

SUBMANIFOLDS WITH CONSTANT MEAN CURVATURE VECTOR FIELDS

SEUNG-HO AHN, DONG-SOO KIM AND KWANG-CHEUL SHIN

Dept. of Mathematics, Chonnam National University, Kwangju 500-757, Korea.

1. Introduction

Let E_s^m be the m -dimensional pseudo-Euclidean space with the standard flat metric given by

$$\bar{g} = - \sum_{i=1}^s dx_i^2 + \sum_{j=s+1}^m dx_j^2,$$

where (x_1, \dots, x_m) is a rectangular coordinate system of E_s^m . For a positive number r and a point $c \in E_s^m$, we denote by $S_s^{m-1}(c, r)$ and $H_{s-1}^{m-1}(c, -r)$, the pseudo-Riemannian sphere and the pseudo-hyperbolic space defined respectively by

$$S_s^{m-1}(c, r) = \{x \in E_s^m \mid \langle x - c, x - c \rangle = r^2\},$$

$$H_{s-1}^{m-1}(c, -r) = \{x \in E_s^m \mid \langle x - c, x - c \rangle = -r^2\},$$

where \langle, \rangle denotes the indefinite inner product on the pseudo-Euclidean space. The point c is called the center of $S_s^{m-1}(c, r)$ and of $H_{s-1}^{m-1}(c, -r)$, respectively. We simply denote $S_s^{m-1}(0, 1)$ and $H_{s-1}^{m-1}(0, -1)$ by S_s^{m-1} and H_{s-1}^{m-1} , respectively. In physics, S_1^{m-1} and E_1^m are known as de Sitter space-time and the Minkowski space-time, respectively. We denote by H^{m-1} the (connected) hyperbolic space, imbedded standardly in E_1^m , by

$$H^{m-1} = \{x \in E_1^m \mid \langle x, x \rangle = -1 \text{ and } t > 0\},$$

where $t = x_1$ is the first coordinate of the Minkowski space-time E_1^m . A vector X in E_s^m is said to be space-like (respectively, time-like or light-like)

Received May 1, 1995.

This work was partially supported by BSRI program, Ministry of Education under the project number BSRI-95-1425

if $\langle X, X \rangle > 0$ or $X = 0$ (respectively, $\langle X, X \rangle < 0$ or $\langle X, X \rangle = 0$ with $X \neq 0$).

Let $x : M_t^n \rightarrow E_s^m$ be an isometric immersion of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold M_t^n into E_s^m . Denote the position vector field of the immersion $x : M_t^n \rightarrow E_s^m$ also by x . Then we have the well-known Beltrami equation

$$(1.1) \quad \Delta x = -nH,$$

where H is the mean curvature vector field of M_t^n in E_s^m .

In this paper, we investigate the submanifolds of Minkowski space-time E_1^m with constant mean curvature vector fields. As a result, we obtain the following results :

THEOREM A. *Let $x : M_t^n \rightarrow E_{t+1}^{n+2}$ be a complete isometric immersion with nonzero constant mean curvature vector field. Then*

(a) M_t^n is isometric to E_t^n .

(b) M_t^n is, up to congruences on E_{t+1}^{n+2} , reparametrized by $\bar{x} : E_t^n \rightarrow E_{t+1}^{n+2}$, $\bar{x}(u_1, \dots, u_n) = (f(u_1, \dots, u_n), u_1, \dots, u_n, f(u_1, \dots, u_n))$ for some function f on E_t^n with $\Delta f = -n$. Conversely, such submanifold has nonzero constant mean curvature vector field.

THEOREM B. *Let M^n be a submanifold of the Minkowski space-time E_1^m . If M^n has nonzero constant mean curvature vector field, then*

(a) the Ricci tensor of M^n is negative semi-definite,

(b) M^n is Ricci flat if and only if M^n lies in the $(n+2)$ -dimensional Minkowski space-time E_1^{n+2} .

2. Preliminaries

$E_{s,j}^m$ denotes the m -dimensional affine space with the metric \bar{g} whose canonical form is

$$\begin{pmatrix} -I_s & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{m-s-j} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & O_j \end{pmatrix},$$

where I_k is the $k \times k$ identity matrix and O_j is the $j \times j$ zero matrix. The metric is non-degenerate if and only if $j = 0$, in which case we write E_s^m for $E_{s,0}^m$. In general, if M is an n -dimensional manifold whose tangent spaces have a metric of signature $(i, n-i-j, j)$ we write $M_{i,j}^n$, if $j = 0$, M_i^n is called a pseudo-Riemannian manifold, and if $j = i = 0$, M^n is called a Riemannian manifold. In this paper, we always assume that manifolds are connected.

Let $x : M_t^n \rightarrow E_s^m$ be an isometric immersion of a pseudo-Riemannian manifold M_t^n into E_s^m . $\nabla, \bar{\nabla}, D, h$ and A_ξ denote the Levi-Civita connection on (M_t^n, g) , the flat connection on (E_s^m, \bar{g}) , the normal connection on the normal bundle of M_t^n , the second fundamental form and the Weingarten map with respect to ξ in the normal bundle, respectively. Then we have the following well-known formulae:

- (a) $\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y)$,
- (b) $\bar{\nabla}_X \xi = -A_\xi(X) + D_X \xi$,
- (c) $g(A_\xi(X), Y) = \bar{g}(h(X, Y), \xi)$

for any X, Y tangent to M_t^n and ξ normal to M_t^n .

Note that $H = \frac{1}{n} \text{trace } h$ is called the mean curvature vector of the submanifold M_t^n of E_s^m . If $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is a local orthonormal frame of the tangent bundle of M_t^n , then $\text{trace } h = \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i h(e_i, e_i)$, where $\epsilon_i = g(e_i, e_i) = \pm 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. If $H \equiv 0$, then M_t^n is called a minimal submanifold of E_s^m . We may find the basic notations and formulae in [1,6].

3. Examples

In this section, we investigate the pseudo-Riemannian submanifolds in E_s^m with nonzero constant mean curvature vector field and give some examples.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $x : M_t^n \rightarrow E_s^m$ be an isometric immersion with nonzero constant mean curvature vector $H \equiv x_0$. Then*

- (a) x_0 is a null vector, hence we have $t \leq s - 1$ and $n \leq m - 2$.
- (b) if $m = n + 2$, then we have $t = s - 1$ and $h(X, Y) = \alpha(X, Y)x_0$ for some $(0,2)$ type tensor α .

Proof. (a) For any vector field X, Y tangent to M_t^n , we have

$$0 = Y \langle X, x_0 \rangle = \langle \bar{\nabla}_Y X, x_0 \rangle = \langle h(X, Y), x_0 \rangle.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\langle x_0, x_0 \rangle = \langle x_0, H \rangle = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i \langle x_0, h(e_i, e_i) \rangle = 0,$$

where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is an orthonormal frame field of M_t^n .

(b) Choose a normal vector field y of M_t^n in E_{t+1}^{m+2} so that $\langle y, y \rangle = 0$ and $\langle x_0, y \rangle = -1$. Since $\{x_0, y\}$ spans the normal space of M_t^n in E_s^m ,

we have $h(X, Y) = \alpha(X, Y)x_0 + \beta(X, Y)y$ for some (0,2)type tensors α, β . Note that

$$\alpha(X, Y) = - \langle h(X, Y), y \rangle = - \langle A_y(X), Y \rangle$$

and

$$\beta(X, Y) = - \langle h(X, Y), x_0 \rangle = - \langle \bar{\nabla}_X Y, x_0 \rangle = \langle Y, \bar{\nabla}_X x_0 \rangle = 0.$$

Thus the Lemma follows.

From Lemma 3.1, we know that no submanifolds of the Euclidean space have nonzero constant mean curvature vector, that is, if a submanifold of the Euclidean space has a constant mean curvature vector, then it is minimal in the Euclidean space.

Example 3.2. Let $M_{t_1}^{n_1}$ and $M_{t_2}^{n_2}$ be two submanifolds of $E_{s_1}^{m_1}$ and $E_{s_2}^{m_2}$ whose mean curvature vectors are H_1 and H_2 , respectively. Then $M_{t_1}^{n_1} \times M_{t_2}^{n_2}$ is a submanifold of $E_{s_1+s_2}^{m_1+m_2}$ whose mean curvature vector H is equal to $\frac{1}{n_1+n_2}(n_1 H_1 \oplus n_2 H_2)$. Therefore, if H_1 and H_2 are constant and if either H_1 or H_2 is nonzero, then H is a nonzero constant vector field.

Let $L_{s-1}^n(x_0, \epsilon)$ be the null hypersection of S_s^{n+1} (for $\epsilon = 1$) or H_{s-1}^{n+1} (for $\epsilon = -1$) in E_s^{n+2} defined by

$$L_{s-1}^n(x_0, \epsilon) = \{x \in E_s^{n+2} \mid \langle x, x \rangle = \langle x, x_0 \rangle = \epsilon\},$$

where x_0 is a null vector in E_s^{n+2} . Then $L_{s-1}^n(x_0, \epsilon)$ is a flat totally umbilic submanifold of E_s^{n+2} with constant mean curvature vector field $H \equiv -\epsilon x_0$.

More generally, we obtain the following :

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let $x : M_t^n \rightarrow E_s^{m+2}$ be an isometric immersion which also lies in S_s^{m+1} (or H_{s-1}^{m+1}). Then the mean curvature vector field of M_t^n in E_s^{m+2} is a nonzero constant vector if and only if M_t^n is a minimal submanifold of a null hypersection $L_{s-1}^m(x_0, \epsilon)$ in E_s^{m+2} .*

Proof. Let H' be the mean curvature vector of M_t^n in S_s^{m+1} (or H_{s-1}^{m+1}), then we have $H = H' - \epsilon x$. Suppose that H is a nonzero constant vector x_0 . Since x_0 is a null vector, we have $\langle H', H' \rangle = -\epsilon$ and $\langle x, -\epsilon x_0 \rangle = \epsilon$. Thus M_t^n is a minimal submanifold of the null hypersection $L_{s-1}^{m-2}(-\epsilon x_0, \epsilon)$.

Now suppose that M_t^n is a minimal submanifold of a null hypersection $L_{s-1}^{m-2}(x_0, \epsilon)$. Since $\{x, x_0\}$ spans the normal space of $L_{s-1}^{m-2}(x_0, \epsilon)$ in E_s^m , we have $H = \alpha x + \beta x_0$ for some functions α, β on M_t^n . Note that $\langle H, x \rangle = -1$ and $\langle H, x_0 \rangle = 0$. Thus we obtain $H \equiv -\epsilon x_0$.

4. Proofs of main theorems

Let M_t^n be a pseudo-Riemannian manifold of the pseudo-Euclidean space E_s^{n+2} with nonzero constant mean curvrtre vector $H \equiv x_0$. Then Lemma 3.1 implies that x_0 is null, $s = t + 1$ and $h(X, Y) = \alpha(X, Y)x_0$. Note that x_0 is normal to M_t^n at any point in M_t^n and $D_X x_0 = 0$ for any X tangent to M_t^n . If α is a unit speed curve in M_t^n with $\alpha(0) = q \in M_t^n$ and if $\xi(s)$ is a normal parallel translation of x_0 along α , then, by the uniqueness of the normal parallel translation, we have $\xi(s) \equiv x_0$. Thus we see that

$$\frac{d}{ds} \langle \alpha(s) - q, x_0 \rangle = \langle \alpha'(s), x_0 \rangle = \langle \alpha'(s), \xi(s) \rangle = 0.$$

And from the fact $\alpha(0) = q$, we obtain

$$\langle \alpha(s) - q, x_0 \rangle = 0.$$

That is, $\alpha(s) - q \in (\mathbf{R}x_0)^\perp = T_q M \oplus \mathbf{R}x_0$, where $\mathbf{R}x_0 = \{tx_0 | t \in \mathbf{R}\}$. Since M_t^n is connected, we know that $M \subset T_q M \oplus \mathbf{R}x_0 = E_{t,1}^{n+1}$ (cf. [3,5]).

Now we prove the main theorems :

Proof of Theorem A. (a) We may assume that $x_0 = (1, 0, \dots, 0, 1)$. Then we have $E_{t,1}^{n+1} = T_q M \oplus \mathbf{R}x_0 = \{x_1, \dots, x_{n+2} | x_1 = x_{n+2}\}$. Let $p : E_{t,1}^{n+1} \rightarrow E_t^n$ be the projection defined by $p(x_1, \dots, x_{n+2}) = (x_2, \dots, x_{n+1})$. Then p is a linear map which preserves the inner product. Let U be a coordinate neighborhood of M_t^n with coordinates (x_1, \dots, x_n) , then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right\rangle &= \left\langle x_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right), x_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle p_* \left(x_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) \right), p_* \left(x_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) \right) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle (p \circ x)_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right), (p \circ x)_* \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we see that $p \circ x : M_t^n \rightarrow E_t^n$ is an isometric immersion. Since M_t^n is complete, we see that $p \circ x$ is an isometry (see [6] pp.201-202).

(b) Note that $x \circ (p \circ x)^{-1} : E_t^n \rightarrow E_{t,1}^{n+1}$ is an isometric immersion and that $p \circ (x \circ (p \circ x)^{-1})$ is the identity map. Thus we have

$$(x \circ (p \circ x)^{-1})(u_1, \dots, u_n) = (f(u_1, \dots, u_n), u_1, \dots, u_n, f(u_1, \dots, u_n)).$$

for some function f on E_t^n . Let \bar{x} be the reparametrization $x \circ (p \circ x)^{-1}$ of M_t^n . From the Beltrami equation (1.1) we have

$$\Delta \bar{x} = (\Delta f, 0, \dots, 0, \Delta f) = -nH = (-n, 0, \dots, 0, -n).$$

Hence we see that f is a function on E_t^n satisfying $\Delta f = -n$.

The converse is obvious.

Proof of Theorem B. (a) From Lemma 3.1(b), we see that M^n is space-like. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be an orthonormal frame of M^n , then for any X, Y tangent to M^n we have

$$\begin{aligned} Ric(X, Y) &= tr(V \rightarrow R(X, V)Y) = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle R(X, e_i)Y, e_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \{ \langle \bar{R}(X, e_i)Y, e_i \rangle + \langle h(X, Y), h(e_i, e_i) \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle h(X, e_i), h(Y, e_i) \rangle \} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \{ \langle h(X, Y), h(e_i, e_i) \rangle - \langle h(X, e_i), h(Y, e_i) \rangle \}, \end{aligned}$$

where the third equality follows from Gauss equation. Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Ric(X, X) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \{ \langle h(X, X), h(e_i, e_i) \rangle - \langle h(X, e_i), h(X, e_i) \rangle \} \\ &= - \sum_{i=1}^n \langle h(X, e_i), h(X, e_i) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from the fact $\langle x_0, h(X, Y) \rangle = 0$.

On the other hand, let $\{x_0, y, e_{n+3}, \dots, e_m\}$ be a pseudo-orthonormal frame of the normal bundle, that is, $\{x_0, y, e_{n+3}, \dots, e_m\}$ is a frame of the normal bundle satisfying the following :

$$\langle x_0, y \rangle = -1, \quad \langle e_t, e_t \rangle = 1 \quad \text{and otherwise zero, } t = n+3, \dots, m.$$

And let A_t denote A_{e_t} for $t = n+3, \dots, m$. Then $\langle h(X, e_i), e_t \rangle = \langle A_t(X), e_i \rangle$ and $\langle h(X, e_i), x_0 \rangle = 0$. Hence we obtain

$$h(X, e_i) = \alpha(X, e_i)x_0 + \sum_{t=n+3}^m \langle A_t(X), e_i \rangle e_t.$$

Finally we have

$$\begin{aligned} (*) \quad Ric(X, X) &= - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{t=n+3}^m \langle A_t(X), e_i \rangle^2 \\ &= - \sum_{t=n+3}^m \langle A_t(X), A_t(X) \rangle \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Suppose that $Ric \equiv 0$, then by (*) we see that $A_t(X) = 0$ for $t = n+3, \dots, m$. Hence we have

$$h(X, Y) = \alpha(X, Y)x_0, \quad \bar{\nabla}_X e_i = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j^i(X) e_j + \alpha(X, e_i)x_0,$$

which implies that $\bar{\nabla}_X (e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_n \wedge x_0) = 0$. Therefore for a fixed point $q \in M^n$, M^n lies in $T_q M^n \oplus R x_0 = E_{0,1}^{n+1}$. Thus M^n lies in the $(n+2)$ -dimensional Minkowski space-time E_1^{n+2} .

The converse follows from Theorem A.

References

1. B.-Y. Chen, *Total mean curvature and submanifolds of finite type*, World scientific, New Jersey and Singapore, 1984.
2. B.-Y. Chen, *Some classification theorems for submanifolds in Minkowski space-time*, Arch.Math. **62** (1994), 177-182.
3. J. Erbacher, *Reduction of the codimension of an isometric immersion*, Jour. Differential Geometry **5** (1971), 333-340.
4. D.-S. Kim and Y.H. Kim, *Biharmonic submanifolds of the pseudo-Riemannian space forms*, Preprint.
5. M.A. Magid, *Isometric immersions of Lorentz space with parallel second fundamental forms*, Tsukuba Jour.Math. **8** (1984), 31-54.
6. B. O'Neill, *Semi-Riemannian geometry with applications to relativity*, Academic Press, New York, 1983.