

## THE UNICELLULARITY OF SOME LOWER TRIANGULAR OPERATORS

BAIK HYOUNG GU<sup>1</sup> AND HA DAE YEON

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The investigation of invariant subspaces is the first step in the attempt to understand the structure of operators. We will investigate bounded linear operators on Hilbert spaces which have the simplest possible invariant subspace structure. In this paper, we are going to study some strictly lower triangular operators which are shown to be unicellular under certain conditions.

We introduce a simple but key result which transforms the problem of establishing whether a vector is cyclic for an operator to that of determining whether a related operator is one-to-one. We first introduce some definitions. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space and  $A$  an operator on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}$  denote a subspace of  $\mathcal{H}$ .  $\mathcal{M}$  is invariant under  $A$  means that  $Ax \in \mathcal{M}$  for all  $x \in \mathcal{M}$ . The collection of all subspaces of  $\mathcal{H}$  invariant under  $A$  is denoted by  $\text{Lat}A$ . The operator  $A$  is unicellular if the collection  $\text{Lat}A$  is totally ordered by inclusion. If  $\mathcal{K}$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{H}$ , the span of  $\mathcal{K}$  is the smallest subspace containing  $\mathcal{K}$  and denoted by  $\text{span}\mathcal{K}$ . If  $x \in \mathcal{H}$  then  $\text{span}\{x, Ax, A^2x, \dots\}$  is easily seen to be invariant under  $A$ . The vector  $x$  is cyclic for  $A$  if  $\text{span}\{x, Ax, A^2x, \dots\} = \mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  is a cyclic subspace for  $A$  if  $\text{span}\{x, Ax, A^2x, \dots\} = \mathcal{M}$ . Let  $A$  be a bounded operator with  $\|A\| < 1$  on  $l^2$ , and let  $\{e_0, e_1, e_2, \dots\}$  denote the standard basis for  $l^2$ . Let  $x$  be a column vector in  $l^2$ . Since  $x$  is a column vector in  $l^2$ , it should be written like  $x = (x_0, x_1, \dots)^t$ . So  $A^n x$  is a column vector in  $l^2$  for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then we have an infinite matrix  $[x, Ax, A^2x, \dots]^t$  which is a linear transformation defined on  $l^2$ . Since  $(l^2)^* = l^2$ , for each  $x$  in  $l^2$  we have a linear functional  $\phi_x$  in  $l^2$  such that  $|\phi_x(y)| \leq \|x\|_2 \|y\|_2$  for all  $y$  in  $l^2$ . Let  $y$  be in  $l^2$ . In fact

---

Received February 5, 1994 .

<sup>1</sup>This paper was supported by RESEARCH FOUNDATION of Ulsan junior college

that  $\phi_x(y) = \sum_i \bar{x}_i y_i$ , for each  $y \in l^2$ . Then

$$[x, Ax, A^2x, \dots]^t y = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_x(y) \\ \phi_{Ax}(y) \\ \phi_{A^2x}(y) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

Since  $\|A\| < 1$ , this matrix gives a bounded operator mapping  $l^2$  to  $l^2$ . We denote the matrix  $[x, Ax, A^2x, \dots]^t y$  by  $S_x(A)$  from now on.

Let  $A$  be a bounded operator with  $\|A\| < 1$  on  $l^2$  represented by a strictly lower triangular matrix. Let  $\mathcal{M}_n$  be the subspace  $\text{span}\{e_n, e_{n+1}, e_{n+2}, \dots\}$  for each  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Then every  $\mathcal{M}_n$  is invariant under  $A$ , and  $\{\mathcal{M}_n \mid n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  is totally ordered by inclusion;  $l^2 = \mathcal{M}_0 \supset \mathcal{M}_1 \supset \mathcal{M}_2 \supset \dots$ . Hence  $A$  is unicellular if its only invariant subspaces are  $\{0\}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_n, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , i.e. the collection  $\text{Lat}A$  of all subspaces of  $l^2$  which are invariant under  $A$  is  $\{\{0\}, \mathcal{M}_n \mid n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a subspace of  $l^2$ . If we let  $\underline{x}_N = (0, \dots, 0, 1, x_{N+1}, \dots)^t \in l^2$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{\underline{x}_N} = \text{span}\{\underline{x}_N, A\underline{x}_N, A^2\underline{x}_N, \dots\}$  then  $\mathcal{M}_{\underline{x}_N}^\perp = \text{Ker}S_{\underline{x}_N}(A)$  and always  $\mathcal{M}_N^\perp \subset \text{Ker}S_{\underline{x}_N}(A)$ .

**LEMMA 1.1.** *Let  $A$  be a strictly lower triangular operator on  $l^2$ . Then  $(U^{*N}AU^N)^n U^{*N} = U^{*N}A^n P_N$  for every  $n, N = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $U$  is the unilateral shift on  $l^2$  and  $P_N$  the orthogonal projection on  $\mathcal{M}_N$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $N$  be a nonnegative integer. For  $n = 0, U^{*N} = U^{*N}P_N$ . We assume that  $(U^{*N}AU^N)^n U^{*N} = U^{*N}A^n P_N$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (U^{*N}AU^N)^{n+1}U^{*N} &= (U^{*N}AU^N)(U^{*N}AU^N)^n U^{*N} \\ &= (U^{*N}AU^N)U^{*N}A^n P_N, \text{ by induction hypothesis} \\ &= U^{*N}AP_N A^n P_N \\ &= U^{*N}AA^n P_N, \text{ since } A \text{ is strictly lower triangular} \\ &= U^{*N}A^{n+1}P_N. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 1.2. Let  $A$  be a strictly lower triangular operator with  $\|A\| < 1$  on  $l^2$ ,  $N$  a non-negative integer and let  $\underline{x}_N = (0, \dots, 0, 1, x_{N+1}, \dots)^t \in l^2$ .  $\mathcal{M}_N$  is a cyclic subspace for  $A$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{M}_N = \mathcal{M}_{\underline{x}_N}$ , if and only if  $S_{U^*N \underline{x}_N}(U^*N A U^N)$  is one-to-one.

*Proof.*  $\mathcal{M}_N = \mathcal{M}_{\underline{x}_N}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{M}_N$  is a cyclic subspace for  $A$ , if and only if  $\text{Ker} S_{\underline{x}_N}(A) = \mathcal{M}_N^\perp$  if and only if  $\text{Ker} S_{\underline{x}_N}(A) \subset \mathcal{M}_N^\perp$ . Let  $y \in l^2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\underline{x}_N}(A)y &= \begin{pmatrix} \underline{x}_N^t \\ A\underline{x}_N^t \\ A^2\underline{x}_N^t \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & x_{N+1} & \cdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & * & \cdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & \cdots \\ & & & \mathbf{0} & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_0 \\ y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$S_{\underline{x}_N}(A)y = 0$  if and only if

$$\mathbf{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_{N+1} & \cdots \\ 0 & * & * & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & * & \cdots \\ & \mathbf{0} & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_N \\ y_{N+1} \\ y_{N+2} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U^*N \underline{x}_N^t \\ U^*N A \underline{x}_N^t \\ U^*N A^2 \underline{x}_N^t \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y_N \\ y_{N+1} \\ y_{N+2} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

By Lemma 1.1,  $U^*N A^n \underline{x}_N = U^*N A^n P_N \underline{x}_N = (U^*N A U^N)^n U^*N \underline{x}_N$  for each  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Hence  $\text{Ker} S_{\underline{x}_N}(A) \subset \mathcal{M}_N^\perp$  if and only if  $S_{U^*N \underline{x}_N}(U^*N A U^N)$  is one-to-one.  $\square$

THEOREM 1.3. Let  $A$  be a strictly lower triangular operator with  $\|A\| < 1$  and  $U$  the unilateral shift on  $l^2$ . Then  $A$  is unicellular if and only if for any  $x = (1, x_1, \dots)^t \in l^2$ ,  $S_x(U^*N A U^N)$  is one-to-one for every  $N = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

*Proof.* If  $A$  is unicellular, then  $\text{Lat} A = \{0\} \cup \{\mathcal{M}_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ . Let  $x = (1, x_1, \dots)^t \in l^2$  and  $N$  be a fixed non-negative integer. Then  $U^N x =$

$\overbrace{(0, \dots, 0, 1, x_1, \dots)}^{N\text{-terms}} \in l^2$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{U^N x} = \text{span}\{U^N x, AU^N x, \dots\}$  is an invariant subspace of  $l^2$  for  $A$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{U^N x} = \mathcal{M}_n$  for some  $n$ . Clearly,  $\mathcal{M}_{U^N x} = \mathcal{M}_N$ . Hence  $\mathcal{M}_N$  is a cyclic subspace for  $A$  and  $U^{*N}U^N x = x$ . Therefore  $S_x(U^{*N}AU^N)$  is one-to-one.

Conversely, we assume that for any  $x = (1, x_1, \dots)^t \in l^2$   $S_x(U^{*N}AU^N)$  is one-to-one for every  $N = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an invariant subspace of  $l^2$ . We need to show that  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $\{0\}$  or  $\mathcal{M}_n$  for some non-negative integer  $n$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{M} \neq \{0\}$ . Let  $N$  be the least index of non-zero entries of all elements of  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then  $0 \leq N < \infty$ ,  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{M}_N$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  contains a vector  $x$  of form  $(0, \dots, 0, 1, x_{N+1}, \dots)^t$ . From the assumption  $S_x(U^{*N}AU^N)$  is one-to-one. Hence  $\mathcal{M}_N$  is a cyclic subspace for  $A$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{M}_N = \mathcal{M}_x \subset \mathcal{M}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{M}_N = \mathcal{M}$ .  $\square$

Now we need some properties of strictly upper triangular matrices in order to determine whether they are one-to-one. The following Theorem leads to results on unicellularity.

**THEOREM 1.4** [ 6 ]. *Let  $T$  and  $S$  be bounded operators on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  represented by upper triangular matrices with respect to a fixed orthonormal basis. Assume that all diagonal entries of  $T$  are non-vanishing, and that all diagonal entries of  $S$  are 0. If  $T$  is invertible and  $S$  is compact, then  $T + S$  is one-to-one.*

**COROLLARY 1.5** [ 6 ]. *Let  $C$  be a strictly upper triangular matrix on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  with an orthonormal basis  $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ . Let  $C_N$  be an upper triangular matrix whose first  $N$  super-diagonals are zero, and the other super-diagonals are same as  $C$ . If each of the  $N$  super-diagonals of  $C$  has entries converging to zero, and  $C_N$  is a compact operator for some  $N$ , then  $I + C$  is one-to-one.*

## 2. PROPERTIES OF SOME TRIANGULAR OPERATORS

In this section, we investigate the unicellularity of some strictly lower triangular operator by using the results established in Section 1.

**LEMMA 2.1** [ 4 ]. *If  $A$  is the unilateral weighted shift operator with the weight sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}$ , then  $\|A^k\| = \sup_n \left| \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{n+i} \right|$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ .*

LEMMA 2.2. Let  $A$  be the unilateral weighted shift operator on  $l^2$  with the weight sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $m$  a non-negative integer. If  $\alpha_n \downarrow 0$ , then  $U_m(A) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^m A^n$  is a bounded operator on  $l^2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\{e_j \mid j = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  be the given orthonormal basis in  $l^2$ . Then  $A^n e_j = \frac{w_{n+j}}{w_j} e_{n+j}$  for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $w_n = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_k$  and  $w_0 = 1$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} (A^n)_{kj} &= \langle A^n e_j, e_k \rangle \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{w_j}{w_{j-n}} & k = j - n \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that  $\|A^n\| = w_n$  for each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . For a fixed non-negative integer  $m$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n+1)^m w_{n+1}}{n^m w_n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)^m \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{w_{n+1}}{w_n} = 0 < 1.$$

$$\|U_m(A)\| = \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^m A^n \right\| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^m \|A^n\| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^m w_n < \infty.$$

Thus  $U_m(A)$  is a bounded operator on  $l^2$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 2.3 [ 12 ]. Let  $A$  be a strictly lower triangular matrix on  $l^2$  with respect to the orthonormal basis  $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  satisfying the first lower diagonal entries are non-zero. Then for  $i \leq j + n - 1$   $(A^n)_{ij} = 0$  and for  $i \geq j + n$

$$(A^n)_{ij} = \sum_{k_{n-1}=j+(n-1)}^{i-1} \sum_{k_{n-2}=j+(n-2)}^{k_{n-1}-1} \cdots \sum_{k_2=j+2}^{k_3-1} \sum_{k_1=j+1}^{k_2-1} \prod_{s=0}^{n-1} a_{k_{s+1}, k_s}$$

where  $(A^n)_{ij}$  is the  $(i, j)$ -component of  $A^n$ ,  $k_n = i$  and  $k_0 = j$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .

Consider a strictly lower triangular matrix  $A$  ( $\|A\| < 1$ ) acting on  $l^2$  with respect to the orthonormal basis  $\{e_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  which has the first non-zero lower diagonal entries. That is,

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0 & i \leq j \\ a_{ij} & i \geq j + 1, \end{cases}$$

where  $a_{i+1,i} \neq 0$  for each  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $w_0 = 1$  and  $w_n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i+1,i}$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $(A^n)_{n+k,k} = \frac{w_{n+k}}{w_k}$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . For  $x = (1, x_1, x_2, \dots)^t \in l^2$ , the  $(n+s)^{th}$ -element of column vector  $A^n x$ ,

$$(A^n x)_{n+s} = \sum_{p=0}^s (A^n)_{n+s,p} x_p = \sum_{p=0}^{s-1} (A^n)_{n+s,p} x_p + \frac{w_{n+s}}{w_s} x_s \text{ for all } s \geq 0.$$

So

$$(S_x(A))_{ij} = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{j-(i+1)} (A^i)_{jk} x_k + \frac{w_j}{w_{j-i}} & i \leq j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $D_A$  be the diagonal operator with the diagonal sequence  $\{w_n\}$ ,

$$(C_A)_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{w_j}{w_{j-i} w_i} x_{j-k} & i = 0, 1, 2, \dots \text{ and } j > i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(F_A)_{ij} = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{j-(i+1)} (A^i)_{ij} \frac{x_j}{w_i} & i \leq j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $S_x(A) = D_A(I + C_A + F_A)$ .

From now on, we will express  $S_x(A) = D_A(I + C_A + F_A)$  as the above way, if  $A$  is a strictly lower triangular operator such that each  $A_{n+1,n}$  is non-zero for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $A_{i,j}$  is the  $(i, j)$ -component of  $A$ .

LEMMA 2.4 [ 6 ].  $\{\alpha_n\} \in l^p$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  fixed, and  $\alpha_n \downarrow 0$ , then

$$\sup_{k \geq K} \left( \frac{1}{w_k^2} \right) \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} \frac{w_j^2}{w_{j-k}^2} < \infty \text{ for some ,}$$

where  $w_0 = 1$  and  $w_n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i$ .

LEMMA 2.5 [ 6 ]. If  $\{\alpha_n\} \in l^p$  for some  $p$  with  $1 < p \leq \infty$ , and  $\alpha_n \downarrow 0$ , then the above matrix  $C_A$  is a compact operator on  $l^2$ , where  $\alpha_n = a_{n+1,n}$  for each  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

NOTATION. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two matrices.  $B \prec A$  means that  $b_{ij} \leq a_{ij}$  for all  $i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be strictly lower triangular operators such that  $A_{n+1,n} = B_{n+1,n} \neq 0$  for all  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and  $B \prec A$  and let  $S_x(A) = D_A(I + C_A + F_A)$  and  $S_x(B) = D_B(I + C_B + F_B)$ . Then for  $x = (x_0, x_1, x_2, \dots)^t \in l^2$  such that  $x_i \geq 0$  for all  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $C_A = C_B$  and  $D_A = D_B$ . Since  $B \prec A$ ,  $B^n \prec A^n$ . Moreover,

$$(F_A)_{ij} = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{j-(i+1)} (A^i)_{jk} \frac{x_k}{w_i} & i < j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(F_B)_{ij} = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{j-(i+1)} (B^i)_{jk} \frac{x_k}{w_i} & i < j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

So  $F_B \prec F_A$ .

Thus we can get the following Lemma.

LEMMA 2.6. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be strictly lower triangular operators such that  $B \prec A$  and  $A_{n+1,n} = B_{n+1,n} \neq 0$  for all  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $S_x(A) = D_A(I + C_A + F_A)$  and  $S_x(B) = D_B(I + C_B + F_B)$ . Then for  $x = (1, x_1, x_2, \dots)^t \in l^2$  such that  $x_i \geq 0$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

- (a)  $F_B \prec F_A$  and
- (b)  $F_B$  is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator if  $F_A$  is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator.

LEMMA 2.7. Let  $A$  be the unilateral weighted shift operator acting on  $l^2$  with the weight sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}$  and  $M$  a positive integer. If  $\alpha_n \downarrow 0$  such that  $\sum_n^\infty n^2 \alpha_n^2 < \infty$ , then  $V_m = A(I + A)^m$  is unicellular.

*Proof.* Let  $m$  be a fixed non-negative integer and let  $w_n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} [A(I + A)^m]^i &= A^i(I + A)^{mi} \\ &= A^i + \binom{mi}{1} A^{i+1} + \binom{mi}{2} A^{i+2} \\ &\quad + \cdots + \binom{mi}{mi-1} A^{mi+i-1} + A^{mi+i}, \\ (A^l)_{jk} &= \begin{cases} \frac{w_j}{w_k} & \text{when } j \geq l, k = j - l, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(V_m^i)_{jk} = \begin{cases} \binom{mi}{j-k-i} \frac{w_j}{w_k} & 1 \leq i \leq j - k \leq mi + i, k \geq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $x = (1, x_1, x_2, \dots)^t \in l^2$ . Then  $S_x(V_m) = D(I + C_A + F)$ , where  $D$  is the diagonal operator with the diagonal sequence  $\{w_n\}$ ,  $C_A$  is the operator described in page 5, and

$$(F)_{ij} = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=\max\{0, j-mi-i\}}^{j-i-1} \binom{mi}{j-k-i} \frac{w_j}{w_k w_i} x_k & j > i, \\ 0 & j \leq i. \end{cases}$$

By replacing  $k$  by  $k + i$  we get

$$(F)_{ij} = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=\max\{1, j-mi\}}^{j-1} \binom{mi}{j-k} \frac{w_j}{w_{j-k} w_i} x_{k-1} & j > i, \\ 0 & j \leq i. \end{cases}$$



If  $F$  is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator, then  $F$  is compact. So by Lemma 2.5,  $F + C_A$  is compact, and hence  $S_x(V_m) = D(I + C_A + F)$  is one-to-one by Theorem 1.4. Therefore we only need to prove that  $F$  is a Hilbert-schmidt operator. We have by the Schwartz inequality that

$$\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} |F_{ij}|^2 \leq \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \sum_{k=\max\{i, j-m\}}^{j-1} \binom{mi}{j-k}^2 \left( \frac{w_j}{w_{k-i}w_i} \right)^2 M,$$

where  $M = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |x_k|^2 < \infty$ . By interchanging the order summation we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} |F_{ij}|^2 \\ & \leq M \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \sum_{\max\{1, \frac{j}{m+1}\} \leq k < j} \sum_{\max\{1, \frac{j-k}{m}\}} \binom{mi}{j-k}^2 \left( \frac{w_j}{w_{k-i}w_i} \right)^2 \\ & = M \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k < j \leq (m+1)k} \sum_{\max\{1, \frac{j-k}{m}\} \leq i \leq k} \binom{mi}{j-k}^2 \left( \frac{w_j}{w_{k-i}w_i} \right)^2 \\ & = M \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{mk} \sum_{\max\{1, \frac{j}{m}\} \leq i \leq k} \binom{mi}{j}^2 \left( \frac{w_{j+k}}{w_{k-i}w_i} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in view of the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\max\{1, \frac{j}{m}\} \leq i \leq k} \binom{mi}{j}^2 \left( \frac{w_{j+k}}{w_{k-i}w_i} \right)^2 \\ & \leq \frac{m^{2j}}{(j!)^2} \sum_{i=1}^k i^{2j} \left( \frac{\alpha_{k-i} \cdots \alpha_{k-1}}{\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_{i-1}} \alpha_k \cdots \alpha_{k+j-1} \right)^2 \\ & \leq \frac{m^{2j} k^{2j}}{(j!)^2 \alpha_0^2} \alpha_k^{2j} \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_{k-i}^2 \\ & \leq \frac{m^{2j} k^{2j} \alpha_k^{2j}}{(j!)^2 \alpha_0^2} L, \text{ where } L = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i^2 < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} |(F)_{i,j}|^2 &\leq LM \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{mk} \frac{m^{2j} k^{2j}}{(j!)^2 \alpha_0^2} \alpha_k^{2j} \\ &\leq \frac{LMm^2}{\alpha_0^2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^2 \alpha_k^2 \sum_{j=0}^{mk-1} \frac{m^{2j}}{j!} (k\alpha_k)^{2j} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $F$  is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. In fact, it follows from the assumption that there is a positive integer  $N$  such that  $k\alpha_k \leq 1$  for any  $k \geq N$ , so we have for any  $k \geq N$

$$\sum_{j=0}^{mk-1} \frac{m^{2j}}{j!} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{m^{2j}}{j!} = e^{m^2} < \infty. \quad \square$$

**COROLLARY 2.8.** *Let  $A$  be the unilateral weighted shift operator acting on  $l^2$  with the weight sequence  $\{\alpha_n\}$ ,  $m$  a non-negative integer, and  $n$  a positive integer. If  $\alpha_n \downarrow 0$  and that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^2 \alpha_n^2 < \infty$ . If  $m, l$  and  $h$  are positive integers  $\geq 2$  and if the coefficients  $\{\gamma_i\}_{i=2}^m$  satisfy the condition that  $0 \leq \gamma_i \leq lh^{i-1}$  where  $i = 2, \dots, m$ , then  $W = A + \sum_{i=2}^m \gamma_i A^i$  is unicellular.*

*Proof.*  $W \prec V_p = A(I + A)^{p-1}$  with  $p = (m + l)h + 1$ . Since  $lh^{i-1} \leq \binom{p}{i-1}$  for any  $2 \leq i \leq m$ . Let  $x = (1, x_1, x_2, \dots)^t \in l^2$  (without loss of generality, we may assume that  $x_i \geq 0$  for all  $i$ ), and  $S_x(W) = D_W(I + C_W + F_W)$ , and  $S_x(V) = D_V(I + C_V + F_V)$ . We have  $D_W = D_V$ ,  $C_W = C_V$  and  $F_W \prec F_V$ . As in the proof of Theorem 2.8, we see that  $F_V$  is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator. Therefore  $F_W$  is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator by Lemma 2.6. Hence  $S_x(W)$  is one-to-one. Since  $U^{*N} W U^N$  has the same condition as  $W$ ,  $S_x(U^{*N} W U^N)$  is one-to-one for each  $N$ . So  $W$  is unicellular.  $\square$

### References

1. J. B. Conway, *A course in functional analysis*, Springer-Verlag, N. Y., 1985.
2. J. B. Conway, *Subnormal operators*, Pitman Advanced Pub. Program, 1981.
3. I. Gohberg, S. Goldberg, *Basic operator theory*, Birkhauser, Boston, 1981.
4. P. R. Halmos, *A Hilbert space problem book (second edition)*, Springer-Verlag, N. Y., 1982.
5. K. J. Harrison, *On the unicellularity of weighted shifts*, J Austral Math. Soc. **12** (1971), 342 – 350.
6. J. H. Kang, *On the unicellularity of triangular operators Preprint*.
7. N. K. Nikolskii, *Operational Calculus*, Pergamon Press LTD, N. Y., 1959.
8. H. Rajavi, P. Rosenthal, *Invariant subspaces*, Springer-Verlag, N. Y., 1970.
9. A. L. Shields, *Weighted shift operator and analytic function theory*, *Topics in Operator Theory*, A M S, 1970, pp. 49 – 128.
10. M. Reed, B. Simon, *Functional analysis I*, Academic Press, N. Y., 1980.
11. J. Weidmann, *Linear operators in Hilbert spaces*, Springer-Verlag, N. Y. Inc., 1980.
12. Baik Hyoung Gu, *On the unicellularity of strictly lower triangular operators*, *Dissertation, Keimyung Univ.*, 1990.

Depart. of Basic Studies  
Ulsan Journior Colleage  
Ulsan, Kyoung Nam, 680-749

Depart. of Basic Studies  
Ulsan Journior Colleage  
Ulsan, Kyoung Nam, 680-749