

## REPRESENTATION ON A HILBERT B-MODULE

AN-HYUN KIM

### 1. Introduction

Each cyclic  $*$ -representation gives rise to a state on a  $C^*$ -algebra. And it turns out that each state generates a cyclic  $*$ -representation that reproduces it ([2, p261],[3]). But, if we replace a state by a completely positive map, what happens? This paper is an investigation of a  $*$ -representation (on a Hilbert B- module) generated by a completely positive map.

In §2, we introduce some definitions and their properties which will be needed in next section.

In §3, we show that a completely positive map gives rise to a pre-Hilbert B-module in much the same way that a state gives rise to a pre-Hilbert space. The properties of pre-Hilbert B-module generated by a completely positive map are described and this section contains main theorems([Theorem 3.4], [Theorem 3.5]).

### 2. Properties of B-valued inner product

DEFINITION 2.1. Let B be a  $C^*$ -algebra.

A *pre-Hilbert B-module* is a right B-module  $X$  equipped with a conjugate bilinear map  $[\cdot, \cdot] : X \times X \rightarrow B$  satisfying:

- (a)  $[x, x] \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in X$ ;
- (b)  $[x, x] = 0$  only if  $x = 0$ ;
- (c)  $[x, y] = [y, x]^*$  for  $x, y \in X$ ;
- (d)  $[x \cdot b, y] = [x, y]b$  for  $x, y \in X, b \in B$ .

The map  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  will be called a *B-valued inner product* on  $X$ .

The following simple facts are obvious:

- 1°  $[x, y \cdot b] = b^*[x, y] \quad x, y \in X, b \in B$ .
- 2° if  $B$  has 1,  $X$  is unital (i.e.,  $x \cdot 1 = x, x \in X$ ).

---

Received March 17, 1991.

3° defining  $\|\cdot\|_X$  on  $X$  by  $\|x\|_X = \|[x, x]\|^{1/2}$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_X$  becomes a norm on  $X$ .

4°  $\|[x, y]\| \leq \|x\|_X \|y\|_X$ ,  $\|x \cdot b\|_X \leq \|x\|_X \|b\|$   $x, y \in X$ ,  $b \in B$ .

DEFINITION 2.2. A pre-Hilbert  $B$ -module  $X$  which is complete with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_X$  will be called a *Hilbert  $B$ -module*.

For a pre-Hilbert  $B$ -module  $X$ , we let  $A(X)$  denote the set of operators  $T \in B(X)$  for which there is an operator  $T^* \in B(X)$  such that  $[Tx, y] = [x, T^*y]$  for  $x, y \in X$ . And for  $x, y \in X$ , define  $x \otimes y : X \rightarrow X$  by  $x \otimes y(w) = x \cdot [w, y]$ .

The following simple facts are obvious:

5°  $A(X)$  is a  $*$ -algebra with involution  $T \rightarrow T^*$ .

6° if  $T \in A(X)$ , then  $T(x \cdot b) = (Tx) \cdot b$  for  $x \in X$ ,  $b \in B$  (i.e.  $T$  is a module map).

LEMMA 2.3.

(1)  $x \otimes y \in A(X)$  with  $(x \otimes y)^* = y \otimes x$ .

(2)  $T(x \otimes y) = Tx \otimes y$ ,  $T \in A(X)$ .

(3)  $\{x \otimes y : x, y \in X\}$  spans a two-sided ideal for  $A(X)$ .

*Proof.* (1) For all  $w_1, w_2 \in X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} [w_1, (x \otimes y)w_2] &= [w_1, x \cdot [w_2, y]] = [w_2, y]^* [w_1, x] \\ &= [y, w_2] [w_1, x] = [y \cdot [w_1, x], w_2] \\ &= [(y \otimes x)w_1, w_2] \end{aligned}$$

For all  $w \in X$ ,  $b \in B$ ,

$$(x \otimes y)(w \cdot b) = x \cdot [w \cdot b, y] = x \cdot [w, y]b = (x \otimes y(w)) \cdot b.$$

(2)  $T(x \otimes y)w = T(x \cdot [w, y]) = (Tx) \cdot [w, y]$  (since  $T$  is a module map)  
 $= (Tx \otimes y)w.$

(3) By (1),(2), it is clear.

We write  $X'$  for the set of  $B$ -module maps from  $X$  to  $B$  which are bounded with respect to  $\|\cdot\|_X$ . We make  $X'$  into a right  $B$ -module by defining

$$(\lambda\tau)x = \bar{\lambda}\tau(x) \text{ and } (\tau \cdot b)(x) = b^*\tau(x),$$

for  $\lambda \in C$ ,  $\tau \in X'$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $b \in B$ .

Each  $x \in X$  gives rise to a map  $\hat{x} \in X'$  defined  $\hat{x}(y) = [y, x]$  for  $y \in X$ .

We will call  $X$  *self-dual* if  $\hat{X} = X'$ .

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Let  $X$  be a pre-Hilbert B-module.*

- (1) *The B-valued inner product extends to  $X' \times X'$  in such a way as to make  $X'$  into a Hilbert B-module.*
- (2) *Each  $T \in A(X)$  extends to a unique  $\tilde{T} \in A(X)$ . Moreover, the map  $T \rightarrow \tilde{T}$  is a  $*$ -isomorphism of  $A(X)$  into  $A(X')$ .*

*Proof.* [7]

Let  $B$  be a von-Neumann algebra of operators on a Hilbert space  $H$ , and let  $X \otimes H$  be the algebraic tensor product of  $X$  with  $H$ .

Define  $\langle, \rangle : X \otimes H \times X \otimes H \rightarrow C$  by  $\langle x \otimes \xi, y \otimes \eta \rangle = ([x, y]\xi, \eta)$ .

Let  $Z = \{w \in X \otimes H : \langle w, w \rangle = 0\}$ , so  $Z$  is a subspace of  $X \otimes H$  and  $K_o = X \otimes H/Z$  is a pre-Hilbert space with inner product  $(w_1 + Z, w_2 + Z) = \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle$ .

Let  $K$  be the Hilbert space completion of  $K_o$ . For  $T \in A(X)$ , define a linear map  $\theta_o(T) : X \otimes H \rightarrow X \otimes H$  by  $\theta_o(T)(x \otimes \xi) = Tx \otimes \xi$ .

It is shown in 5.3 of [9] that  $\theta_o(T)$  induces a bounded linear map  $\theta(T) : K \rightarrow K$  satisfying  $\theta(T)(x \otimes \xi + Z) = Tx \otimes \xi + Z$ .

**LEMMA 2.5.** *If  $A$  is a self-adjoint operator on  $H$  and  $(Ah, h) = 0$  for all  $h$ , then  $A = 0$ .*

*Proof.* [3]

**THEOREM 2.6.** *The map  $\theta$  is a faithful  $*$ -representation of  $A(X)$  on  $K$ .*

*Proof.* By the above statements, it is clear that  $\theta$  is a homomorphism. Also, for each  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\xi, \eta \in H$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\theta(T^*)(x \otimes \xi + Z), y \otimes \eta + Z) &= (T^*x \otimes \xi + Z, y \otimes \eta + Z) \\
 &= \langle T^*x \otimes \xi, y \otimes \eta \rangle = ([T^*x, y]\xi, \eta) \\
 &= ([x, Ty]\xi, \eta) = \langle x \otimes \xi, Ty \otimes \eta \rangle \\
 &= (x \otimes \xi + Z, Ty \otimes \eta + Z) \\
 &= (x \otimes \xi + Z, \theta(T)(y \otimes \eta + Z)) \\
 &= (\theta(T)^*(x \otimes \xi + Z), y \otimes \eta + Z).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $T \in \text{Ker}\theta$ . Then  $0 = \langle Tx \otimes \xi, Tx \otimes \xi \rangle = ([Tx, Tx]\xi, \xi)$ . Since  $[Tx, Tx]$  is self-adjoint, by Lemma 2.5,  $T = 0$ .

### 3. Representation on a Hilbert B-module

**DEFINITION 3.1.** Let  $B$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra,  $A$  a  $*$ -algebra and  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  a linear map. We call  $\phi$  *positive* if  $\phi(a^*a) \geq 0$ ,  $a \in A$ .

For  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $\phi$  induces a map  $\phi_n$  from algebra  $A$  of  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in  $A$  (made into a  $*$ -algebra by setting  $[a_{ij}]^* = [a_{ij}^*]$  for matrices  $[a_{ij}] \in A_{(n)}$ ) into the corresponding  $C^*$ -algebra  $B$  defined by  $\phi_n([a_{ij}]) = [\phi(a_{ij})]$ ; we say that  $\phi$  is *completely positive* if each of the induced map  $\phi_n$  is positive.

According to [10, p194], a linear map  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  is completely positive iff  $\sum_{ij} b_i^* \phi(a_i^* a_j) b_j \geq 0$  for  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ ,  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$ . Let  $\phi$  be completely positive and suppose in addition that  $\phi(a^*) = \phi(a)^*$  for  $a \in A$ . The map  $\phi$  gives rise to a pre-Hilbert B-module as follows: Consider the algebraic tensor product  $A \otimes B$ , which becomes a right B-module when we set  $(a \otimes b) \cdot \beta = a \otimes b\beta$  for  $b, \beta \in B$ ,  $a \in A$ .

Define  $\langle\langle, \rangle\rangle : (A \otimes B) \times (A \otimes B) \rightarrow B$

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \otimes b_j, \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \otimes \beta_i \right) \rightsquigarrow \sum_{i,j} \beta_i^* \phi(\alpha_i^* a_j) b_j$$

for  $a_1, \dots, a_n, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in A$ ,  $b_1, \dots, b_n, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in B$ .

$\langle\langle, \rangle\rangle$  is clearly well-defined and conjugate-bilinear. Since  $\phi$  is completely positive, for all  $x \in A \otimes B$ ,  $\langle\langle x, x \rangle\rangle \geq 0$ . Since  $\phi$  is  $*$ -map,  $\langle\langle x, y \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle y, x \rangle\rangle$  and  $\langle\langle x \cdot b, y \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle x, y \rangle\rangle b$  for  $x, y \in A \otimes B$  and  $b \in B$ .

Put  $N = \{x \in A \otimes B : \langle\langle x, x \rangle\rangle = 0\}$ . Then  $N$  is a submodule of  $A \otimes B$  and  $X_0 = A \otimes B / N$  is a pre-Hilbert B-module with B-valued inner product  $[x + N, y + N] = \langle\langle x, y \rangle\rangle$  for  $x, y \in A \otimes B$ .

**THEOREM 3.2.** Let  $A$  be a  $U^*$ -algebra with 1,  $B$  a  $C^*$ -algebra with 1, and  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  a completely positive map. Then

- (1) there is a Hilbert B-module  $X$ , a  $*$ -representation  $\pi$  of  $A$  on  $X$ , and an element  $e \in X$  such that  $\phi(a) = [\pi(a)e, e]$  for  $a \in A$ .
- (2) the set  $\{\pi(a)(e \cdot b) : a \in A, b \in B\}$  spans a dense subset of  $X$ .

*Proof.* [7],[10].

In particular, note that  $\pi(a)(x + N) = a \cdot x + N \quad \forall x \in A \otimes B$  and  $\pi(a) \in A(X)$  (i.e.,  $\pi(a)$  is a B-module map),  $X$  a completion of  $X_0$ , also  $e = 1 \otimes 1 + N$ .

Let  $A$  be a  $U^*$ -algebra with 1, and  $B$  a  $W^*$ -algebra. If  $X, \pi$  and  $e$  are as in Theorem 3.2, we may define a  $*$ -representation  $\tilde{\pi}$  of  $A$  on the self-dual Hilbert B-module  $X'$  by  $\tilde{\pi}(a) = \pi(a)^\sim \in A(X')$  for  $a \in A$  (see 2.4).

Suppose  $\psi : A \rightarrow B$  is another completely positive map. We write  $\psi \leq \phi$  if  $\phi - \psi$  is completely positive and let  $[0, \phi]$  denote the set of completely positive maps from  $A$  into  $B$  which are  $\leq \phi$ .

For  $T \in A(X')$ , define  $\phi_T : A \rightarrow B$  by  $\phi_T(a) = [T\tilde{\pi}(a)\hat{e}, \hat{e}]$ . Notice that  $\phi_I = \phi$  and that the map  $T \rightsquigarrow \phi_T$  is a linear map of  $A(X')$  into the space of linear transformations of  $A$  into  $B$ .

**THEOREM 3.3.** *Under the above circumstance,*

- (1) *for each  $T \in \tilde{\pi}(A)'$  with  $0 \leq T \leq I_{X'}$ , the formula  $\phi_T(a) = [T\tilde{\pi}(a)\hat{e}, \hat{e}]$  defines a completely positive map such that  $\phi_T \leq \phi$ .*
- (2) *the correspondence  $T \rightsquigarrow \phi_T$  described in (1) is a bijection of  $\{T \in \tilde{\pi}(A)' : 0 \leq T \leq I_{X'}\}$  onto  $[0, \phi]$ .*
- (3) *the correspondence preserves convex combinations, where  $\tilde{\pi}(A)'$  denotes the commutant of  $\tilde{\pi}(A)$  in  $A(X')$ .*

*Proof.* [2],[7].

Let  $A$  be a  $U^*$ -algebra with 1, and  $B$  a  $W^*$ -algebra with 1. We denote the set of completely positive maps  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  such that  $\phi(1) = 1$  by  $\sum(A, B, 1)$ .

Note that  $\sum(A, B, 1)$  is a convex subset of the space of linear maps from  $A$  into  $B$ .

**THEOREM 3.4.** *Under the above circumstance, the following conditions on  $\phi \in \sum(A, B, 1)$  are equivalent:*

- (1)  *$\phi$  is an extremal point of  $\sum(A, B, 1)$ ;*
- (2) *the map  $T \rightsquigarrow [T\hat{e}, \hat{e}]$  of  $A(X')$  into  $B$  is injective on  $\tilde{\pi}(A)'$ ;*
- (3) *If  $\psi$  is any completely positive map on  $A$  such that  $\psi \leq \phi$ , then  $\psi = \alpha\phi$  with  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ .*

*Proof.* (1) $\iff$ (3) This follows immediately from (68.24) in [2].

(2) $\implies$ (1) Suppose that the map is injective and let  $\phi = t\phi_1 + (1-t)\phi_2$ ,  $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \sum(A, B, 1)$  ( $0 < t < 1$ ). then  $t\phi_1 \leq \phi$ . i.e.,  $t\phi_1(a) \in [0, \phi]$ .

By 3.3, there are  $T \in \tilde{\pi}(A)'$ ,  $0 \leq T \leq I_{X'}$  such that  $t\phi_1(a) = [T\tilde{\pi}(a)\hat{e}, \hat{e}] \quad \forall a \in A$ .

Setting  $a = 1$ ,  $t\phi_1(1) = [T\hat{e}, \hat{e}]$ . By the way, since  $t\phi_1(1) = t \cdot 1$ ,  $t\phi_1(1) = [T\hat{e}, \hat{e}] = t$ . Therefore  $[(T-tI)\hat{e}, \hat{e}] = 0$ . By the hypothesis,  $T = tI$ . Also,

$$t\phi_1(a) = [tI\tilde{\pi}(a)\hat{e}, \hat{e}] = t[\tilde{\pi}(a)\hat{e}, \hat{e}] = t = t\phi_I(a) = t\phi(a).$$

Thus,  $t\phi_1 = t\phi$  and  $\phi_1 = \phi_2 = \phi$ .

(1) $\implies$ (2) Suppose that  $\phi \in \sum(A, B, 1)$  is an extremal point. Take  $T \in \tilde{\pi}(A)'$  such that  $\mu(T) = [T\hat{e}, \hat{e}] = 0$ . i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mu : \tilde{\pi}(A)' \subset A(X') & \longrightarrow & B \\ T & \rightsquigarrow & \mu(T) = [T\hat{e}, \hat{e}]. \end{array}$$

Choose  $s, t > 0$  such that  $\frac{1}{4}I_{X'} \leq sT + tI_{X'} \leq \frac{3}{4}I_{X'}$  and set  $F = sT = tI_{X'}$ . Then, since  $\mu(\frac{1}{4}I_{X'}) \leq \mu(F) \leq \mu(\frac{3}{4}I_{X'})$ , it follows that  $\frac{1}{4} \leq t \leq \frac{3}{4}$ .

Define  $\phi_1(a) = [F\tilde{\pi}(a)\hat{e}, \hat{e}]$ ,  $\phi_2(a) = [(I-F)\tilde{\pi}(a)\hat{e}, \hat{e}]$ . Since  $0 \leq F \leq I_{X'}$ , By 3.3,  $\phi_1, \phi_2$  are completely positive. Also  $\phi_1(1) = t \cdot 1$ ,  $\phi_2(1) = (1-t)1$ ,  $(\phi_1 + \phi_2)(a) = \phi_I(a) = \phi(a)$ . Since  $t^{-1}\phi_1, (1-t)^{-1}\phi_2$  belong to  $\sum(A, B, 1)$ , from extremality of  $\phi$ ,  $t^{-1}\phi_1 = (1-t)^{-1}\phi_2 = \phi$ .

In particular,  $[F\tilde{\pi}(a)\hat{e}, \hat{e}] = \phi_1(a) = t[\tilde{\pi}(a)\hat{e}, \hat{e}]$ ,  $\forall a \in A$ . Thus  $F = tI_{X'}$ , and so  $sT = 0$ .

Therefore  $T = 0$  and  $\mu$  is injective on  $\tilde{\pi}(A)'$ .

**THEOREM 3.5.** *If  $\pi$  is a  $*$ -representation of  $A$  on a Hilbert  $B$ -module  $Y$  and  $\phi(a) = [\pi(a)e, e]$  and if  $\pi_\phi$  is constructed as in 3.2, then*

- (1) *there exists an isometric mapping  $U$  from  $X$  into  $Y$ .*
- (2)  *$U\pi_\phi(a)$  and  $\pi(a)U$  agree on  $X$ .*

*Proof.* (1) By theorem 3.2,  $\pi_\phi(A)(e_\phi \cdot B)$  and  $\pi(A)(e \cdot B)$  are dense subspaces of  $X, Y$ , respectively. Now define  $U_\circ \pi_\phi(a) (e_\phi \cdot b) = \pi(a)$

$(e_\phi \cdot b) \quad b \in B.$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\pi_\phi(a)(e_\phi \cdot b)\|^2 &= \|[\pi_\phi(a)(e_\phi \cdot b), \pi_\phi(a)(e_\phi \cdot b)]\| \\
 &= \|[\pi_\phi(a^*a)(e_\phi \cdot b), e_\phi \cdot b]\| \\
 &= \|[(\pi_\phi(a^*a)e_\phi) \cdot b, e_\phi \cdot b]\| \\
 &\quad (\text{since } \pi_\phi(a^*a) \text{ is module map}) \\
 &= \|b^*[\pi_\phi(a^*a)e_\phi, e_\phi]b\| \quad (\text{by } 1^\circ) \\
 &= \|b^*[\pi(a^*a)e, e]b\| \quad (\text{by the hypothesis}) \\
 &= \|[\pi(a^*a)(e \cdot b), e \cdot b]\| \\
 &= \|[\pi(a)(e \cdot b), \pi(a)(e \cdot b)]\| \\
 &= \|\pi(a)(e \cdot b)\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $U_o$  is well-defined and isometric on  $X_o (= \pi_\phi(A)(e_\phi \cdot B))$ . Therefore  $U_o$  extends to an isometric mapping  $U$  of  $X$  into  $Y$ .

(2) By definition and continuity of  $U_o$ , it is clear.

### References

1. W. B. Arveson, *Subalgebras of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Acta Math **123**(1969).
2. S. K. Berberian, *Lectures in functional analysis and operator theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1974.
3. J. B. Conway, *A course in functional analysis*, Springer-Verlag, 1985.
4. Kadison and Ringrose, *Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras*, Academic Press Inc., 1983
5. T. W. Palmer, *\*-representation of  $U^*$ -algebras*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **20**(1971), 929-933.
6. W. L. Paschke, *Completely positive maps on  $U^*$ -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (1972), 412-416.
7. W. L. Paschke, *Inner product modules over  $B^*$ -algebras*, Amer. Math. Soc., 1973
8. N. C. Phillips, *Inverse limits of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Operator Theory J. **19**(1988), 159-195.
9. M. A. Rieffel, *Induced representation of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 1975.
10. M. Takesaki, *Theory of operator algebras I*, Springer-Verlag, 1979.

Department of Mathematics  
Changwon National University  
Changwon 641-773, Korea