Pusan Kyŏngnam Math J. 10(1994), No. 1, pp. 73-78

ON THE FIVE-FOLD FACTORIZATIONS

SUK BONG YOON

1. Introduction

Every function $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ can be factored through its image, i.e., written as a composite $X \xrightarrow{f} Y = X \xrightarrow{e} f[X] \xrightarrow{m} Y$, where $X \xrightarrow{e} f[X]$ is the codomain-restriction of f and $f[X] \xrightarrow{m} Y$ is the inclusion. This fact, through simple, is often useful. Similarly, in constructs such as Vec, Gp and Top every morphism can be factored through its "image". Since

(1) for categories in general, no satisfactory concept of "embedding of subobjects" and hence of "image of a morphism" is available,

and

(2) for certain constructs, factorizations of morphisms different from the one through the image are of interest (e.g., in **Top** the one through the closure of the image: $X \xrightarrow{f} Y = X \rightarrow cl_Y f[X] \hookrightarrow Y$),

categorists have created an axiomatic theory of factorization structures $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M})$ for morphisms of a category \mathcal{C} where \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{M} are classes of \mathcal{C} -morphisms such that each \mathcal{C} -morphism has an $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M})$ -factorization $X \xrightarrow{f} Y = X \xrightarrow{e \in \mathcal{E}} Z \xrightarrow{m \in \mathcal{M}} Y$ ([1]-[9]). Naturally, without further assumptions on \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{M} such factorizations might be quite useless. A careful analysis has revealed that the crucial requirement that cases $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M})$ -factorizations to have appropriate characteristics is the so-called "unique $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M})$ -diagonalization" condition, described in definition of section 2. Such factorization structures for morphisms have turned out to be useful, especially for "well-behaved" categories (e.g., those having products and satisfying suitable smallness conditions).

The aim of this paper is to give a unique five-fold factorization of any morphism in a well-powered, co-(well-powered), complete, cocomplete category.

Received March 17, 1994

2. Preliminaries

In this section factorization structures for morphisms are defined and investigated ([1]-[10]).

DEFINITION ([1]-[9]). Let \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{M} be classes of morphisms in a category \mathcal{C} . (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M}) is called a factorization structure for morphisms in \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C} is called (\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M})-structured provided that

- (1) each of \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{M} is closed under composition with isomorphisms,
- (2) C has $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M})$ -factorizations (of morphisms); i.e., each morphism f in C has a factorization $f = m \cdot e$, with $e \in \mathcal{E}$ and $m \in \mathcal{M}$,
- (3) C has the unique $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M})$ -diagonalization property; *i.e.*, for each commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \stackrel{e}{\longrightarrow} & Y \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow s & \text{with } e \in \mathcal{E} \text{ and } m \in \mathcal{M}, \\ Z & \stackrel{m}{\longrightarrow} & W \end{array}$$

there exists a unique diagonal, i.e., a morphism d such that $d \cdot e = f$ and $m \cdot d = g$.

In [2], we can be explain the examples of factorization structure for any morphism.

We conclude our $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M})$ -structured criteria as the following theorem.

THEOREM ([1]-[3]). If \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{M} are classes of morphisms in \mathcal{C} , then \mathcal{C} is $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M})$ -structured if and only if the following conditions are satisfied

- (1) $Iso(\mathcal{C}) \subseteq \mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{M}$,
- (2) each of \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{M} is closed under composition,
- (3) C has the $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M})$ -factorization property, unique in the sense that for any pair of $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{M})$ -factorizations $m_1 \cdot e_1 = f = m_2 \cdot e_2$ of a morphism f there exists a unique isomorphism h such that $h \cdot e_1 = e_2$ and $m_2 \cdot h = m_1$.

The following theorem shows that if C is a well-powered that has intersections, equalizers and pullbacks, then it is an (ExtrEpi, Mono)-structured.

THEOREM ([1],[5]). If C is well-powered, finitely complete category and has intersections, then C is an (ExtrEpi, Mono)-structured.

The following theorem shows that if C is well-powered that has intersections and equalizers, then it is an (Epi, ExtrMono)-structured

THEOREM ([1],[5]). If C is well-powered category that has intersections and equalizers, then C is an (Epi, ExtrMono)-structured.

For the existence of the factorization we have the following theorem.

THEOREM ([1],[5]). If C is a well-powered, finitely complete category that has intersections, then each C-morphism f has a factorization (which is unique up to isomorphisms) of the form $f = m \cdot b \cdot e$, where e is an extremal epimorphism, b is a bimorphism and m is an extremal monomorphism.

The following theorem shows that if any morphism factors as an epimorphism followed by a monomorphism, its dominion is that of the monomorphism in a well-powered complete category.

THEOREM ([10]). If $f = \delta \cdot \gamma$ where γ is an epimorphism, then the dominion of f and δ coincide.

We can be obtains the dual result of the above theorem as the following:

Let \mathcal{C} be a well-powered, co-(well-powered) and bicomplete category and if $f = \delta \circ \gamma$ where δ is a monomorphism, then the codominion of fand γ coincide.

3. Main theorems

Throughout this section, C denotes a well-powered, co-(well-powered), complete, cocomplete category.

Using the dominion and the codominion of any C-morphism we have the five-fold factorization of any C-morphism as the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.1. A *C*-morphism $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ has a unique five-fold factorization: $f = \alpha \cdot \beta \cdot \delta \cdot \xi \cdot \eta$ where $(\eta, \operatorname{cod}(\eta))$ is the codominion of f, ξ is an extremal epimorphism, δ is a bimorphism, β is an extremal monomorphism and $(dom(\alpha), \alpha)$ is the dominion of f.

Proof. Since C is a well-powered complete category, we have any C-morphism $X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ is the uniquely (ExtrEpi, Bi, ExtrMono)-factorization of f. i.e., $f = \psi \cdot \delta \cdot \phi$ for some C-morphisms extremal epimorphism ψ , bimorphism δ and extremal monomorphism ϕ . Since $f = \psi \cdot (\delta \cdot \phi)$ and $\delta \cdot \phi$ is an epimorphism, we have the dominion of f and ψ are coincide ([10]). If α is the dominion of ψ , then we have $\psi = \alpha \cdot \beta$ for some C-morphism β . Since $\psi = \alpha \cdot \beta$ is an extremal monomorphism, β is an extremal monomorphism, β is an extremal monomorphism, β is an extremal monomorphism ([1],[5],[10]).

Since C is a well-powered, co-well-powered, bicomplete category, there is the codominion of any C-morphism. Since $f = (\alpha \cdot \beta \cdot \delta) \cdot \phi$ and $\alpha \cdot \beta \cdot \delta$ is a monomorphism, we have the fact that the codominion of f is precisely that of ϕ ([10]). If η is the codominion of ϕ , then we have $\phi = \xi \cdot \eta$ for some C-morphism ξ . Since $\phi = \xi \cdot \eta$ is an extremal epimorphism, ξ is an extremal epimorphism. Hence $f = \alpha \cdot \beta \cdot \delta \cdot \xi \cdot \eta$ is the uniquely (Codom(f), ExtrEpi, Bi, ExtrMono, Dom(f))-factorization of f.

We can obtain the next corollaries directly from Theorem 3.1.

COROLLARY 3.2. Any C-morphism f is the unique (Epi, ExtrMono), (ExtrEpi, Mono) and (ExtrEpi, Bi, ExtrMono)- factorizations in terms of the five-fold factorization.

COROLLARY 3.3. The five-fold factorization is functorial in a categorical language.

In order to prove of Theorem 3.5, we need the following Lemma.

LEMMA 3.4. If C has the properties that every monomorphism is regular and every epimorphism is regular, then the middle three terms in the five-fold factorization of a C-morphism are isomorphisms.

Proof. Since the dominion of f and ψ are coincide, we have $\psi = \alpha \cdot \beta$ where α is a regular monomorphism and β is an epimorphism. By the extremal condition of ψ , β is an isomorphism. Also, since the codominion of f is precisely that of ϕ , we have $\phi = \xi \cdot \eta$ where η is a regular epimorphism and ξ is a monomorphism. By the extremal condition of ϕ , ξ is an isomorphism.

Now, by the five-fold factorization of any C-morphism we have $f = (\alpha \circ \beta \circ \delta) \circ (\xi \circ \eta)$ where $\alpha \circ \beta \circ \delta$ is a monomorphism. By the hypothesis, $(\alpha \circ \beta) \circ \delta$ is an extremal monomorphism. Since δ is an epimorphism and by the extremal condition of $(\alpha \circ \beta) \circ \delta$, δ is an isomorphism. Hence the middle three terms of the five-fold factorization of any C-morphism are isomorphisms. *i.e.*, $f = \alpha \circ \beta \circ \delta \circ \xi \circ \eta$ where β , δ and ξ are isomorphism.

So the following theorem shows that every C-morphism is unique (up to isomorphism) (Epi, Mono)-factorization if C has the properties that every monomorphism is regular and every epimorphism is regular.

THEOREM 3.5. If C has the properties that every monomorphism is regular and every epimorphism is regular, then every morphism in C has a natural unique (Epi, Mono)-factorization.

Proof. By the Lemma 3.4, we have the fact that any C-morphism f is unique (up to isomorphism) (RegEpi, RegMono)-factorization of f. By the hypothesis, f is unique (up to isomorphism) (Epi, Mono)-factorization of f.

References

- J. Adámek, H. Herrlich and G.E. Strecker, Abstract and concrete categories, John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, 1990.
- 2. A.K. Boudfield, Constructions of factorization systems in categories, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 9 (1977), 207-220.
- 3. H. Herrlich, Factorizations of morphisms $B \xrightarrow{f} FA$, Math. Z 114 (1970), 180-186
- 4 H.Herrlich, G. Salicrup and R. Vázquez, Dispersed factorization structures, Can. J. Math. 31 (1979), 1059-1071.
- 5. H Herrlich and G.E. Strecker, *Category theory*, Allyn and Bacon Inc., Boston, 1973
- A. Melton and G E. Strecker, On the structure of factorization structures, Lecture Notes in Math, vol 962, Springer, Berlin, 1982, pp. 293-307
- 7. C.M Ringel, Diagonalisierungspaare I, Math. Z 117 (1970), 249-266.
- 8. ____, Diagonahsierungspaare II, Math. Z 122 (1971), 169-191.
- 9. W. Tholen, Factorizations, localizations, and the orthogonal subcategory problem, Math. Nachr. 114 (1983), 63-85.
- 10 S.B. Yoon, Some results of the dominion in a well-powered complete category, Pusan Kyŏngnam Math. J. (to appear).

Department of Mathematics

Suk Bong Yoon

Dongeui University Pusan 614-714, Korea

78