak, and M. S. Jhon., *Korean J. of Chem. Eng.*, **6**, 121 (1989).
8. W. J. Moore, "Physical Chemistry", 4th ed. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New York, p. 923 (1972).
9. W. Kim, H. Pak, and T.-S. Chair, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.*, **10**, 372 (1989).
10. D. Choi, H. Pak, and M. Kim, *J. Korean Chem. Soc.*, **22**, 1 (1978).
11. E. Helfand, S. A. Rice, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **32**, 1642 (1960).
12. H. C. Longuet-Higgins and J. A. Pople, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **25**, 884 (1956).
13. J. Walter and H. Eyring, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **9**, 3939 (1941).
14. S. Z. Beer, "Liquid Metals", Marcel Dekker Inc. New York, Chap. 5, p.250 (1972).
15. O. Kleppa, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **18**, 1331 (1950).
16. R. Vilcu and C. Misdelea, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **49**, 3179 (1968).
17. G. H. Geiger and D. R. Poirier, "Transport Phenomena in Metallurgy", Addison-Wesley Publishing Co. Menlo Park, California, p. 20 (1973).