

FINITE TYPE CURVES IN THE LORENTZ MINKOWSKI PLANE

HEI-SUN CHUNG, DONG-SOO KIM AND KYU-HYUN SOHN

Dept. of Mathematics, Chonnam National University, Kwangju 500-757, Korea.

*Dept. of Mathematics Education, Chonnam National University,
Kwangju 500-757, Korea.*

1. Introduction

Let L_1^m be the m -dimensional Lorentz Minkowski space with metric tensor g_0 given by

$$g_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} dx_j^2 - dx_m^2,$$

where (x_1, \dots, x_m) is a rectangular coordinate system of L_1^m . (L_1^m, g_0) is a flat Lorentz manifold of signature $(m-1, 1)$. Let $c = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$ be a point in L_1^m and $r > 0$. We put

$$S_1^{m-1}(c, r) = \{x \in L_1^m : \langle x - c, x - c \rangle = r^2\},$$

$$H^{m-1}(c, r) = \{x \in L_1^m : \langle x - c, x - c \rangle = -r^2, x_m > c_m\},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the indefinite inner product on L_1^m . $S_1^{m-1}(c, r)$ and $H^{m-1}(c, r)$ are called the de Sitter space time and the hyperbolic space, respectively ([2,4,10]).

Let $x : M \rightarrow L_1^m$ be an isometric immersion from n -dimensional pseudo-Riemannian submanifold M into L_1^m . Denote by Δ the Laplacian of M associated with the pseudo-Riemannian metric on M . The submanifold M of L_1^m is said to be of k -type if the position vector x of M in L_1^m has the following form ([2,3]):

$$(1.1) \quad x = c + x_{i_1} + \dots + x_{i_k},$$

Received May 1, 1995.

This work was partially supported by BSRI program, Ministry of Education under the project number BSRI-95-1425

where

$$\Delta x_{i_t} = l_{i_t} x_{i_t}, \quad l_{i_1} < \cdots < l_{i_k},$$

c is a constant vector and x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k} are non-constant L_1^m -valued eigenfunctions of Δ . A submanifold M is said to be of finite type if it is of k -type for some k . Otherwise, M is said to be of infinite type.

B.-Y.Chen proved in [1] that every closed Euclidean plane curve of finite type is of 1-type, and hence a circle. Later, in [6] B.-Y.Chen, F.Dillen, L.Verstraelen and L.Vrancken proved that a Euclidean plane curve is of finite type if and only if it is an open part of a circle or a straight line.

In this article we study the Lorentzian version of the above. As a result, we prove that every finite type Lorentzian plane curve is of 1-type.

2. Finite type curves in L_1^2

Let $x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L_1^2$ be a curve parametrized by arclength s . Then the Laplacian Δ of x is given by $\Delta = -\partial^2/\partial s^2$. If x is of finite type in L_1^2 , then x can be written as ([6]):

$$(2.1) \quad x(s) = a_0 + as + \sum_{j=1}^m \{b_j \cos(l_j s) + c_j \sin(l_j s)\} \\ + \sum_{i=1}^k \{a_i e^{q_i s} + d_i e^{-q_i s}\},$$

where $0 < l_1 < \cdots < l_m$ and $0 < q_1 < \cdots < q_k$ are positive real numbers and $a_0, a, b_j, c_j, a_i, d_i$ are vectors in L_1^2 such that for each $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, a_i and d_i (b_j and c_j , respectively) are not simultaneously zero. Let

$$(2.2) \quad A(s) = a + \sum_{j=1}^m l_j [-b_j \sin(l_j s) + c_j \cos(l_j s)].$$

Then we have

$$(2.3) \quad x'(s) = A(s) + \sum_{i=1}^k q_i [a_i e^{q_i s} - d_i e^{-q_i s}].$$

Since the functions $s^\alpha e^{\beta s} \cos \gamma s$ and $s^\alpha e^{\beta s} \sin \gamma s$ are linearly independent ([8]), $\langle x'(x), x'(s) \rangle \equiv \pm 1$ is equivalent to the following :

$$H(l) : \langle A(s), A(s) \rangle - \sum_{i=1}^k q_i^2 \langle a_i, d_i \rangle = \pm 1,$$

$$\begin{aligned} I(l) : & \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ 2q_i=l}}^k q_i^2 \langle a_i, a_i \rangle + 2 \sum_{\substack{i<j \\ q_i+q_j=l}} q_i q_j \langle a_i, a_j \rangle \\ & + 2 \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ q_i=l}}^k q_i \langle A(s), a_i \rangle - 2 \sum_{\substack{i<j \\ q_j-q_i=l}} q_i q_j \langle d_i, a_j \rangle = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} J(l) : & \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ 2q_i=l}}^k q_i^2 \langle d_i, d_i \rangle + 2 \sum_{\substack{i<j \\ q_i+q_j=l}} q_i q_j \langle d_i, d_j \rangle \\ & - 2 \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ q_i=l}}^k q_i \langle A(s), d_i \rangle - 2 \sum_{\substack{i<j \\ q_j-q_i=l}} q_i q_j \langle d_j, a_i \rangle = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all $l \in \{q_i | 1 \leq i \leq k\} \cup \{q_i + q_j | 1 \leq i \leq j \leq k\} \cup \{q_j - q_i | 1 \leq i < j \leq k\}$.

(Case 1) $k \geq 1$. For $l = 2q_k$ we obtain from $(I(l))$ and $(J(l))$ that $\langle a_k, a_k \rangle = \langle d_k, d_k \rangle = 0$. And, for $l = (q_k + q_{k-1})$, $(I(l))$ and $(J(l))$ imply $\langle a_k, a_{k-1} \rangle = \langle d_k, d_{k-1} \rangle = 0$.

There are three possibilities:

(1) $a_k = \alpha_k(1, 1) \neq 0$, $d_k = \delta_k(1, 1) \neq 0$. We obtain $a_{k-1} = \alpha_{k-1}(1, 1)$ and $d_{k-1} = \delta_{k-1}(1, 1)$. Now, suppose that $a_t = \alpha_t(1, 1)$ and $d_t = \delta_t(1, 1)$ for all $t > r$. We show that a_r and d_r are also of this form. Indeed, we have

$$\langle a_i, a_j \rangle = \langle d_i, d_j \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } i, j > r.$$

For $l = (q_k + q_r)$ we obtain from $(I(l))$ and $(J(l))$ that

$$\langle a_k, a_r \rangle = \langle d_k, d_r \rangle = 0.$$

Thus $a_r = \alpha_r(1, 1)$ and $d_r = \delta_r(1, 1)$. By induction, $a_i = \alpha_i(1, 1)$ and $d_i = \delta_i(1, 1)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. For $l = q_k$ we obtain from $(I(l))$ and

($J(l)$) that $\langle A(s), a_k \rangle = 0$. Thus we obtain $A(s) = \varphi(s)(1, 1)$. Hence we have $\langle x'(s), x'(s) \rangle = 0$. This is a contradiction.

(2) $a_k = \alpha_k(1, 1) \neq 0$, $d_k = \delta_k(-1, 1) \neq 0$.

We obtain $a_{k-1} = \alpha_{k-1}(1, 1)$ and $d_{k-1} = \delta_{k-1}(-1, 1)$. Now, suppose that $a_t = \alpha_t(1, 1)$ and $d_t = \delta_t(-1, 1)$ for all $t > r$. We can have

$$\langle a_i, a_j \rangle = \langle d_i, d_j \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } i, j > r.$$

For $l = (q_k + q_r)$ ($I(l)$) and ($J(l)$) imply $\langle a_k, a_r \rangle = \langle d_k, d_r \rangle = 0$. Thus $a_r = \alpha_r(1, 1)$ and $d_r = \delta_r(-1, 1)$. By induction, $a_i = \alpha_i(1, 1)$ and $d_i = \delta_i(-1, 1)$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. For $l = q_k$ we obtain $\langle A(s), a_k \rangle = \langle A(s), d_k \rangle = 0$. Thus we have $A(s) = 0$. And we obtain from ($I(q_j - q_i)$) and ($J(q_j - q_i)$) that

$$\langle d_i, a_j \rangle = \langle d_j, a_i \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } i < j,$$

so that $\alpha_j \delta_i = \alpha_i \delta_j = 0$ for all $i < j$. Since $\alpha_k \neq 0$ and $\delta_k \neq 0$, for $l = (q_k - q_1)$ we obtain that $\alpha_k \delta_1 = \alpha_1 \delta_k = 0$. Thus $\alpha_1 = \delta_1 = 0$. Similarly, $\alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \dots = \alpha_{k-1} = 0$ and $\delta_2 = \delta_3 = \dots = \delta_{k-1} = 0$, so that $a_1 = d_1 = \dots = a_{k-1} = d_{k-1} = 0$ and $a_k \neq 0$, $d_k \neq 0$. Hence we may assume that $x(s)$ is of the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} x(s) &= a_0 + ae^{qs} + de^{-qs} \\ &= a_0 + \alpha(1, 1)e^{qs} + \delta(-1, 1)e^{-qs}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $x(s)$ is congruent to one of the following:

$$\begin{aligned} H^1(r) &= \{x \in L_1^2 \mid \langle x, x \rangle = -r^2, x_2 > 0\}, \\ S_1^1(r) &= \{x \in L_1^2 \mid \langle x, x \rangle = r^2, x_1 > 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $r = \frac{1}{q} > 0$.

(3) $a_k = a_{k-1} = \dots = a_{k_0+1} = 0$, $a_{k_0} \neq 0$, $d_k = \delta_k v \neq 0$ where $v = (1, 1)$ or $v = (-1, 1)$. Then $J(q_k + q_{k-1})$ implies

$$\langle d_k, d_{k-1} \rangle = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad d_{k-1} = \delta_{k-1} v.$$

Suppose that $d_t = \delta_t v$ for all $t > r$. For $l = (q_k + q_r)$ we obtain from ($J(l)$) that $\langle d_k, d_r \rangle = 0$. Thus $d_r = \delta_r v$. Hence $d_i = \delta_i v$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. For $l = 2q_{k_0}$ we obtain from ($I(l)$) that $\langle a_{k_0}, a_{k_0} \rangle = 0$, so that $a_{k_0} =$

$\alpha_{k_0}u$ where $u = (1, 1)$ or $u = (-1, 1)$. For $l = (q_{k_0} + q_{k_0-1})$ we obtain from $(I(l))$ that $\langle a_{k_0}, a_{k_0-1} \rangle = 0$. Hence $a_{k_0-1} = \alpha_{k_0-1}u$. Suppose that $a_t = \alpha_t u$ for all $k_0 \geq t > r$. Thus $J(q_{k_0} + q_r)$ implies $\langle a_{k_0}, a_r \rangle = 0$. Hence $a_r = \alpha_r u$. By induction, $a_i = \alpha_i u$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k_0\}$.

(i) If $u \neq v$, then $(I(q_{k_0}))$ and $(J(q_k))$ imply

$$\langle A(s), a_{k_0} \rangle = \langle A(s), d_k \rangle = 0.$$

Thus $A(s) = 0$. Hence we have $\langle x'(s), x'(s) \rangle = 0$. This is a contradiction.

(ii) If $u = v$, then as in (1), we obtain $a_i = \alpha_i v$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k_0\}$ and $d_i = \delta_i v$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. Thus we obtain from $(I(q_{k_0}))$ and $(J(q_k))$ that $\langle A(s), a_{k_0} \rangle = \langle A(s), d_k \rangle = 0$. From this we have $A(s) = \varphi(s)v$. Hence we have $\langle x'(s), x'(s) \rangle = 0$. This is a contradiction.

(Case 2) $k = 0$. In this case, $x(s)$ is of the following form :

$$x(s) = a_0 + as + \sum_{i=1}^m \{b_i \cos(l_i s) + c_i \sin(l_i s)\}.$$

Note that the condition $\langle x'(s), x'(s) \rangle \equiv \pm 1$ is equivalent to the following ([6]) :

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m l_i^2 D_{ii} = 2(\pm 1 - \langle a, a \rangle),$$

$$(2.5) \quad -4 \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ l_i=l}}^m l_i M_i + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ 2l_i=l}}^m l_i^2 A_{ii} + 2 \sum_{\substack{i>j \\ l_i+l_j=l}} l_i l_j A_{ij} - 2 \sum_{\substack{i>j \\ l_i-l_j=l}} l_i l_j D_{ij} = 0,$$

$$(2.6) \quad 4 \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ l_i=l}}^m l_i \bar{M}_i + \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ 2l_i=l}}^m l_i^2 \bar{A}_{ii} + 2 \sum_{\substack{i>j \\ l_i+l_j=l}} l_i l_j \bar{A}_{ij} + 2 \sum_{\substack{i>j \\ l_i-l_j=l}} l_i l_j \bar{D}_{ij} = 0,$$

for all $l \in \{l_i | 1 \leq i \leq m\} \cup \{l_i + l_j | 1 \leq j \leq i \leq m\} \cup \{l_i - l_j | 1 \leq j < i \leq m\}$, where

$$M_i = \langle a, c_i \rangle, \quad \bar{M}_i = \langle a, b_i \rangle,$$

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{ij} &= \langle b_i, b_j \rangle - \langle c_i, c_j \rangle, & D_{ij} &= \langle b_i, b_j \rangle + \langle c_i, c_j \rangle, \\ \bar{A}_{ij} &= \langle b_i, c_j \rangle + \langle b_j, c_i \rangle, & \bar{D}_{ij} &= \langle b_i, c_j \rangle - \langle b_j, c_i \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$.

Thus we obtain from (2.5) and (2.6) that $\langle b_m, b_m \rangle = \langle c_m, c_m \rangle$ and $\langle b_m, c_m \rangle = 0$. Since $b_m, c_m \in L_1^2$, we have $\langle b_m, b_m \rangle = \langle c_m, c_m \rangle = 0$.

There are two possibilities:

(1) $b_m \neq 0, c_m \neq 0$.

Since $\langle b_m, b_m \rangle = \langle c_m, c_m \rangle = 0, \langle b_m, c_m \rangle = 0$, we have $b_m = \beta_m v$ and $c_m = \gamma_m v$ for a null vector v in L_1^2 . For $l = l_m + l_{m-1}$ (2.5) and (2.6) imply

$$\langle b_m, b_{m-1} \rangle = \langle c_m, c_{m-1} \rangle \text{ and } \langle b_m, b_{m-1} \rangle = -\langle b_{m-1}, c_m \rangle.$$

And we have

$$\langle b_m, b_{m-1} \rangle = \beta_m \langle v, b_{m-1} \rangle,$$

$$\langle c_m, c_{m-1} \rangle = \gamma_m \langle v, c_{m-1} \rangle.$$

Let $A = \langle v, b_{m-1} \rangle$ and $B = \langle v, c_{m-1} \rangle$. Since $\beta_m A = \gamma_m B$ and $\beta_m B = -\gamma_m A, \beta_m^2 A = \beta_m \gamma_m B = -\gamma_m^2 A$. Hence $(\beta_m^2 + \gamma_m^2)A = 0$. Thus $A = \langle v, b_{m-1} \rangle = 0$. Similarly, $B = \langle v, c_{m-1} \rangle = 0$. Then $b_{m-1} = \beta_{m-1} v$ and $c_{m-1} = \gamma_{m-1} v$. Now, suppose that $b_t = \beta_t v$ and $c_t = \gamma_t v$ for all $t > r$. For $l = l_m + l_r$ we obtain from (2.5) and (2.6) that $A_{mr} = 0$ and $\bar{A}_{mr} = 0$. Thus $b_r = \beta_r v$ and $c_r = \gamma_r v$. Hence $b_i = \beta_i v$ and $c_i = \gamma_i v$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. For $l = l_i$ (2.5) and (2.6) imply that $\langle a, b_i \rangle = \langle a, c_i \rangle = 0$. Hence $a = \beta_0 v$. Thus we obtain

$$x'(s) = [\beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^m \{l_i(-\beta_i \sin(l_i s) + \gamma_i \cos(l_i s))\}]v.$$

Hence we have $\langle x'(s), x'(s) \rangle = 0$. This is a contradiction.

(2) $b_m = b_{m-1} = \dots = b_{m_0+1} = 0, b_{m_0} \neq 0$ and $c_m = \gamma_m v \neq 0$ where $v = (1, 1)$ or $v = (-1, 1)$. For $l = l_m + l_{m-1}$ we obtain $\langle c_m, c_{m-1} \rangle = 0$. Thus $c_{m-1} = \gamma_{m-1} v$. Suppose that $c_t = \gamma_t v$ for all $t > r$. For $l = l_m + l_r$ we obtain from (2.6) that $\langle c_m, c_r \rangle = 0$. Thus $c_r = \gamma_r v$. Hence $c_i = \gamma_i v$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$. For $l = 2l_{m_0}$ we obtain from (2.5) that $\langle b_{m_0}, b_{m_0} \rangle = 0$. Thus $b_{m_0} = \beta_{m_0} u$ where $u = (1, 1)$ or $u = (-1, 1)$. For $l = l_{m_0} + l_{m_0-1}$ (2.6) implies that $b_{m_0-1} = \beta_{m_0-1} u$. Suppose that $b_t = \beta_t u$ for all $m_0 \geq t > r$.

For $l = l_{m_0} + l_r$ we obtain $\langle b_{m_0}, b_r \rangle = 0$. Thus $b_r = \beta_r u$. Hence $b_i = \beta_i u$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m_0\}$.

(i) If $u = v$, then similarly as in (1) of (Case1), we have $b_i = \beta_i u$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m_0\}$ and $c_i = \gamma_i u$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. For $l = l_m$ and $l = l_{m_0}$ we obtain $\langle a, c_m \rangle = \langle a, b_{m_0} \rangle = 0$. Thus $a = \beta_0 u$. Hence we have $\langle x'(s), x'(s) \rangle = 0$. This is a contradiction.

(ii) If $u \neq v$, then similarly as in (2) of (Case 1), we have $a = 0$. Hence we obtain $\langle x'(s), x'(s) \rangle = 0$. This is a contradiction.

Thus we know that $m = 0$ and that $x(s)$ is of the following form:

$$x(s) = a_0 + as.$$

Therefore we obtain the following classification theorem :

THEOREM. Let $x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow L_1^2$ be a curve parametrized by arclength s . Then $x(s)$ is of finite type if and only if $x(s)$ is, up to congruences on L_1^2 , an open part of one of the following 1-type curves :

- (1) a straight line
- (2) $S_1^1(r)$
- (3) $H^1(r)$

References

1. B.-Y. Chen, *Total mean curvature and submanifolds of finite type*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1984.
2. B.-Y. Chen, *Finite type submanifolds in pseudo-Euclidean spaces and applications*, Kodai Math. J. **8** (1985), 358-374.
3. B.-Y. Chen, *Finite type pseudo-Riemannian submanifolds*, Tamkang J. Math. **17** (1986), 137-151.
4. B.-Y. Chen, *Some classification theorems for submanifolds in Minkowski space-time*, Archive Math. **62** (1994), 177-182.
5. B.-Y. Chen, J. Deprez, F. Dillen, L. Verstraelen and L. Vrancken, *Curves of finite type*, Proceedings of the Conference on Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds (1988), Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds, II, World Scientific Publishing Co., 1990, pp. 76-110.
6. B.-Y. Chen, F. Dillen, L. Verstraelen and L. Vrancken, *Ruled surfaces of finite type*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **42** (1990), 447-453.
7. B.-Y. Chen and M. Petrovic, *On spectral decomposition of immersions of finite type*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **44** (1991), 117-129.
8. M.W. Hirsch and S. Smale, *Differential equations, Dynamical systems and Linear algebra*, Academic Press, 1974, 138-141.
9. D.-S. Kim and H.-S. Chung, *Space curves satisfying $\Delta H = AH$* , Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **31**, No 2 (1994), 193-200.
10. B.O'Neill, *Semi-Riemannian Geometry with Applications to Relativity*, Academic Press, New York, 1983.