

A NOTE ON OVERRINGS OF POLYNOMIAL RINGS

CHUL JU HWANG

Throughout this paper, R will denote a commutative ring with identity. Let $\mathcal{F}(R)$ denote the set of all fractional ideals of R . The v -operation on R is defined as the function $F \rightarrow F_v$ of $\mathcal{F}(R)$ into $\mathcal{F}(R)$. The v -operation satisfies the properties such as (1) $(IJ)_v = (I_v J)_v$ (2) $(\sum I_\alpha)_v = (\sum (I_\alpha)_v)_v$ (3) $(\cap I_\alpha)_v = (\cap (I_\alpha)_v)_v$ (4) $(rI)_v = r(I_v)$ for $I, J, I_\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(R)$ and a regular element r of R . When the v -operation is endlich arithmetisch brauchbar, R is called a v -ring and the ring R^v defined by the set $\{f/g \mid f, g \in R[X], g \text{ is regular}, (A_f)_v \subseteq (A_g)_v\}$ is called the Kronecker function ring of R . If the set of finite type v -ideals of R forms a group under the v -multiplication, R is called a Prüfer v -multiplication ring (abbr. PVMR). In [1, Theorem 3], Arnold and Brewer proved that the following statements are equivalent for a v -domain R : (1) R is a Prüfer v -multiplication domain (2) R^v is a quotient ring of $R[X]$ (3) Each valuation overring of R^v is of the form $(R[X])_{(P[X])}$ where R_P is a valuation overring of R (4) R^v is a flat $R[X]$ -module. In proving the implication (2) \Rightarrow (1), they developed: [1, Lemma 1] Let R be an integral domain. If Q is a prime ideal of $R[X]$ such that $(R[X])_Q$ is a valuation ring and if $(Q \cap R)R[X] \subset Q$, then $Q \cap R = (0)$. Huckaba and Papick extended this result to additively regular rings with property A [2, Lemma 22.4] and could prove that Arnold and Brewer's result holds for this class of rings [2, Theorem 22.5]. We will give a proof of Huckaba and Papick's result which avoids the use of [2, Lemma 22.4] and which is valid even for larger class of Marot rings than the class of additively regular rings. For undefined terms and notations, the readers are referred to [2].

THEOREM (CONFER [2, Theorem 22.5]). *Let R be a Marot v -ring with property A. Then the following conditions are equivalent :*

- (1) R is a PVMR.
- (2) $R[X]_{(u_2)}$ is a Bezout ring.

Received May 6, 1994 .

(3) Each regular prime ideal of $R[X]_{(\mathcal{U}_2)}$ is extended from a prime ideal of R .

(4) $R^v = R[X]_{(\mathcal{U}_2)}$.

(5) R^v is a flat $R[X]$ -module.

(6) Each valuation overring of R^v is of the form $R[X]_{(P[X])}$, where $R_{(P)}$ is a valuation ring.

(7) $R[X]_{(\mathcal{U}_2)}$ is a Prüfer ring.

Proof. The proof for the equivalence of (1) and (4) in [2] is still valid under the new condition.

(1) \Rightarrow (3). Suppose R is a PVMR. Recently Kang [3] proved that every regular prime ideal of $R[X]_{N_v}$ is extended from R where $N_v = \{f \mid f \in R[X], A_f \text{ is regular, and } (A_f)_v = R\}$. In view of [2, Theorem 19.1], $(\mathcal{U}_2) = N_v$ since R has property A.

(3) \Rightarrow (2). Apply [2, Theorem 21.2].

(2) \Rightarrow (7). It is clear.

(7) \Rightarrow (5). By [4, Theorem 10.20] and [4, Exercise 11(a)(4) on page 248], R^v is a $R[X]_{(\mathcal{U}_2)}$ -flat. Since $R[X]_{(\mathcal{U}_2)}$ is clearly $R[X]$ -flat, R^v is $R[X]$ -flat.

(5) \Rightarrow (4). For each regular maximal ideal M of $R[X]_{(\mathcal{U}_2)}$, $MR^v \subseteq R^v$. By [4, Exercise 11 on page 248], $R^v \subseteq [R[X]_{(\mathcal{U}_2)}]_{(M)}$. So $R^v \subseteq \bigcap_M (R[X]_{(\mathcal{U}_2)})_{(M)} = R[X]_{(\mathcal{U}_2)}$ [2, Theorem 6.1]. Hence $R^v = R[X]_{(\mathcal{U}_2)}$.

(6) \Rightarrow (5). See the proof in [2, Theorem 22.5].

(1) \Rightarrow (6). Suppose that R is a PVMR. By the equivalence of (1), (2) and (4), R^v is a Prüfer ring. By [4, Exercise 12 on page 248], each proper valuation overring of R^v is of the form $R^v_{(Q)}$, where Q is a regular prime ideal of R^v . By the equivalence of (1), (3) and (4), $R^v = R[X]_{(\mathcal{U}_2)}$ and Q is extended from R , say $Q = P[X]$ for a regular prime ideal P of R . Hence $R^v_{(Q)} = R^v_{(P[X])}$ and it is easy to see that $R_{(P)} = R[X]_{(Q)} \cap T(R)$. Since both R and $R[X]$ are Marot rings and $R[X]_{(Q)}$ is a valuation ring, the equivalence of (1) and (4) in [2, Theorem 7.7] forces $R[X]_{(Q)} \cap T(R)$ to be a valuation ring. Therefore $R_{(P)}$ is a valuation ring. \square

References

1. J.T. Arnold and J.W. Brewer, *Kronecker function rings and flat $D[X]$ -modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 27 (1971), 483-485.
2. J.A. Huckaba, *Commutative Rings with Zero Divisors*, Marcel Dekker, 1988.

3. B.G. Kang, *When are the prime ideals of $R\{X\}_T$ extended from R ?*, preprint
4. M. Larsen and P. McCarthy,, *Multiplicative Theory of Ideals*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1971

Department of Mathematics
Pusan Women's University
Pusan, 616-060, Korea