ON A QUESTION OF KIM CONCERNING CERTAIN GROUP PRESENTATIONS

RICHARD M. THOMAS

Ann-Chi Kim recently posed the question of determining when the group G(n) defined by the presentation

$$\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n : x_i x_{i+2} = x_{i+1} (i \in \mathbb{Z}_n) \rangle$$

is infinite; the purpose of this paper is to answer his question by showing that G(n) is infinite if and only if $n \geq 6$. It was shown in [8] that G(1) is trivial, and that G(2) is isomorphic to the cyclic group C_3 of order 3, G(3) to the quaternion group Q_8 , G(4) to SL(2,3) and G(5) to SL(2,5); on the other hand, G(6) is infinite, as G(6)/G(6)' is infinite. So the onus is on us to show that G(n) is infinite for $n \geq 7$; in fact, G(n) has a free subgroup of rank 2 for $n \geq 7$, unlike G(6), which is metabelian. The case n = 7 has been solved independently by David Gill, who used some nifty Tietze transformations to show that there is a subgroup H of index 7 in G(7) and a homomorphism from H onto the modular group.

The groups G(n) bring to mind the **Fibonacci groups** F(2,n) defined by the presentations

$$\langle x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n : x_i x_{i+1} = x_{i+2} (i \in \mathbb{Z}_n) \rangle,$$

which are known to be infinite for n = 6 [4] and $n \ge 8$ [2, 9, 10], and not otherwise [2, 3, 4, 5]; see [13] for a recent survey of these groups. Some of the techniques useful in dealing with the Fibonacci groups are appropriate to the groups G(n) also.

Let A(n) be the group G(n)/G(n)'; we may use the techniques of [6] and [7] to work out the order of A(n). If f(x) is the polynomial x^2-x+1 , then A(n) has order $\pm \prod \{f(\zeta) : \zeta^n = 1\}$; so A(n) is infinite if and only

Received September 26, 1990. Revised February 28, 1991.

if $\zeta^2 - \zeta + 1 = 0$ for some n^{th} root ζ of unity. Now $\zeta^2 - \zeta + 1 = 0$ is equivalent to $\zeta^2 + 1 = \zeta$, and hence to $\zeta^3 + \zeta^2 + \zeta + 1 = \zeta^2 + \zeta$ with $\zeta \neq -1$. So A(n) is infinite if and only if $\zeta^3 = -1 \neq \zeta$ for some ζ ; in other words, we have:

THEOREM A. A(n) is infinite if and only if 6 divides n.

As with the Fibonacci groups, there is an automorphism of G(n) of order dividing n permuting the x_i in a cycle of length n. We may therefore form the semi-direct product of G(n) with a cyclic group $\langle t \rangle$ of order n to get the group I(n) with presentation

$$\langle x, t : xt^{-2}xt^2 = t^{-1}xt, t^n = 1 \rangle,$$

where x denotes x_1 (say). The relation $xt^{-2}xt^2 = t^{-1}xt$ is equivalent to $xt^{-2}xtx^{-1}t = 1$. We introduce a new generator $u := xt^{-1}$, and then delete x = ut, to get

$$\langle u, t : ut^{-1}utu^{-1}t = t^n = 1 \rangle.$$

Now the relation $ut^{-1}utu^{-1}t=1$ is equivalent $(tu^{-1})^{-1}u(tu^{-1})=t^{-1}$, and, given $t^n=1$, we also have that $u^n=1$. Since the relation $ut^{-1}utu^{-1}t=1$ is equivalent to $tu^{-1}tut^{-1}u=1$, we see that there is an automorphism interchanging u and t. We form the semi-direct product of I(n) with a cyclic group $\langle a \rangle$ of order 2 to get the group K(n) with presentation

$$\langle a, t : a^2 = t^n = atat^{-1}atatat^{-1}at = 1 \rangle$$
.

We are now in a position to prove the following result:

THEOREM B. G(n) is infinite if and only if $n \geq 6$.

Since G(n) is finite for $n \leq 5$ and G(6) is infinite, it is enough to show that K(n), and hence G(n), is infinite for $n \geq 7$. The relation $atat^{-1}atatat^{-1}at = 1$ is equivalent to $(at^{-1}atat)^2 = 1$; our strategy is to find matrices A and T in $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ such that $A^2 = T^n = (AT^{-1}ATAT)^2 = -I$, but such that AT has infinite order. If we let $\tilde{K}(n)$, denote the group with presentation

$$\langle a, t, z : a^2 = t^n = atat^{-1}atatat^{-1}at = z, z^2 = 1 \rangle,$$

then the subgroup $\langle A, T \rangle$ of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ would be an infinite homomorphic image of $\tilde{K}(n)$, so that the subgroup $\langle A, T \rangle$ of $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$ (with the usual abuse of notation) would be an infinite homomorphic image of K(n).

We make use of two facts here that are of great help when tackling this sort of problem; compare [1] and [12] for example. Firstly, a matrix M in $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ has finite order m>2 if and only if the trace $\mathrm{Tr}(M)$ of M is of the form $\alpha+\alpha^{-1}$ for some primitive m^{th} root α of unity. Secondly, we have the identity $\mathrm{Tr}(UV)+\mathrm{Tr}(U^{-1}V)=\mathrm{Tr}(U)\,\mathrm{Tr}(V)$ for any matrices U and V in $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$. Writing U as WV, this becomes

$$\operatorname{Tr}(WV^2) + \operatorname{Tr}(W) = \operatorname{Tr}(WV)\operatorname{Tr}(V),$$

since $\text{Tr}(V^{-1}W^{-1}V) = \text{Tr}(W^{-1}) = \text{Tr}(W)$. Now put $W = AT^{-1}$ and V = AT to get

$$\operatorname{Tr}(AT^{-1}ATAT) + \operatorname{Tr}(AT^{-1}) = \operatorname{Tr}(AT^{-1}AT)\operatorname{Tr}(AT).$$

Let $A:=\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\-1&0\end{pmatrix}$ and $T:=\begin{pmatrix}\alpha&0\\\beta&\alpha^{-1}\end{pmatrix}$, where $\alpha=e^{\pi i/n}$, so that $AT=\begin{pmatrix}\beta&\alpha^{-1}\\-\alpha&0\end{pmatrix}$, $A^2=T^n=-I$ and $A^{-1}=-A$. Then $\operatorname{Tr}(AT^{-1})=\operatorname{Tr}(TA^{-1})=\operatorname{Tr}(-TA)=-\operatorname{Tr}(AT)$, and we have

$$\operatorname{Tr}(AT^{-1}ATAT) = \left[\operatorname{Tr}(AT^{-1}AT) + 1\right]\operatorname{Tr}(AT).$$

Now $\text{Tr}(AT^{-1}AT) + \text{Tr}(TA^{-1}AT) = \text{Tr}(AT^{-1}) \text{Tr}(AT)$ and $\text{Tr}(AT^{-1}) = -\text{Tr}(AT)$ as above, so that

$$\operatorname{Tr}(AT^{-1}AT) = -\operatorname{Tr}(AT)^2 - \operatorname{Tr}(T^2) = -\operatorname{Tr}(AT)^2 - (\alpha^2 + \alpha^{-2}),$$

and then $\text{Tr}(AT^{-1}ATAT) = [1 - \beta^2 - (\alpha^2 + \alpha^{-2})]\beta$. So we want $1 - \beta^2 - (\alpha^2 + \alpha^{-2}) = 0$, i.e.

$$\beta = \pm \sqrt{1 - 2\cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{n}\right)}.$$

Since $n \geq 7$, β is non-zero and imaginary. Now, since

$$(AT)^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \beta^2 - 1 & \beta \alpha^{-1} \\ -\alpha \beta & -1 \end{pmatrix},$$

AT does not have order 1 or 2; so, for AT to have finite order, we would need to have $\beta = \gamma + \gamma^{-1}$ for some primitive m^{th} root γ of unity with m > 2. But $\gamma + \gamma^{-1}$ would be real, while β is imaginary; so AT has infinite order for $n \geq 7$; so $\tilde{K}(n)$, and hence K(n), is infinite.

In fact, we can say more than this. Let

$$M:=AT=\left(egin{array}{cc} eta & lpha^{-1} \ -lpha & 0 \end{array}
ight), \qquad N:=AT^{-1}=\left(egin{array}{cc} -eta & lpha \ -lpha^{-1} & 0 \end{array}
ight).$$

Recall that an element U of $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ is said to be elliptic if $Tr(U) \in$ \mathbb{R} and $|\operatorname{Tr}(U)| < 2$, and a subgroup S of $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ is said to be elliptic if all elements of S, apart from $\pm I$, are elliptic. Since $\beta \notin \mathbb{R}$, we see that (M, N) is not elliptic. Also, a subgroup S of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ is said to be elementary if the commutator of any two elements of infinite order has trace 2, and to be discrete if it does not contain any convergent sequence of distinct elements. Now, since $\beta \notin \mathbb{R}$, M and N have infinite order, as was pointed out above, and we may readily check that $N^{-1}M^{-1}NM$ has trace $-2\cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{n}\right)$, and thus $\langle M,N\rangle$ is not elementary. So, by [11], there is a generating pair $\{P,Q\}$ for (M,N) such that $\langle P^k, Q^k \rangle$ is a discrete free subgroup of rank 2 for sufficently large k. So $\tilde{K}(n)$ has a homomorphic image $\langle A,T\rangle\cong \tilde{K}(n)/\tilde{N}$ with a free subgroup $\left\langle \tilde{c}\tilde{N},\tilde{d}\tilde{N}\right\rangle$ of rank 2, and then $\tilde{K}(n)$ has a free subgroup $\tilde{H}:=\left\langle \tilde{c},\tilde{d}\right\rangle$ of rank 2. If c and d are elements of K(n) such that $c\left\langle z\right\rangle =\tilde{c}$ and $d\langle z\rangle = d$, then $H := \langle c, d\rangle$ is a free subgroup of K(n) of rank 2. Since G(n) has finite index in K(n), $H \cap G(n)$ is of finite index in H, and hence is a non-cyclic free subgroup of G(n); so we have:

THEOREM C. G(n) has a free subgroup of rank 2 for $n \geq 7$.

We now turn to the case n = 6. We know that G(6) is infinite, as G(6)/G(6)' is infinite, but we can say a little more. Let us consider the presentation

$$\langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6 : x_1x_3 = x_2, x_2x_4 = x_3, x_3x_5 = x_4,$$

 $x_4x_6 = x_5, x_5x_1 = x_6, x_6x_2 = x_1 \rangle$

for G(6). We may eliminate the generator $x_6 = x_5x_1$ to get

$$\langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 : x_1x_3 = x_2, x_2x_4 = x_3, x_3x_5 = x_4,$$

$$x_4x_5x_1 = x_5, x_5x_1x_2 = x_1 \rangle.$$

Now eliminate $x_4 = x_3x_5$ to get

$$\langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_5 : x_1x_3 = x_2, x_2x_3x_5 = x_3, x_3x_5^2x_1 = x_5, x_5x_1x_2 = x_1 \rangle$$

Next, eliminate $x_2 = x_1x_3$ to get

$$\langle x_1, x_3, x_5 : x_1 x_3^2 x_5 = x_3, x_3 x_5^2 x_1 = x_5, x_5 x_1^2 x_3 = x_1 \rangle$$
.

We now eliminate $x_5 = x_1 x_3^{-1} x_1^{-2}$ to get

$$\langle x_1, x_3 : x_1 x_3^2 x_1 x_3^{-1} x_1^{-2} = x_3,$$

$$x_3 x_1 x_3^{-1} x_1^{-1} x_3^{-1} x_1^{-1} = x_1 x_3^{-1} x_1^{-2} \rangle.$$

Since we're getting tired of the subscripts, we rewrite x_1 as a and x_3 as b to get

$$\langle a, b : ab^2ab^{-1}a^{-2}b^{-1} = bab^{-1}a^{-1}b^{-1}aba^{-1} = 1 \rangle$$
.

Introduce $c:=aba^{-1}$; the relation $bab^{-1}a^{-1}b^{-1}aba^{-1}=1$ is then equivalent to $bc^{-1}b^{-1}c=1$, and hence to [b,c]=1, and the relation $ab^2ab^{-1}a^{-2}b^{-1}=1$ to $c^2ac^{-1}a^{-1}b^{-1}=1$, and hence to $aca^{-1}=b^{-1}c^2$; so we have the presentation

$$\langle a, b, c : aba^{-1} = c, aca^{-1} = b^{-1}c^2, [b, c] = 1 \rangle.$$

We see that $N := \langle b, c \rangle$ is a normal abelian subgroup isomorphic to $C_{\infty} \times C_{\infty}$, and that G(6)/N is isomorphic to C_{∞} ; so we have

THEOREM D. G(6) is an infinite metabelian group.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The author is grateful to Ann-Chi Kim for posing this interesting question and would like to thank Hilary Craig for all her help and encouragement.

References

- G. Baumslag, J. W. Morgan and P. B. Shalen, Generalized triangle groups, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 102 (1987), 25-31.
- A. M. Brunner, The determination of Fibonacci groups, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 11 (1974), 11-14.
- 3. C. P. Chalk and D. L. Johnson, The Fibonacci Groups II, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh 77A (1977), 79-86.
- 4. J. H. Conway et al., Solution to advanced problem 5327, Amer. Math. Monthly 74 (1967), 91-93.
- 5. G. Havas, Computer aided determination of a Fibonacci group, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 15 (1976), 297-305.
- 6. D. L. Johnson, A note on the Fibonacci groups, Israel J. Math. 17 (1974), 277-282.
- 7. _____, Some infinite Fibonacci groups, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 19 (1975), 311-314.
- 8. _____and H.Mawdesley, Some groups of Fibonacci type, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 20A (1975), 199-204.
- 9. R. C. Lyndon, On a family of infinite groups introduced by Conway, (unpublished).
- 10. M. F. Newman, Proving a group infinite, Archiv. Math. 54 (1990), 209-211.
- 11. G. Rosenberger, Some remarks on the paper of A. Majeed on freeness of the group $\langle a^n, b^n \rangle$ for some integer n; $a, b \in SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, Results in Maths. 11 (1987), 267-271.
- R. M. Thomas, The Fibonacci groups F(2, 2m), Bull. London Math. Soc. 21 (1989), 463-465.
- 13. ______, The Fibonacci groups revisited, in Proceedings of Groups St. Andrews 89 (C. M. Campbell and E. F. Robertson, eds.), Cambridge University Press, 1991, pp. 445-454.

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTING STUDIES, UNIVERSITY OF LEICESTER, UNIVERSITY ROAD, LEICESTER LEI 7RH, UK