

WEAKLY ALMOST PERIODICITY FOR ASYMPTOTIC NONEXPANSIVE SEMIGROUPS

SANG SIK SHIN AND SUNG KAG CHANG

I. Introduction

Let C be a nonempty closed bounded convex subset of a real Banach space X . Then a one-parameter family $\mathfrak{S} = \{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$ of mappings from C into itself is said to be a strongly continuous asymptotically nonexpansive semigroup on C if \mathfrak{S} satisfies the following conditions;

- (i) $T(0)x = x$ (the identity on C);
- (ii) $T(t+s)x = T(t)T(s)x$ for all x in C and $t, s \geq 0$;
- (iii) for each t , there exists $k(t)$ such that $\|T(t)x - T(t)y\| \leq (1 + k(t))\|x - y\|$ for $x, y \in C$ with $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} k(t) = 0$ and
- (iv) for each x in C , the mapping $T(t)x$ is continuous for $t \in [0, \infty)$.

Note that if $k(t) = 0$ for all $t \geq 0$ then $\mathfrak{S} = \{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is a (continuous) nonexpansive semigroup on X . We set $F(\mathfrak{S}) = \{x \in C : T(t)x = x \text{ for all } t \geq 0\}$, and $w_w(u) = \{y \in X : \exists 0 \leq t_n \rightarrow \infty \text{ such that } (u(t_n))_n \text{ weakly converges to } y\}$. We let $\gamma(u) = \{u(t) : t \geq 0\}$ and $w\text{-cl}\gamma(u)$ denotes the weak closure of $\gamma(u)$.

For $J \in \{R, R^+\}$, we let $C_b(J, X)$ denote the usual Banach space of bounded continuous functions from J into X under the supremum norm, while $C_0(J, X)$ denotes the subspace consisting of those $f \in C_b(J, X)$ which vanish at infinity on J . Similarly, $C_b(J, X_w)$ will denote the space of all bounded, weakly continuous functions from J into X endowed with the topology of uniform convergence when X has its weak topology, and $C_0(J, X_w)$ denotes the subspace of functions which vanish at infinity with respect to the weak topology of X . Further, given a function $f: J \rightarrow X$ and $\omega \in J$, the ω -translate f_ω of f is defined by $f_\omega(t) = f(t + \omega)$, $t \in J$, and $H(f) = \{f_\omega : \omega \in J\}$ denote the set of all translates of f .

DEFINITION 1.1. (a) A function $f \in C_b(R, X)$ [respectively, $f \in C_b(R^+, X)$] is said to be *almost periodic* (a.p.) [respectively, *asymptotically almost periodic* (a.a.p.)] if $H(f)$ is relatively compact in $C_b(R, X)$ [respectively, $C_b(R^+, X)$]. [Fréchet[3]].

(b) A function $f \in C_b(R, X_w)$ [respectively, $f \in C_b(R^+, X_w)$] is said to be *weakly almost periodic* (w.a.p.) [respectively, *weakly asymptotically almost periodic* (w.a.a.p.)] if $x^* \circ f$ is almost periodic [respectively, asymptotically almost periodic] for all $x^* \in X^*$.

(c) For $J \in \{R, R^+\}$, a function $f \in C_b(J, X)$ is said to be *Eberlein-weakly almost periodic* (E.-w.a.p.) if $H(f)$ is *weakly* relatively compact in $C_b(J, X)$. [Eberlein[2]].

The spaces of X -valued functions defined in (a)-(c) of definition 1.1 will be denoted respectively by (a) $AP(R, X)$ [$AAP(R^+, X)$], (b) $WAP(R, X)$ [$WAAP(R^+, X)$], and (c) $W(J, X), J \in \{R, R^+\}$. We also let $W_0(J, X)$ denote subspace of $W(J, X)$ consisting of those $\varphi \in W(R^+, X)$ for which the zero function belongs to $w-clH(\varphi)$. The following are well known.

THEOREM 1.2. [7][8] Let $u \in C_b(R^+, X)$. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) $u \in W(R^+, X)$

(ii) there exist unique functions $g \in AP(R, X)$ and $\varphi \in W_0(R^+, X)$ such that $u = g|_{R^+} + \varphi$.

(iii) given any sequences $((t_m, x_m^*))_m$ in $R^+ \times B_X^*$ and $(w_n)_n$ in R^+ , $\lim_n \lim_m \langle u(t_m + w_n), x_m^* \rangle = \lim_m \lim_n \langle u(t_m + w_n), x_m^* \rangle$ whenever both iterated limits exist.

II. Almost-orbits of asymptotically nonexpansive semigroup

Bruck[2] introduced the notion of an almost-orbit of a nonexpansive mapping. Miyadera and Kobayashi[5] extended the notion of to the case of a nonexpansive semigroup on C and established the weak and strong converges of such an almost-orbit. Recently Takahashi and Zhang [11] established the weak convergence of an almost-orbit of an asymptotically nonexpansive semigroup on C in a Banach space.

DEFINITION 2.1. [11]. A continuous function $u: R^+ \rightarrow C$ is called an *almost - orbit* of $\mathfrak{S} = \{T(t) \mid t \geq 0\}$ if

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{h \geq 0} \| u(t+h) - T(h)u(t) \| = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

Throughout the rest of this paper, we assume that the semigroup $\mathfrak{S} = \{T(t); t \geq 0\}$ is asymptotically nonexpansive semigroup on C .

The following lemmas have been proved by Takahashi and Zhang[11] and T.H.Kim[4].

LEMMA 2.2. [4][11]. Suppose $u, v: R^+ \rightarrow C$ are almost-orbits of $\mathfrak{S} = \{T(t); t \geq 0\}$.

Then (a) $\| u(t) - v(t) \|$ converges as $t \rightarrow \infty$, (b) for every $h \geq 0$, $\| u(t+h) - u(t) \|$ converges as $t \rightarrow \infty$, (c) $z \in F$, $\| u(t) - z \|$ converges as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

LEMMA 2.3. [11]. Let X be uniformly convex and let $u: R^+ \rightarrow C$ be an almost-orbit of $\mathfrak{S} = \{T(t); t \geq 0\}$. Then $F(\mathfrak{S}) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\{u(t); t \geq t_0\}$ is bounded for some $t_0 \geq 0$.

Now we prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.4. If $u: R^+ \rightarrow C$ is an almost-orbit of $\mathfrak{S} = \{T(t); t \geq 0\}$, then u is uniformly continuous on $[0, \infty)$.

Proof. We put $M = \sup_{t \geq 0} (1 + k(t))$. Let $\phi(t) = \sup_{s \geq 0} \| u(t+s) - T(s)u(t) \|$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Then we can choose $t_0 = t_0(\epsilon) > 0$ such that $\phi(t) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ for all $t \geq t_0$. We set $K = \min\{\frac{\epsilon}{3}, \frac{\epsilon}{3M}\}$. Then $K > 0$. Since u is uniformly continuous on $[0, t_0 + 1]$, there exists $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$ with $\delta < 1$ such that $\| u(t') - u(t) \| < K$ for $t, t' \in [0, t_0 + 1]$ with $|t - t'| < \delta$.

Now, let $0 \leq t' - t < \delta$. If $t \in [0, t_0]$ then $t' \in [0, t_0 + 1]$ and so $\| u(t') - u(t) \| < K < \epsilon$. If $t > t_0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \| u(t') - u(t) \| \leq \| u(t') - T(t - t_0)u(t' - t + t_0) \| \\ & + \| T(t - t_0)u(t' - t + t_0) - T(t - t_0)u(t_0) \| \\ & + \| T(t - t_0)u(t_0) - u(t) \| \\ & \leq \phi(t' - t + t_0) + (1 + k(t - t_0)) \| u(t' - t + t_0) - u(t_0) \| + \phi(t) \\ & \leq \frac{\epsilon}{3} + M \frac{\epsilon}{3M} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} = \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $\|u(t') - u(t)\| < \epsilon$ for $t, t' \in [0, \infty)$ with $|t - t'| < \delta$. That is, u is uniformly continuous on $[0, \infty)$.

THEOREM 2.5. [6][7][8]. Assume that $\{T(t): t \geq 0\}$ is a strongly continuous semigroup of operators on a weakly closed subset C of X , and let $u: R^+ \rightarrow C$ be an almost-orbit of $\{T(t): t \geq 0\}$ such that

(*) $T(h)|_{w-cl\gamma(u)}: w - cl\gamma(u) \rightarrow X$ is weak-to-weak continuous for each $h \in R^+$. Then $u \in W(R^+, X)$ if and only if there exist unique elements $y \in w_w(u)$ and $\varphi \in W_0(R^+, X)$ such that

- (i) $u = T(\cdot)y + \varphi$
- (ii) $T(\cdot)y$ is almost periodic.

III. Weakly almost periodicity for asymptotically nonexpansive semigroups.

We can now formulate the main result of this paper. The result is generalizations of Ruess and Summer [6]. We put $d = \sup_{x \in C} \|x\|$.

THEOREM 3.1. Assume that X is uniformly convex, and let $u: R^+ \rightarrow C$ be an almost-orbit of $\mathfrak{S} = \{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$ such that

(a) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t+h) - u(t)\| = \rho(h)$ exists uniformly in $h \in R^+$ and

(b) $T(h)|_{w-cl\gamma(u)}: w - cl\gamma(u) \rightarrow X$ is weak to weak continuous for every $h \geq 0$.

Then u is weakly almost periodic in the sense of Eberlein, and there exist unique elements $y \in w_w(u)$ and $\varphi \in W_0(R^+, X)$ such that

- (i) $u = T(\cdot)y + \varphi$
- (ii) $T(\cdot)y$ is almost periodic.

The condition (a) is called asymptotically isometric. The following proposition give the sufficient condition for u to be asymptotically isometric.

PROPOSITION 3.2. Let $u: R^+ \rightarrow C$ be an almost-orbit of $\mathfrak{S} = \{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$. Assume that u satisfies one of the following conditions:

(1) There is a sequence $(t_n)_n$ such that $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t_n + h) - u(t_n)\|$ exists uniformly in $h \geq 0$

(2) There is a sequence $(t_n)_n$ such that $t_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\{u(t_n)\}$ is strongly convergent.

Then $(*) \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t+h) - u(t)\| = \rho(h)$ exists uniformly in $h \geq 0$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. From Lemma 2.2(b), since $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \|u(s+h) - u(s)\| = \rho(h)$ for every $h \geq 0$, there exists $M = M(\epsilon, h) > 0$ such that

$$\rho(h) - \frac{\epsilon}{4} < \|u(s+h) - u(s)\| < \rho(h) + \frac{\epsilon}{4}$$

for every $s > M$ and $h \geq 0$. Let $\phi(t) = \sup_{s \geq 0} \|u(t+s) - T(s)u(t)\|$. Assume that (1) holds. Then there is an integer $N = N(\epsilon)$ such that $\phi(t) < \frac{\epsilon}{4}$ and $k(t) < \frac{\epsilon}{8d}$ for every $t \geq t_N$ and $\|u(t_N+h) - u(t_N)\| < \rho(h) + \frac{\epsilon}{4}$ for every $h \geq 0$.

Now we have for every $t \geq t_N$, $s > \max(t_N, M)$ and $h \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho(h) - \frac{\epsilon}{4} \\ & < \|u(t+s+h) - u(t+s)\| \\ & \leq \|u(t+s+h) - T(s)u(t+h)\| + \|T(s)u(t+h) - T(s)u(t)\| \\ & \quad + \|T(s)u(t) - u(t+s)\| \\ & \leq \phi(t+h) + (1+k(s))\|u(t+h) - u(t)\| + \phi(t) \\ & < \frac{\epsilon}{4} + \|u(t+h) - u(t)\| + \frac{\epsilon}{8d} \cdot 2d + \frac{\epsilon}{4} \\ & = \frac{3\epsilon}{4} + \|u(t+h) - u(t)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for every $t \geq 2t_N$ we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho(h) - \epsilon \\ & < \|u(t+h) - u(t)\| \\ & \leq \sup_{w \geq t_N} \|u(t_N+w+h) - u(t_N+w)\| \\ & \leq \sup_{w \geq t_N} \|u(t_N+w+h) - T(w)u(t_N+h)\| \\ & \quad + \sup_{w \geq t_N} \|T(w)u(t_N+h) - T(w)u(t_N)\| \\ & \quad + \sup_{w \geq t_N} \|T(w)u(t_N) - u(t_N+w)\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \phi(t_N + h) + \| u(t_N + h) - u(t_N) \| \\ &\quad + \sup_{w \geq t_N} k(w) \| u(t_N + h) - u(t_N) \| + \phi(t_N) \\ &< \frac{\epsilon}{4} + \rho(h) + \frac{\epsilon}{8d} \cdot 2d + \frac{\epsilon}{4} = \epsilon + \rho(h). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the equality (*) holds.

Next, assume that (2) holds. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{h \geq 0} \| \| u(t_n + h) - u(t_n) \| - \| u(t_m + h) - u(t_m) \| \| \\ &\leq \sup_{h \geq 0} \| u(t_n + h) - u(t_m + h) + u(t_m) - u(t_n) \| \\ &\leq \sup_{h \geq 0} \{ \| u(t_n + h) - T(h)u(t_n) \| + \| T(h)u(t_n) - T(h)u(t_m) \| \\ &\quad + \| T(h)u(t_m) - u(t_m + h) \| + \| u(t_m) - u(t_n) \| \} \\ &\leq \phi(t_n) + \phi(t_m) + [2 + k(h)] \| u(t_m) - u(t_n) \| \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$.

Therefore (1) holds and hence the equality (*) is satisfied.

We get the following lemma by Bruck’s inequality.

LEMMA 3.3. *Suppose C is a bounded closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space X . Then there exists a strictly increasing continuous convex function $\gamma: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\gamma(0) = 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| T(t) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i \right) - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i T(t) x_i \right\| \\ &\leq (1 + k(t)) \gamma^{-1} \left(\max_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \left[\| x_i - x_j \| - \frac{1}{1 + k(t)} \| T(t) x_i - T(t) x_j \| \right] \right) \end{aligned}$$

for any $t \geq 0$ and any $n \geq 1$, any $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \geq 0$ with $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ and any $x_1, \dots, x_n \in C$.

Proof. Let $S(t)x = \frac{1}{1+k(t)}T(t)x$ for $x \in C$ and $t \geq 0$ then $\{S(t) \mid t \geq 0\}$ is nonexpansive semigroups on C into C . So applying Bruck’s inequality [5] for $\{S(t) \mid t \geq 0\}$, we obtain the required conclusion.

THE PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1. Our proof employs the method of Ruess and Summer [[6] Theorem 1.4]. We would first show that u is weakly almost periodic in the sense of Eberlein. For this, according to characterization of vector valued weakly almost periodic function in the sense of Eberlein [Theorem 1.2], given sequences $((\omega_n)_n, (t_m)_m) \subset R^+ \times R^+$, and $(x_m^*)_m \subset B_{X^*} = \{x^* \in X^* : \|x^*\| \leq 1\}$ such that the limits

$$\alpha = \lim_n \lim_m \langle u(t_m + \omega_n), x_m^* \rangle \text{ and}$$

$$\beta = \lim_m \lim_n \langle u(t_m + \omega_n), x_m^* \rangle$$

both exist, it will suffice to show that $\alpha = \beta$.

Case 1: $(\omega_n)_n$ is bounded.

From Lemma 2.4, u is uniformly continuous. The proof is similiar to the case 1 in [[6] Theorem 1.4].

Case 2: $(\omega_n)_n$ is unbounded.

We may assume that $0 \leq \omega_n \uparrow \infty$. Here, we note that $\langle T(t_m)u(\omega_n), x_m^* \rangle$ is bounded for all $n \in N$. By going over to subsequences and using a diagonalization argument, we can assume that $\lim_m \langle T(t_m)u(\omega_n), x_m^* \rangle$ exists for all $n \in N$, and also $\lim_n \langle T(t_m)u(\omega_n), x_m^* \rangle$ exists for all $m \in N$. Furthermore, we can also assume that $(u(\omega_n))_n$ converges weakly to some $x_1 \in C$. Then we easily check that

$$\alpha = \lim_n \lim_m \langle T(t_m)u(\omega_n), x_m^* \rangle \text{ and}$$

$$\beta = \lim_m \lim_n \langle T(t_m)u(\omega_n), x_m^* \rangle .$$

First we consider that $(t_m)_m$ is bounded. we can thus assume that $t_m \rightarrow t_0 \in R$. By Banach-Alouge Theorem, given $(x_m^*)_m$ in B_{X^*} , there exist $x_0^* \in B_{X^*}$ and a subsequence $(x_{m_i}^*)_{m_i}$ of $(x_m^*)_m$ which is ω^* -convergent to x_0^* . For $\epsilon > 0$, by (b), we choose $n_0 \in N$ so that

$$|\langle T(h)u(\omega_n) - T(h)x_1, x_0^* \rangle| < \epsilon \text{ for } n \geq n_0 \text{ and } h \geq 0.$$

Now $\beta = \lim_m \lim_n \langle T(t_m)u(\omega_n), x_m^* \rangle = \lim_m \langle T(t_m)x_1, x_m^* \rangle$.

Again setting $\alpha_n = \lim_{m_i} \langle T(t_{m_i})u(\omega_n), x_{m_i}^* \rangle$ for $n \in N$, if $n \geq n_0$ we then have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\alpha_n - \beta| &= \liminf_i |\langle T(t_{m_i})u(\omega_n) - T(t_{m_i})x_1, x_{m_i}^* \rangle| \\
 &= |\langle T(t_0)u(\omega_n) - T(t_0)x_1, x_0^* \rangle| < \epsilon.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $\alpha = \beta$.

Secondly we consider that $(t_m)_m$ is unbounded. We can assume that $0 \leq t_m \uparrow \infty$. Since $(u(\omega_n))_n$ is weakly convergent to $x_1 \in C$, $x_1 = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty clco\{u(\omega_k) : k \geq n\}$. We are going to show that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $n_1 = n_1(\epsilon)$ and $m_0 = m_0(\epsilon)$ in N such that

- (1) $|\alpha_n - \alpha| < \epsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$
- (2) $y = \sum \lambda_j u(\omega_j) \in co\{u(\omega_l) \mid l \geq n_1\}$ with $\|y - x_1\| < \frac{\epsilon}{M}$ and
- (3) $\|T(t_m)y - \sum \lambda_j T(t_m)u(\omega_j)\| < \epsilon$ for any $\lambda_j \geq 0$ with $\sum \lambda_j \geq 0$ and $m > m_0$.

In fact, (1) and (2) are obvious. For (3), Above $\epsilon > 0$, let $\gamma(\cdot)$ and $k(\cdot)$ be functions stated in Lemma 3.3. Then we can choose $\delta > 0$ so that $\gamma^{-1}(\delta) < \frac{\epsilon}{M}$. Since $\lim_m k(t_m) = 0$, there exists $m_0 \in N$ such that $k(t_m) < \delta/d$ for all $m \geq m_0$. By the fact that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t+h) - u(t)\| = \rho(h)$ exists uniformly in $h \geq 0$, there exists $n_2 = n_2(\delta) \in N$ such that

$$\left| \|u(\omega_i) - u(\omega_j)\| - \rho(|\omega_i - \omega_j|) \right| < \frac{\delta}{8}$$

for $i, j \geq n_2$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|u(\omega_i) - u(\omega_j)\| - \|u(\omega_i + t_m) - u(\omega_j + t_m)\| \\
 &\leq \rho(|\omega_i - \omega_j|) + \frac{\delta}{8} - \|u(\omega_i + t_m) - u(\omega_j + t_m)\| \\
 &\leq \frac{\delta}{4}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, u is an almost-orbit of $\mathfrak{S} = \{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$, there exists $n_3 = n_3(\delta) \in N$ such that

$$\sup_m \|u(\omega_i + t_m) - T(t_m)u(\omega_i)\| < \frac{\delta}{4}$$

for all $i \geq n_3$.

Put $n_1 = \max\{n_2, n_3\}$. If $m \geq m_0$ and $i, j \geq n_1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \| u(\omega_i) - u(\omega_j) \| - \frac{1}{1 + k(t_m)} \| T(t_m)u(\omega_i) - T(t_m)u(\omega_j) \| \\ \leq & \| u(\omega_i) - u(\omega_j) \| - \| u(\omega_i + t_m) - u(\omega_j + t_m) \| \\ & + \| u(\omega_i + t_m) - T(t_m)u(\omega_i) \| + \| u(\omega_j + t_m) - T(t_m)u(\omega_j) \| \\ & + k(t_m) \| u(\omega_i) - u(\omega_j) \| \leq \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by Lemma 3.3, $\| T(t_m) \sum \lambda_j u(\omega_j) - \sum \lambda_j T(t_m)u(\omega_j) \| < \epsilon$ for any $\lambda_j \geq 0$ with $\sum \lambda_j = 1$. This proves (3).

We have from (2),

$$(4) \quad \| T(t_m)y - T(t_m)x_1 \| \leq [1 + k(t_m)] \| y - x_1 \| < \epsilon \text{ for } m \in N.$$

From (3) and (4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\langle \sum \lambda_j T(t_m)u(\omega_j) - T(t_m)x_1, x_m^* \right\rangle \right| \\ \leq & \left| \left\langle \sum \lambda_j T(t_m)u(\omega_j) - T(t_m)y, x_m^* \right\rangle \right| \\ & + \left| \left\langle T(t_m)y - T(t_m)x_1, x_m^* \right\rangle \right| \\ \leq & \| \sum \lambda_j T(t_m)u(\omega_j) - T(t_m)y \| + \| T(t_m)y - T(t_m)x_1 \| \\ \leq & \epsilon + \epsilon = 2\epsilon \text{ for } m > m_0. \end{aligned}$$

This means that

$$(5) \quad \left| \sum \lambda_j \alpha_j - \beta \right| < 2\epsilon.$$

We now conclude that from (1) and (5),

$$\begin{aligned} |\alpha - \beta| & \leq \left| \alpha - \sum \lambda_j \alpha_j \right| + \left| \sum \lambda_j \alpha_j - \beta \right| \\ & \leq \sum \lambda_j |\alpha - \alpha_j| + 2\epsilon \\ & \leq \epsilon + 2\epsilon = 3\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

The fact that u decomposed as specified in Theorem 3.1 follows from [Theorem 2.5].

The proof is thus complete.

From Theorem 3.1 we obtain the following corollary.

COROLLARY. [6]. Assume that X is uniformly convex, and let $u: R^+ \rightarrow C$ be an almost-orbit of a nonexpansive semigroup $\mathfrak{S} = \{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$ such that

(a) $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|u(t+h) - u(t)\| = \rho(h)$ exists uniformly in $h \in R^+$.

Then u is weakly almost periodic in the sense of Eberlein, and there exist unique elements $y \in w_w(u)$ and $\varphi \in W_0(R^+, X)$ such that

- (i) $u = T(\cdot)y + \varphi$
- (ii) $T(\cdot)y$ is almost periodic.

A function $u : R^+ \rightarrow X$ is said to be weakly almost convergent to $y \in X$ if

$$w - \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T u(t+h)dt = y \quad \text{uniformly in } h \geq 0.$$

It is well known that if u is weakly almost convergent to $y \in X$ and if $w - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (u(t+h) - u(t)) = 0$, then $u(t)$ converges weakly to y [5].

THEOREM 3.4. Assume that X is a uniformly convex, and let $u: R^+ \rightarrow C$ be an almost-orbit of $\mathfrak{S} = \{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$ such that

$$T(h) | w - cl\gamma(u) : w - cl\gamma(u) \rightarrow X$$

is weak-to-weak continuous for each $h > 0$.

If $u \in W(R^+, X)$ and if $w - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (u(t+h) - u(t)) = 0$. Then $u \in WAAP(R^+, X)$.

Proof. Since $u \in W(R^+, X)$, $u(t)$ is weakly almost convergent to $y \in X$. So $u(t)$ converges weakly to $y \in X$. i.e. $\{y\} = w_w(u)$. From the fact that X is uniformly convex and $\mathfrak{S} = \{T(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is asymptotically nonexpansive semigroup on a closed bounded convex subset C of X , $\{y\} = w_w(u) \subset F(\mathfrak{S})$. Now from Theorem 2.5, $u = T(\cdot)y + \varphi$ where $y \in w_w(u)$ and $\varphi \in W_0(R^+, X)$. This implies that $\varphi(t)$ converges weakly to 0, i.e. $\varphi \in C_0(R^+, X_w)$. Therefore $u \in WAAP(R^+, X)$ from [lemma 2.7 [9]].

REMARK. Condition $w - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (u(t+h) - u(t)) = 0$ could be replaced by

$$w - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (T(t+h)x - T(t)x) = 0 \text{ for each } x \in C. \text{ In fact, } w - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (T(t+h)x - T(t)x) = 0 \text{ implies } w - \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (u(t+h) - u(t)) = 0.$$

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The authors would like to thank the referee for his comments and suggestions which lead to the present form of the paper.

References

1. R. E. Bruck, *A simple proof of the mean ergodic theorem for nonlinear contractions in Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. **32** (1979), 107-116.
2. W. F. Eberlein, *Abstract ergodic theorems and weak almost periodic functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **67** (1949), 217-240.
3. M. Fréchet, *Les fonctions asymptotiquement presque-périodiques*, Revue. Sci. **79** (1941), 341-354.
4. T. H. Kim, *Nonlinear ergodic theorems of almost-orbits of non-lipschitzian semigroups*, Kodai Math.J. **15** (1992), 296-309.
5. I. Miyadera and K. Kobayashi, *On the asymptotic behavior of almost-orbits of nonlinear contraction semigroups in Banach spaces*, Nonlinear Analysis **6** (1982), 349-365.
6. W. M. Ruess and W. H. Summers, *Weakly almost periodicity and the strong ergodic limit Theorem for contraction semigroups*, Israel J. Math. **64** (1988), 139-157.
7. W. M. Ruess and W. H. Summers, *Weakly almost periodic semigroups of operators*, Pac.J. of Math. **143**(1) (1990), 175-193.
8. W. M. Ruess and W. H. Summers, *Almost periodicity properties of solutions to the nonlinear Cauchy problem in Banach spaces, Semigroup theory and evolution equations (the second international conference)*, Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, Basel, Hongkon (1991), 421-439.
9. W. M. Ruess and W. H. Summers, *Weak asymptotic almost periodicity for semigroups of operators*, J.Math.Anal. and App. **164** (1992), 242-262.
10. W. M. Ruess and W. H. Summers, *Ergodic theorems for semigroups of operators*. Proc. A.M.S. **114**(2) (1992), 423-432.
11. W. Takahashi and P. J. Zhang, *Asymptotic behavior of almost-orbits of semigroups of Lipschitzian mappings in Banach spaces*, Kodai Math.J. **11** (1988), 129-140.
12. K. K. Tan and H. K. Yu, *An ergodic theorem for nonlinear semigroups of Lipschitzian mappings in Banach spaces*, Nonlinear Anal. **19**(9) (1992).

Department of Mathematics
 Kyungpook National University
 Taegu 702-701, Korea

Department of Mathematics
 Yeungnam University
 Kyongsan 712-749, Korea