

COUSIN COMPLEXES AND GENERALIZED HUGHES COMPLEXES

DAE SIG KIM AND YEONG MOO SONG

1. Introduction

In this paper, the ring A will mean a commutative Noetherian ring with non-zero multiplicative identity, it is understood that the ring homomorphisms respect identity elements and M will denote an A -module. Throughout this paper A and B will denote rings, $f : A \rightarrow B$ a ring homomorphism. $\mathcal{C}(A)$ (resp. $\mathcal{C}(B)$) presents the category of all A -modules (resp. B -modules) and A -homomorphisms (resp. B -homomorphisms) between them. The following ideas will be used without further explanation. B can be regarded as an A -module by means of f and $M \otimes B$ can be regarded as a B -module in the natural way. Furthermore the restriction of scalars provides a functor from $\mathcal{C}(B)$ to $\mathcal{C}(A)$.

Whenever we have a filtration \mathcal{F} of $\text{Spec}(A)$ which admits M [3, (1.1)] we can construct the Cousin complex $C(\mathcal{F}, M)$ for M with respect to \mathcal{F} [3, (1.3)]. The Cousin complexes for A and B will be consistently written

$$C(A) : 0 \xrightarrow{d^{-2}} A \xrightarrow{d^{-1}} A^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} A^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} \dots \rightarrow A^n \xrightarrow{d^n} A^{n+1} \rightarrow \dots$$

$$C(B) : 0 \xrightarrow{e^{-2}} B \xrightarrow{e^{-1}} B^0 \xrightarrow{e^0} B^1 \xrightarrow{e^1} \dots \rightarrow B^n \xrightarrow{e^n} B^{n+1} \rightarrow \dots$$

respectively, which have the property that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$: [1]

$$A^n = \bigoplus_{\substack{ht(p)=n \\ p \in \text{Spec}(A)}} (\text{Coker } d^{n-2})_p \quad \text{and} \quad B^n = \bigoplus_{\substack{ht(q)=n \\ q \in \text{Spec}(B)}} (\text{Coker } e^{n-2})_q.$$

Next whenever we have a family $S = (\Phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of systems of ideals of A [4, (1.1)], we can construct the generalized Hughes complex $\mathcal{H}(S, M)$

Received October 30, 1993. Revised April 21, 1994.

This work was supported by KOSEF, 1992.

for M with respect to S [4, (1.3)]. The generalized Hughes complex for A (resp. B) with respect to $(\Phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ (resp. $(\Phi'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$) will be written

$$\mathcal{H}((\Phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, A): 0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{k^{-1}} K^0 \xrightarrow{k^0} K^1 \xrightarrow{k^1} \dots \rightarrow K^n \xrightarrow{k^n} K^{n+1} \rightarrow \dots$$

$$\mathcal{H}((\Phi'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, B): 0 \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{h^{-1}} H^0 \xrightarrow{h^0} H^1 \xrightarrow{h^1} \dots \rightarrow H^n \xrightarrow{h^n} H^{n+1} \rightarrow \dots$$

where, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\Phi_n = \{I \mid I \text{ is an ideal of } A \text{ such that } ht_A(I) \geq n\}$$

$$\Phi'_n = \{J \mid J \text{ is an ideal of } B \text{ such that } ht_B(J) \geq n\}$$

So we have the property that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$: [5, (1.3)]

$$K^n = D_{\Phi_{n+1}}(\text{Coker } k^{n-2}) \quad \text{and} \quad H^n = D_{\Phi'_{n+1}}(\text{Coker } h^{n-2}).$$

One of the main results of R. Y. Sharp [5] is Theorem 2.3, which shows that there are A -isomorphisms $A^n \rightarrow K^n$ and B -isomorphisms $B^n \rightarrow H^n$ for every integers $n \geq -2$.

In this paper we will be interested in comparing $\mathcal{H}((\Phi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, A) \otimes B$ with $\mathcal{H}((\Phi'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, B)$ to prove theorem (3.1) which is the generalization of the main theorem of R. Y. Sharp [2].

2. Preliminaries

A system of ideals of A is a non-empty set Φ of ideals of A such that, whenever $I, J \in \Phi$, there exists $K \in \Phi$ such that $K \subseteq IJ$. Such a system of ideals Φ determines a Φ -torsion functor $\Gamma_\Phi : \mathcal{C}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(A)$. This is a subfunctor of the identity functor on $\mathcal{C}(A)$ for which

$$\Gamma_\Phi(M) = \{m \in M \mid Im = 0 \text{ for some } I \in \Phi\}$$

for each A -module M . A module M is called Φ -torsion if each element of M is annihilated by an ideal belonging to Φ . Since Φ is a direct set with respect to reverse inclusion, it gives rise to additive, left exact functors

$$\lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ I \in \Phi}} \text{Hom}_A(A/I, \cdot) \quad \text{and} \quad D_\Phi := \lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ I \in \Phi}} \text{Hom}_A(I, \cdot)$$

from $\mathcal{C}(A)$ to itself: the former is naturally equivalent to Γ_Φ and the latter functor D_Φ is called the Φ -transform.

For each $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let

$$H_\Phi^i := \lim_{\overrightarrow{I \in \Phi}} \text{Ext}_A^i(A/I, \cdot)$$

which is naturally equivalent to the i -th right derived functor of Γ_Φ and let $R^i D_\Phi$ denote the i -th right derived functor of D_Φ . Note that, for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, the functors $R^i D_\Phi$ and H_Φ^{i+1} from $\mathcal{C}(A)$ to itself are naturally equivalent.

For each $I \in \Phi$ and $x \in M$, we define $\lambda_{I,x} : I \rightarrow M$ by $\lambda_{I,x}(a) = ax$ for all $a \in I$. Then there is a natural transformation of functors from $\mathcal{C}(A)$ to itself

$$\eta_\Phi : Id \rightarrow D_\Phi$$

such that, for each A -module M and each $x \in M$, $(\eta_\Phi(M))(x)$ is the natural image in $D_\Phi(M)$ of the homomorphism $\lambda_{I,x} \in \text{Hom}_A(I, M)$ for any $I \in \Phi$. Furthermore, if M varies through the category $\mathcal{C}(B)$ then $\eta_\Phi(M)$ constitutes a morphism of functors $\eta_\Phi : Id \rightarrow D_\Phi$ from $\mathcal{C}(B)$ to itself.

LEMMA 2.1. *Suppose $U' \subseteq U$ are subsets of $\text{Spec}(A)$ such that each element of $U \setminus U'$ is minimal with respect to inclusion in U . Let M be an A -module such that $\text{Supp}_A(M) \subseteq U$. There is an A -homomorphism*

$$\zeta(M) : M \rightarrow \bigoplus_{p \in U \setminus U'} M_p$$

for which, if $m \in M$, the component of $\zeta(M)(m)$ in the direct summand M_p is $m/1$. If M is a B -module such that $\text{Supp}_A(M) \subseteq U$, $\zeta(M)$ is a B -homomorphism. Furthermore $\text{Supp}(\text{Ker } \zeta(M)) \subseteq U'$ and $\text{Supp}(\text{Coker } \zeta(M)) \subseteq U'$

Proof. Confer [1, (2.2), (2.3) and (2.5)].

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $n \geq 0$. Then the exact sequence $A^{n-2} \xrightarrow{d^{n-2}} A^{n-1} \rightarrow \text{Coker } d^{n-2} \rightarrow 0$ induces a natural B -isomorphism*

$$\theta^n : B \otimes_A (\text{Coker } d^{n-2}) \rightarrow \text{Coker}(id_B \otimes d^{n-2})$$

for which the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 B \otimes A^{n-2} & \longrightarrow & B \otimes A^{n-1} & \longrightarrow & B \otimes \text{Coker } d^{n-2} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \parallel & & \parallel & & \downarrow \theta^n & & \\
 B \otimes A^{n-2} & \longrightarrow & B \otimes A^{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker}(id_B \otimes d^{n-2}) & \longrightarrow & 0
 \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. Confer [2, (2.2)].

LEMMA 2.3. Let Φ be a system of ideals of A and $g : M \rightarrow N$ be an A -module homomorphism such that $\text{Ker } g$ and $\text{Coker } g$ are Φ -torsion, then g induces an A -isomorphism

$$D_\Phi(g) : D_\Phi(M) \rightarrow D_\Phi(N).$$

Furthermore, if $g : M \rightarrow N$ is a B -module homomorphism such that $\text{Ker } g$ and $\text{Coker } g$ are Φ -torsion, it induces an B -isomorphism

$$D_\Phi(g) : D_\Phi(M) \rightarrow D_\Phi(N).$$

Proof. Since D_Φ is an left exact functor, the exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } g \rightarrow M \rightarrow \text{Im } g \rightarrow 0,$$

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Im } g \rightarrow N \rightarrow \text{Coker } g \rightarrow 0$$

induces a long exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 \rightarrow D_\Phi(\text{Ker } g) \rightarrow D_\Phi(M) \rightarrow D_\Phi(\text{Im } g) \rightarrow R^1 D_\Phi(\text{Ker } g) \\
 \rightarrow R^1 D_\Phi(M) \rightarrow \dots
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 \rightarrow D_\Phi(\text{Im } g) \rightarrow D_\Phi(N) \rightarrow D_\Phi(\text{Coker } g) \rightarrow R^1 D_\Phi(\text{Im } g) \\
 \rightarrow R^1 D_\Phi(N) \rightarrow \dots .
 \end{aligned}$$

But, by [5, (1.5)], $D_\Phi(\text{Ker } g) = 0$, $R^1 D_\Phi(\text{Ker } g) = H_\Phi^2(\text{Ker } g) = H_\Phi^2(D_\Phi(\text{Ker } g)) = 0$, and $D_\Phi(\text{Coker } g) = 0$. Hence we have an isomorphism $D_\Phi(g) : D_\Phi(M) \rightarrow D_\Phi(N)$.

LEMMA 2.4. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Phi_n = \{I \mid I \text{ is an ideal of } A \text{ such that } ht(I) \geq n\}$, $\Phi'_n = \{J \mid J \text{ is an ideal of } B \text{ such that } ht(J) \geq n\}$, and $f : A \rightarrow B$ a ring homomorphism. If $ht(q) = ht(q^c)$ for every $q \in \text{Spec}(B)$, then $\Phi_n^f = \{I^e \mid I \in \Phi_n\}$ is a cofinal subset of Φ'_n .

Proof. Let J be in Φ'_n and $J = Q_1 \cap Q_2 \cap \dots \cap Q_n$ with $\sqrt{Q_i} = P_i$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) a minimal primary decomposition of J . Then, for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A)$ containing J^c , \mathfrak{p} must contain P_i^c for some i . Hence we have $ht_A(\mathfrak{p}) \geq ht(P_i^c) = ht(P_i) \geq n$. Therefore, for every J in Φ'_n , there is J^c in Φ_n such that $(J^c)^e \subseteq J$.

LEMMA 2.5. Let Φ be a system of ideals of A . Then $\Phi^f = \{I^e \mid I \in \Phi\}$ is a system of ideals of B and there is a natural equivalence of functors $\varepsilon_\Phi : D_{\Phi^f}(\cdot) \rightarrow D_\Phi(\cdot)$ from $\mathcal{C}(B)$ to itself satisfying for each B -module G , the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G & \xrightarrow{\eta_{\Phi^f}(G)} & D_{\Phi^f}(G) \\
 & \searrow \eta_\Phi & \downarrow \varepsilon_\Phi(G) \\
 & & D_\Phi(G)
 \end{array}$$

commutes.

Proof. Confer [6, (4.2.3)].

LEMMA 2.6. Suppose that G be an A -module such that $\text{Supp}_A(G) \subseteq \{P \in \text{Spec}(A) \mid ht_A(P) \geq n\}$. There is an A -isomorphism

$$\sigma_n(G) : D_{\Phi_{n+1}}(G) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\substack{ht(P)=n \\ P \in \text{Spec}(A)}} G_P$$

such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G & \xrightarrow{\eta_{\Phi_{n+1}}(G)} & D_{\Phi_{n+1}}(G) \\
 & \searrow \zeta(G) & \downarrow \sigma_n(G) \\
 & & \bigoplus_{ht(P)=n} G_P
 \end{array}$$

commutes. Furthermore if G is a B -module, then $\sigma_n(G)$ is a B -isomorphism.

Proof. The diagram below is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 G & \xrightarrow{\eta_{\Phi_{n+1}}(G)} & D_{\Phi_{n+1}}(G) \\
 \zeta(G) \downarrow & & \downarrow D_{\Phi_{n+1}}(\zeta(G)) \\
 \bigoplus_{ht(P)=n} G_P & \xrightarrow{\eta_{\Phi_{n+1}}(\bigoplus G_P)} & D_{\Phi_{n+1}}\left(\bigoplus_{ht(P)=n} G_P\right).
 \end{array}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.3 that $D_{\Phi_{n+1}}(\zeta(G))$ is an A -isomorphism and, by [4, (1.2)], $\eta_{\Phi_{n+1}}(\bigoplus G_P)$ is an A -isomorphism because $H_{\Phi_{n+1}}^i(\bigoplus G_P) = 0$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. We define

$$\sigma_n(G) = \eta_{\Phi_{n+1}}(\bigoplus G_P)^{-1} \circ D_{\Phi_{n+1}}(\zeta(G)).$$

3. Main Theorem

THEOREM 3.1. *Let A and B be rings and $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a ring homomorphism. Assume that $ht_B(q) = ht_A(q^c)$ for all prime ideals q of B . Then there is a natural isomorphism of complexes of B -modules and homomorphisms*

$$\psi : B \otimes_A C(A) \rightarrow C(B).$$

Proof. It is enough to show that $B \otimes_A K^n$ is B -isomorphic to H^n for every $n = -2, -1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Define $\psi^{-2} : B \otimes K^{-2} \rightarrow H^{-2}$ to be a zero map and $\psi^{-1} : B \otimes_A A \rightarrow B$ to be the natural B -isomorphism. We shall construct a family $\{\psi^n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of B -isomorphism $\psi^n : B \otimes_A K^n \rightarrow H^n$ by induction on n . So suppose, inductively, that $n \geq 0$ and we have constructed B -isomorphisms $\psi^{-2}, \psi^{-1}, \psi^0, \dots, \psi^{n-1}$ such that the digram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & B \otimes A & \xrightarrow{id_B \otimes k^{-1}} & B \otimes K^0 & \xrightarrow{id_B \otimes k^0} & B \otimes K^1 & \longrightarrow & \dots \\
 & & \downarrow \psi^{-1} & & \downarrow \psi^0 & & \downarrow \psi^1 & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & B & \xrightarrow{h^{-1}} & H^0 & \xrightarrow{h^0} & H^1 & \longrightarrow & \dots \\
 & & & & & & \xrightarrow{\quad} & B \otimes K^{n-2} & \xrightarrow{id_B \otimes k^{n-2}} & B \otimes K^{n-1} \\
 & & & & & & & \downarrow \psi^{n-2} & & \downarrow \psi^{n-1} \\
 & & & & & & \xrightarrow{\quad} & H^{n-2} & \xrightarrow{h^{n-2}} & H^{n-1}
 \end{array}$$

commutes. From our inductive assumptions, we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B \otimes K^{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker}(id_B \otimes k^{n-2}) \\ \downarrow \psi^{n-1} & & \downarrow \bar{\psi}^{n-1} \\ H^{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker}(h^{n-2}) \end{array}$$

in which horizontal maps are natural and $\bar{\psi}_{n-1}$ is the induced isomorphism. Lemma 2.2 gives rise to B -isomorphism $\bar{\psi}^{n-1} \circ \theta^n : B \otimes \text{Coker } k^{n-2} \rightarrow \text{Coker } h^{n-2}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B \otimes K^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{id_B \otimes \pi} & B \otimes \text{Coker } k^{n-2} \\ \downarrow \psi^{n-1} & & \downarrow \bar{\psi}^{n-1} \circ \theta^n \\ H^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & \text{Coker } h^{n-2} \end{array}$$

commutes in which π and π' are natural maps. The functor $D_{\Phi_{n+1}}$ gives rise to the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} B \otimes K^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{id_B \otimes \pi} & B \otimes \text{Coker } k^{n-2} & \longrightarrow & D_{\Phi_{n+1}}(B \otimes \text{Coker } k^{n-2}) \\ \downarrow \psi^{n-1} & & \downarrow \bar{\psi}^{n-1} \circ \theta^n & & \downarrow D_{\Phi_{n+1}}(\bar{\psi}^{n-1} \circ \theta^n) \\ H^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & \text{Coker } h^{n-2} & \longrightarrow & D_{\Phi_{n+1}}(\text{Coker } h^{n-2}). \end{array}$$

We know that $\text{Supp}_A(\text{Coker } k^{n-2}) \subseteq \{P \in \text{Spec}(A) \mid ht(P) \geq n\}$. Hence it follows from Lemma 2.6 that there is a B -isomorphism

$$\alpha : B \otimes D_{\Phi_{n+1}}(\text{Coker } k^{n-2}) \rightarrow D_{\Phi_{n+1}}(B \otimes \text{Coker } k^{n-2})$$

such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B \otimes \text{Coker } k^{n-2} & \xrightarrow{id_B \otimes \eta_{\Phi_{n+1}}(\text{Coker } k^{n-2})} & B \otimes D_{\Phi_{n+1}}(\text{Coker } k^{n-2}) \\ & \searrow \eta_{\Phi_{n+1}}(B \otimes \text{Coker } k^{n-2}) & \downarrow \alpha \\ & & D_{\Phi_{n+1}}(B \otimes \text{Coker } k^{n-2}) \end{array}$$

commutes.

On the other hand, by Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.4, there is a B -isomorphism

$$\beta : D_{\Phi_{n+1}}(\text{Coker } h^{n-2}) \rightarrow D_{\Phi'_{n+1}}(\text{Coker } h^{n-2})$$

such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Coker } h^{n-2} & \xrightarrow{\eta_{\Phi_{n+1}}(\text{Coker } h^{n-2})} & D_{\Phi_{n+1}}(\text{Coker } h^{n-2}) \\ & \searrow \eta_{\Phi'_{n+1}}(\text{Coker } h^{n-2}) & \downarrow \beta \\ & & D_{\Phi'_{n+1}}(\text{Coker } h^{n-2}) \end{array}$$

commutes. Therefore we obtain a B -isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^n := \beta \circ D_{\Phi_{n+1}}(\bar{\psi}^{n-1} \circ \theta^n) \circ \alpha : D_{\Phi_{n+1}}(\text{Coker } k^{n-2}) \otimes B \\ \rightarrow D_{\Phi'_{n+1}}(\text{Coker } h^{n-2}) \end{aligned}$$

such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B \otimes K^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{id_B \otimes k^{n-1}} & B \otimes K^n \\ \downarrow \psi^{n-1} & & \downarrow \psi^n \\ H^{n-1} & \xrightarrow{h^{n-1}} & H^n \end{array}$$

commutes.

The corollary below follows easily, which is the one of the main theorems of [1].

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let S be a multiplicative closed subset of A and let $C(A)$ (resp. $C(S^{-1}A)$) be a Cousin complex for A (resp. $S^{-1}A$). There is an isomorphism of $S^{-1}A$ -modules and homomorphisms $\psi = \{\psi^n\}_{n \geq 2} : S^{-1}(C(A)) \rightarrow C(S^{-1}A)$ which is such that $\psi^{-1} : S^{-1}A \rightarrow S^{-1}A$ is identity.*

EXAMPLE 3.3. Let A be a subring of the integral domain B , and suppose that A is integrally closed and that B is integral over A . Then $ht_B(q) = ht_A(q^c)$ for every $q \in \text{Spec}(B)$. Therefore we have a natural isomorphism of complexes of B -modules and homomorphisms

$$\psi : B \otimes_A C(A) \rightarrow C(B).$$

References

1. R. Y. Sharp, *The Cousin complex for a module over a commutative Noetherian ring*, Math. Z. **112** (1969), 340–356.
2. ———, *The Cousin complex and integral extensions of Noetherian domains*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **73** (1973), 407–415.
3. ———, *A Cousin complex characterization of balanced big Cohen-Macaulay modules*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **33**, 471–485.
4. ——— and M. Yassi, *Generalized fractions and Hughes' grade-theoretic analogue of the Cousin complex*, Glasgow Math. J. **32** (1990), 173–188.
5. ——— and P. Schenzel, *Cousin complexes and generalized Hughes complexes* (to be appear).
6. M. Yassi, *The generalized Hughes complex and modules of generalized fractions*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Sheffield, 1989.

Department of Mathematics
Dongshin University
Naju 520-714, Korea

Department of Mathematics Education
Suncheon National University
Suncheon 540-742, Korea