

## AN COMPLETION OF SPACE OF FUZZY RANDOM VARIABLES

YUN KYONG KIM AND BYUNG MOON KIL

### 1. Introduction

Fuzzy random variables generalize random sets which is an extension of random variables and random vectors. Kwakernaak[5] introduced the notion of a fuzzy random variable as a function  $F : \Omega \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{F}}(R)$  subject to certain measurability conditions, where  $(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$  is a probability space, and  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}(R)$  denotes all piecewise continuous functions  $u : R \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . Puri and Ralescu[7] defined a fuzzy random variable by a function  $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_o(R^n)$  subject to certain measurability requirements, where  $\mathcal{F}_o(R^n)$  denotes all functions  $u : R^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $\{x \in R^n : u(x) \geq \alpha\}$  is nonempty and compact for each  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , and proved an completion of  $\mathcal{F}_o(R^n)$  with respect to an appropriate metric. Stojakovic[9] defined the notion of a fuzzy random variable slightly different than that in [5] and [7], and proved that the space of integrably bounded fuzzy random variables is complete with respect to a new metric.

In this paper, we adopt the notion of a fuzzy random variable in Puri and Ralescu[7], and the space of integrably bounded fuzzy random variables is complete with respect to the metric introduced in Stojakovic[9].

### 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, let  $(\Omega, \Sigma, P)$  be a probability space and  $\Lambda$  a real separable Banach space with norm  $\| \cdot \|$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}(\Lambda)$  denotes the family of all nonempty, compact subsets of  $\Lambda$  and  $\mathcal{K}_c(\Lambda)$  the family of all nonempty, compact, and convex subsets of  $\Lambda$ . A linear structure in  $\mathcal{K}(\Lambda)$  is defined via the operations

$$\begin{aligned} A + B &= \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\} \\ \lambda A &= \{\lambda a : a \in A\} \end{aligned}$$

for  $A, B \in \mathcal{K}(\Lambda)$ ,  $\lambda \in R$ . However, note that  $\mathcal{K}(\Lambda)$  is not a vector space since  $A + (-A) \neq \{0\}$ .

The topology in  $\mathcal{K}(\Lambda)$  is introduced through the Hausdorff metric

$$H(A, B) = \max\left\{\sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} \|a - b\|, \sup_{b \in B} \inf_{a \in A} \|a - b\|\right\}$$

We denote the Hausdorff semimetric by

$$h(A, B) = \sup_{a \in A} \inf_{b \in B} \|a - b\|$$

It is well-known that  $\mathcal{K}(\Lambda)$  is a complete and separable metric space, and that  $\mathcal{K}_c(\Lambda)$  is a closed subspace.

Let  $L(\Omega, \Sigma, P, \Lambda) = L$  denotes the Banach space of (equivalence classes of) measurable functions  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \Lambda$  such that the norm  $\|f\|_1 = \int_{\Omega} \|f(\omega)\| dP$  is finite.

A random set is defined as a Borel measurable function  $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\Lambda)$ , and a measurable function  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \Lambda$  is called a measurable selection of  $F$  if  $f(\omega) \in F(\omega)$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . For a random set  $F$ , define the set  $S_F = \{f \in L : f(\omega) \in F(\omega) \text{ a.e.}\}$  then,  $S_F$  is a closed subset of  $L$ . If  $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\Lambda)$  is a random set, the expectation of  $F$  is defined by  $\int_{\Omega} F dP = \{\int_{\Omega} f dP : f \in S_F\}$  where  $\int_{\Omega} f dP$  is the Bochner-integral. A random set  $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\Lambda)$  is called integrably bounded if there exists integrable function  $g : \Omega \rightarrow R$  such that  $\sup_{x \in F(\omega)} \|x\| \leq g(\omega)$  for

all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}(\Omega, \Sigma, P, \Lambda) = \mathcal{L}$  denote the space of all integrably bounded random sets, where  $F, G \in \mathcal{L}$  are considered to be identical if  $F(\omega) = G(\omega)$  a.s.. For  $F, G \in \mathcal{L}$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(F, G) &= \int_{\Omega} H\{F(\omega), G(\omega)\} dP \\ \delta(F, G) &= \int_{\Omega} h\{F(\omega), G(\omega)\} dP \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\Delta$  is a metric and  $\delta$  is a semimetric on  $\mathcal{L}$ . If we define

$$\mathcal{L}_c(\Omega, \Sigma, P, \Lambda) = \mathcal{L}_c = \{F \in \mathcal{L} : F(\omega) \in \mathcal{K}_c(\Lambda) \text{ a.s.}\}$$

then  $\mathcal{L}$  is a complete metric space with respect to the metric  $\Delta$  and  $\mathcal{L}_c$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{L}$  [3].

### 3. Fuzzy random variables

A Fuzzy set in  $\Lambda$  is a function  $u : \Lambda \rightarrow [0, 1]$ . Denote by  $L_\alpha u = \{x \in \Lambda | u(x) \geq \alpha\}$  for  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , the  $\alpha$ -level set of  $u$ . An extension of  $\mathcal{K}(\Lambda)$  is obtained by defining the space  $\mathcal{F}(\Lambda)$  of all fuzzy sets  $u : \Lambda \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with the properties

- (a)  $u$  is upper semicontinuous
- (b)  $\text{supp } u$  is compact
- (c)  $\{x \in \Lambda | u(x) = 1\} \neq \emptyset$

The space  $\mathcal{F}_c(\Lambda)$  denotes the family of all fuzzy sets in  $\mathcal{F}(\Lambda)$  which are also fuzzy convex. It is clear that  $A \in \mathcal{K}(\Lambda)$  implies  $\chi_A \in \mathcal{F}(\Lambda)$ , while  $A \in \mathcal{F}_c(\Lambda)$  implies  $\chi_A \in \mathcal{F}_c(\Lambda)$ , where  $\chi_A$  is the indicator function of  $A$ .

A linear structure in  $\mathcal{F}(\Lambda)$  is defined by the operation

$$(u + v)(x) = \sup_{y+z=x} \min[u(y), v(z)]$$

$$(\lambda u)(x) = \begin{cases} u(x/\lambda), & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0 \\ \chi_{\{0\}}(x), & \text{if } \lambda = 0. \end{cases}$$

where  $u, v \in \mathcal{F}(\Lambda)$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

A fuzzy random variable is defined as a function  $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\Lambda)$  such that  $L_\alpha X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(\Lambda)$ ,  $L_\alpha X(\omega) = \{x \in \Lambda : X(\omega)(x) \geq \alpha\}$  is a random set for all  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . A fuzzy random variable  $X$  is called integrably bounded if  $L_\alpha X$  is integrably bounded for all  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . Let  $\Phi(\Omega, \Sigma, P, \Lambda) = \Phi$  be the set of all integrably bounded fuzzy random variables. With  $\Phi_c$  we denote the set of all fuzzy random variables  $X \in \Phi$  such that  $L_\alpha X \in \mathcal{L}_c$  for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ .

### 4. Main Result

For  $X, Y \in \Phi$ , we define  $D(X, Y) = \sup_{\alpha \geq 0} \Delta(L_\alpha X, L_\alpha Y)$ . Two fuzzy random variables  $X, Y \in \Phi$  are considered to be identical if  $L_\alpha X = L_\alpha Y$  a.s. for all  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . It is obvious that  $D$  is a metric in  $\Phi$  and if

$F, G$  are integrably bounded random set then  $D(F, G) = \Delta(F, G)$ .  
To prove the main result, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in [0, 1]\}$  be a family of random sets such that

(a)  $F_0(\omega) = \Lambda$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$

(b)  $\alpha \leq \beta$  implies  $F_\beta \subseteq F_\alpha$  a.s.

(c)  $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \dots, \lim \alpha_n = \alpha$  implies  $F_\alpha = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{\alpha_n}$  a.s.

Then the fuzzy random variable  $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{X})$  defined by  $X(\omega)(x) = \sup\{\alpha \in [0, 1] : x \in F_\alpha(\omega)\}$  has the property that  $L_\alpha X = F_\alpha$  for every  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* It follows immediately from an application of lemma 1 [9].

**Theorem 4.2.**  $\Phi$  is a complete metric space with respect to the metric  $D$ , and  $\Phi_c$  is a closed subspace of  $\Phi$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $\Phi$ . Consider a fixed  $\alpha > 0$ . Then  $\{L_\alpha(X_n), n \geq 1\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathcal{L}$ . Since  $\mathcal{L}$  is complete with respect to  $\Delta$ , it follows that

$$L_\alpha(X_n) \xrightarrow{\Delta} F_\alpha \in \mathcal{L}.$$

Actually, it is easy to see that  $L_\alpha(X_n) \xrightarrow{\Delta} F_\alpha$  uniformly in  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . Consider now the family  $\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in [0, 1]\}$ , where  $F_0(\omega) = \Lambda$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\alpha \leq \beta$ . Then

$$\delta(F_\beta, F_\alpha) \leq \delta(F_\beta, L_\beta(X_n)) + \delta(L_\beta(X_n), L_\alpha(X_n)) + \delta(L_\alpha(X_n), F_\alpha)$$

Since  $L_\beta(X_n) \subset L_\alpha(X_n)$ , it follows that  $\delta(L_\beta(X_n), L_\alpha(X_n)) = 0$ .

Thus,  $\delta(F_\beta, F_\alpha) \leq \delta(F_\beta, L_\beta(X_n)) + \delta(L_\alpha(X_n), F_\alpha) < \varepsilon$  if  $n$  is large enough. Hence  $\delta(F_\beta, F_\alpha) = 0$  and since  $F_\beta(\omega), F_\alpha(\omega)$  are closed, we have  $F_\beta(\omega) \subseteq F_\alpha(\omega)$  a.s.

Now take  $\alpha > 0, \alpha_n \uparrow \alpha$ . We have to show that

$$F_\alpha = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{\alpha_n} \text{ a.s.}$$

It is clear that  $F_\alpha \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{\alpha_n}$  a. s.

Using again the semimetric  $\delta$ , we get for fixed  $j$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{\alpha_n}, F_\alpha\right) &\leq \delta\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{\alpha_n}, \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{\alpha_n}(X_j)\right) \\ &\quad + \delta\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{\alpha_n}(X_j), L_\alpha(X_j)\right) + \delta(L_\alpha(X_j), F_\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

But  $\delta\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{\alpha_n}(X_j), L_\alpha(X_j)\right) = 0$ . Consequently, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $N_\varepsilon$  such that for  $j \geq N_\varepsilon$

$$\delta\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{\alpha_n}, F_\alpha\right) \leq \varepsilon + \delta\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{\alpha_n}, \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{\alpha_n}(X_j)\right)$$

Now, for any  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{\alpha_n}, \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{\alpha_n}(X_j)\right) &\leq \delta\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{\alpha_n}, F_{\alpha_k}\right) \\ &\quad + \delta(F_{\alpha_k}, L_{\alpha_k}(X_j)) + \delta(L_{\alpha_k}(X_j), \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{\alpha_n}(X_j)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{\alpha_n} \subseteq F_{\alpha_k}$ , we obtain

$$\delta\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{\alpha_n}, \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{\alpha_n}(X_j)\right) \leq \delta(M_{\alpha_k}, L_{\alpha_k}(X_j)) + \delta(L_{\alpha_k}(X_j), \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{\alpha_n}(X_j))$$

Now  $\delta(F_{\alpha_k}, L_{\alpha_k}(X_j)) < \varepsilon$  for  $j \geq N_0$ . Note that  $N_0$  does not depend on  $k$  since the convergence  $L_\alpha(X_j) \rightarrow F_\alpha$  is uniform. On the other hand, since  $\{L_{\alpha_n}(X_j)\}$  decrease to  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{\alpha_n}(X_j)$ , it follows that  $\delta(L_{\alpha_m}(X_j), \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{\alpha_n}(X_j)) < \varepsilon$  for some  $m$  (depending on  $j$ ). Thus, if  $j$  is large,

$$\delta\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{\alpha_n}, \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{\alpha_n}(X_j)\right) < 2\varepsilon$$

Finally by taking  $j$  large enough, we obtain

$$\delta\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{\alpha_n}, F_\alpha\right) \leq 3\varepsilon$$

i.e.,

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{\alpha_n} \subseteq F_{\alpha} a.s.$$

Hence we obtain  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{\alpha_n} = F_{\alpha} a.s.$  Thus lemma 4.1 is applicable and there exists  $X \in \Phi$  with  $L_{\alpha}(X) = F_{\alpha}$  for every  $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ . It remains to show that  $X_n \rightarrow X$  with respect to  $D$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then since  $\{X_n\}$  is Cauchy, there exists  $N_{\varepsilon}$  such that  $n, m > N_{\varepsilon}$  implies  $D(X_n, X_m) < \varepsilon$ . Let  $n > N_{\varepsilon}$  be fixed. Then

$$\begin{aligned} D(L_{\alpha}(X_n), L_{\alpha}(X)) &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} D(L_{\alpha}(X_n), L_{\alpha}(X_m)) \\ &\leq \overline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\alpha > 0} D(L_{\alpha}(X_n), L_{\alpha}(X_m)) \\ &= \overline{\lim} D(X_n, X_m) < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$D(X_n, X) = \sup_{\alpha > 0} D(L_{\alpha}(X_n), L_{\alpha}(X)) \leq \varepsilon$$

for  $n > N_{\varepsilon}$ .

This completes the proof of the first statement and the second statement is trivial.

#### References

1. R. J. Aumann, *Integrals of set-valued functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **12** (1965), 1–12.
2. G. Debreu, *Integration of correspondences*, Proceedings, Fifth Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Prob. **Vol.2** (1966), 351–372.
3. F. Hiai and H. Umegaki, *Integrals, Conditional expectations, and martingales of multivalued functions*, J. Multivariate Anal. **7** (1977), 149–182.
4. E. P. Klement, M. L. Puri and D. A. Ralescu, *Limit theorems for fuzzy random variables*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A **407** (1986), 171–182.
5. H. Kwakernaak, *Fuzzy random variables, Definition and theorems*, Inform. Sci. **15** (1978), 1–29.
6. C. V. Negoita and D. A. Ralescu, *Applications of Fuzzy sets to System Analysis*, Wiley, New York, 1975.
7. M. L. Puri and D. A. Ralescu, *Fuzzy random variables*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **114** (1986), 402–422.

8. M. L. Puri and D. A. Ralescu, *Convergence theorem for fuzzy martingales*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **160** (1991), 107–122.
9. M. Stojakovic, *Fuzzy conditional expectation*, Fuzzy sets and Systems **52** (1992), 53–60.
10. L. A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets*, Inform. and Control **8** (1965), 338–353.

Yun Kyong Kim  
Dept. of Mathematics,  
Dong-shin University,  
Naju 520-714, Korea

Byung Moon Kil  
Department of Mathematics,  
Sung-Hwa University,  
Chunan 330-150, Korea