# SOME PROPERTIES OF HYPOELLIPTIC PSEUDODIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

## LEE-CHAE JANG

## I. Introduction

Let  $m, \rho$  and  $\delta$  be real numbers;  $0 \le \delta \le 1, 0 \le \rho \le 1$ . The class  $S_{\rho,\delta}^m(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n)$  consists of functions  $\sigma(x,\zeta) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n)$  such that for any multi-indices  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and any compact set  $K \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  a constant  $C_{\alpha,\beta,K}$  exists for which

(1.1) 
$$\left| \partial_{\zeta}^{\alpha} \partial_{x}^{\beta} \sigma(x,\zeta) \right| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta,K} |\zeta|^{m-\rho|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|}$$

where  $x \in K$  and  $\zeta \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . Instead of  $S_{1,0}^m(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n)$  we simply write  $S^m(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n)$ . We also put  $S^{-\infty} = \bigcap_m S^m$ .

A function  $\sigma(x,\zeta) \in C^{\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n)$  is called a hypoelliptic symbol if the following conditions are fulfilled:

(i) there exist real numbers  $m_0$  and m, such that for an arbitrary compact set  $K \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  one can find positive constants R,  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that

$$(1.2) C_1|\zeta|^{m_0} \le |\sigma(x,\zeta)| \le C_2|\zeta|^m$$

where  $|\zeta| \geq R$  and  $x \in K$ .

(ii) there exist numbers  $\rho$  and  $\delta$ , with  $0 \le \delta < \rho \le 1$ , and for each compact set  $K \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  a positive constant R such that for any multi-indices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ 

(1.3) 
$$\left| \left[ \partial_{\zeta}^{\alpha} \partial_{x}^{\beta} \sigma(x,\zeta) \right] \sigma^{-1}(x,\zeta) \right| \leq C_{\alpha,\beta,K} |\zeta|^{-\rho|\alpha|+\delta|\beta|}, \quad |\zeta| \geq R, \ x \in K$$

with some constant  $C_{\alpha,\beta,K}$ .

Received July 4, 1990. Revised March 4, 1991.

Denote by  $HS_{\rho,\delta}^{m,m_0}(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n)$  the class of symbols satisfying (1.1) and (1.2) for fixed m,  $m_0$ ,  $\rho$  and  $\delta$ . From (1.1) and (1.2), it obiously follows that  $HS_{\rho,\delta}^{m,m_0}(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n) \subset S^m(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n)$  (see p. 38 of Shubin [6]). We will denote by  $HL_{\rho,\delta}^{m,m_0}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  the class of properly supported pseudodifferential operator T for which  $\sigma_T(x,\zeta) \in HS_{\rho,\delta}^{m,m_0}(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n)$ .

DEFINITION 1.1. A pseudodifferential operator T is called to be hypoelliptic if there exists a properly supported pseudodifferential operator  $T_1 \in HL^{m,m_0}_{\rho,\delta}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  such that  $T = T_1 + R_1$ , where  $R_1 \in L^{-\infty}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , i.e.,  $R_1$  is an operator with infinitely differentiable kernel.

REMARK 1.2. If  $T \in HL_{\rho,\delta}^{m,m_0}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and if  $m = m_0$ , then it follows from proposition 5.1 in Shubin [1] that T is elliptic.

The aim of this paper is to study some properties of hypoelliptic pseudodifferential operators on  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,  $1 . In section 2, we prove that if <math>T \in HL^{m,m_0}_{\rho,\delta}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and D(T) = S, then T is closable. Here S is the Schwartz class. This result is proposition 3.1 in Wong [5] if  $\rho = 1$ ,  $\delta = 0$ , and  $m = m_0$ . Also, we prove that if  $T \in HL^{m,m_0}_{\rho,\delta}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and if there exist two positive constants C, C' such that (2.2) holds, then  $D(T_{\min}) = H^{m,p}$ . For  $\rho = 1$ ,  $\delta = 0$ , and  $m = m_0$ , it follows from Theorem 3.5 in Wong [5] that  $D(T_{\min}) = H^{m,p}$ . If  $\sigma_T \in S^m(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n)$  is any symbol independent of x, then it follows from theorem 2.4 in Wong [3] that the minimal and the maximal operators associated with T coincide in  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . See Chapter 4 of Schecter [2] for the minimal and maximal operators.

REMARK 1.3. If  $T \in HL^{m,m_0}_{\rho,\delta}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , then it follows from proposition 5.3 in Schubin [1] that  $T^* \in HL^{m,m_0}_{\rho,\delta}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , where  $T^*$  is the adjoint of T.

## II. Main results

PROPOSITION 2.1. If  $T \in HL^{m,m_0}_{\rho,\delta}(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and the domain D(T) of T is S, then T is closable.

*Proof.* Let  $\{\Phi_k\}$  be a sequence of functions in S such that  $\Phi_k \to 0$ ,  $T\Phi_k \to f$  in  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  as  $k \to \infty$ . Then for any function  $\psi$  in S, we

have  $(T\Phi_k, \psi) = (\Phi_k, T^*\psi)$ . Let  $k \to \infty$ . Then we have  $(f, \psi) = 0$  for all function  $\psi$  in S. Since S is dense in  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , it follows that f = 0.

REMARK 2.2. A consequence of Proposition 2.1 is that  $T: S \to L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  has a closed extension in  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . We denote the smallest such by  $T_{\min}$  and call it the minimal operator of T. It can be shown easily that the domain  $D(T_{\min})$  of  $T_{\min}$  consists of all functions u in  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  for which a sequence  $\{\Phi_k\}$  in S can be found such that  $\Phi_k \to u$  in  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and  $T\Phi_k \to f$  for some f in  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . Moreover,  $T_{\min}u = f$ , see again Wong[5].

REMARK 2.3. Now, it follows from Schechter [2, pp. 60-61] that there exist the maximal extension of  $T \in HL^{m,m_0}_{\rho,\delta}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . Indeed, we can define another closed extension of  $T_1$  of T on S as follows. We say that  $u \in D(T_1)$  and  $T_1u = f$  if u and f are in  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and  $(u, T^*\psi) = (f, \psi)$  for all  $\psi \in S$ . It is clear from the definition that  $T_1$  is a closed extension of T on S. It is called the maximal or weak extension of T. It is the maximal in the sense that it is the largest closed extension having S in the domain of its adjoint. We denote  $T_1$  by  $T_{\max}$ . It is clear that  $D(T_{\max})$  consists of all function u in  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  for which  $T_u$  is in  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  (see Wong [5, Remark 3.3]).

The main result in this paper is that if  $T \in HL^{m,m_0}_{\rho,\delta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and if there exist two positive constants C, C' such that (2.2) holds, then  $D(T_{\min}) = H^{m,p}$ . To this end, we recall a very important result in the theory of pseudodifferential operators.

THEOREM 2.4. Let  $T \in HL^{m,m_0}_{\rho,\delta}(M)$ , with either  $1 - \rho \leq \delta < \rho$  or  $\rho < \delta$  and M a domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then there exists an operator  $Q \in HL^{-m,-m_0}_{\rho,\delta}(M)$ , such that

$$(2.1) QT = I + R_1, TQ = I + R_2$$

where  $R_j \in L^{-\infty}(M)$ , j = 1, 2, and I is the identity operator.

For a proof of Theorem 2.4, see Theorem 5.1 of Schubin [1]. We recall that  $H^{s,p}$  is the  $L^p$  Sobolev space of order s. See Ch. 2, section 4 of Schechter [2] for a discussion of these spaces.

#### Lee-chae Jang

THEOREM 2.5. Let  $\rho, \delta$  be as in Theorem 2.4 and let  $T \in HL^{m,m_0}_{\rho,\delta}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If there exist two constants C, C' such that

then  $D(T_{\min}) = H^{m,p}$ .

Proof. If  $u \in H^{m,p}$ , then we can take a sequence  $\{\Phi_k\}$  of functions in S such that  $\Phi_k \to u$  in  $H^{m,p}$ . Hence, by (2.2),  $\{T\Phi_k\}$  and  $\{\Phi_k\}$  are Cauchy sequences in  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . So  $\Phi_k \to u$  and  $T\Phi_k \to f$  for some u and f in  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . Hence  $u \in D(T_{\min})$ , and  $T_{\min}u = f$ . On the other hand, if  $u \in D(T_{\min})$ , then we can find a sequence  $\{\Phi_k\}$  in S such that  $\Phi_k \to u$  in  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and  $T\Phi_k \to f$  for some f in  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . Hence,  $\{\Phi_k\}$  and  $\{T\Phi_k\}$  are Cauchy sequences in  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , so, by (2.2),  $\{\Phi_k\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $H^{m,p}$ . Since  $H^{m,p}$  is complete,  $\Phi_k \to v$  for some v in  $H^{m,p}$ . Suppose  $m \geq 0$ . Then the inclusion map  $H^{m,p} \to L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  is continuous. Thus,  $\Phi_k \to v$  in  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . Hence u = v, and consequently lies in  $H^{m,p}$ . If m < 0, then the inclusion map  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n) \to H^{m,p}$  is continuous. Thus,  $\Phi_k \to u$  in  $H^{m,p}$ . Hence u = v, and consequently lies in  $H^{m,p}$ .

REMARK 2.6. Since  $T_{\text{max}}$  is the maximal closed extension of T,  $H^{m,p}$  is contained in the domain of  $T_{\text{max}}$ .

## References

- M. A. Schubin, Pseudodifferential operators and spectral theory, Springer-Verlag, New york, 1978.
- M. Schechter, Spectra of partial differential operators, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1971.
- 3. M. W. Wong,  $L^p$ -spectra of strongly Carleman pseudodifferential operators, J. Func. Analysis 44 (1981), 163-173.
- 4. \_\_\_\_\_, Fredholm pseudodifferential operators on weighted Sobolev spaces, Ark. Mat. 21 (1983), 271-282.
- 5. \_\_\_\_\_, On some spectral properties of elliptic pseudodifferential operators, Pro. Amer. Math. Soc. 99 (1987), 683-689.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, KON-KUK UNIVERSITY, CHUNGJU 380-701, KOREA